## Joint Exhibit SCG/SDG\&E NOI-vs-GRC

## Changes Between the Southern California Gas Company ("SoCalGas") and San Diego Gas \& Electric Company ("SDG\&E") Notices of Intent and General Rate Case Applications


#### Abstract

This exhibit is in compliance with D.07-07-004, which contains the most recent update to the Rate Case Plan ("RCP"). The RCP requires that SoCalGas and SDG\&E prepare a comparison exhibit showing the differences between their Notices of Intent ("NOI") tendered on August 6, 2010 and their final GRC exhibits ("GRC") filed on December 15, 2010.

The exhibit is organized in four sections: Section I provides a comparison between the SoCalGas NOI and GRC summary of earnings ("SOE") tables. A narrative description is provided for each SOE table line item change greater than $\$ 250,000$. Also provided is a table showing all changes (regardless of the amount) by GRC functional area.

Section II provides a comparison between the SDG\&E NOI and GRC summary of earnings ("SOE") tables. A narrative description is provided for each SOE table line item change greater than $\$ 250,000$. Also provided is a table showing all changes (regardless of the amount) by GRC functional area.

Section III provides all changes to the programming logic to the Results of Operations model used to calculate the SoCaIGas and SDG\&E 2012 GRC revenue requirements.

Section IV provides other changes to various modules of the Results of Operations model, along with screen shots to help identify the location of such changes.


## SECTION I

## SoCalGas O\&M and Capital-Related Changes

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY


| Line <br> No. | Description | 2012 Proposed Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Application$(2012 \$)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NOI} \\ (2012 \$) \end{gathered}$ |  | Variance (2012\$) |  |
| 1 | Base Margin | \$ | 2,021,113 | \$ | 2,021,864 | \$ | (751) |
| 2 | Miscellaneous Revenues |  | 103,197 |  | 104,120 |  | (923) |
| 3 | Revenue Requirement | \$ | 2,124,310 | \$ | 2,125,984 | \$ | $(1,674)$ |
| Operating and Maintenance Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Distribution |  | 132,337 |  | 132,142 |  | 195 |
| 5 | Transmission |  | 32,448 |  | 32,529 |  | (81) |
| 6 | Underground Storage |  | 28,859 |  | 28,859 |  | - |
| 7 | Engineering |  | 94,452 |  | 94,152 |  | 300 |
| 8 | Procurement |  | 3,639 |  | 3,639 |  | - |
| 9 | Customer Services |  | 324,534 |  | 326,072 |  | $(1,538)$ |
| 10 | Information Technology |  | 47,472 |  | 47,472 |  | - |
| 11 | Support Services |  | 121,314 |  | 121,314 |  | - |
| 12 | Administrative and General |  | 379,828 |  | 380,224 |  | (396) |
| 13 | Subtotal (2009\$) | \$ | 1,164,883 | \$ | 1,166,403 | \$ | $(1,520)$ |
| 14 | Shared Services Adjustments |  | 34,794 |  | 30,304 |  | 4,490 |
| 15 | Reassignments |  | $(81,970)$ |  | $(81,249)$ |  | (722) |
| 16 | Escalation |  | 60,827 |  | 60,591 |  | 236 |
| 17 | Uncollectibles (0.278\%) |  | 5,499 |  | 5,501 |  | (2) |
| 18 | Franchise Fees (1.4593\%) |  | 29,895 |  | 29,906 |  | (11) |
| 19 | Total O\&M (2012\$) | \$ | 1,213,927 | \$ | 1,211,456 | \$ | 2,471 |
| 20 | Depreciation |  | 369,672 |  | 369,510 |  | 162 |
| 21 | Taxes on Income |  | 140,981 |  | 142,696 |  | $(1,715)$ |
| 22 | Taxes Other Than on Income |  | 81,588 |  | 81,637 |  | (48) |
| 23 | Total Operating Expenses |  | 1,806,168 |  | 1,805,299 |  | 869 |
| 24 | Return |  | 318,141 |  | 320,685 |  | $(2,543)$ |
| 25 | Rate Base |  | 3,665,224 |  | 3,694,524 |  | $(29,300)$ |
| 26 | Rate of Return |  | 8.68\% |  | 8.68\% |  | (0.00)\% |

## SCG Notes

1. Line 2 - There was a slight decrease to the shared asset rate base. This resulted in lower billing of shared asset expenses, and a slightly lower miscellaneous revenues total.
2. Line 7 - NOI forecast included costs for one Biogas units instead of including costs for two Biogas units.
3. Line 9 - Reduction of total $\$ 1.5$ million in O\&M expenses, primarily due to:
A. Correct error in the field order activity forecasts and to include O\&M benefits associated with the Customer Service Field Operating Efficiency capital project (see Exhibit SCG-12-CWP, p. JCN-CWP-103) [corrections $=-\$ 867,000$ ].
B. Remove costs associated with the CPUC Disconnection OIR proceeding (R.10-02-005). The reductions were made to be consistent with the SCG Settlement with parties (including DRA) filed on September 9, 2010 [corrections $=-\$ 501,000]$.
4. Line 12 - Variance primarily due to the impact of two items: a) the reclassification of Corporate Reallocation direct costs from non-shared A\&G to Shared Services (a $\$ 4.5$ million decrease) to properly categorize this cost and b) correction to the calculation of ICP of $\$ 5.1$ million.
5. Line 14 - This is the corresponding reclassification of Corporate Reallocation direct costs from non-shared A\&G to Shared Services (a $\$ 4.5$ million increase) to properly classify such costs.
6. Line 15 - Reduction of approximately $\$ 1$ million in reassignments from $\mathrm{O} \& M$ to capital is due to the reduced ICP forecast (a portion of which is subject to capitalization).
7. Line 21 - Reduction of $\$ 2$ million in income taxes is associated with decline of calculated return as pursuant to the changes described in note 7 .
8. Lines 24 and 25 - Reduction of $\$ 29$ million in rate base (and $\$ 3$ million in return) is from an increase in deferred tax credits stemming from the adjustment of deferred tax balances to be consistent with the 2009 filed returns and the extension of bonus depreciation. The Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 included another one-year extension of bonus tax depreciation for qualified property placed in service before January 1, 2011. Other significant rate base impacts include a $\$ 7.4$ million credit that is incorporated into the SCG testimony for Facilities (witness David Taylor), and the correction to properly reference the missing Pension and BOPs costs in the Overhead cost pool.






|  |  |  |  |  |  | Capital |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Witness | Exhibit | Type | Account | A credit in the amount of $\$ 7.4$ million was not incorporated into the SCG testimony. Budget code 653, project ID 213 should include a capital credit of $\$ 7.4$ million in 2011. Although the credit was reflected in the capital workpapers, it was | Current value | Current FTE |  |  |
| SCG | David Taylor SCG-14 |  | Capital | BC 653 |  | 0 |  | \$ | $(7,404)$ |

## SECTION II

## SDG\&E O\&M and Capital-Related Changes

SAN DIEGO GAS \& ELECTRIC COMPANY
TEST YEAR 2012
COMBINED SUMMARY OF EARNINGS
(Thousands of Dollars)

| Line No. | Description | 2012 Proposed Rates |  |  | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Application $(2012 \$)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NOI} \\ (2012 \$) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Variance $(2012 \$)$ |  |
| 1 | Base Margin | 1,842,446 | 1,827,379 | 15,067 |  |
| 2 | Miscellaneous Revenues | 24,330 | 23,716 | 613 | 11 |
| 3 | Revenue Requirement | 1,866,776 | 1,851,096 | 15,680 |  |
| OPERATING \& MAINTENANCE EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Distribution | 148,410 | 148,410 | - |  |
| 5 | Gas Transmission | 3,992 | 4,012 | (20) |  |
| 6 | Generation | 33,687 | 33,687 | - |  |
| 7 | Nuclear Generation (SONGS) | 120,196 | 105,669 | 14,527 | 12 |
| 8 | Engineering | 13,749 | 13,617 | 132 |  |
| 9 | Procurement | 10,442 | 10,478 | (36) |  |
| 10 | Customer Services | 93,283 | 93,339 | (56) |  |
| 11 | Information Technology | 54,759 | 54,759 | - |  |
| 12 | Support Services | 92,924 | 93,368 | (444) | 13 |
| 13 | Administrative and General | 473,069 | 483,429 | $(10,360)$ | 14 |
| 14 | Subtotal (2009\$) | 1,044,511 | 1,040,768 | 3,743 |  |
| 15 | Shared Services Adjustments | $(40,258)$ | $(44,769)$ | 4,511 | 15 |
| 16 | Reassignments | $(141,787)$ | $(142,823)$ | 1,036 | /6 |
| 17 | FERC Transmission Costs | $(49,020)$ | $(55,797)$ | 6,777 | 17 |
| 18 | Escalation | 27,973 | 28,131 | (158) |  |
| 19 | Uncollectibles | 3,206 | 3,180 | 26 |  |
| 20 | Franchise Fees | 59,054 | 58,483 | 571 | /8 |
| 21 | Total O\&M (2012\$) | 903,680 | 887,174 | 16,507 |  |
| 22 | Depreciation \& Amortization | 338,203 | 334,388 | 3,815 | 19 |
| 23 | Taxes on Income | 177,322 | 178,667 | $(1,345)$ | /10 |
| 24 | Taxes Other Than on Income | 77,424 | 77,941 | (517) | /11 |
| 25 | Total Operating Expenses | 1,496,629 | 1,478,169 | 18,461 |  |
| 26 | Return | 370,146 | 372,927 | $(2,780)$ | 112 |
| 27 | Rate Base | 4,406,505 | 4,439,604 | $(33,099)$ | /13 |
| 28 | Rate of Return | 8.40\% | 8.40\% | 0.00\% |  |

## SDGE Notes

1. Line 2 - Miscellaneous revenues includes revenues generated by billings to SCG and affiliates for Shared Asset billings. It is based on the level of Shared Asset rate base. The $\$ 1$ million increase was caused by an increase in Shared Asset rate base driven by the correction to reference missing pension and PBOPS costs in the overhead pools, the extension of bonus depreciation pursuant to the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, and the adjustment of deferred tax balances to be consistent with the 2009 filed returns.
2. Line 7 - Due to concurrent SCE and SDGE 2012 TY GRC NOI filings, SDGE did not have the SCE SONGS O\&M information available in time to incorporate in its NOI. The data is now available and results in a $\$ 15$ million increase in O\&M (based on SDGE's $20 \%$ share of SONGS O\&M costs requested in SCE's GRC).
3. Line 12 - Non O\&M Environmental costs were erroneously included in NOI forecast.
4. Line $13-\$ 10$ million decrease in $A \& G$ was primarily due to forecast corrections amounting to reductions in corporate center costs ( $\$ 2.3$ million), claims ( $\$ 1.3$ million), and HR ( $\$ 0.5$ million); the reclassification of costs from SOE Line 13 to SOE Line 15 of ( $\$ 4.5$ million); and various other corrections (further reductions of $\$ 1.4$ million).
5. Line 15 - "Shared Services Adjustments" includes billing loaders generated by all shared services billing between companies. The $\$ 5$ million change to Shared Services Adjustments is primarily due to the reclassification of Corporate Reallocation direct costs from non-shared A\&G to Shared Services (a $\$ 4.5$ million decrease) to properly categorize this cost. This reclassification reduced A\&G on SOE Line 13, and reduced the credit on SOE Line 15 from $\$(45)$ million to $\$(40)$ million.
6. Line 16 - Lower reassignments to capital are due to reduction in various $A \& G$ costs subject to capitalization (see SOE Line 13).
7. Line 17 - Adjusted segmentation factors for SDG\&E Wildfire Insurance costs pursuant to the October 8, 2010 FERC Order in SDG\&E's TO3 Cycle 4 proceeding. The Order requires the allocation of such costs using labor ratios. This resulted in an CPUC revenue requirement increase as a result of the decrease in the Electric Transmission segmentation rate from $22.6 \%$ to $14.6 \%$.
8. Line 20 - The GRC Application base margin increases due to the net increase in costs of service. There is a corresponding increase to Franchise Fees (associated with the level of base margin revenues).
9. Line 22 - Depreciation expense is a function of net utility plant. There is a net plant increase due to the correction to properly reference the missing Pension and PBOPs costs in the Overhead cost pool. This is the corresponding increase to Depreciation expense.
10. Line 23 - Lower taxes of $\$ 1$ million are due to the combination of changes to depreciation, return, the adjustment of deferred tax balances to be consistent with the 2009 filed returns, and the extension of bonus depreciation. The Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 included another one-year extension of bonus tax depreciation for qualified property placed in service before January 1, 2011.and implementation of Bonus Depreciation and an update of 2009 deferred tax balances.
11. Line 24 - Ad valorem tax is based primarily on plant balances. There is a net plant increase due to the correction to properly reference the missing Pension and PBOPs costs in the Overhead cost pool. This is the corresponding increase to ad valorem tax.
12. Line 26 - Return on ratebase decreased by $\$ 3$ million due to a decrease in ratebase.
13. Line 27 - Ratebase decreased by $\$ 33$ million. There were no changes to capital expenditures since the NOI, however the correction to capital pools caused an increase to plant balances of $\$ 70$ million. This increase was more than more than offset by the adjustment of deferred tax balances to be consistent with the 2009 filed returns, and the extension of bonus depreciation, causing higher deferred tax of $\$ 103$ million.
Appendix A

SDG\&E Shared Service Change Log


|  | Application |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Co Code | Witness Name | Cost Center | Record_Type | 2009Lbr | 2009NL | 2009NSE | 2009Total | 2010Lb | 2010NL | 2010NSE | 2010 Total | 2011Lbr | 2011NL | 2011NSE | 2011Total | 2012Lbr | 2012NL | 2012NSE | 2012Total |
| 1 | 2100-USS | Dagg, John L | 2100-8922-000 | Billed In | 477 | 78 | 0 | 555 | 488 | 84 | 0 | 572 | 529 | 85 | 0 | 614 | 529 | 84 | 0 | 613 |
| 2 | 2100-USS | Dagg, John L | 2100-8922-000 | Overhead | 419 | 18 | 0 | 437 | 432 | 19 | 0 | 451 | 470 | 19 | 0 | 489 | 470 | 19 | 0 | 489 |
| 3 | 2100-USS | Dagg, John L | 2100-8922-000 Total |  | 896 | 96 | 0 | 992 | 920 | 103 | 0 | 1,023 | 999 | 104 | 0 | 1,103 | 999 | 103 | 0 | 1,102 |
| 4 | 2100-USS | Edgar, Sarah E | 2100-0002-000 | Retained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 2100-USS | Edgar, Sarah E | 2100-0002-000 Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 2100-USS | Reyes, Edward | 2100-BUCU-DXX | BUCU Base | 1,132 | 2,025 | 749 | 3,906 | 1,356 | 1,979 | 1,106 | 4,441 | 1,472 | 2,139 | 1,010 | 4,621 | 1,463 | 2,152 | 910 | 4,525 |
| 7 | 2100-USS | Reyes, Edward | 2100-BUCU-DXX Total |  | 1,132 | 2,025 | 749 | 3,906 | 1,356 | 1,979 | 1,106 | 4,441 | 1,472 | 2,139 | 1,010 | 4,621 | 1,463 | 2,152 | 910 | 4,525 |
| 8 | 2100-USS | Reyes, Edward | 2100-BUCU-OHX | BUCU Ovh | 915 | 238 | 134 | 1,287 | 1,095 | 237 | 180 | 1,512 | 1,188 | 256 | 161 | 1,605 | 1,183 | 260 | 136 | 1,579 |
| 9 | 2100-USS | Reyes, Edward | 2100-BUCU-OHX Total |  | 915 | 238 | 134 | 1,287 | 1,095 | 237 | 180 | 1,512 | 1,188 | 256 | 161 | 1,605 | 1,183 | 260 | 136 | 1,579 |
| 10 | 2100-USS | Reyes, Edward | 2100-Ovcr-OHC | Ovh Cr | $(26,193)$ | $(4,114)$ | (409) | $(30,716)$ | $(28,463)$ | $(3,413)$ | $(1,447)$ | $(33,323)$ | $(29,848)$ | $(3,776)$ | $(1,363)$ | $(34,987)$ | $(30,418)$ | $(3,913)$ | $(1,453)$ | $(35,784)$ |
| 11 | 2100-USS | Reyes, Edward | 2100-Over-OHC Total |  | $(26,193)$ | $(4,114)$ | (409) | $(30,716)$ | $(28,463)$ | $(3,413)$ | $(1,447)$ | $(33,323)$ | $(29,848)$ | $(3,776)$ | $(1,363)$ | $(34,987)$ | $(30,418)$ | $(3,913)$ | $(1,453)$ | $(35,784)$ |
| 12 | 2100-USS | Shepherd, Cheryl A | 2100-3796-000 | Retained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,881 | 86 | 0 | 3,967 | 5,222 | 128 | 0 | 5,350 | 6,182 | 128 | 0 | 6,310 |
| 13 | 2100-USS | Shepherd, Cheryl A | 2100-3796-000 Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,881 | 86 | 0 | 3,967 | 5,222 | 128 | 0 | 5,350 | 6,182 | 128 | 0 | 6,310 |
|  | 2100-USS Total | Shepherd, Cheryl A | 2100-3796-000 Total |  | (23,250) | $(1,755)$ | 474 | (24,531) | $(21,211)$ | $(1,008)$ | (161) | $(22,380)$ | $(20,967)$ | $(1,149)$ | (192) | $(22,308)$ | $(20,591)$ | (1,270) | (407) | $(22,268)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Var | iance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| item | Co Code | Witness_Name | Cost Center | Record Type | 2009Lbr | 2009 NL | 2009NSE | 2009Total | 2010Lbr | 2010 NL | 2010NSE | 2010Total | 2011Lbr | 2011NL | 2011NSE | 2011 Total | 2012L.br | 2012NL. | 2012NSE | 2012Total |
| 1 | 2100-USS | Dagg, John L | 2100-8922-000 | Billed in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (22) | 2 | 0 | (20) | (22) | 2 | 0 | (20) | (22) | 2 | 0 | (20) |
| 2 | 2100-USS | Dagg, John L | 2100-8922-000 | Overhead | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (17) |  | 0 | (16) | (16) | 1 | 0 | (15) | (16) | 1 | 0 | (15) |
| 3 | 2100-USS | Dagg, John L | 2100-8922-000 Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (39) | 3 | 0 | (36) | (38) | 3 | 0 | (35) | (38) | 3 | 0 | (35) |
| 4 | 2100-USS | Edgar, Sarah E | 2100-0002-000 | Retained | (47) | (40) | 0 | (87) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , |
| 5 | 2100-USS | Edgar, Sarah E | 2100-0002-000 Total |  | (47) | (40) | 0 | (87) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 2100-USS | OTHER | 2100-BUCU-DXX | BUCU_Base | (20) | (2) | 0 | (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | (1) | 0 | 0 | (2) | (2) |
| 7 | 2100-USS | OTHER | 2100-BUCU-DXX Total |  | (20) | (2) | 0 | (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | (1) | 0 | 0 | (2) | (2) |
| 8 | 2100-USS | OTHER | 2100-BUCU-OHX | BUCU Ovh | (16) | 0 | 0 | (16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 2100-USS | OTHER | 2100-BUCU-OHX Total |  | (16) | 0 | 0 | (16) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 2100-USS | OTHER | 2100-Over-OHC | Ovh Cr | 25 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 |
| 11 | 2100-USS | OTHER | 2100-Ovcr-OHC Total |  | 25 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 2100-USS | Shepherd, Chery 1 A | 2100-3796-000 | Retained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 13 | 2100-USS | Shepherd, Cheryl A | 2100-3796-000 Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
|  | 2100-USS Total | Shepherd, Cheryl A | 2100-3796-000 Total |  | (58) | (38) | 0 | (96) | 66 | 3 | 0 | 69 | 102 | 3 | (1) | 104 | 102 | 3 | (1) | 104 |



## SECTION III

## RO Model Logic Changes



## SECTION IV

## Other Changes

"Other" inputs change $\log$ (see screen shots in this file)

|  | Witness | Description | Area | File | Excel tab or Access table | Cell Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Agarwal | Adjusted segmentation factors for SDG\&E Wildfire Insurance costs pursuant to the October 8, 2010 FERC Order in SDG\&E's TO3 Cycle 4 proceeding. The Order requires the allocation of such costs using labor ratios. | Seg and cap rates | RO.mdb | Table: tbl_RO_O_M Factors Amo unts | N/A |
| 2 | Agarwal | Corrected segmentation factors for SDG\&E OpEx benefits to be consistent with the values provided to the Segmentation \& Reassignment Rates witness. | Seg and cap rates | RO.mdb | Table: tbl_RO_O_M_Factors_Amo unts | N/A |
| 3 | Cahill | SDG\&E miscellaneous revenues adjusted for: 1. Correction to value for Rent from Electric Properties to synchronize amount with witness and 2. Updates to Shared Asset Misc. Revenue due to changes to the Shared Asset rate base and related billings. | Misc. Revenue | sum.xls | Input(2) | Cells C67 to L68 |
| 4 | Cahill | Updated SCG miscellaneous revenues for: <br> 1. ITCCA Amortization due to change in level of CAC resulted in a change in miscellaneous revenues, and <br> 2. Updates to Shared Asset Misc. Revenue due to changes to the Shared Asset rate base and related billinas. | Misc. Revenue | sum.xls | Input | Cells C78 to F79 |
| 5 | Hiramoto | Edits to User Reference Guide: Revised installation instructions, added Audit Log section in "Summary Module Step-by-Slep Procedures" and "O\&M Expense Adjustment Form Pages", and replaced screen shots. | SOE | 2012 SDGE-SCG RO Model User Guide.doc | Electronic version included w | with RO model |
| 6 | Lewis | Synchronized segmentation rates with the SDG\&E Segmentation and Reassignment Rates witness for Prepayments and Current Assets. Also synchronized the electric segmentation \% for working cash inputs subject to segmentation. | Working Cash | wcSDGE.xls | Inpui | Cells 149 and L49, column I |
| 7 | Lewis | Correction to the value for SCG Purchased Commodities, Gas. | Working Cash | wcSCG.xls | wcinput | Cell 116 |
| 8 | Moersen | Corrections to the SCG and SDG\&E Shared Asset billing\%. | Shared Asset | sum.xls | SCG: Shared Asset Rev | SCG: Cells W10 to X49 |
| 9 | Rose | Adjustment of deferred tax balances to be consistent with the 2009 filed refurns. | Tax | taxSCGDeferred.xls | 2009DeffaxesWtgAvg | D13 |
| 10 | Rose | Adjustment of deferred tax balances to be consistent with the 2009 filed returns. | Tax | taxSDGEDeferred.xis | 2009DefTaxes | D12 to J12 (Electric Disiribution, Gas, Generation, SONGS) |
| 11 | Rose | Adjustment to the SCG 2010 ITCCA rate to be consistent with CPUC authorized amount. | Taxes | taxSCGDeferred.xis | CIAC-CAC | C9 and C13 |
| 12 | Rose | Correction to useful life for all gas property for taxes calculations for asset in service beginning 2010. | Tax | taxSDGEDeferred.x\|s | TaxDeprCapInterstGas | Cells C12 to R12 |
| 13 | Yee | Corrections reflecting analysis of 1) "Average Work Order Life" and 2) "Phase-in-Percentage" for SDG\&E Smart Grid Capital Expenditures. | Rate <br> Base | rbSDGEDatalnput.xls | Datasheet | Cells N153 to O154, N157 to O162, N166 to 0166 |
| 14 | Yee | Corrections to beginning CWIP balances for major projects | Rate Base | rbSCGDatalnput.xis | Datasheet | Cells AA30:AA199 |
| 15 | Yee | Corrections to beginning CWIP balances for major projects | Rate Base | rbSDGEBilledCapital.xls | Datasheet | Cells AA30:AA207 |
| 16 | Yee | Changed capital data inputs (monthly capital expenditures, in-service-dates, etc.) from cell references / links to hard-coded values for source data. | Rate <br> Base | rbSCGDatalnput.x\|s | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { CapEx_Planned_L, } \\ \text { CapEx_Planned_NL, } \\ \text { CapEx_Planned_NSE } \end{array}$ | O47 to AX216 (L), N47 to AW216 (NL and NSE) |
| 17 | Yee | Changed capilal dala inputs (monthly capital expenditures, in-service-dates, etc.) from cell references / links to hard-coded values for source data. | Rate | rbSDGEDatalnput.xls | CapExPlanned_Labor, CapExPlanned_NonLab, CapExPlanned_NSE | J30 to AZ450 (L), O30 to AZ450 (NL and NSE) |
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NOI Change Log

| $\mathrm{NOI}:$ $\qquad$$\mathrm{cg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 , $\quad$ - | - | C | D | $E$ |
| 1 - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $D E / C P$ | Actual | Estimeted |  |  |
| 6 |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2 t 11 | 2012 |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Forecasted Taxable ClAC |  | 113.4394 | $(12473)$ | 25.12 |
| 9 | Plus Gross-4p |  | 14.7568 | (3,866) | 12.072 |
| 10 | Less TTCCA ClaC Amort |  | 1.839 | 2078 | 2356 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Forecasted C $M$ Forieitures |  | (7,851) | 77.976 | (6,116) |
| 13 | Plus Cross-4p |  | (2,460) | (2, 473 | (2,516 |
| 14 | Less TCCA CAC Amot) |  | 1,436 | 1.542 | 1.530 |
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