Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U902M) for Authority, Among Other Things, to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2012.

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U904G) for authority to update its gas revenue requirement and base rates effective on January 1, 2012. A.10-12-005 (Filed December 15, 2010)

A.10-12-006 (Filed December 15, 2010)

Application 10-12-006 Exhibit No.: (SCG-25-R)

REVISED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PATRICK D. MOERSEN ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JULY 2011



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PURPOSE	1
II.	SHARED ASSET POLICY BACKGROUND	1
III.	SHARED ASSET BILLING	2
IV.	DESCRIPTION OF SHARED ASSET CATEGORIES	5
A.	Structures and Improvements	5
B.	Computer Hardware and Software	5
C.	Communications	
D.	Miscellaneous	6
V.	SUMMARY	6
VI.	WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS	10

APPENDIX

CHANGE LOG

REVISED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PATRICK M. MOERSEN ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (SHARED ASSETS)

I. PURPOSE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The purpose of this testimony is to present an overview of the Shared Asset billing process between Southern California Gas Company (SCG or SoCalGas) and the other Sempra Energy affiliates. This testimony includes examples of the process and a list of proposed new future shared assets.

II. SHARED ASSET POLICY BACKGROUND

Shared assets are defined as assets that are on the financial records of one utility, but are also used by other Sempra Energy affiliates. For SCG, this applies to assets owned and used by SCG, which are also used by San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), Sempra Energy Corporate Center (Corporate Center) and/or other Sempra Energy affiliates. Assets that can be identified, quantified, valued and exclusively used by one entity are not considered a shared asset. For example, when installing a new Information Technology (IT) system which will be used by SCG and SDG&E, software and hardware components may be purchased. Assets which will be used by both utilities, (e.g., software applications) will be considered shared assets. However, items such as a scanner, which will be used exclusively by only one of the utilities will not be considered a shared asset and will be recorded on the financial records for the specific utility.

SCG and SDG&E established a Shared Asset Policy in 2002 that dictates the manner in which shared assets are reflected in the financial records. Shared assets are recorded on the financial records of the utility (owner) that receives the most service or use from the asset. For example, an asset which will be used at a rate of 60% by SCG employees and 40% by SDG&E employees is placed on the financial records of SCG. For situations where utilization between the two utilities is exactly 50%, the established treatment is to place such assets on SCG's records.

The utility owning the shared asset bills the other companies using allocation percentages, which are based on factors that reflect the usage level of the asset by the other companies. These utilization factors will vary depending upon the asset. For example, a software project for a specific customer process may utilize the number of customers as the measure of utilization, whereas, a software project which is used internally may rely upon a count of the number of employees using the software.

These allocation percentages are reviewed annually and are adjusted as needed in accordance with the Shared Asset Policy if there are material changes to the business activities. This review is conducted by the organization responsible for the asset and is coordinated by the Affiliate Billing and Costing organization. If necessary, the allocation percentage will change to ensure each utility and affiliate is charged the appropriate level of costs.

The corporate reorganization that was effective on April 2010 as described in the testimony of Anne Smith (Exhibit SCG-01) did not have an impact on the Shared Asset Policy as discussed in this testimony. However, it does constitute a material change to SCG's business activities, and as such, will result in a review of the shared asset allocation percentages in 2011.

Once the asset is initially capitalized, it will remain on the utility's records even though the utilization factor may change in the future. This removes the undue administrative burden and associated costs (such as incremental sales tax) caused by transferring the asset from one utility to the other. Therefore, if an asset is originally placed on SCG's records but usage of the asset subsequently declines below 50%, SCG will nonetheless remain the owner of such asset. Any additions to a shared asset will be recorded on the owner's financial records where the original asset is recorded.

III. SHARED ASSET BILLING

SCG's shared assets consist primarily of facilities, computer hardware and software, and communication (telecommunication infrastructure) plant. SCG charges the Sempra Energy affiliates for the use of these assets by developing a capital revenue requirement. This revenue requirement is retained by SCG or billed to other entities according to the particular allocation methodology chosen for each asset to distribute the costs.

In developing the revenue requirement, the shared assets are placed into asset categories. Assets listed in these categories include those that are currently in service, as well as the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

SCG Doc#256922

forecasted additions as requested in this General Rate Case (GRC) proceeding through Test Year 2012 (TY2012). For SCG, the shared assets are classified into the following categories:

3 Structures and Improvements 4 Computer Hardware 5 Computer Software 6 2-4 year life Ο 7 5-8 year life 0 8 9-12 year life Ο 9 15 year life 0 10 Communications 11 Miscellaneous

1

2

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

For each asset category, an annual weighted-average rate base is calculated. A return on rate base, state and federal income taxes, estimated depreciation expense, and property taxes are derived from that information, resulting in a total revenue requirement. The Appendix to this testimony provides further details for the shared asset rate base calculations. The various revenue requirement components are determined and sponsored by other GRC witnesses.¹

Once the billable charges (i.e., revenue requirements) for the asset categories are determined, they are apportioned to the appropriate Sempra Energy affiliates using the allocation percentages. As discussed earlier, the allocation percentages are based on utilization factors developed specifically for each forecasted project by the sponsoring witness. The allocation percentages have been weighted by the net book value or estimated project costs to develop composite allocation percentages for the asset classes in the Results of Operations Model (RO). For example, based on the weighted calculations of the various projects, the structures and improvements category may end up with an allocation of 81.12% retained by SCG, 17.6% allocated to SDG&E, and 1.28% allocated to other Sempra affiliates. These percentages are used to determine the amounts to be charged to the appropriate Sempra Energy affiliates.

¹ The total company weighted-average rate base is sponsored by Rate Base witness, Garry G. Yee in Exhibit SCG-26. The calculation of return on rate base percentage is performed in the Results of Operations model and is sponsored by the Summary of Earnings witness, Deborah A. Hiramoto in Exhibit SCG-38. The tax expenses are sponsored by the Taxes witness, Randall G. Rose in Exhibit SCG-28. Finally, depreciation rates are sponsored by the Depreciation witness, Bob Wieczorek in Exhibit SCG-27.

1

2

When utility charges are billed to Corporate Center, the charges are then billed back to the utilities and other Sempra Energy affiliates based on a set of allocation percentages determined by Corporate Center. This process is discussed in the testimony of Bruce A. Folkmann (Exhibit SCG-17). However, in developing the revenue requirement, a net billing process is used for shared asset expense allocations to Corporate Center.² With the net billing process, the percentage allocation of shared asset charges billed to Corporate Center (that would then be re-allocated and billed out) is already incorporated within the percentage allocations to SDG&E and Sempra Energy affiliates and retained by SCG. This eliminates a second iteration required in developing the revenue requirement. In order to reflect this activity for the purposes of filing the GRC, the weighted allocation percentages for each company are adjusted to reflect the chargeback of shared asset expenses from Corporate Center.

For example, prior to the net billing process, the allocation of computer hardware was 4.89% allocated to Corporate Center, 41.51% allocated to SDG&E, 53.6% retained by SCG, and 0.0% allocated to the Sempra Energy non-regulated affiliates. With the net billing process, accounting for the results of the Corporate Center charge back process, the allocations would be adjusted to 0% allocated to Corporate Center, 43.28% allocated to SDG&E, 55.36% retained by SCG and 1.36% allocated to Sempra Energy non-regulated affiliates. Refer to Table SCG-PDM-1 below.

Table SCG-PDM-1 Example of Net Billing Process on Allocation Percentages

	Corporate	SDGE	SCG	Non Reg's	Total
Allocation %	4.89%	41.51%	53.60%	0.00%	100.00%
	-4.89%	1.77%	1.76%	1.36%	0.00%
Net Allocation %	0.00%	43.28%	55.36%	1.36%	100.00%

23242526

This process effectively leaves a zero allocation of shared asset costs to Corporate Center, with the Corporate Center allocations spread among SCG (retained), SDG&E, and the Sempra Energy non-regulated affiliates.

² This net billing process, also called the Business Unit Charge Up (BUCU) process, is explained in the Shared Services Billing Policy and Process testimony of Edward J. Reyes in Exhibit SCG-24.

7

Table SCG-PDM-2 reflects the summary of projected revenue requirements from the RO model related to shared assets by their asset category grouping for TY2012.

Table SCG-PDM-2 Summary of Shared Asset Revenue Requirements

2012 Test Year (In Thousands)

				Return	Annual		Total	Alloca	ation \$
2012	-	Weighted Avg Rate Base	Billable Return	Grossed Up for FIT & SIT	Deprec. Expense	Annual Property Tax	Billable Charges	Retained	Billed
Structures & Improvements	5	28,875	2,506	4,230	18,276	359	22,864	18,548	4,317
Computer Hardware		79,448	6,896	11,638	26,924	987	39,550	21,895	17,655
Computer Software:									
	2-4 Yrs	357	31	52	155	0	208	144	64
	5-8 Yrs	55,262	4,797	8,095	16,359	0	24,454	14,589	9,865
	9-12 Yrs	117,194	10,172	17,168	13,561	0	30,728	20,317	10,411
	15 Yrs	72,378	6,282	10,603	12,062	0	22,665	14,385	8,279
Communications		18,070	1,569	2,647	5,838	225	8,710	4,998	3,711
Miscellaneous		1,027	89	150	0	13	163	117	46
	Total	372,611	32,343	54,583	93,175	1,583	149,341	94,994	54,348

The total amount billed to the Sempra Energy affiliates as noted above is reflected in the Miscellaneous Revenues testimony of Todd J. Cahill (Exhibit SCG-32).

12 **IV.**

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

A. Structures and Improvements

DESCRIPTION OF SHARED ASSET CATEGORIES

Structures and Improvements consist primarily of leasehold improvements to the Gas Company Tower and improvements to the Monterey Park Facilities. The allocations for the improvements of these assets are based on space studies developed and performed by the Facilities Management department. These projects are sponsored by the Real Estate, Land & Facilities witness, James C. Seifert (see Exhibit SCG-14).

19

B. Computer Hardware and Software

Computer Hardware and Software consists of mainframe, servers, exchange (email) and SAP Software, among others. Utilization measures for these various assets are tracked, ranging from number of users (either employees or customers) to the amount of activity used (bandwidth) for each company. The utilization measures determine the allocation percentages.These projects are sponsored by several witnesses. Please refer to Table SCG-PDM-3.

1

C. Communications

Communication assets include telecommunication infrastructure and network operations equipment. The allocations for these assets are based on the amount of usage by the end users, for example bandwidth. These projects are sponsored by several witnesses. Please refer to Table SCG-PDM-3.

D. Miscellaneous

This category represents all other items that do not fit in the previous categories. This category represents existing assets and does not include any future projects.

V. SUMMARY

Table SCG-PDM-3 reflects the forecasted shared asset projects for 2010 – 2012 with allocation percentages (prior to the netting of Corporate Center charge-back) and the supporting witness.

5 //

16

//

Table SCG-PDM-3 Forecasted Shared Asset Projects and Allocation Percentages

Project_Name	Percentage Retained By SCG	Percentage Allocated To SDGE	Percentage Allocated To Sempra Corp and Affiliates	Witness
2011 DR Mainframe Hardware Upgrade	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Active Directory Refresh	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
BPS Replacement	55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichols
C&A Security Operations Management	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
California Producer	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Citrix 6	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
CSFOE	95%	-5%	0%	Jeff Nichols
DC Perimeter 2010	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
DC Rebuild 2012	51%	43%	6%	
		43%		Jeff Nichols Jeff Nichols
Distributed Backup Growth 2010 Distributed Backup Growth 2012	51% 51%	43% 43%	6%	
•			6%	Jeff Nichols
Distributed Storage Growth 2010	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Distributed Storage Growth 2011	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Distributed Storage Growth 2012	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Distributed Storage Resource Management Tool	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
DS8100 Storage Arrays Refresh 2012	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
EMF Upgrade	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Endpoint Security 2010 SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Endpoint Security 2011	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Endpoint Security HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Enhance Environmental Tracking System	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Command Center Display	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Encryption 2010 HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Encryption 2010 SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Encryption 2011	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Encryption 2011 SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Encryption 2012 HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Enterprise Encryption 2012 SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
ESM Capacity Planning	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
ESM Enhancement				
ESM Event Management	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
ESM Service Monitoring	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
E-Sourcing, Contract Lifecycle Management	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
GCT Lease Renegotiation	79%	15%	6%	Jim Seifert
Govern Risk & Complainance HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Govern Risk & Compliance 2011 HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Govern Risk & Compliance SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
GRC Model Development	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
i3 Ph 2	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
i3 Ph 3	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
IAM Phase 2 HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
IAM Phase 2 SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
IAM Phase 3	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
IEO Small Cap	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
JDK/WLS/WLP Upgrade	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
JDK/WLS/WLP Upgrade - Envoy Rules Engine	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
JDK/WLS/WLP Upgrade 2	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
JDK/WLS/WLP Upgrade 3	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
LINUX/UNIX Server Refresh Ph 5	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
LINUX/UNIX Server Refresh Ph 6	51%	43%	6%	
				Jeff Nichols
Logistics Mobile Refresh & Expansion	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
M4 Fleet Application Replacement	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Mainframe Hardware Upgrade	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Mainframe Storage Growth 2011	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Master Data Management HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Master Data Management SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
MCS Improvement Project	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Messaging Project	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Meta Data Repository HW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Meta Data Repository SW	51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichols
Microwave Refresh 1	60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichols
Microwave Refresh 1 Microwave Refresh 2	60% 60%	40% 40%	0%	Jeff Nichols Jeff Nichols

Duningt Norma	Percentage Retained	Percentage Allocated	Al To Se	rcentage located mpra Corp	W/:+
Project_Name	By SCG	To SDGE		Affiliates	Witness
MPK Data Center Generators MPK Data Center Master Plan - Bldg C Server Room Expansion		80% 80%	18% 18%	2% 2%	Jim Seifer Jim Seifer
MPK Exterior Site Improvement		80%	18%	2%	Jim Seifer
MPK Server Room 2010		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
MPK Server Room 2011		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
MPK Server Room 2012		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
NCS Telecom Small Cap		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
NCS Network Small Cap		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Network Middleware Refresh		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Next Generation Envoy		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichol
NMS Refresh 2011		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichol
NMS Refresh 2012		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichol
OpEx 20/20 Asset Management & Smart Grid - GIS Software		64%	36%	0%	
	111	64%	36%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Asset Management & Smart Grid - GIS Software Phase 1 20					Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Asset Management & Smart Grid - GIS Software Trailing Ch	larges for 2012	64%	36%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Customer Care - ICE Self Service - IVR		75%	25%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Customer Care - ICE Self Service HBA		75%	25%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Customer Care - Ice Self Service IVR		75%	25%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Customer Care - Operational Insight Analytics		75%	25%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Customer Care - Single View of the Customer		75%	25%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Customer Care - Single View of the Customer		75%	25%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Enterprise PMO 2010		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Enterprise PMO 2011		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Enterprise PMO 2012		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force - Construction Phase		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force - Construction Phase		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force Forecasting and Scheduling - Phase 1 & 2		63%	37%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force Forecasting and Scheduling - Phase 1 & 2		63%	37%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force Mobile Enablement - Maintenance and Inspection	n Phase	73%	27%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force Mobile Enablement - Maintenance and Inspectio	n Phase	73%	27%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force Work Management - Maintenance and Inspection		63%	37%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEx 20/20 Field Force Work Management - Maintenance and Inspection		63%	37%	0%	Richard Phill
OpEX 20/20 IT Enterprise Information & Analytics		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phil
OpEx 20/20 IT Environments Project - Hardware		70%	30%	0%	Richard Phill
Oracle Enterprise Environment HW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Physical Layer Refresh		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Print Servers Upgrade - HW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Print Servers Upgrade - SW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
RB Server Room 2010		51%	43%	6%	
RB Server Room 2011					Jeff Nichol
		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
RB Server Room 2012		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
RB Tape Library Replacement		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Records Management Project Phase 1		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichol
Records Management Project Phase 2		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichol
Safety Performance Management Reporting		49%	44%	7%	Jeff Nichol
SAP (ERP) Upgrade EHP5		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SAP BI Software Enterprise Agrement		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SAP BI Upgrade		49%	44%	7%	Jeff Nichol
SAP BIA HW		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SAP BIA SW		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SAP GRC Tools Firefighter		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SAP Support Pack 2011		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SAP Support Pack 2012		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nichol
SCG Data Warehouse Infrastructure Upgrade HW		49%	44%	7%	Jeff Nichol
SCG Data Warehouse Infrastructure Upgrade SW		49%	44%	7%	Jeff Nicho
Security Operations Management 2011 HW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Security Operations Management 2011 SW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Security Operations Management 2012 HW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Security Operations Management 2012 SW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Security Small Cap (Blanket)		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nichol
Sharepoint Phase 3		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nichol
Sharepoint Refresh		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nicho
SMS Upgrade		51%	40% 43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
SMS Opgrade Software Code Security 2011 HW+C13		51%	43% 43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Software Code Security 2011 HW+C13 Software Code Security 2011 SW					
		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Software Code Security 2011A HW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Software Code Security 2011A SW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Software Code Security 2012B HW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Software Code Security 2012B SW		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
Source to Pay		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nicho
Test Automation SAP Processes		55%	40%	5%	Jeff Nicho
Various (carved out) MPK Proj (Shared)		80%	18%	2%	Jim Seifer
Voice to Service		60%	40%	0%	Jeff Nicho
Windows 7 Remediation for CCS		51%	43%	6%	Jeff Nicho
				6%	Jeff Nicho
Wintel Refresh Phase 5		51%	43%	0 70	JEILINICHU
Wintel Refresh Phase 5 Wintel Refresh Phase 6		51% 51%	43% 43%	6%	Jeff Nichol

Table SCG-PDM-4 reflects the total miscellaneous revenue (allocated shared asset expenses to the Sempra Energy affiliates) for SCG for 2009 Recorded Year and TY2012.

Table SCG-PDM-4

Shared Asset Miscellaneous Revenue (\$000's)							
2009 Recorded	2012 Test Year	Net Change					
19,468	54,348	34,880					

The main reason for the increase from 2009 recorded to TY2012 is the spending related to the various Information System projects that support both SCG and Sempra Energy Affiliates. Since these assets are being established on SCG's financial records, a significant revenue requirement is billed to the Sempra Energy Affiliates.

As discussed previously, each utility will bill the other utility for the allocation of the revenue requirement for each asset category. Table SCG-PDM-5 reflects the Shared Asset expense allocation billed to SCG from SDG&E for 2009 Recorded and TY2012.

Table SCG-PDM-5

Shared Asset Expense Allocation from SDG&E (\$000's)								
2009 Recorded	2012 Test Year	Net Change						
5,910	8,272	2,362						

The main reason for the increase in the expense allocation from SDG&E is due to the improvements at the Rancho Bernardo Data Center and several Information System projects that are being established on SDG&E's financial records. The list of projects is identified in my SDG&E Shared Asset Testimony (see Exhibit SDG&E-31, Table SDG&E-PDM-3). The calculation for the shared asset expense can be found in the Appendix to this testimony. This concludes my prepared direct testimony.

3

4

5

6

7

8

VI. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Patrick D. Moersen. My business address is 555 W. Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California, 90013. I am employed by Southern California Gas Company (SCG) as the Asset and Project Accounting Manager for SCG. I have been in this position since September 2005.

I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business, with an emphasis in Finance from California State University of Northridge. I also received my Masters of Business Administration, with an emphasis in Finance from California Lutheran University. I have been

9 employed by SCG in various positions and responsibilities since 1994. My experience includes

10 Asset and Project Accounting (formerly known as Cost Accounting), Accounts Payable,

11 Financial Planning and Internal Audit.

SCG Doc#256922

APPENDIX

					Diliable	CUSIS															
		Weighted Avg	Annual	Billable	Return Grossed Up	Annual Deprec.	Annual	Total Billable	Allocati	ion %	Allocati	ion \$									
2010		Rate Base	Return	Return	for FIT & SIT	Expense	Property Tax	Charges	Retained	Billed	Retained	Billed	SoCal	SDGE	Affiliate	Total		SoCalGas Retained	SDGE	Affiliate To	otal
Structures & Improvements Computer Hardware Computer Software:	2-4 Yrs 5-8 Yrs	7,552 38,191 1,341 22,130	8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68%	656 3,315 116 1.921	1,106 5,595 196 3,242	11,006 15,693 753 7.031	93 470 16 272	12,205 21,757 966 10,545	80.9% 55.4% 62.1% 67.3%	19.1% 44.6% 37.9% 32.7%	9,873 12,049 600 7,098	2,332 9,708 366 3,447		2,287 9,388 366 3,358	45 320 0 90	2,332 9,708 366 3,447	Structures and Improvements Computer Hardware Computer Software 2-4 Yrs Computer Software 5-8 Yrs	80.89% 55.38% 62.12% 67.31%	18.74% 43.15% 37.83% 31.84%	0.05%	100% 100% 100% 100%
Communications Miscellaneous	9-12 Yrs 15 Yrs Total	25,244 36,583 2,754 883 134,677 Weighted Avg	8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68%	2,191 3,175 239 77 11,690 Billable	3,698 5,359 403 129 19,729 Return Grossed Up	2,948 7,380 2,261 2,261 0 47,073 Annual Deprec.	310 450 34 11 1,656 Annual	6,957 13,189 2,698 140 68,458 Total Billable	68.0% 56.5% 58.5% 71.8% Allocati	32.0% 43.5% 41.5% 28.3%	4,732 7,447 1,577 101 Allocati	2,225 5,743 1,121 40 24,982	0	2,205 5,586 1,084 40 24,313	20 157 37 0 669	2,225 5,743 1,121 40 24,982	Computer Software 9-12 Yrs Computer Software 9-12 Yrs Communications Miscellaneous	68.02% 56.46% 58.46% 71.75%	31.69% 42.35% 40.18% 28.25%	0.29%	100% 100% 100% 100%
2011	-	Rate Base	Return	Return	for FIT & SIT	Expense	Property Tax	Charges	Retained	Billed	Retained	Billed	SoCal	SDGE	Affiliate	Total		SoCalGas Retained	SDGE	Affiliate To	otal
Structures & Improvements Computer Hardware Computer Software: Communications Miscellaneous	2-4 Yrs 5-8 Yrs 9-12 Yrs 15 Yrs	3,261 57,115 818 34,678 54,837 53,145 13,729 1,070 218,652	8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68%	283 4,958 71 3,010 4,760 4,613 1,192 93 18,979	478 8.367 120 5,080 8,033 2,011 157 32,030	11,434 20,206 7715 10,491 6,262 9,723 4,435 0 63,265	40 706 10 429 678 657 170 170 13 2,703	11,952 29,279 845 16,000 14,972 18,165 6,616 170 97,998	81.0% 55.5% 64.2% 62.4% 66.6% 59.2% 57.0% 71.8%	19.0% 44.6% 35.8% 37.6% 33.4% 40.8% 43.0% 28.3%	9,684 16,235 543 9,982 9,966 10,746 3,768 122	2,268 13,044 302 6,018 5,007 7,419 2,847 48 36,952		2,144 12,648 302 5,864 4,993 7,295 2,769 48 36,063	124 395 0 154 13 124 79 0 889	2,268 13,044 302 6,018 5,007 7,419 2,847 48 36,952	Structures and Improvement Computer Hardware Computer Software 2-4 Yrs Computer Software 5-8 Yrs Computer Software 9-12 Yrs Computer Software 15 Yrs Communications Miscellaneous	81.02% 55.45% 64.24% 62.39% 66.56% 59.16% 50.96% 71.75%	17.94% 43.20% 35.76% 36.65% 33.35% 40.16% 41.85% 28.25%	1.35% 0.00% 0.96% 0.09% 0.68% 1.19%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
2012		Weighted Avg Rate Base	Annual	Billable	Return Grossed Up for FIT & SIT	Annual Deprec. Expense	Annual Property Tax	Total Billable Charges	Allocati	Billed	Allocati	Billed	SoCal	SDGE	Affiliate	Tetel		SoCalGas Retained	SDGE	Affiliate To	otal
Structures & Improvements Computer Hardware Computer Software:		28,875 79,448 357 55,262 117,194	8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68%	2,506 6,896 31 4,797 10,172	4,230 11,638 52 8,095 17,168	18,276 26,924 155 16,359 13,561	987 987 0 0 0	22,864 39,550 208 24,454 30,728	81.1% 55.4% 69.3% 59.7% 66.1%	18.9% 44.6% 30.8% 40.3% 33.9%	18,548 21,895 144 14,589 20,317	4,317 17,655 64 9,865 10,411	JULI	4,024 17,117 64 9,623 10,392	293 538 0 242 18	Total 4,317 17,655 64 9,865 10,411	Structures and Improvements Computer Hardware Computer Software 2-4 Yrs Computer Software 5-8 Yrs Computer Software 9-12 Yrs		17.60% 43.28% 30.75% 39.35% 33.82%	1.28% 1.36% 0.00% 0.99%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
Communications Miscellaneous	15 Yrs Total	72,378 18,070 1,027 372,611	8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68% 8.68%	6,282 1,569 89 32,343	10,603 2,647 150 54,583	12,062 5,838 0 93,175	0 225 13 1,583	22,665 8,710 163 149,341	63.5% 57.4% 71.8%	36.5% 42.6% 28.3%	14,385 4,998 117	8,279 3,711 46 54,348		8,193 3,633 46 53,092	86 78 0 1,256	8,279 3,711 46 54,348	Computer Software 15 Yrs Communications Miscellaneous	63.47% 57.39% 71.75%	36.15% 41.71% 28.25%	0.38%	100% 100% 100%

SoCalGas Shared Asset Allocation Billable Costs

SCG Doc#256922

CHANGE LOG

Errata Only

Page	Line	Errata Item
PDM-5	18	Changed witness from David G. Taylor to James C.
		Seifert.
PDM-7	all	Table SCG-PDM-3 was changed and replaced to
and		reflect new witness (Seifert replaced Taylor).
PDM-8		

Tax Relief Act Item Page Line **Errata Item** (Interim Step) (Final) Table SCG-PDM-2 was PDM-5 4 Table SCG-PDM-2 was changed to reflect changed and replaced to corrected data. incorporate impacts from Tax Relief Act. PDM-9 4 Table SCG-PDM-4 was Table SCG-PDM-4 was changed to reflect changed and replaced to corrected data. incorporate impacts from Tax Relief Act. PDM-9 Table SCG-PDM-5 was Table SCG-PDM-5 was 15 changed to reflect changed and replaced to corrected data. incorporate impacts from Tax Relief Act. Appendix Table in Appendix was Table in Appendix was changed to reflect changed and replaced to corrected data. incorporate impacts from Tax Relief Act.

Tax Relief Act Updates

(combined with Errata Items where applicable)