Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS	,
COMPANY for authority to update its gas revenue	,
requirement and base rates	,
effective January 1, 2012 (U 904-G)	,

Application No. 10-12-___ Exhibit No.: (SCG-05-WP)

WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RAYMOND K. STANFORD ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECEMBER 2010



Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS	,
COMPANY for authority to update its gas revenue	,
requirement and base rates	,
effective January 1, 2012 (U 904-G)	,

Application No. 10-12-___ Exhibit No.: (SCG-05-WP)

WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RAYMOND K. STANFORD ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECEMBER 2010



2012 General Rate Case - APP INDEX OF WORKPAPERS

Exhibit SCG-05 - ENGINEERING

DOCUMENT	PAGE
Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-05	1
Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers	2
Category: A. Gas Engineering	3
2EN000.000 - GAS ENGINEERING	4
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)	28
2EN001.000 - TRANSMISSION PIPELINE INTEGRITY	29
Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)	38
2EN002.000 - DISTRIBUTION PIPELINE INTEGRITY	39
Category: D. Public Awareness	66
2EN003.000 - PUBLIC AWARENESS	67
Summary of Shared Services Workpapers	76
Category: A. General Engineering	77
2200-0300.000 - DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL SERVICES	80
2200-0318.000 - ENGINEERING DESIGN MANAGER	90
2200-0321.000 - MECHANICAL DESIGN	101
2200-0309.000 - MEASUREMENT, REGULATION, CONTROLS MANAGER & SPECIAL PROJECTS	109
2200-0310.000 - MEASUREMENT AND DESIGN	119
2200-0311.000 - MEASUREMENT TECHNOLOGIES	131
2200-0312.000 - MEASUREMENT FIELD SUPPORT	139
2200-0799.000 - INSTRUMENT REPAIR & FIELD MAINTENANCE SUPERVISION	148
2200-2248.000 - MEASUREMENT & REGULATION STANDARDS, MATERIALS, BTU DISTRICTS	160
2200-1178.000 - EAC CHEMICAL SECTION	171
2200-0302.000 - OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY MANAGER	182
2200-0306.000 - WORK MANAGEMENT & DATABASES	191
2200-0307.000 - WEBSITE/ DATABASE/ SEVER SUPPORT	200
2200-0323.000 - PLANNING & PROJECT DEVELOPMENT	209
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity	219
2200-0319.000 - CORROSION & DIRECT ASSESSMENT	221
2200-0320.000 - MATERIAL AND QUALITY - SHARED	230
2200-2108.000 - PIPELINE INTEGRITY MANAGER	240
2200-2109.000 - PIPELINE INTEGRITY TECHNICAL SUPPORT - SHARED	249

2012 General Rate Case - APP INDEX OF WORKPAPERS

Exhibit SCG-05 - ENGINEERING

DOCUMENT	PAGE
2200-2291.000 - ASSESSMENT PLANNING - SHARED	258
2200-2293.000 - PREVENTATIVE AND MITIGATION - SHARED	266
2200-2297.000 - DATA MANAGEMENT AND GPS SUPPORT - SHARED	275
2200-2325.000 - PIPELINE INTEGRITY/ OPS TECH SUPPORT - SHARED	284
Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP	294
2200-2295.000 - DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS - SHARED	295
Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards	303
2200-0322.000 - PIPELINE DESIGN AND GAS STANDARDS	304
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR	313
2200-8920.000 - BILLED-IN COST CENTER FOR ENGINEERING	314
Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers	321

Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-05

Area: ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Description
Non-Shared Services
Shared Services
Total

In 2009 \$ (000)						
Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast						
2009	2010	2011	2012			
28,027	49,148	58,023	78,399			
12,377	15,583	15,608	16,053			
40,404	64,731	73,631	94,452			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

A. Gas Engineering

B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

D. Public Awareness

Total

In 2009 \$ (000)						
Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast					
2009	2010	2011	2012			
10,189	14,796	15,589	21,383			
10,961	19,762	16,878	24,760			
6,570	14,177	24,465	31,097			
307	413	1,091	1,159			
28,027	49,148	58,023	78,399			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Workpaper: 2EN000.000

Summary for Category: A. Gas Engineering

	In 2009\$ (000)							
	Adjusted-Recorded	•	Adjusted-Forecast					
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
Labor	7,277	7,384	7,989	8,191				
Non-Labor	2,912	7,412	7,600	13,192				
NSE	0	0	0	0				
Total	10,189	14,796	15,589	21,383				
FTE	95.4	94.1	100.9	102.9				

Workpapers belonging to this Category: 2EN000.000 Gas Engineering Labor 7,277 7,384 8,191 7,989 Non-Labor 2,912 7,412 13,192 7,600 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 10,189 14,796 21,383 15,589 FTE 95.4 94.1 102.9 100.9

Beginning of Workpaper 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Activity Description:

The Gas Engineering work group is a consolidation of the associated activities which provide engineering and supervision support to the distribution, transmission and storage operations organizations of SoCalGas. Support activities include system analysis, project management, engineering design, measurement maintenance, corrosion assessment, automation, compressor maintenance and related emission testing. This group also captures the shift in responsibilities associated with changes in GIS technology.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

As the foundation for future labor expense requirements, the 5 year average was chosen. The nature of work performed by the Gas Engineering department, primarily Operations and Engineering Support for Transmission, Storage and Distribution, has proven to be the best indicator of work. This forecasting methodology serves to more accuratley even out the work variations that occur. However, new and enhanced regulations are emerging and thus requiring additional staffing and resources to comply. These incremental costs have been identified and added to the 5 year average.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

As the foundation for future non labor expense requirements, the 5 year average was chosen. The nature of work performed by the Gas Engineering department, primarily Operations and Engineering Support for Transmission, Storage and Distribution, has proven to be relatively stable over time. The 5 year average best represents the work group's funding requirements. However, new and enhanced regulations are emerging and thus requiring additional staffing and resources to comply. These incremental costs have been identified and added to the 5 year.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no Non Standard escalation expenses in this work group.

Summary of Results:

Years Labor Non-Labor NSE Total FTE

	In 2009\$ (000)							
	Adju	sted-Record	Ad _.	justed-Fore	cast			
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
8,039	8,100	8,326	7,701	7,277	7,384	7,989	8,191	
2,075	2,618	2,305	2,737	2,912	7,412	7,600	13,192	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10,114	10,718	10,631	10,438	10,189	14,796	15,589	21,383	
103.6	105.9	109.0	100.1	95.4	94.1	100.9	102.9	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000)									
Forecast Method Base Forecast			st	Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast			
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	5-YR Average	7,888	7,888	7,888	-504	101	303	7,384	7,989	8,191
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	2,529	2,529	2,529	4,883	5,071	10,663	7,412	7,600	13,192
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	10,417	10,417	10,417	4,379	5,172	10,966	14,796	15,589	21,383
FTE	5-YR Average	102.8	102.8	102.8	-8.7	-1.9	0.1	94.1	100.9	102.9

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	100	0	0	100	0.0	1-Sided Adj

A shift in work attributable to the implementation of new technology resulting in the need for new skills and responsibilities and the support of increasingly complex suite of business support systems and the increase in number of mobile data terminals throughout the system drives the need for the following additional positions: Additional Labor resource (\$100k) to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with Supervisor Enablement project. 2200-0305

2010 0 90 0 90 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Purchase and implement upgrade for Microstation. The vendor no longer supports our current version of Microstation, version "J". Must upgrade to version 8. Costs for this upgrade will span a three year period, and include dollars in 2010 (\$90,000), 2011 (\$187,000) and 2012 (\$187,000) to develop project plans, build interfaces, migrate the existing files, implement enhancements, train end users, and decommission the legacy system

2010 0 162 0 162 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non Labor expense associated with compliance to new environmental and air quality regulations; materials testing and technician certifications. Includes additional stationary engine source testing (\$120K); GHG gas monitoring at stations and M&R facilities for combustion and fugitives(\$15K); additional compliance testing requirements drive an increased in frequency of engine analysis and condition monitoring(\$15); Increased frequency and quality of materials testing due to integrity management data requirements(\$12K)

2010 0 0 0 0 1.0 1-Sided Adj

1.0 FTE to support the Non Labor activities associated with compliance to new environmental and air quality regulations; materials testing and technician certifications. Includes additional stationary engine source testing (\$120K); GHG gas monitoring at stations and M&R facilities for combustion and fugitives(\$15K); additional compliance testing requirements drive an increased in frequency of engine analysis and condition monitoring(\$15); Increased frequency and quality of materials testing due to integrity management data requirements(\$12K)

ENGINEERING

Area:

Witness		Stanford, Raym	ond K				
Categor	-	A. Gas Enginee					
Categor Workpar	-	1. Gas Engineei 2EN000.000 - G	•	erina			
	ear/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	<u>dj Type</u>
2	2010	175	0	0	175	0.0	1-Sided Adj
A shift in work attributable to the implementation of new technology resulting in the need for new skills and responsibilities and the support of increasingly complex suite of business support systems and the increase in number of mobile data terminals throughout the system drives the need for the following additional positions: Additional Labor resource, (1.75 FTE x \$100k = \$175k), to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. 2200-0305							
2	2010	0	0	0	0	1.8	1-Sided Adj
	In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource 1.75 FTE to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. 2200-0305						
2	2010	-40	0	0	-40	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	Transfer \$40,000 labor from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning, shared service.						
2	2010	0	-4	0	-4	0.0	1-Sided Adj
		00 non-labor fro ng, shared servi		red cc 2200-	-2265 to shared	d cc 2200-	-0309. CNG
2	2010	0	0	0	0	-0.5	1-Sided Adj
	Transfer of pe	ersonel from nor red service.	n-shared co	2200-2265	to shared cc 22	200-0309.	CNG station
2	2010	28	0	0	28	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	'09 \$85K/12= Dec costs (8	ding to complete \$7K x 4 months mos x \$7k= \$56 remaining 4 mo	s= \$28K, pli k) already i	us non labor ncluded in h	& additional tr istorical 2009 o	aining exp	enses. (June -
2	2010	0	7	0	7	0.0	1-Sided Adj
In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Non labor & additional training expenses for Business Analyst position filled in 2009. (2200-0305)							
2	2010	0	30	0	30	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for non labor and training expense associated with Supervisor Enablement & Mobile support 2.75 FTE's; \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. (2.75 FTE x \$11k = \$30.25k) 2200-0305 2010 40 40 1-Sided Adj 0.0 A shift in work attributable to the implementation of new technology resulting in the need for new skills and responsibilities and the support of increasingly complex suite of business support systems and the increase in number of mobile data terminals throughout the system drives the need for the following additional positions: Business Analyst new hire in July '10 \$40K (SAP Prod Support), plus misc. non labor & additional training expenses Full year effect of Business Analyst filled in July '10 \$80K/12=\$6.7K x 6 mos.= \$40K,plus \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2010 0 6 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in July '10 (SAP Prod Support), plus 1/2 year of misc. non labor & additional training expenses. \$3K misc NL and \$2.5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2010 0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in July '10 (0.5 FTE for 2010) (SAP Prod Support), 2200-0305 2010 0 40 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Training existing workforce for new application support functions (Click FSD/ SAP Prod Support, etc.); \$5K x 8 FTE's= \$40K. 2200-0305 2010 -451 0 -451 0.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 8 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2010 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Transfer 8 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2010 -364 1-Sided Adj -364 0.0 Transfer 5 FTE's from from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2010 0 0 0 -5.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2010 0 0 1-Sided Adj Additional 1/2 FTE to complete the full year effect of Business Analyst position filled in May '09 June - Dec fte partial already included in historical 2009 data and therefore in forecast. The remaining 6 months are incremental. (2200-0305) 2010 0 1.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional management labor resource 1 FTE to support Supervisor Enablement initiative. Hardware and software installation associated with project. 2200-0305 2010 0 10 10 1-Sided Adj 0.0 Contract with service provider to locate and sample transformers in the field, travel expenses. For satisfying questions asked by EPA in their Rulemaking. (PCB Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) (40 CFR 761, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); Reassessment of Use Authorizations, April 7, 2010). 2010 8 1-Sided Adj Data Collection and analysis required for satisfying questions asked by EPA in their Rulemaking, inventorying transformers and sampling to establish PCB status, uploading inventory and PCB status in GIS system, and/or for advocacy efforts for mitigating additional burdens from PCB Mega Rule amendments. (\$80,000 x 10% = \$8,000).

Area:

ENGINEERING

(PCB Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) (40 CFR 761, Polychlorinated

Biphenyls (PCBs); Reassessment of Use Authorizations, April 7, 2010).

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2010	0	4,542	0	4,542	0.0 1-Sided Adj

Environmental Greenhouse Gas Emission Fees (State of California). AB32 provides the California Air Resources Board (CARB) the ability to adopt a schedule of administrative fees to pay for its program. The fee for LDC natural gas throughput has been proposed by CARB at \$0.00084/therm. SCG's 2008 throughput from the 2009 Cal Gas Report (minus allowed exclusions) is approximately 5.41 billion therms.

exclusions) is	approximately	5.41 billion th				
2010 Total	-504	4,883	0	4,379	-8.7	
2011	0	162	0	162	0.0	1-Sided Adj
regulations; nengine source combustion a increased in f	opense associal materials testing testing (\$120) and fugitives (\$1) frequency of en finaterials testing	g and technicia K); GHG gas ı 5K); additiona ıgine analysis	an certificat monitoring a Il compliand and conditi	ions. Includes at stations and ce testing requ on monitoring(additiona I M&R faci irements o (\$15); Incr	Il stationary lities for drive an eased frequency
2011	0	0	0	0	1.0	1-Sided Adj
and air quality	y regulations; m	naterials testin	ng and tech	nician certifica	tions. Incl	w environmental ludes additional
and air quality stationary end for combustion increased in f	y regulations; m gine source tes on and fugitives	naterials testir sting (\$120K); (\$15K); addition ngine analysis	ng and tech GHG gas n onal compli and conditi	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring(tions. Inclations and equirement (\$15); Incr	ludes additional d M&R facilities its drive an eased frequency
and air quality stationary end for combustion increased in f	y regulations; m gine source tes on and fugitives frequency of en	naterials testir sting (\$120K); (\$15K); addition ngine analysis	ng and tech GHG gas n onal compli and conditi	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring(tions. Inclations and equirement (\$15); Incr	ludes additional d M&R facilities its drive an eased frequency
and air quality stationary end for combustion increased in f and quality of 2011	y regulations; my regulations; my gine source test on and fugitives frequency of en f materials testile -40	naterials testin sting (\$120K); (\$15K); additingine analysis ng due to inte	ng and tech GHG gas nonal compli and conditi grity manag	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring gement data re	tions. Incl tations and equiremen (\$15); Incr equiremen 0.0	ludes additional d M&R facilities tts drive an eased frequency ts(\$12K)
and air quality stationary eng for combustion increased in f and quality of 2011 Transfer \$40,	y regulations; my regulations; my gine source test on and fugitives frequency of en f materials testile -40	naterials testin sting (\$120K); (\$15K); additingine analysis ng due to inte	ng and tech GHG gas nonal compli and conditi grity manag	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring gement data re	tions. Incl tations and equiremen (\$15); Incr equiremen 0.0	ludes additional d M&R facilities tts drive an eased frequency ts(\$12K) 1-Sided Adj
and air quality stationary eng for combustion increased in fand quality of 2011 Transfer \$40, planning, sha 2011 Transfer \$4,0	y regulations; my regulations; my gine source teston and fugitives frequency of enf materials testing -40 ,000 labor from ared service.	naterials testin sting (\$120K); (\$15K); addition ingine analysis ng due to inter 0 non-shared condition	ng and tech GHG gas nonal compli and conditi grity manag 0 c 2200-226	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring gement data re -40 -5 to shared co	tions. Inclations and equiremen (\$15); Increquiremen 0.0	ludes additional d M&R facilities ats drive an eased frequency ts(\$12K) 1-Sided Adj 19. CNG station 1-Sided Adj
and air quality stationary eng for combustion increased in fand quality of 2011 Transfer \$40, planning, sha 2011 Transfer \$4,0	y regulations; my regulations; my gine source test on and fugitives frequency of en f materials testing -40 compared service.	naterials testin sting (\$120K); (\$15K); addition ingine analysis ng due to inter 0 non-shared condition	ng and tech GHG gas nonal compli and conditi grity manag 0 c 2200-226	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring gement data re -40 -5 to shared co	tions. Inclations and equiremen (\$15); Increquiremen 0.0	ludes additional d M&R facilities ats drive an eased frequency ts(\$12K) 1-Sided Adj 19. CNG station 1-Sided Adj
and air quality stationary eng for combustion increased in fand quality of 2011 Transfer \$40, planning, sha 2011 Transfer \$4,0 station planning 2011	y regulations; my regulations; my gine source test on and fugitives frequency of enformaterials testing and all the service. 0 000 non-labor from ing, shared service. 0 ersonel from notes the service of the service.	naterials testin sting (\$120K); (\$15K); addition ingine analysis ng due to inter 0 non-shared control -4 rom non-share vice.	ng and tech GHG gas n onal compli and conditi grity manaç 0 c 2200-226 0 ed cc 2200-	nician certifica nonitoring at st ance testing re on monitoring gement data re -40 :5 to shared co -4 2265 to shared	tions. Inclations and equirement (\$15); Increquirement 0.0 c 2200-030 d cc 2200-05	ludes additional d M&R facilities at M&R facilities at the dive an eased frequency ts(\$12K) 1-Sided Adj 1-Sided Adj 1-Sided Adj 0309. CNG 1-Sided Adj

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional funding to complete the full year effect of Business Analyst position filled in May '09 \$85K/12= \$7K x 4 months= \$28K, plus non labor & additional training expenses. (June - Dec costs (8 mos x \$7k= \$56k) already included in historical 2009 data and therefore in forecast. The remaining 4 months are incremental. (2200-0305) 2011 7 7 0 0 1-Sided Adj 0.0 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Non labor & additional training expenses for Business Analyst position filled in 2009. (2200-0305) 2011 100 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource (\$100k) to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with Supervisor Enablement project. 2200-0305 2011 175 0 0 175 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource, (1.75 FTE x \$100k = \$175k), to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. 2200-0305 2011 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource 1.75 FTE to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. 2200-0305 2011 25 0 25 1-Sided Adj 0.0 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas

In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource (0.25 FTE x \$100k = \$25k) to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. Position hired partway through 2010, this funding to provide full-year effect for position. 2200-0305

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2011 0 0 0 0 0.3 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource 0.25 FTE to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. Position hired partway through 2010, this funding to provide full-year effect for position. 2200-0305 2011 0 n 33 33 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for non labor and training expense associated with Supervisor Enablement & Mobile support 3 FTE's; \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. (3 FTE x \$11k = \$33k) 2200-0305 2011 80 0 80 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support), plus misc. non labor & additional training expenses. Full year effect of Business Analyst filled in July '10 \$80K ,plus \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. 2011 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj 11 11 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support), plus misc. non labor & additional training expenses. Full year effect of Business Analyst filled in July '10 \$80K ,plus \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 0 2011 0 1-Sided Adi In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support). 2200-0305 2011 11 0 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support), \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2011 100 0 0 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Project Manager new hire in Jan 2011 \$100K (Click FSD Prod Support), plus \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2011 1.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Project Manager new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support). 2200-0305 2011 0 11 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Project Manager new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2011 0 0 11 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2011 11 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Team Lead new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD/ SAP Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2011 80 80 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 at \$80K (SAP Prod Support) plus \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2011 0 1-Sided Adj

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 (SAP Prod Support) 1 FTE. 2200-0305 0 2011 1.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support) 1 FTE. 2200-0305 2011 0 0 0 0 1.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Team Lead new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD/ SAP Prod Support) 1 FTE. 2200-0305 2011 100 100 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Team Lead new hire in Jan 2011 \$100K (Click FSD/SAP Prod Support), plus \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2011 80 0 80 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 \$80K (Click FSD Prod Support), plus \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 0 2011 40 40 1-Sided Adi In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Training existing workforce for new application support functions (Click FSD/ SAP Prod Support, etc.); \$5K x 8 FTE's= \$40K. 2200-0305 2011 0 0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 8 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs.

Area:

ENGINEERING

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2011 -451 0 0 -451 0.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 8 FTE's from from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2011 0 17 17 0.0 1-Sided Adj Incremental maintenance expense in support of AutoSol Software. Maintenance begins for 800 licenses in 2011. 2011 -364 1-Sided Adj Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2011 180 0 0 180 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (1) at \$80K, Project Mgr (1) at \$100K for GIS Prod Support; plus \$6K misc. non labor & \$5K training per FTE 2011 0 22 0 22 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (1) and Project Mgr (1) for GIS Prod Support; plus \$6K misc. non labor mileage and expenses & \$5K training per FTE. 2011 0 0 0 2.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (1) and Project Mgr (1) for GIS Prod Support; 2011 0 0 0 0 1-Sided Adi -5.0 Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline

SCG/ENGINEERING/Exh No:SCG-05-WP/Witness: R. Stanford Pages 15 of 321

integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of

costs.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2011 0 0 0 0 0.5 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional 1/2 FTE to complete the full year effect of Business Analyst position filled in May '09 June - Dec fte partial already included in historical 2009 data and therefore in forecast. The remaining 6 months are incremental. (2200-0305) 2011 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional management labor resource 1 FTE to support Supervisor Enablement initiative. Hardware and software installation associated with project. 2200-0305 2011 0 187 0 187 0.0 1-Sided Adj Purchase and implement upgrade for Microstation. The vendor no longer supports our current version of Microstation, version "J". Must upgrade to version 8. Costs for this upgrade will span a three year period, and include dollars in 2010 (\$90,000), 2011 (\$187,000) and 2012 (\$187,000) to develop project plans, build interfaces, migrate the existing files, implement enhancements, train end users, and decommission the legacy system 2011 10 0 10 0.0 1-Sided Adi Contract with service provider to locate and sample transformers in the field, travel expenses. For satisfying questions asked by EPA in their Rulemaking. (PCB Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) (40 CFR 761, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); Reassessment of Use Authorizations 2011 8 8 0.0 1-Sided Adj Data Collection and analysis required for satisfying questions asked by EPA in their Rulemaking, inventorying transformers and sampling to establish PCB status, uploading inventory and PCB status in GIS system, and/or for advocacy efforts for mitigating additional burdens from PCB Mega Rule amendments. (\$80,000 x 10% = \$8,000). (PCB Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) (40 CFR 761, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); Reassessment of Use Authorizations, April 7, 2010). 2011 4,542 4,542 0.0 1-Sided Adj Environmental Greenhouse Gas Emission Fees (State of California). AB32 provides the California Air Resources Board (CARB) the ability to adopt a schedule of administrative fees to pay for its program. The fee for LDC natural gas throughput has been proposed by CARB

at \$0.00084/therm. SCG's 2008 throughput from the 2009 Cal Gas Report (minus allowed

exclusions) is approximately 5.41 billion therms.

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr NSE Total** FTE Adj Type 2011 Total 101 5,071 0 5,172 -1.9 0 2012 162 0 162 1-Sided Adj Non Labor expense associated with compliance to new environmental and air quality regulations; materials testing and technician certifications. Includes additional stationary engine source testing (\$120K); GHG gas monitoring at stations and M&R facilities for combustion and fugitives(\$15K); additional compliance testing requirements drive an increased in frequency of engine analysis and condition monitoring(\$15); Increased frequency and quality of materials testing due to integrity management data requirements(\$12K) 2012 1.0 1-Sided Adj 1.0 FTE to support the Non Labor activities associated with compliance to new environmental and air quality regulations; materials testing and technician certifications. Includes additional stationary engine source testing (\$120K); GHG gas monitoring at stations and M&R facilities for combustion and fugitives(\$15K); additional compliance testing requirements drive an increased in frequency of engine analysis and condition monitoring(\$15); Increased frequency and quality of materials testing due to integrity management data requirements(\$12K) 2012 1.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource, 1 FTE, to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with Supervisor Enablement project. 2200-0305 2012 -40 0 0 -40 0.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer \$40,000 labor from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning, shared service. 2012 0 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer \$4,000 non-labor from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning, shared service. 2012 0 0 0 0 -0.5 1-Sided Adi Transfer of personel from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning, shared service. 2012 28 0 0 28 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional funding to complete the full year effect of Business Analyst position filled in May '09 \$85K/12= \$7K x 4 months= \$28K, plus non labor & additional training expenses. (June - Dec costs (8 mos x \$7k= \$56k) already included in historical 2009 data and therefore in forecast. The remaining 4 months are incremental. (2200-0305) 2012 7 7 n 0 1-Sided Adj 0.0 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Non labor & additional training expenses for Business Analyst position filled in 2009. (2200-0305) 2012 100 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource (\$100k) to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with Supervisor Enablement project. 2200-0305 2012 175 0 0 175 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource, (1.75 FTE x \$100k = \$175k), to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. 2200-0305 2012 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource 1.75 FTE to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. 2200-0305 2012 25 0 25 1-Sided Adj 0.0 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from

SCG/ENGINEERING/Exh No:SCG-05-WP/Witness: R. Stanford Pages 18 of 321

supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource (0.25 FTE x \$100k = \$25k) to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. Position hired partway through 2010, this

funding to provide full-year effect for position. 2200-0305

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2012 0 0 0 0 0.3 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Additional Labor resource 0.25 FTE to support incremental Mobile Data Terminal hardware and software installation associated with the Mobile project. Position hired partway through 2010, this funding to provide full-year effect for position. 2200-0305 2012 0 n 33 33 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for non labor and training expense associated with Supervisor Enablement & Mobile support 3 FTE's; \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. (3 FTE x \$11k = \$33k) 2200-0305 2012 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support). 2200-0305 2012 80 0 80 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support), plus misc. non labor & additional training expenses. Full year effect of Business Analyst filled in July '10 \$80K ,plus \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2012 0 11 0 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst hired in July '10 (SAP Prod Support), \$6K misc NL and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 2012 100 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Project Manager new hire in Jan 2011 \$100K (Click FSD Prod Support), plus \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Lab<u>or</u> Year/Expl. NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2012 0 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Project Manager new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support). 2200-0305 2012 11 0 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Project Manager new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2012 11 0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 (SAP Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2012 0 11 11 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2012 11 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Team Lead new hire in Jan 2011 (Click FSD/ SAP Prod Support) \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training . 2200-0305 2012 80 0 80 0.0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan 2011 at \$80K (SAP Prod Support) plus \$6K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE. 2200-0305 0 2012 n 0 0 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas

engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Business Analyst new hire in Jan

2011 (SAP Prod Support) 1 FTE. 2200-0305

Witness: Category: Category- Workpape	St A. Sub: 1.	anford, Raym Gas Enginee Gas Enginee EN000.000 - G	ring ring	erina			
		<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	<u>idj Type</u>
20	12	0	0	0	0	1.0	1-Sided Adj
	In response to the engineering musupervisors to fig. 2011 (Click FSI)	st add skilled ront line empl	resources to	o support, m s request is f	aintain, and tra	ain persor	nnel, from
201	12	0	0	0	0	1.0	1-Sided Adj
	In response to the engineering musupervisors to for (Click FSD/ SAI)	st add skilled ront line empl	resources to	o support, m s request is f	aintain, and tr	ain persor	nnel, from
20	12	100	0	0	100	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	In response to the engineering musupervisors to final \$100K (Click FS 2200-0305)	st add skilled ront line empl	resources to	o support, m s request is f	aintain, and tra or Team Lead	ain persor new hire	nnel, from in Jan 2011
20	12	80	0	0	80	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	In response to the engineering musupervisors to figure 2011 \$80K (Click 2200-0305)	st add skilled ront line empl	resources to	o support, m s request is f	aintain, and tra or Business A	ain persor nalyst nev	nnel, from v hire in Jan
20	12	0	40	0	40	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	In response to the engineering musupervisors to for application supplication supplications supplies to the supplication supplies	st add skilled ront line empl	resources to	o support, m s request is f	aintain, and tra or Training exi	ain persor isting work	nnel, from kforce for new
20	12	-451	0	0	-451	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	Transfer 8 FTE Integrity/OpsTe integrity mappir costs.	ch cost center	2200-2325	5. Transfer a	ligns resource	s with foc	us on pipeline
20	12	0	0	0	0	-8.0	1-Sided Adj

ENGINEERING

Area:

Area:

Witness:

ENGINEERING

Stanford, Raymond K

Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Transfer 8 FTE's from from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 0 2012 25 25 0.0 1-Sided Adj Incremental maintenance expense in support of AutoSol Software. Maintenance for 800 licenses in 2011 (\$17k) and additional 400 in 2012 (\$8k). 2012 -364-364 1-Sided Adj Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2012 22 22 1-Sided Adj 0.0 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (2) for GIS Prod Support; \$6K misc. non labor mileage and expenses & \$5K training per FTE. 2012 160 160 1-Sided Adj In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (2) at (\$80k each) for GIS Production Support; 2012 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj 2.0 In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (2) for GIS Prod Support: 2012 0 0 0 -5.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Transfer aligns resources with focus on pipeline integrity mapping and GIS activity work load. allows for better tracking and accounting of costs. 2012 0 1-Sided Adi Additional 1/2 FTE to complete the full year effect of Business Analyst position filled in May '09 June - Dec fte partial already included in historical 2009 data and therefore in forecast.

The remaining 6 months are incremental. (2200-0305)

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. Gas Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2012 0 187 0 187 0.0 1-Sided Adj Purchase and implement upgrade for Microstation. The vendor no longer supports our current version of Microstation, version "J". Must upgrade to version 8. Costs for this upgrade will span a three year period, and include dollars in 2010 (\$90,000), 2011 (\$187,000) and 2012 (\$187,000) to develop project plans, build interfaces, migrate the existing files, implement enhancements, train end users, and decommission the legacy system 2012 1-Sided Adj Contract with service provider to locate and sample transformers in the field, travel expenses. For satisfying questions asked by EPA in their Rulemaking. (PCB Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) (40 CFR 761, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); Reassessment of Use Authorizations, April 7, 2010). 2012 8 0 1-Sided Adj Data Collection and analysis required for satisfying questions asked by EPA in their Rulemaking, inventorying transformers and sampling to establish PCB status, uploading inventory and PCB status in GIS system, and for advocacy efforts for mitigating additional burdens from PCB Mega Rule amendments. (\$80,000 x 10% = \$8,000). (PCB Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) (40 CFR 761, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); Reassessment of Use Authorizations, April 7, 2010). 2012 1-Sided Adj 5,000 5,000 0.0 Environmental Green house Gas Emission Fees - AB32 Cap and Trade. Open market emission credit offset purchases for major emmiters within SCG service territory. Impacted facilities are Aliso Canyon, Honnor Rancho, Blythe, South Needles, Newberry Springs. Estimated cost of emmision credits are \$20/MetricTon (MT). Combined emissions for the 5 facilities in 2008 was approximately 250,000 MT. 2012 0 4,542 4.542 1-Sided Adj 0.0 Environmental Greenhouse Gas Emission Fees (State of California). AB32 provides the California Air Resources Board (CARB) the ability to adopt a schedule of administrative fees to pay for its program. The fee for LDC natural gas throughput has been proposed by CARB at \$0.00084/therm. SCG's 2008 throughput from the 2009 Cal Gas Report (minus allowed exclusions) is approximately 5.41 billion therms. 2012 564 564 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sustainable SoCal Program O&M project costs. Various equipment, maintenance, management costs associated with bioenergy installation. 2012 42 42 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sustainable SoCal Program O&M project costs. Labor expense associsated with managing

the various equipment, maintenance, and contract costs for bioenergy installation.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u> Adj	Type
2012	180	0	0	180	0.0	1-Sided Adj

In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (1) at \$80K, Project Mgr (1) at \$100K for GIS Prod Support; plus \$5K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE

2012 0 20 0 20 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Incremental Business Analyst (1) at \$80K, Project Mgr (1) at \$100K for GIS Prod Support; plus \$5K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE

2012 0 0 0 0 2.0 1-Sided Adj

In response to the deployment of new technology from the OPEX 20/20 initiatives, gas engineering must add skilled resources to support, maintain, and train personnel, from supervisors to front line employees. This request is for Incremental Business Analyst (1) at \$80K, Project Mgr (1) at \$100K for GIS Prod Support; plus \$5K misc. non labor and \$5K training per FTE

2012 Total 303 10,663 0 10,966 0.1

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded:

cterrimation of Aujuste	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	5,234	5,490	6,277	6,457	6,177
Non-Labor	1,848	2,257	1,999	2,744	2,912
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7,082	7,746	8,277	9,201	9,089
FTE	75.8	78.5	87.2	85.5	80.4
Adjustments (Nominal \$	**				
Labor	902	825	411	-159	-14
Non-Labor	0	160	200	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	902	985	611	-159	-14
FTE	12.0	11.0	5.0	-1.9	-0.2
Recorded-Adjusted (Noi	minal \$)				
Labor	6,135	6,315	6,689	6,298	6,164
Non-Labor	1,848	2,417	2,199	2,744	2,912
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7,984	8,732	8,888	9,041	9,075
FTE	87.8	89.5	92.2	83.6	80.2
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	1,046	1,128	1,167	1,214	1,114
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,046	1,128	1,167	1,214	1,114
FTE	15.8	16.4	16.8	16.5	15.2
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	858	656	470	190	0
Non-Labor	227	201	106	-7	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,085	857	575	183	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	8,039	8,100	8,326	7,701	7,277
Non-Labor	2,075	2,618	2,305	2,737	2,912
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	10,114	10,717	10,631	10,438	10,189
FTE	103.6	105.9	109.0	100.1	95.4

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

	In Nominal \$ (000)							
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Labor	902	825	411	-159	-14			
Non-Labor	0	160	200	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	902	985	611	-159	-14			
FTE	12.0	11.0	5.0	-1.9	-0.2			

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	Labor	NLbr	NSE	FTE	Adi Type	From CCtr	RefID		
real/Expl.	Labor	ITEDI	NOL		Auj Type	<u>i ioni oou</u>	Kenb		
2005	902	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0799.000	TPLGL20091112 165202897		
	Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2265, which was opened during 2007.								
2005	0	0	0	12.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0799.000	TPLGL20091112		
Transfer F during 200		es that were	e moved to	Cost (Ctr 2200-2265, w	hich was opened	165627730		
2005 Total	902	0	0	12.0					
2006 Transfer e	825 xpenditures fo	0 r activities th	0 nat were n		CCTR Transf o Cost Ctr 2200-	From 2200-0799.000 2265, which was	TPLGL20091112 165824843		
opened du	ıring 2007.								
2006	0	160	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0799.000	TPLGL20091112 165854500		
	Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2265, which was opened during 2007.								
2006	0	0	0	11.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0799.000	TPLGL20091112 165934220		
Transfer F during 200		es that were	e moved to	Cost (Ctr 2200-2265, w	hich was opened	100904220		
2006 Total	825	160	0	11.0					

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. Gas Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Gas Engineering

Workpaper: 2EN000.000 - Gas Engineering

opened duri 2007 Transfer expopened duri 2007	penditures for ing 2007. 0 penditures for ing 2007. 0 TEs for activiti	200 r activities th	0	noved to	CCTR Transf	From CCtr From 2200-0799.000 2265, which was From 2200-0799.000	RefID TPLGL20091112 170028097
Transfer expopened during 2007 Transfer expopened during 2007 Transfer FT during 2007	penditures for ing 2007. 0 penditures for ing 2007. 0	r activities th 200 r activities th	at were m	noved to) Cost Ctr 2200-2	2265, which was	
opened duri 2007 Transfer expopened duri 2007 Transfer FT during 2007	penditures for ing 2007. 0 penditures for ing 2007. 0 TEs for activiti	200 r activities th	0				17 0020001
Transfer expopened during 2007 Transfer FT during 2007	penditures for ing 2007. 0 Es for activiti	r activities th		0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200 0700 000	
opened duri 2007 Transfer FT during 2007	ing 2007. 0 Es for activiti		at were m			F10111 2200-0799.000	TPLGL20091112 170100737
Transfer FT during 2007	Es for activiti	_		noved to	Cost Ctr 2200-2	2265, which was	
during 2007		0	0	5.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0799.000	TPLGL20091112 170135220
2007 Total	' .	es that were	moved to	Cost C	Ctr 2200-2265, w	hich was opened	170100220
	411	200	0	5.0			
2008	-159	0	0		CCTR Transf	To 2200-0320.000	TP1RMC2009102 8160330190
					ween cost cente 320) cost centers		
2008	0	0	0	-1.9	CCTR Transf	To 2200-0320.000	TP1RMC2009102 8160445753
					ween cost cente 320) cost centers		0100110100
2008 Total	-159	0	0	-1.9			
2009	-14	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-0320.000	TP1RMC2010042 7073659730
	ature and the					ivities are Shared ISS (2200-0320)	1013033130
2009	0	0	0	-0.2	CCTR Transf	To 2200-0320.000	TP1RMC2010043
-			-			ivities are Shared JSS (2200-0320)	0082322173
2009 Total	ature and the						

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

Workpaper: 2EN001.000

Summary for Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

	In 2009\$ (000)								
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast							
	2009	2010	2011	2012					
Labor	1,884	1,453	2,048	2,367					
Non-Labor	9,077	18,309	14,830	22,393					
NSE	0	0	0	0					
Total	10,961	19,762	16,878	24,760					
FTE	22.2	16.7	23.0	26.8					

Workpapers belonging to this Category: 2EN001.000 Transmission Pipeline Integrity Labor 1,884 1,453 2,048 2,367 Non-Labor 9,077 18,309 14,830 22,393

Beginning of Workpaper 2EN001.000 - Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

Category-Sub 1. Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN001.000 - Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Activity Description:

Primary activities focus on the development, management and support of the Pipeline Integrity Program and Integrity Management Plan. Support activities include data collection, analysis, management, and reporting; assessment planning; integrity assessments and project management; preventive and mitigative measure analysis; technical and engineering support in the areas of corrosion protection and treatment, metallurgy, pipeline materials specifications and standard operating procedures.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

The activities and operational support provided by this work group are project specific and as such are provided as a zero based forecasting methodology. In addition, the historical spending does not reflect the arduous nature of cased-main assessments or the increased work associated with re-assessments.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

The activities and operational support provided by this work group are project specific and as such are provided as a zero based forecasting methodology.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this work group.

Summary of Results:

Years
Labor
Non-Labor
NSE
Total
FTF

	In 2009\$ (000)								
	Adju	sted-Record	Ad	justed-Fore	cast				
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
422	913	1,085	1,825	1,884	1,453	2,048	2,367		
2,600	7,449	9,313	7,332	9,077	18,309	14,830	22,393		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
3,022	8,362	10,398	9,157	10,961	19,762	16,878	24,760		
4.4	10.9	11.9	21.7	22.2	16.7	23.0	26.8		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

Category-Sub: 1. Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN001.000 - Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000)									
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	1,453	2,048	2,367	1,453	2,048	2,367
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	18,309	14,830	22,393	18,309	14,830	22,393
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	0	19,762	16,878	24,760	19,762	16,878	24,760
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	23.0	26.8	16.7	23.0	26.8

Forecast Adjustment Details:

cast Aujustment	Details:								
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type			
2010	1,453	0	0	1,453	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Labor expenses for Transmission Pipeline Integrity activities associated with inspection and assessments. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN001.000_supp1.pdf for activity details.									
2010	0	0	0	0	16.7	1-Sided Adj			
Labor FTE requirements for Transmission Pipeline Integrity activities associated with inspection and assessments. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN001.000_supp1.pdf for activity details.									
2010	0	18,309	0	18,309	0.0	1-Sided Adj			

Non-Labor expense requirements for Transmission Pipeline Integrity activities associated with inspection and assessments. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN001.000_supp1.pdf for activity details.

2010 Total	1,453	18,309	0	19,762	16.7		
2011	2.049	0	0	2.049	0.0	1 Cidad Adi	
2011	2,048	0	0	2,048	0.0	1-Sided Adj	
Labor expens	es for Transr	nission Pipeline	ntegrity a	ctivities assoc	iated with	inspection and	
assessments.	See Supple	mental workpap	er 2EN00	1.000_supp1.p	odf for activ	vity details.	
2011	0	0	0	0	23.0	1-Sided Adj	

Labor FTE requirements for Transmission Pipeline Integrity activities associated with inspection and assessments. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN001.000_supp1.pdf for activity details.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

Category-Sub: 1. Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN001.000 - Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2011	0	14,830	0	14,830	0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non-Labor expense requirements for Transmission Pipeline Integrity activities associated with inspection and assessments. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN001.000_supp1.pdf for activity details.

2011 Total	2,048	14,830	0	16,878	23.0	
2012	2,367	0	0	2,367	0.0	1-Sided Adj
•	nses for Transm s. See Suppler	•	0 ,			•
2012	0	0	0	0	26.8	1-Sided Adj
	requirements for and assessment ils.		•	0 ,		
2012	0	22,393	0	22,393	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	expense require ion and assessi ils.				-	

2012 Total 2,367 22,393 0 24,760 26.8

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

Category-Sub: 1. Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN001.000 - Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded:

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	322	712	872	1,493	1,596
Non-Labor	2,316	6,877	8,886	7,350	9,077
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2,638	7,588	9,757	8,843	10,673
FTE	3.7	9.2	10.1	18.1	18.7
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	322	712	872	1,493	1,596
Non-Labor	2,316	6,877	8,886	7,350	9,077
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2,638	7,588	9,757	8,843	10,673
FTE	3.7	9.2	10.1	18.1	18.7
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	ıl \$)				
Labor	55	127	152	288	288
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	55	127	152	288	288
FTE	0.7	1.7	1.8	3.6	3.5
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	45	74	61	45	0
Non-Labor	284	572	427	-18	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	329	646	489	27	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	422	913	1,085	1,825	1,884
Non-Labor	2,600	7,449	9,313	7,332	9,077
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3,023	8,362	10,398	9,157	10,961
FTE	4.4	10.9	11.9	21.7	22.2

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: B. Pipeline Integrity - Transmission (Subpart O)

Category-Sub: 1. Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN001.000 - Transmission Pipeline Integrity

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

	In Nominal \$ (000)								
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2EN001.000

Southern California Gas Company -- Gas Engineering -- Witness Raymond K. Stanford Supplemental Workpaper Calculations for Costs related to TIMP Assessments (Page 1 of 2)

Supplemental Workpaper Carculations for Costs				`	Forecast				
Description of upward pressure/ additional activities		2010			2011			2012	
		Non			Non			Non	
	Labor	Labor	FTE	Labor	Labor	FTE	Labor	Labor	FTE
In-line inspection (ILI) and verification digs - 73 assessment or reassessment projects. Costs include minimum of two tool runs to complete ILI, follow-on verification excavations, and any minor pipeline repairs that may be required. These projects can be very complicated, must be completed in sequence, and span multiple years. 2200-0256	\$350	\$3,765	3.7	\$655	\$7,056	7.1	\$718	\$7,726	7.7
Hydrostatic pressure testing of the injection and withdrawal piping in the Playa Del Rey storage field. Costs include piping isolation, purging operations, and hydrostatic testing. Current system operation allows removal of various sized intake and discharge piping in lieu of future mandated reassessment. 2200-0256	\$117	\$1,007	1.3	\$14	\$112	0.1	\$0	\$0	0.0
Hydrostatic pressure testing of the injection and withdrawal piping in the Goleta storage field. Costs include piping isolation, purging operations, and hydrostatic testing. 2200-0256	\$18	\$153	0.2	\$36	\$304	0.4	\$36	\$304	0.4
External Corrosion Direct Assessment of Department of Transportation defined Transmission Pipeline per Baseline Assessment Plan is 51.46 miles in 2010, 15.20 miles in 2011, and 16.11 miles in 2012 @ \$32,000/mile to survey (with a minimum cost of \$15,600 per project and 1.79 digs/mile (with a minimum of 4 digs per project) at a cost of \$40,000 per dig for non-labor. 152 digs are forecasted for 2010, 59 in 2011, and 155 in 2012.	\$678	\$7,799	7.5	\$707	\$2,888	7.7	\$707	\$7,048	8.0
3rd party vendor to prepare detailed feature studies of 35 pipelines prior to integrity assessment. 20 of these projects are characterized as short lines at a flat rate of \$16,000/line, and the 15 remaining projects are longer lines totaling 894.7 miles, at a cost of \$3400/mile. 10% charge or \$336,198 for scanning and indexing the work product. 2200-2290	\$0	\$3,698	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0
Reduce line pressure due to shifting operational needs in lieu of conventional integrity assessment of 2 pipelines, 36-1002 and 36-8-01. Excavate 36-1002 and acquire 4 pipeline samples for analysis to confirm pipeline wall thickness and grade, at cost of \$55,000 per sample. Install pressure limiting station to separate 36-8-01 from 36-8-06 at a cost of \$150,000. 2200-2290	\$10	\$278	0.1	\$3	\$93	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0

Southern California Gas Company Test Year 2012 GRC - APP

Non-Shared Service Workpapers

Southern California Gas Company -- Gas Engineering -- Witness Raymond K. Stanford Supplemental Workpaper Calculations for Costs related to TIMP Assessments (Page 2 of 2)

Supplemental Workpaper Carculations for Costs					Forecast				
Description of upward pressure/ additional activities		2010			2011			2012	
		Non			Non			Non	
	Labor	Labor	FTE	Labor	Labor	FTE	Labor	Labor	FTE
CP Survey of 32 miles of pipeline that have been ILI inpsected (\$32,000 /mile)		\$1,024							
In-line inspection and metalurgical analysis support team. Team Lead, staff engineers, technical advisors, administrative support. Provide analytical support during assessment phase of projects to determine severity of anomolies discovered in field, provide calcualtion for remaining life estimates, evaluate data to determine pipeline return-to-service status.	\$ 253	\$ 291	3.6	\$ 253	\$ 291	3.6	\$ 253	\$ 291	3.6
Conduct tethered In-Line Magnetci Flux-Leakage (MFL) inspection of cased transmission pipeline to comply with the PHMSA baseline assessment and future re-assessment requirements. These segments of cased pipeline can not be inspected using the appropriate assessment method, External Corrosion Direct Assessment, because it is ineffective on pipelines that are shielded and can not be physically accessed to perform direct assessment validations. 3 cased pipeline segments assessed in 2010, 43 in 2011, and 74 in 2012 at \$103,600 per project for the MFL tool cost, inspection analysis, and program documentation. 2290	\$26	\$284	0.3	\$379	\$4,076	4.1	\$652	\$7,015	7.1
Remove casing assembly from transmission pipeline segment to enable required assessment. Segments of cased pipeline can not be inspected using the appropriate assessment method, ECDA, because it is ineffective on pipelines that are shielded and can not be physically accessed to perform direct assessment validations. For these projects, it has been determined that the casing is superfluous (original conditions that required a cased crossing are no longer present). Casing will be excavated and removed to allow direct examination of the carrier pipe to comply with required baseline assessment and future re-assessment efforts. 12 casings removed in 2010, 12 in 2011, and 11 in 2012. The expense component is \$942 per project for vendor bellhole inspection, analysis, and program documentation. 2200-2290	\$1	\$10	0.0	\$1	\$10	0.0	\$1	\$9	0.0
	\$3,463	\$18,309	16.7	\$4,059	\$14,830	23.0	\$4,379	\$22,393	26.8

Southern California Gas Company Test Year 2012 GRC - APP

Non-Shared Service Workpapers

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Workpaper: 2EN002.000

Summary for Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

		In 2009\$ (000)					
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast						
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
Labor	2,188	3,325	4,368	4,866				
Non-Labor	4,382	5,960	14,462	26,231				
NSE	0	4,892	5,635	0				
Total	6,570	14,177	24,465	31,097				
FTE	27.5	49.1	62.0	63.9				

Workpapers belonging to this Category: 2EN002.000 Distribution Pipeline Integrity Labor 2,188 3,325 4,866 4,368 Non-Labor 4,382 5,960 26,231 14,462 NSE 0 4,892 0 5,635 Total 6,570 14,177 31,097 24,465 FTE 27.5 49.1 63.9 62.0

Beginning of Workpaper 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Category-Sub 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Activity Description:

This group has been organized and resourced to address the requirements of the DOT mandated Distribution Integrity Management Program rules set forth in 49 CFR §192, Subpart P. Primarily, the activities will focus on generating and enhancing knowledge of piping system (location, materials, data retention, analysis, etc.); Threat identification and mitigation; evaluate, rank and address risk; Damage Prevention, Leakage prevention and mitigation, etc.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

Due to the recent enactment of the DIMP and the evolving nature of activities performed in this category, a zero based forecast best represents the funding requirements. Specific activities and programs developed for compliance with DIMP drive the labor expense requirements.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

Due to the recent enactment of the DIMP and the evolving nature of activities performed in this category, a zero based forecast best represents the funding requirements. Specific activities and programs developed for compliance with DIMP drive the non labor expense requirements.

NSE - Zero-Based

Non standard escalation applies to the non-labor expenses associated with the the development of the GIS system, specifically for the document conversion efforts. These expenses are contractual obligations and any escalation would be handled within the contract agreement.

Summary of Results:

Years
Labor
Non-Labor
NSE
Total
FTE

In 2009\$ (000)											
	Adjus	sted-Record	Adjusted-Forecast								
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
0	0	61	748	2,188	3,325	4,368	4,866				
0	0	1,029	3,268	4,382	5,960	14,462	26,231				
0	0	0	0	0	4,892	5,635	0				
0	0	1,090	4,016	6,570	14,177	24,465	31,097				
0.0	0.0	0.6	8.6	27.5	49.1	62.0	63.9				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000)										
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast			
<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>				<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>		
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	3,325	4,368	4,866	3,325	4,368	4,866	
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	5,960	14,462	26,231	5,960	14,462	26,231	
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	4,892	5,635	0	4,892	5,635	0	
Total	-	0	0	0	14,177	24,465	31,097	14,177	24,465	31,097	
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.1	62.0	63.9	49.1	62.0	63.9	

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	1,630	0	0	1,630	0.0	1-Sided Adj

GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system that supports SoCalGas gas transmission and distribution, and vegetation management, and Sempra Energy Utilities (SEu) land services, environmental, and telecommunications. Critical to this GIS is the replacement of numerous disparate applications and systems currently in place at SoCalGas that provide various types and levels of facility maps, mobile viewing platforms, plotting applications, and file management systems into a single application. These expenses reflect activities associated with data conversion of SCG gas distribution assets to the GIS.

2010 0 0 0 26.9 1-Sided Adj

GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system that supports SoCalGas gas transmission and distribution, and vegetation management, and Sempra Energy Utilities (SEu) land services, environmental, and telecommunications. Critical to this GIS is the replacement of numerous disparate applications and systems currently in place at SoCalGas that provide various types and levels of facility maps, mobile viewing platforms, plotting applications, and file management systems into a single application. These FTE's reflect the resources required to perform the activities associated with data conversion of SDG&E gas distribution assets to the GIS.

2010 0 100 0 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses.

2010 0 0 4,892 4,892 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system that supports SoCalGas gas transmission and distribution, and vegetation management, and Sempra Energy Utilities (SEu) land services, environmental, and telecommunications. Critical to this GIS is the replacement of numerous disparate applications and systems currently in place at SoCalGas that provide various types and levels of facility maps, mobile viewing platforms, plotting applications, and file management systems into a single application. These expenses reflect activities associated with data conversion of SCG gas distribution assets to the GIS. Non-Standard Escalation costs associated with ongoing contract commitments with consultants/contractors and conversion vendors. 2010 70 70 0.0 1-Sided Adj Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Labor requirements to install above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp5.pdf 2010 0 190 0 190 1-Sided Adj Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Non-Labor to cover materials and expenses associated with installation of above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp5.pdf 2010 0 1.0 1-Sided Adj Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Labor requirements to install above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp5.pdf 2010 100 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp2.pdf 2010 0 0 0 1.0 1-Sided Adj Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp2.pdf 2010 1,690 1,690 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See

Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp2.pdf

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	dj Type
2010	900	0	0	900	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses.

2010 338 0 0 338 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf

2010 0 50 0 50 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp4.pdf

2010 0 0 0 5.2 1-Sided Adj

Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp4.pdf

2010 0 81 0 81 0.0 1-Sided Adj

GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system that supports SoCalGas gas transmission and distribution, and vegetation management, and Sempra Energy Utilities (SEu) land services, environmental, and telecommunications. Critical to this GIS is the replacement of numerous disparate applications and systems currently in place at SoCalGas that provide various types and levels of facility maps, mobile viewing platforms, plotting applications, and file management systems into a single application. These expenses reflect activities associated with data conversion of SCG gas distribution assets to the GIS. Employee Expense, System Integration, Consultants, and Temp Agency/Contractors.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2010 0 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj DREAMS-Driven Monitoring - Additional and Accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection. Applies to qualified DREAMS segments until replacement. Labor for additional monitoring. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp3.pdf. 2010 287 287 0.0 1-Sided Adj DREAMS-Driven Monitoring - Additional and Accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection. Applies to qualified DREAMS segments until replacement. Labor for additional monitoring. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp3.pdf 2010 3.849 0 3.849 0.0 1-Sided Adj This non labor expense is associated with the replacement of risers that fail inspection of the polyethylene (PE) anodeless riser inspection program. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf 2010 0 0 0 11.0 1-Sided Adj Labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses. 2010 Total 3,325 5,960 4,892 14,177 49.1 20

2011	450	0	0	450	0.0	1-Sided Adj		
Labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses.								
2011	1,630	0	0	1,630	0.0	1-Sided Adj		

GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system business solution. Includes business resource labor costs associated with continued GIS development and data conversion.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2011 0 0 0 0 26.9 1-Sided Adj GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system ongoing project support. Aditional FTE count associated with continued GIS development and data conversion. 2011 0 50 50 0.0 1-Sided Adi Non labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses. 2011 5,635 5,635 0.0 1-Sided Adj GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system ongoing project costs. Non-labor, Non-Standard Escalation costs associated with ongoing contract commitments with consultants/contractors and conversion vendors, 2011 1,200 1,200 1-Sided Adj 0.0 Service line location research and mapping - This activity consists of research and mapping of service pipeline locations that were not able to be captured within the scope of the OpEx GIS conversion activity. The scope of work for the OpEx project was to capture service locations that were depicted on existing source map products and alternatively to capture service locations using automated address searching methods using available tabular data. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2011 540 540 0.0 1-Sided Adj GIS Application Enhancements - As data is converted into the GIS, the company now has the ability to perform analysis, queries and develop reports that can help ensure compliance, enhance DIMP risk analysis and streamline operations. Expenses for hiring contractor to develop enhancements. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2011 200 200 0.0 1-Sided Adj Geographic Boundary Conflation - Reconcile discrepancies between two datasets to get a new and consistent dataset. The vendor supplied landbase data does not exactly match the Company's legacy landbase, as a result Company boundaries and grids that were previously derived relative to the legacy base-map need to be reviewed and adjusted to assure that company operations that are driven off of these boundaries are not impacted by the change in the landbase data. Requires two positions. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2011 O 0 0 1-Sided Adj

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Geographic Boundary Conflation - Reconcile discrepancies between two datasets to get a new and consistent dataset. The vendor supplied landbase data does not exactly match the Company's legacy landbase, as a result Company boundaries and grids that were previously derived relative to the legacy base-map need to be reviewed and adjusted to assure that company operations that are driven off of these boundaries are not impacted by the change in the landbase data. Requires two positions. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2011 367 O n 367 1-Sided Adj 0.0 Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Labor requirements to install above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp5.pdf 2011 0 993 0 993 0.0 1-Sided Adj Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Non-Labor to cover materials and expenses associated with installation of above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp5.pdf 2011 5.3 1-Sided Adj Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Labor requirements to install above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp5.pdf 2011 200 0 0 200 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp2.pdf 2011 n 0 0 2.0 1-Sided Adi Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp2.pdf 2011 3.800 3.800 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp2.pdf 2011 270 270 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type Network Connectivity Data Enhancements - This activity will enhance the converted GIS data leveraging out-of-the box GIS network tracing functionality. The categories of network connectivity that will be validated are: Cathodic Protection Areas, Isolation Area Maps, Pressure Districts. With the variations in sources data, it is estimated that this project will require 2.9 FTE's two full years to complete beginning in 2011 through 2012. The estimated labor expense for this group of activities is \$270,000 per year. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2011 0 0 n 2.9 1-Sided Adj Network Connectivity Data Enhancements - This activity will enhance the converted GIS data leveraging out-of-the box GIS network tracing functionality. The categories of network connectivity that will be validated are: Cathodic Protection Areas, Isolation Area Maps, Pressure Districts. With the variations in sources data, it is estimated that this project will require 2.9 FTE's two full years to complete beginning in 2011 through 2012. The estimated labor expense for this group of activities is \$270,000 per year. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2011 10.4 1-Sided Adi Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf 2011 677 677 0.0 1-Sided Adj Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf 2011 0 81 81 1-Sided Adj GIS project implements an industry standard, geographic information system development, conversion vendors, Employee Expense, System Integration, Consultants, and Temp Agency/Contractors. 2011 574 574 0.0 1-Sided Adj DREAMS-Driven Monitoring - Additional and Accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of

conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection. Applies to qualified DREAMS segments until replacement. Labor for additional monitoring. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp3.pdf

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2011 0 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj DREAMS-Driven Monitoring - Additional and Accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection. Applies to qualified DREAMS segments until replacement. Labor for additional monitoring. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp3.pdf 2011 100 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf 2011 0 7,698 7,698 0.0 1-Sided Adj This non labor expense is associated with the replacement of risers that fail inspection of the polyethylene (PE) anodeless riser inspection program. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp4.pdf 2011 5.5 1-Sided Adj Labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses. 2011 Total 4,368 14,462 5,635 24,465 62.0 2012 0 1.000 0 1.000 0.0 1-Sided Adj Non labor resources to cover additional DIMP activities such as enhanced Damage Prevention, increased inspection of targeted excavations and backfill activities, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. Plus additional contract labor funding for DIMP driven initiatives and miscellaneous DIMP expenses.

450

1-Sided Adj

2012

450

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type This increase in labor resources of six FTEs is to cover damage prevention activities in support of DIMP requirements, which includes the increase in inspection and surveillance, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. 2012 1-Sided Adj This increase in labor resources of six FTEs is to cover damage prevention activities in support of DIMP requirements, which includes the increase in inspection and surveillance, material and equipment inspection, increased communication with contractors, etc. Included are funds to support equipment/training/mileage/expenses for new labor resources. 2012 0 1,200 1,200 0.0 1-Sided Adj Service line location research and mapping - This activity consists of research and mapping of service pipeline locations that were not able to be captured within the scope of the OpEx GIS conversion activity. The scope of work for the OpEx project was to capture service locations that were depicted on existing source map products and alternatively to capture service locations using automated address searching methods using available tabular data. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 200 200 0.0 1-Sided Adj Post-conversion data cleanup and research - This activity consists of performing data cleanup activities on converted GIS data. As a side-effect of the GIS conversion process, a comprehensive review of the input data sources throughout the service territory will have been conducted. Data problems identified during conversion are being annotated for future resolution. These problems are inherent in the input data sources and require further research to be resolved. Attempting to resolve these issues during conversion would cause delay in conversion production schedule and is not in scope of the current project. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 2.0 1-Sided Adj Post-conversion data cleanup and research - This activity consists of performing data cleanup activities on converted GIS data. As a side-effect of the GIS conversion process, a comprehensive review of the input data sources throughout the service territory will have been conducted. Data problems identified during conversion are being annotated for future resolution. These problems are inherent in the input data sources and require further research to be resolved. Attempting to resolve these issues during conversion would cause delay in conversion production schedule and is not in scope of the current project. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 540 540 0.0 1-Sided Adj GIS Application Enhancements - As data is converted into the GIS, the company now has the ability to perform analysis, queries and develop reports that can help ensure compliance, enhance DIMP risk analysis and streamline operations. Expenses for hiring contractor to

develop enhancements. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2012 200 0 0 200 0.0 1-Sided Adj Geographic Boundary Conflation - Reconcile discrepancies between two datasets to get a new and consistent dataset. The vendor supplied landbase data does not exactly match the Company's legacy landbase, as a result Company boundaries and grids that were previously derived relative to the legacy base-map need to be reviewed and adjusted to assure that company operations that are driven off of these boundaries are not impacted by the change in the landbase data. Requires two positions. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 0 1-Sided Adj Geographic Boundary Conflation - Reconcile discrepancies between two datasets to get a new and consistent dataset. The vendor supplied landbase data does not exactly match the Company's legacy landbase, as a result Company boundaries and grids that were previously derived relative to the legacy base-map need to be reviewed and adjusted to assure that company operations that are driven off of these boundaries are not impacted by the change in the landbase data. Requires two positions. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 135 0 0 135 0.0 1-Sided Adj Regulator Station Enhancements - This activity will enhance the representation of regulator stations within the GIS. The scope of the GIS conversion represents regulator stations as simplified schematic drawings. This enhancement will enable full details of regulator stations to be visualized, analyzed, traced and queried within the GIS System. Expenses to additional FTE and non labor application enhancements. (DIMP 14). See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 606 U 606 1-Sided Adj Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Labor requirements to install above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp5.pdf 2012 0 1.646 1.646 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Non-Labor to cover materials and expenses associated with installation of above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp5.pdf

2012

0

1-Sided Adj

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Above Ground Facility Protection, Vehicular Damage prevention - EFV's, Meter guards, Enhanced facility protection program. Labor requirements to install above ground facility protection to mitigate the vehicular damage thread within DIMP. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp5.pdf 2012 400 n 400 1-Sided Adj 0.0 Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp2.pdf 2012 1-Sided Adj 4.0 Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp2.pdf 2012 7.103 7.103 0.0 1-Sided Adj Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) Resources required for project management, data review and analysis, locate and mark, and conflict resolution as necessary. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp2.pdf 2012 0 0 2.9 1-Sided Adj Network Connectivity Data Enhancements - This activity will enhance the converted GIS data leveraging out-of-the box GIS network tracing functionality. The categories of network connectivity that will be validated are: Cathodic Protection Areas, Isolation Area Maps, Pressure Districts. With the variations in sources data, it is estimated that this project will require 2.9 FTE's two full years to complete beginning in 2011 through 2012. The estimated labor expense for this group of activities is \$270,000 per year. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp1.pdf 2012 0 0 0 31.3 1-Sided Adj Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf

2012

2,031

2,031

1-Sided Adj

0.0

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf 2012 0 1,650 1,650 0.0 1-Sided Adj GIS System Model Consolidation - High pressure distribution data is stored and maintained by both systems. Ongoing maintenance of high pressure distribution data in both systems is time consuming and will likely cause reporting inconsistencies in the future. This activity supports streamlining of data maintenance procedures and data consistency for assets that are currently found in both systems. (DIMP-1) See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf 2012 0 270 270 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj Network Connectivity Data Enhancements - This activity will enhance the converted GIS data leveraging out-of-the box GIS network tracing functionality. The categories of network connectivity that will be validated are: Cathodic Protection Areas, Isolation Area Maps, Pressure Districts. With the variations in sources data, it is estimated that this project will require 2.9 FTE's two full years to complete beginning in 2011 through 2012. The estimated labor expense for this group of activities is \$270,000 per year. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp1.pdf 2012 574 574 0.0 1-Sided Adj DREAMS-Driven Monitoring - Additional and Accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection. Applies to qualified DREAMS segments until replacement. Labor for additional monitoring. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp3.pdf 2012 7.0 1-Sided Adj DREAMS-Driven Monitoring - Additional and Accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection. Applies to qualified DREAMS segments until

replacement. Labor for additional monitoring. See Supplemental workpaper

300

2EN002.000 supp3.pdf

2012

300

1-Sided Adj

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type

Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All risers discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf

2012 0 12,702 0 12,702 0.0 1-Sided Adj

This non labor expense is associated with the replacement of risers that fail inspection of the polyethylene (PE) anodeless riser inspection program. See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000_supp4.pdf

2012 0 90 0 90 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Regulator Station Enhancements - This activity will enhance the representation of regulator stations within the GIS. The scope of the GIS conversion represents regulator stations as simplified schematic drawings. This enhancement will enable full details of regulator stations to be visualized, analyzed, traced and queried within the GIS System. Expenses to additional FTE and non labor application enhancements. (DIMP 14). See Supplemental workpaper 2EN002.000 supp1.pdf

2012 Total 4,866 26,231 0 31,097 63.9

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded:

ctermination of Aujustes	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	49	612	1,853
Non-Labor	0	0	982	3,277	4,382
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1,031	3,888	6,235
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.5	7.2	23.1
Adjustments (Nominal \$)) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	ninal \$)				
Labor	0	0	49	612	1,853
Non-Labor	0	0	982	3,277	4,382
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1,031	3,888	6,235
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.5	7.2	23.1
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	9	118	335
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	9	118	335
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.4	4.4
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	3	18	0
Non-Labor	0	0	47	-8	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	51	10	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	61	748	2,188
Non-Labor	0	0	1,029	3,268	4,382
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1,090	4,017	6,570
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.6	8.6	27.5

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P)

Category-Sub: 1. Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Workpaper: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000)									
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>	
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0				
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0				
2007	49	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2296.001	TP1RMC2010042 6172806533	
associated	d with gas distr nent of the DIM	ibution GIS	developme	ent acti	palancing accountivites. Work done . Work is in supp	e prior to the		
2007	-49	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2296.000	TP1RMC2010042 6172806533	
Transfer funds from DIMP balancing account to non-balancing account. Expenses associated with gas distribution GIS development activites. Work done prior to the establishment of the DIMP balancing account in 2008. Work is in support of overall DIMP goals.								
2007	0	982	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2296.001	TP1RMC2010042	
		•			palancing accountivites. Work done	•	6172900877	

Transfer funds from DIMP balancing account to non-balancing account. Expenses associated with gas distribution GIS development activites. Work done prior to the establishment of the DIMP balancing account in 2008. Work is in support of overall DIMP goals.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

2009 Total

C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution (Subpart P) Category:

 Distribution Pipeline Integrity
 Distribution Pipeline Integrity Category-Sub:

r: 2EN002.000 - Distribution Pipeline Integrity								
<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>		
0	-982	0	0.0 C	CTR Transf	To 2200-2296.000	TP1RMC2010042 6172900877		
Transfer funds from DIMP balancing account to non-balancing account. Expenses associated with gas distribution GIS development activites. Work done prior to the establishment of the DIMP balancing account in 2008. Work is in support of overall DIMP goals.								
0	0	0	0.0					
0	0	0	0.0					
	Labor 0 ds from DIMF with gas distri nt of the DIM	Labor NLbr 0 -982 Ids from DIMP balancing a with gas distribution GIS do nt of the DIMP balancing . 0 0	Labor NLbr NSE 0 -982 0 Ids from DIMP balancing account to with gas distribution GIS development of the DIMP balancing account in the DIMP balancing accou	Labor NLbr NSE FTE 0 -982 0 0.0 C Indicate the description of the DIMP balancing account to non-balancing account to non-balancing account in 2008. Note that the DIMP balancing account in 2008.	Labor NLbr NSE FTE Adj Type 0 -982 0 0.0 CCTR Transf Index from DIMP balancing account to non-balancing account with gas distribution GIS development activites. Work done into the DIMP balancing account in 2008. Work is in support	0 -982 0 0.0 CCTR Transf To 2200-2296.000 Ids from DIMP balancing account to non-balancing account. Expenses with gas distribution GIS development activites. Work done prior to the int of the DIMP balancing account in 2008. Work is in support of overall in 0 0 0 0.0		

0.0

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2EN002.000

Supplemental Workpaper Calculations - GIS Enhancements (1 of 4)

GIS System Model Consolidation - Consolidate high pressure GIS System and Distribution GIS systems. In order to minimize impact to compliance with transmission integrity management program regulations and timelines, development of the DIMP GIS system was planned in parallel to the high pressure GIS system that is already in production. High pressure distribution data is stored and maintained by both systems. Ongoing maintenance of high pressure distribution data in both systems is time consuming and will likely cause reporting inconsistencies in the future. This activity supports streamlining of data maintenance procedures and data consistency for assets that are currently found in both systems. (DIMP-1)

Cost Estimate Methodology:

Total Project Cost (\$1,650K)

Labor; Project Managers to lead various aspects of project = 6 FTE x \$100K = \$600K

Non-Labor_(\$1,050K total) – Four year process beginning in 2012 External Contractor for project management = 1 FTE x \$225K = \$225K Miner & Miner Consultant = 3 FTE x \$200K = \$600K Avineon/Infotech, Consultant = \$225

Service line location research and mapping - This activity consists of research and mapping of service pipeline locations that were not able to be captured within the scope of the OpEx GIS conversion activity. The scope of work for the OpEx project was to capture service locations that were depicted on existing source map products and alternatively to capture service locations using automated address searching methods using available tabular data. Upon completion of 33 percent of the conversion for the So Cal Gas Service Territory, only 93 percent of known services could be mapped with this methodology. Additional research into work order files and other documents is required to map service pipe locations for all known services. This activity will support analysis required by the DIMP plan, as well as consistency of data between the GIS mapping products and the tabular service history system. The "post-OpEx" work will be a two-step process. First we will utilize available technology and datasets in an attempt to geocode the unmapped services in a programmatic approach. Any remaining services will require manual research and placement within the GIS. (DIMP-2)

Cost Estimate Methodology/Assumptions:

500,000 unmatched services requiring 15 minutes research each (4 per hour); \$100,000 per GIS skilled contract employee 5-year project, with 12 dedicated contract employees

Total annual cost = \$1,200K beginning in 2011

Supplemental Workpaper Calculations - GIS Enhancements (2 of 4)

Post-conversion data cleanup and research - This activity consists of performing data cleanup activities on converted GIS data. As a side-effect of the GIS conversion process, a comprehensive review of the input data sources throughout the service territory will have been conducted. Data problems identified during conversion are being annotated for future resolution. These problems are inherent in the input data sources and require further research to be resolved. Attempting to resolve these issues during conversion would cause delay in conversion production schedule and is not in scope of the current project. Examples of items that were noted for future resolution during conversion include: Inconsistencies between input data sources, missing data, and illegible data sources. Approximately (60,000) items were identified for future research through completion of the first 33 percent of the converted data. In addition, now that the data is in GIS format, the company now has the ability to perform analysis and queries to find errors and inconsistencies in the data that would have required intense manual scrutiny in the legacy system. (DIMP-6)

Cost Estimate Methodology/Assumptions:

180,000 post conversion items requiring resolution Assume a skilled contractor can resolve approximately 70 items per day \$100,000 per GIS contract employee 5-year project with 2 dedicated positions

Total annual Labor cost = \$200,000 beginning in 2012

GIS Application Enhancements - As data is converted into the GIS, the company now has the ability to perform analysis, queries and develop reports that can help ensure compliance, enhance DIMP risk analysis and streamline operations. Some examples of requested enhancements include:

- Analysis of commercial land base data to assist in identification of business districts to assure correct assignment of leak survey frequency.
- Analysis of leak survey schedules to optimize dispatch of equipment and personnel.
- Enhance the distribution risk analysis model, DREAMS, to include additional risk factors, algorithm upgrades and service data. (DIMP-7)

Cost Estimate Methodology/Assumptions:

This enhancement will require contracting the services of a GIS application development service company. The estimated costs are \$600,000 per year for the envisioned enhancements. This will be a 2-year project beginning in 2011. Since the enhancements will be of benefit to both utilities an estimated 90% SCG and 10% SDG&E split was used in the forecast.

Total annual non-labor cost = \$**540,000**, for 2011 and 2012

Supplemental Workpaper Calculations - GIS Enhancements (3 of 4)

Geographic Boundary Conflation - Digital map conflation is a methodology to reconcile discrepancies between two datasets to get a new and consistent dataset. This activity consists of conflation of company boundaries to be consistent a new vendor supplied landbase base-map. Historically, landbase data such as parcels and right of way data have been maintained by an internally by the company within the legacy system. It was not feasible to convert the company maintained landbase data, so a vendor provided solution was procured. The vendor supplied landbase data does not exactly match the Company's legacy landbase, as a result Company boundaries and grids that were previously derived relative to the legacy base-map need to be reviewed and adjusted to assure that company operations that are driven off of these boundaries are not impacted by the change in the landbase data. (DIMP 10)

Cost Estimate Methodology/Assumptions:

With approximately 34,000 maps, and 4 sides per map it is estimated that it will take 2 skilled GIS personnel, two years to complete this project.

\$100,000 per GIS employee

 $(34 \text{ maps/day}) \times (2FTE) \times (250 \text{ days/year/FTE}) = 17,000 \text{ maps per year,}$

Total annual labor cost = \$200,000, for 2011 and 2012

Regulator Station Enhancements - This activity will enhance the representation of regulator stations within the GIS. The scope of the GIS conversion represents regulator stations as simplified schematic drawings. This enhancement will enable full details of regulator stations to be visualized, analyzed, traced and queried within the GIS System (DIMP 14)

Cost Estimate Methodology/Assumptions:

For Labor: This project is estimated to take one year and require the expertise of 1.3 skilled GIS personnel.

For Non-labor: This enhancement will require additional application enhancements beyond those already addressed. The enhancements are estimated to cost \$90,000.

Total annual labor cost = \$135,000, for 2012 Total Non labor cost = \$90,000 for 2012

Supplemental Workpaper Calculations - GIS Enhancements (4 of 4)

Network Connectivity Data Enhancements - This activity will enhance the converted GIS data leveraging out-of-the box GIS network tracing functionality. The legacy system was not capable of maintaining network connectivity or executing trace functions, therefore, this information could not be translated as part of the data conversion. Currently, activities that involve network tracing require time consuming manual visual inspection of map products. During this activity, network connectivity will be established validated within the GIS to enable more efficient operations. The categories of network connectivity that will be validated are:

Cathodic Protection Areas: Currently cathodic protection area maps are maintained on approximately 19,000 paper maps. This activity will use GIS tools to trace and capture cathodic protection areas within in the GIS and allow for validation against the hard copy maps. Subsequently, the cathodic protection area data in the GIS can be used for query, analysis and risk modeling

Isolation Area Maps: Currently Isolation Area maps are maintained as paper records in a binder. This activity will trace Isolation Areas within the GIS and allow for validation against the paper sources.

Pressure Districts: Each of the approximately 1200 pressure districts will be traced in the GIS and validated against polygons that the GIS connectivity is accurate. (DIMP 11, 12, 13)

A polygon is simply an area outlining a contiguous set of pipelines that are part of a single area. Currently, that work is performed manually. With the data included in GIS, electronic traces can be conducted to verify that the connectivity of the pipelines within a defined polygon is correct and areas that need follow-up can be identified. This is especially true for CP.

Cost Estimate Methodology/Assumptions:

With the variations in sources data, it is estimated that this project will require 2.9 FTE's two full years to complete beginning in 2011 through 2012. The estimated labor expense for this group of activities is \$270,000 per year.

Total annual labor cost = \$270,000, for 2011 and 2012

Southern California Gas Company -- Gas Engineering -- Witness Raymond K. Stanford Supplemental Workpaper Calculations for incremental costs related to Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP)

The sewer lateral inspection program addresses a low probability, but potentially serious issue relating to natural gas pipelines installed using "trenchless

technology" where the natural gas pipeline is bored into the earth and inadvertently penetrates a sewer lateral.

The purpose of the SEU sewer lateral inspection program is to address this facility conflict issue by:

- 1. Developing a communication plan to educate plumbing contractors, equipment rental companies and municipalities;
- 2. Establishing an high priority locate and mark response for plumbers;
- 3. Performing an extensive records review to identify locations where plastic gas lines were installed by cross-bore technologies, and locations where sewer cross-bores are not an issue;
- 4. Performing on-site-site inspections to clear potential facility conflicts;
- 5. Documenting the results of all record reviews and physical inspections;
- 6. Updating Company practices and documentation to reflect new processes;
- 7. Adjust DIMP and program as needed to address new issues that emerge from the knowledge gained.

Workgroup affected: Distribution Integrity Management Program

Methodology: Costs for preforming SLIP is calculated as follows: The scope and magnitude of the program was established based on the potential number of conflicts to be addressed, the amount and format of data to be reviewed, and resolution requirements (See table 1 below). The program and associated costs were spread over five and a half years with a partial year in 2010 to begin program development, records review, contractor identification and training. (See table 2 below)

Labor:

One Program Manager for 2010, Additional Project Manager in 2011, Two Additional Project Managers in 2012 Non-Labor:

Non labor expenses to cover company expnenses and contract labor via local plumbing contractors.

Table 1 Estimated Cost of Sewer Lateral Program at SoCalGas

	Cost (\$ million)	Units (x1000)	
Records review	\$18.05	361	No. of services in program
Field Locating	\$11.90	119	33% of services
Video Inspection	\$5.00	25	7% of services
			No. of potential physical conflict -
Resolve Conflict	\$0.82	0.4	0.1% per mile*
Communications Program	\$0.16		
Grand Total SoCalGas	\$35.930		

Based on SWG SLIP study

Table 2 - Program Schedule

	2010	2011		2012	2013	2014	2015	5 Y	r Total
O&M Costs									
Labor	\$ 100	\$ 200	\$	400	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$ 400	\$	1,900
Non-Labor	\$ 1,690	\$ 3,800	44	7,103	\$ 7,103	\$ 7,103	\$ 7,230	\$	33,903
Total - SCG	\$ 1,790	\$ 4,000	\$	7,503	\$ 7,503	\$ 7,503	\$ 7,630	\$	35,930

Southern California Gas Company Non-Shared Service Workpapers GRC

Southern California Gas Company -- Gas Engineering -- Witness Raymond K. Stanford

Supplemental Workpaper Calculations for incremental costs related to DREAMS-Driven Monitoring

DIMP Threat: Pipeline leakage

Unprotected steel and early generation plastic (non state-of-the-art pipe) within SCG service territories are managed by the DREAMS database application process. The DIMP threat associated with these segments is the potential for developing hazardous leaks. These main and service assets are actively being defined and segmented through the use of the DREAMS program to assess the relative risk and mitigate future leakage through replacement of the highest risk facilities. However, due to various business issues (such as city street dig moratoriums, permit issues, budgetary issues, and limited resources) not all segments can be immediately replaced. As an additional and accelerated action leakage surveys will be conducted on a more frequent basis; thereby reducing the likelihood of the development of hazardous leaks through earlier detection.

Workgroup affected: Distribution Integrity Management Program

Methodology: Costs for preforming DREAMS driven monitoring is calculated as follows:

Identify additional total footage to be surveyed; System survey average per 1000 feet = \$98.15; Construction Technician, \$29.92/ hr (\$2009) with 18.07%V&S = \$73,479annual

Non-Labor:

none identified

SCG Service Territory		Leakage S	urvey Cycle	Annual	Incremental	
Miles	Category	Current	New	Current	New	Increase
1700	Total Miles					
1377	Unprotected Steel	3 yr	1 yr	459	1377	918.0
153	PE Pipe	5 yr	3 yr	30.6	51	20.4
17	PE Pipe Bus Dist	1 yr	6 mo	17	34	17.0
153	Unp Stl Bus Dist	1 yr	6 mo	153	306	153.0

Total Survey Increase (mi) 1108.4 Survey Cost per mile \$ 518.23

Total Annual Cost \$ 574.408 Southern California Gas Company

GRC

Non-Shared Service Workpapers

Year		Total
2010	Half year	\$ 287,204
2011	full year	\$ 574,408
2012	full year	\$ 574,408

Blended labor rate \$65,000 (Grade 4 & 5) Inspection rate 40 risers/day work days 240 days per year inspection rate 9600 risers/yr/fte NL Material cost \$1.00 per riser (tape) Avg Riser replacement cost per Dist. \$307.93

Estimated Repair and Replacement risers

Southern California

Gas Company

Non-Shared Service Workpapers

GRC

		# Dont Pass		
Yr	# Insp	(replace)		Repl Cost
2010	50,000	12,500	\$	3,849,125
2011	100,000	25,000	5	7,698,250
2012	300,000	41,250	5	12,702,113
2013	300,000	41,250	\$	12,702,113
2014	300,000	41,250	\$	12,702,113
2015	300,000	41,250	\$	12,702,113
Totals	1,350,000	202,500		

Cost Schedule 2010 2011 2012 FTE FTE FTE labor NL labor NL labor NL 5.2 \$677,083 100,000 10.4 wax repair \$ 338,542 50,000 \$2,031,250 300,000 31.3 \$ 3,849,125 12,702,113 replace \$ 7,698,250

Southern California Gas Company -- Gas Engineering -- Witness Raymond K. Stanford

Supplemental Workpaper Calculations for incremental costs related to Anodeless Riser Inspection & Mitigation Program

DIMP Threats and root cause:

Assumptions:

Above ground leakage due to atmospheric corrosion - Polyethylene (PE) anodeless risers have a demonstrated propensity toward atmospheric corrosion just below the stopcock in the gas-carrying steel nipple portion of the assembly. The root cause of such corrosion is usually due to environmental conditions that result in a constant or frequent presence of moisture. The environmental moisture factor can be compounded in some AL riser designs by the presence of shrink sleeves and ID tags that can trap and retain moisture against the surface of the steel making them less tolerant to moisture exposure.

Program Summary:

Research has been underway to develop an effective means of mitigating the above ground and ground level corrosion on AL risers. The effort has lead to development of a solution using Trenton Wax Tape, which provides the protection of the above ground section of the riser in the severe environmental conditions that are typical of riser installations. This program will consist of dedicated crew of trained employees to expose, inspect, and apply improved coating system to all AL risers in service. All riser discovered that are leaking or that do not pass the inspection criteria will be replaced with new risers.

Methodology:

Below are tables depicting the assumptions and estimates that are used to develop the resource requirements for this program.

The repair labor is based on the number of inspections divided by the inspection rate; non labor based on number of repairs times cost per repair. The replacement, non labor costs are the product of the number of assumed replacements times the average cost per replacement. At the rate of repair/replacement this is over a 10 year program.

Southern California Gas Company -- Gas Engineering -- Witness Raymond K. Stanford Supplemental Workpaper for incremental costs related to Above Ground Facilities - Vehicular Damage Mitigation

Threat of vehicular damage to above ground gas facilities in the vicinity of roadway intersections due to automobile traffic

The threat of vehicular damage to gas facilities is not new. In fact, SoCalGas has existing procedures to protect meter set assemblies and other above ground facilities (AGF's) as a point of normal business. This program addresses those AGF's that may not be identified by existing procedures, but after additional analysis do require mitigative resolution.

The project scope was to identify all SoCalGas above ground pressurized gas facilities located within a 50ft radius from any corner of a street or highway intersection, or other intersecting transportation pathways intended for routine vehicular traffic. The identified facilities were then reviewed and evaluated for potential risk associated with vehicular impacts causing escaping gas.

Approximately 145,000 potential SEU residential meter set assemblies were identified near an intersection and of those, an estimated 10,492 of those were in SoCalGas service territory and determined to be at high risk of severe vehicle collision and warranting mitigative attention.

Workgroup affected: Distribution Integrity Management Program

Methodology: Costs for installation of Excess Flow Valve (EFV) to small MSA's, FSR's, high pressure taps:

Number installations per year x Estimate of time per installation x Hourly Labor cost for 2-man crew

Non-Labor

ΓΛ1

Non-labor costs (purchase of EFV, City permit, Isolation cut's, Misc. contingency)

IC1

гшт

[AV/ELEIGILIN

Assumptions:

- [A]: Planned program installation units
- [F]: Average cost for City Permit
- [G]: Average cost to excavate and repair surface
- [H]: Contingency for extra's such as additional excavation/paying costs

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[Z] = [AxBx(C+D)]	Z/((C+D)/2)x2080
Labor	Total EFV's	Hours per	Lead CT	CT Hourly		
	installed	installation	Hourly Rate	Rate	Total	FTE
2010	260	4.0	\$37.22	\$ 29.92	\$ 69,826	1.0
2011	1,360	4.0	\$37.22	\$ 29.92	\$ 365,242	5.2
2012	2,255	4.0	\$37.22	\$ 29.92	\$ 605,603	8.7

	[/1]	[-]	[1]	راح	ניין	[/ \	X(ETI TOTTI)]
Non Labor	Total EFV's installed	Cost per EFV	Permit cost	Excavation costs	Contingency		Total
2010	260	\$15.00	\$400.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 75.00	\$	189,800
2011	1,360	\$15.00	\$400.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 75.00	\$	992,800
2012	2,255	\$15.00	\$400.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 75.00	\$	1,646,150

[[]

Southern California Gas Company Non-Shared Service Workpapers GRC

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: D. Public Awareness
Workpaper: 2EN003.000

Summary for Category: D. Public Awareness

		In 2009\$ ((000)					
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast						
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
Labor	1	69	69	69				
Non-Labor	306	344	1,022	1,090				
NSE	0	0	0	0				
Total	307	413	1,091	1,159				
FTE	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.9				

Workpapers belonging to this Category: 2EN003.000 Public Awareness Labor 1 69 69 69 Non-Labor 306 344 1,090 1,022 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 307 413 1,159 1,091 FTE 0.0 0.9 0.9 0.9

Beginning of Workpaper 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: D. Public Awareness
Category-Sub 1. Public Awareness

Workpaper: 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness

Activity Description:

The activities associated with the Public Awareness work group focus on those mandated by 49 CFR Part 192, Section 192.616 requiring the development and implementation of a public awareness program. This program includes the identification of and communication with impacted customers and non-customers. There are specific messages, delivery methods and the frequencies for the communications for each targeted audience. In addition, there are requirements for tracking of communications data analysis and effectiveness evaluations. The program impacts multiple organizations within SoCalGas. Coordination of these efforts is managed within Gas Engineering.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Since the Public Awareness program is a relatively new regulation there is insufficient historical data to provide meaningful trends and averages. Therefore, the 2009 baseline level with identified incremental requirements serves as the best means to forecast ongoing funding needs.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

Since the Public Awareness program is a relatively new regulation there is insufficient historical data to provide meaningful trends and averages. Therefore, the 2009 baseline level with identified incremental requirements serves as the best means to forecast ongoing funding needs.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this work group.

Summary of Results:

Years
Labor
Non-Labor
NSE
Total
FTE

In 2009\$ (000)								
	Adjusted-Recorded				Adjusted-Forecast			
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
0	19	18	17	1	69	69	69	
0	307	263	324	306	344	1,022	1,090	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	326	281	341	307	413	1,091	1,159	
0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: D. Public Awareness Category-Sub: 1. Public Awareness

Workpaper: 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness

Forecast Summary:

		In 2009 \$(000)									
Forecast Method		Base	e Forecas	t	Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjus	ted-Forec	ast	
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Labor	Base YR Rec	1	1	1	68	68	68	69	69	69	
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	306	306	306	38	716	784	344	1,022	1,090	
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	•	307	307	307	106	784	852	413	1,091	1,159	
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	

Forecas

ast Adjustment Details:										
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj_Type					
2010	0	34	0	34	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
PI Confluence, ICAM - Public Awareness module. Public Awareness targeted stakeholder communications tracking system maintenance and licensing fees. (\$40*.85=\$34k for 2010,2011); (\$70kx.85=\$60k for 2012)										
2010	68	0	0	68	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
manageme Additional 0	To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Additional 0.85 FTE to support ongoing program management activities associated with the Public Awareness program. \$68k salary (\$80k x 85% = \$68k)									
2010	0	0	0	0	0.9	1-Sided Adj				
To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Additional 0.85 FTE to support ongoing program management activities associated with the Public Awareness program.										
2010	0	4	0	4	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
manageme	he increased req	on, tracking c	ommunicati	ons, evaluatin	g program	effectiveness.				

Non labor funding for miscellaneous expenses for new FTE. Included are mileage, cell phone, incidentals.

2010 Total 0.9 38 106

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: D. Public Awareness Category-Sub: 1. Public Awareness 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2011 0 500 0 500 0.0 1-Sided Adj The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Residents in Distribution Service Territory, and Residents outside of the Distribuiton Service Territory but still in proximity to transmission lines. 2011 0 50 50 0.0 1-Sided Adj The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Research department in customer data collection and analysis. 2011 n 34 n 34 0.0 1-Sided Adj PI Confluence, ICAM - Public Awareness module. Public Awareness targeted stakeholder communications tracking system maintenance and licensing fees. (\$40*.85=\$34k for 2010,2011); (\$70kx.85=\$60k for 2012) 0 2011 68 0 68 0.0 1-Sided Adj To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Additional 0.85 FTE to support ongoing program management activities associated with the Public Awareness program. \$68k salary (\$80k x 85% = \$68k) 2011 0 0 0 0 0.9 1-Sided Adj To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Additional 0.85 FTE to support ongoing program management activities associated with the Public Awareness program. 2011 128 0 128 0.0 1-Sided Adj Mandated effectiveness surveys due in 2011. Will require approx \$434k in NL to be able to perform. (\$434k-\$325k baseline 2009 = \$128k incremental) 2011 0 4 0 1-Sided Adj 0.0 To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Non labor funding for miscellaneous expenses for new FTE. Included are mileage, cell

phone, incidentals.

Area:

2012

0

10

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: D. Public Awareness Category-Sub: 1. Public Awareness 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2011 Total 68 784 716 0 0.9 2012 0 600 0 600 1-Sided Adj The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Residents in Distribution Service Territory, and Residents outside of the Distribution Service Territory but still in proximity to transmission lines. 2012 0 60 0 60 0.0 1-Sided Adj PI Confluence, ICAM - Public Awareness module. Public Awareness targeted stakeholder communications tracking system maintenance and licensing fees. (\$40*.85=\$34k for 2010,2011); (\$70kx.85=\$60k for 2012) 2012 0 50 0 50 1-Sided Adj 0.0 The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Research department in customer data collection and analysis. 2012 0 30 0 30 1-Sided Adj 0.0 The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Research department in data analysis for non-customers, places of congregation, not within the service territory but along the pipeline right-of-way. 2012 30 n 30 0.0 1-Sided Adj The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Research department in data analysis for non-customers within the Distribution system service territory.

10

0.0 1-Sided Adj

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: D. Public Awareness Category-Sub: 1. Public Awareness 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness Workpaper: Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type The goal of the this program is to improve the public's awareness of pipeline operations and safety issues through enhanced communications with the various stakeholders including the utilities customers and non-customers location within proximity of under transmission facilities. This expense focuses this effort on the following audience: Research department in data analysis for residents in proximity to compressor stations and storage fields. 2012 68 68 0.0 1-Sided Adj To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Additional 0.85 FTE to support ongoing program management activities associated with the Public Awareness program. \$68k salary (\$80k x 85% = \$68k) 2012 0 0.9 1-Sided Adj To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Additional 0.85 FTE to support ongoing program management activities associated with the Public Awareness program. 2012 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program

To handle the increased requirements of stakeholder communications including program management and coordination, tracking communications, evaluating program effectiveness. Non labor funding for miscellaneous expenses for new FTE. Included are mileage, cell phone, incidentals.

2012 Total 68 784 0 852 0.9

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: D. Public Awareness
Category-Sub: 1. Public Awareness

Workpaper: 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded:

ctermination of Aujustea	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	0	0	1
Non-Labor	0	284	250	325	306
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	284	250	325	307
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	15	15	14	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	15	15	14	0
FTE	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nom	inal \$)				
Labor	0	15	15	14	1
Non-Labor	0	284	250	325	306
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	298	265	339	307
FTE	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
Vacation & Sick (Nominal	\$)				
Labor	0	3	3	3	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	3	3	3	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	2	1	0	0
Non-Labor	0	24	12	-1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	25	13	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cons	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	19	18	17	1
Non-Labor	0	307	263	324	306
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	326	281	342	307
FTE	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: D. Public Awareness
Category-Sub: 1. Public Awareness

Workpaper: 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nom	inal \$ (000)		
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	15	15	14	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	15	15	14	0
FTE	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID				
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0							
2006	15	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0322.000	TP1RMC2009102 7112220480				
	Transfer Costs from Pipeline Design Cost Center to SCG Public Awareness Cost Center to more accurately reflect costs of program management within the appropriate cost										
2006	0	0	0	0.2	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0322.000	TP1RMC2009102				
	7112638283 Transfer FTE from Pipeline Design Cost Center to SCG Public Awareness Cost Center to more accurately reflect costs of program management within the appropriate cost center.										
2006 Total	15	0	0	0.2							
2007	15	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0322.000	TP1RMC2009102				
	Transfer Costs from Pipeline Design Cost Center to SCG Public Awareness Cost Center to more accurately reflect costs of program management within the appropriate cost center.										
2007	0	0	0		CCTR Transf	From 2200-0322.000	TP1RMC2009102 7113023163				

Transfer FTE from Pipeline Design Cost Center to SCG Public Awareness Cost Center to more accurately reflect costs of program management within the appropriate cost center.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: D. Public Awareness Category-Sub:
Workpaper: 1. Public Awareness

Workpaper: 2EN003.000 - Public Awareness

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID		
2007 Total	15	0	0	0.2					
2008 14 0 0 0.0 CCTR Transf From 2200-0322.000 TP1RMC2009102 Transfer Costs from Pipeline Design Cost Center to SCG Public Awareness Cost Center to more accurately reflect costs of program management within the appropriate cost center.									
2008 0 0 0 0.2 CCTR Transf From 2200-0322.000 TP1RMC200							TP1RMC2009102 7113147053		
2008 Total	14	0	0	0.2					
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0					

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Summary of Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

- A. General Engineering
- B. Pipeline Integrity
- C. Pipeline Integrity Distribution IMP
- D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
- E. USS Billed to CCTR

Total

	In 2009 \$ (000) "Book Expense"									
Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast									
2009	2010	2011	2012							
8,282	8,882	8,924	9,206							
3,216	5,708	5,691	5,700							
190	189	189	343							
603	670	670	670							
86	134	134	134							
12,377	15,583	15,608	16,053							

In 2009\$ (000) "Book Expense"

2010

7,133

Adjusted-Forecast

7,343

2012

7,434

4.8

2011

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Cost Center: VARIOUS

Labor

FTE

Summary for Category: A. General Engineering

Adjusted-Recorded

6,971

2009

	,	,	•	,
Non-Labor	1,311	1,749	1,581	1,772
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	8,282	8,882	8,924	9,206
FTE	89.5	93.6	96.1	97.1
L				
Cost Centers belongin				
2200-0300.000 Directo	or of Engineering and Techr	nical Services		
Labor	397	378	378	378
Non-Labor	14	13	13	13
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	411	391	391	391
FTE	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
2200-0302.000 Operat	tions Technology Manager			
Labor	229	220	220	220
Non-Labor	11	10	10	10
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	240	230	230	230
FTE	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1
2200-0306.000 Work	Management & Databases			
Labor	465	407	407	407
Non-Labor	17	205	17	17
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	482	612	424	424
FTE	6.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
2200-0307.000 Websit	te/ Database/ Sever Support	t		
Labor	422	451	451	451
Non-Labor	5	6	6	6
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	427	457	457	457
FTE	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2
2200-0309.000 Measu	rement, Regulation, Contro	ls Manager & Special P		
Labor	366	354	354	354
Non-Labor	114	227	227	227
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	480	581	581	581
			JO 1	

4.8

4.8

5.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Cost Center: VARIOUS

		009\$ (000) "Book E		
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
	urement and Design			
Labor	805	804	848	848
Non-Labor	330	269	273	273
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	1,135	1,073	1,121	1,121
FTE	10.1	10.4	10.9	10.9
200-0311.000 Meası	urement Technologies			
Labor	662	610	610	610
Non-Labor	83	68	68	68
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	745	678	678	678
FTE	8.6	7.8	7.8	7.8
			7.0	
200-0312.000 Meast Labor	urement Field Support 711	026		836
		836	836	172
Non-Labor	151	172	172	
NSE	0	0	0	1.000
Total	862	1,008	1,008	1,008
FTE	9.8	11.8	11.8	11.8
200-0318.000 Engin	eering Design Manager			
Labor	271	287	287	287
Non-Labor	105	141	141	305
NSE	0	0	0	C
Total	376	428	428	592
FTE	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2
200-0321.000 Mecha	anical Design			
Labor	274	294	294	294
Non-Labor	18	28	28	28
NSE	0	0	0	C
Total	292	322	322	322
FTE	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.6
		0.0	3.0	0.0
	ing & Project Development	FCF		070
Labor	539	565	619	673
Non-Labor	60	83	96	107
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	599	648	715	780
FTE	5.1	5.7	6.2	6.7
200-0799.000 Instru	ment Repair & Field Maintenan	ce Supervision		
Labor	448	476	476	476
Non-Labor	244	293	293	293
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	692	769	769	769
FTE	6.4	7.6	7.6	7.6

SCG/ENGINEERING/Exh No:SCG-05-WP/Witness: R. Stanford Pages 78 of 321

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Cost Center: VARIOUS

[In	2009\$ (000) "Book E	xpense"	
	Adjusted-Recorded	,		
	2009	2010	2011	2012
2200-1178.000 EAC C	hemical Section			
Labor	1,008	1,049	1,161	1,198
Non-Labor	150	210	226	242
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	1,158	1,259	1,387	1,440
FTE	12.5	13.4	14.9	15.4
2200-2248.000 Measu	rement & Regulation Standar	ds, Materials, BTU D	istricts	
Labor	374	402	402	402
Non-Labor	9	24	11	11
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	383	426	413	413
FTE	5.0	5.4	5.4	5.4

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Activity Description:

This cost center captures the activities and expenses associated with the Director of Gas Engineering and the organizations' administrative and financial support functions. Expenses are typically for gas transmission, underground storage, and gas distribution-related engineering services and associated costs.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The labor expense requirements for this cost center have been consistent over recorded historical data. This trend is expected to continue. As such the 5 year average methodology was chosen as best representing the future expense requirements.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The non labor expense requirements for this cost center have been consistent over recorded historical data. This trend is expected to continue. As such the 5 year average methodology was chosen as best representing the future expense requirements.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)								
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast	
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	_evel)			
Labor	411	429	419	394	421	413	413	413	
Non-Labor	16	18	12	10	15	14	14	14	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	427	447	431	404	436	427	427	427	
FTE	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
					ations Out				
Labor	17	58	52	29	24	35	35	35	
Non-Labor	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	17	59	53	29	25	36	36	36	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					etained				
Labor	394	371	367	365	397	378	378	378	
Non-Labor	16	17	11	10	14	13	13	13	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	410	388	378	375	411	391	391	391	
FTE	3.8	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.5	
					cations In				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					Expense				
Labor	394	371	367	365	397	378	378	378	
Non-Labor	16	17	11	10	14	13	13	13	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	410	388	378	375	411	391	391	391	
FTE	3.8	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.5	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	orded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	23	0	0	23	0.20
5	0	0	5	0.00	18	0	0	18	0.00
416	15	0	431	3.70	372	14	0	386	3.30
95.42%	95.41%				95.38%	95.38%			
4.58%	4.59%				4.62%	4.62%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
397	14	0	411		355	13	0	368	
19	1	0	20		17	1	0	18	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
421	15	0	436	3.70	413	14	0	427	3.50
24	1	0	25		35	1	0	36	
397	14	0	411		378	13	0	391	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
397	14	0	411		378	13	0	391	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
23	0	0	23	0.20	23	0	0	23	0.20		
18	0	0	18	0.00	18	0	0	18	0.00		
372	14	0	386	3.30	372	14	0	386	3.30		
95.38%	95.38%				95.38%	95.38%					
4.62%	4.62%				4.62%	4.62%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
355	13	0	368		355	13	0	368			
17	1	0	18		17	1	0	18			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
413	14	0	427	3.50	413	14	0	427	3.50		
35	1	0	36		35	1	0	36			
378	13	0	391		378	13	0	391			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
378	13	0	391		378	13	0	391			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

This Cost Center is for the Director of Gas Eng and administrative and financial support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Director and his administrative support (2200-0300). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0300 is calculated as the ratio between Gas Eng labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 4.96% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 4.96% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, financial and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Gas Eng Cost Centers is estimated at \$23,676,907. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2009 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Gas Eng is \$1,173,859. 1173859/23676907=.0495782, or 4.96%). This 4.96% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$295K (295000*.0496=14632). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 14632/318460=.0459461, or 4.59%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

This Cost Center is for the Director of Gas Eng and administrative and financial support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Director and his administrative support (2200-0300). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0300 is calculated as the ratio between total Gas Eng labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 5.55% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 5.55% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, financial and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Gas Eng Cost Centers is estimated at \$25,475,927. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Gas Eng is \$1,413,573.81. 1413573.81/25475927=.055486649, or 5.55%). This 5.55% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$303,850K (303850*.055486649=16859.6183). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 16859.6183/365204=.046164933, or 4.62%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

This Cost Center is for the Director of Gas Eng and administrative and financial support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Director and his administrative support (2200-0300). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0300 is calculated as the ratio between total Gas Eng labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 5.55% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 5.55% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, financial and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Gas Eng Cost Centers is estimated at \$25,475,927. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Gas Eng is \$1,413,573.81.

1413573.81/25475927=.055486649, or 5.55%). This 5.55% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$303,850K (303850*.055486649=16859.6183). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 16859.6183/365204=.046164933, or 4.62%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

This Cost Center is for the Director of Gas Eng and administrative and financial support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Director and his administrative support (2200-0300). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0300 is calculated as the ratio between total Gas Eng labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 5.55% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 5.55% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, financial and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Gas Eng Cost Centers is estimated at \$25,475,927. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Gas Eng is \$1,413,573.81.

1413573.81/25475927=.055486649, or 5.55%). This 5.55% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$303,850K (303850*.055486649=16859.6183). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 16859.6183/365204=.046164933, or 4.62%.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"											
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast				
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012		
Labor	5-YR Average	413	413	413	0	0	0	413	413	413		
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	14	14	14	0	0	0	14	14	14		
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	-	427	427	427		0	0	427	427	427		
FTE	5-YR Average	3.7	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.7	3.7		

Fore

e	cast Adjustment I	Details:					
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	313	334	338	325	357
Non-Labor	645	185	12	10	15
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	958	518	349	335	371
FTE	3.2	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.2
Adjustments (Nominal \$) *	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	-632	-168	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-632	-168	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nomi	inal \$)				
Labor	313	334	338	325	357
Non-Labor	14	17	12	10	15
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	327	351	349	335	371
FTE	3.2	3.1	3.2	2.9	3.1
Vacation & Sick (Nominal	\$)				
Labor	53	60	59	63	64
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	53	60	59	63	64
FTE	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	46	35	22	6	0
Non-Labor	2	2	1	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	47	37	23	6	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cons	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	412	429	419	394	421
Non-Labor	15	18	12	10	15
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	427	447	431	403	436
FTE	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.4	3.7

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs		
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	-632	-168	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-632	-168	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2005	0	554	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009100 7120528793
Accounting	g correction ad	justment, re	eversal of 2/	15/20	05 accrual postin	g error.	7120526793
2005	0	-600	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009100 7120734500
Accounting	g correction, re	versal of 12	2/29/2005 co	ost po	sting error.		7 1207 0 1000
2005	0	-585	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2170.000	TP1RMC2009100
Transfer o	f DOT pipeline	safety fee	expense. (C	E 623	3820)		7121024673
2005 Total	0	-632	0	0.0			
2006	0	168	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2170.000	TP1RMC2009091 8144154873
	s entry from fir to erroneous			fy the	initial \$167,715 a	adjustment.	
2006	0	600	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009100 7123500373
Accounting	g correction, re	versal of 2/	1/2006 accr	ual po	osting error.		712000073
2006	0	-161	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009100 7123630127
Accounting	g correction, re	versal of 12	2/1/2006 cos	st pos	ting error.		1123030121
2006	0	-607	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2170.000	TP1RMC2009100 7123728597
Transfer of	f DOT pipeline	safety fee	expense.				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0300.000 - Director of Engineering and Technical Services

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2006	0	-168	0	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2010043
One time	expense for co	nsultant worl	k. Not exp	pexted to c	ontinue in fu	ture years.	0142758393
2006 Total	0	-168	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Activity Description:

This cost center has administrative, managerial and budgetary oversight over the following engineering activities; pipeline engineering; development of gas standards and design; and public awareness. Personnel consist of Department Manager, Project Manager, and Administrative Support individual.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5 year average serves as the best indication of the ongoing requirements for this organization. Historical data indicate that activities and staffing levels have been transient, driven by unforeseen requests. This trend is expected to continue so the best estimate for future requirements is the five year average.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5 year average serves as the best indication of the ongoing requirements for this organization. Historical data indicate that activities and staffing levels have been transient, driven by unforeseen requests. This trend is expected to continue so the best estimate for future requirements is the five year average.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses associated with this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)									
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast		
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
				Total Incurr		Level)				
Labor	223	348	379	264	280	297	297	297		
Non-Labor	120	195	179	134	109	146	146	316		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	343	543	558	398	389	443	443	613		
FTE	2.4	3.7	3.9	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2		
					ations Out					
Labor	6	9	12	3	9	10	10	10		
Non-Labor	0	5	8	5	4	5	5	11		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	6	14	20	8	13	15	15	21		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Retained									
Labor	217	339	367	261	271	287	287	287		
Non-Labor	120	190	171	129	105	141	141	305		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	337	529	538	390	376	428	428	592		
FTE	2.4	3.7	3.9	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2		
					cations In					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					Expense					
Labor	217	339	367	261	271	287	287	287		
Non-Labor	120	190	171	129	105	141	141	305		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	337	529	538	390	376	428	428	592		
FTE	2.4	3.7	3.9	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
0	0	0	0	0.00	1	18	0	19	0.00		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	1	0	1	0.00		
280	109	0	389	3.00	296	127	0	423	3.20		
96.70%	96.71%				96.48%	96.48%					
3.30%	3.29%				3.52%	3.52%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
271	105	0	376		286	123	0	409			
9	4	0	13		10	4	0	14			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
280	109	0	389	3.00	297	146	0	443	3.20		
9	4	0	13		10	5	0	15			
271	105	0	376		287	141	0	428	_		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
271	105	0	376		287	141	0	428			

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
1	18	0	19	0.00	1	18	0	19	0.00		
0	1	0	1	0.00	0	1	0	1	0.00		
296	127	0	423	3.20	296	297	0	593	3.20		
96.48%	96.48%				96.48%	96.48%					
3.52%	3.52%				3.52%	3.52%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
286	123	0	409		286	287	0	573			
10	4	0	14		10	10	0	20			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
297	146	0	443	3.20	297	316	0	613	3.20		
10	5	0	15		10	11	0	21			
287	141	0	428		287	305	0	592			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
287	141	0	428		287	305	0	592			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

This is a Cost Center that covers labor expenses for a manager, adminstrative assistant, and Project Manager for pipeline design and gas standards for both utilities (which is based on total miles of pipe and the ratio of this pipe assigned to each utility for Cost Centers 2100-3563 and 2200-0322 and a ratio of engine/comprssor Horse Power for Cost Center 2200-0321). A portion of the labor for the Manager and administrator (40% each) and all of the Project Manager's time (a total of \$165,600 Labor \$'s) are reallocated based on the amount of time spent supporting Shared activities. 5.989645% of the entire departmental expenses (not counting the \$165.6K labor) is subject to reallocation. (Total budget=2618392-165600=2452792. Of this \$2,618,392 a total of \$146,914 is subject to reallocation. 146914/2452792=.05989645 or 6%. Therefore, reallocation for the labor costs for the Manager and Admin are 165600*.06, or 9936. \$9,936 is 3.3% of the total dollars in the cost center. 9936/301460=.032959, or 3.3%)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

This is a Cost Center that includes labor expenses for a manager, adminstrative assistant, and Project Manager for pipeline design and gas standards for both utilities (which is based on total miles of pipe and the ratio of this pipe assigned to each utility for Cost Centers 2100-3563 and 2200-0322 and a ratio of engine/compressor Horse Power for Cost Center 2200-0321). Labor for the Manager, administrator, and Project Manager total of \$245,000. The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0318 is calculated as the ratio between total Engineering Design labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 4.02% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 4.02% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, administrative and project engineering support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Engineering Design Cost Centers is estimated at \$108,247.63. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center is \$2,691,373.52. 108247.63/2691373.52=.04022022, or 4.02%). This 4.02% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$245K (245000*.0402=9853.95). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 9853.95/280006=.035191924, or 3.52%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

This is a Cost Center that includes labor expenses for a manager, adminstrative assistant, and Project Manager for pipeline design and gas standards for both utilities (which is based on total miles of pipe and the ratio of this pipe assigned to each utility for Cost Centers 2100-3563 and 2200-0322 and a ratio of engine/compressor Horse Power for Cost Center 2200-0321). Labor for the Manager, administrator, and Project Manager total of \$245,000. The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0318 is calculated as the ratio between total Engineering Design labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 4.02% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 4.02% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, administrative and project engineering support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Engineering Design Cost Centers is estimated at \$108,247.63. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center is \$2,691,373.52. 108247.63/2691373.52=.04022022, or 4.02%). This 4.02% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$245K (245000*.0402=9853.95). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 9853.95/280006=.035191924, or 3.52%.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

This is a Cost Center that includes labor expenses for a manager, adminstrative assistant, and Project Manager for pipeline design and gas standards for both utilities (which is based on total miles of pipe and the ratio of this pipe assigned to each utility for Cost Centers 2100-3563 and 2200-0322 and a ratio of engine/compressor Horse Power for Cost Center 2200-0321). Labor for the Manager, administrator, and Project Manager total of \$245,000. The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0318 is calculated as the ratio between total Engineering Design labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 4.02% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 4.02% is the appropriate figure for the supervision, administrative and project engineering support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Engineering Design Cost Centers is estimated at \$108,247.63. Total \$s estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center is \$2,691,373.52. 108247.63/2691373.52=.04022022, or 4.02%). This 4.02% is then applied to the salaries of the three employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$245K (245000*.0402=9853.95). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 9853.95/280006=.035191924, or 3.52%.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast			
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	
Labor	5-YR Average	297	297	297	0	0	0	297	297	297	
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	146	146	146	0	0	170	146	146	316	
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	-	443	443	443		0	170	443	443	613	
FTE	5-YR Average	3.2	3.2	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj_Type	
2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0
2012	0	170	0	170	0.0 1-Sided Adj

Incremental resources to develop tools allowing for the integration of data from earthquake threat identification assessments into the GIS. See Supplemental workpaper 2200-0318.000_Supp1.pdf for additional project details.

|--|

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

•	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	169	271	305	218	237
Non-Labor	107	179	169	131	109
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	276	450	475	349	347
FTE	2.1	3.1	3.4	2.4	2.5
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	169	271	305	218	237
Non-Labor	107	179	169	131	109
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	276	450	475	349	347
FTE	2.0	3.1	3.3	2.4	2.5
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	ıl \$)				
Labor	29	48	53	42	43
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	29	48	53	42	43
FTE	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	25	28	20	4	0
Non-Labor	13	16	10	2	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	38	44	30	6	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	223	347	379	264	280
Non-Labor	120	195	179	133	109
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	343	542	558	397	390
FTE	2.4	3.7	3.9	2.9	3.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0318.000 - Engineering Design Manager

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>ReflD</u>
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2200-0318.000

Gas Engineering – Witness, Raymond K. Stanford

Supplemental Workpaper in support of the Geologic and Seismic Hazard Assessment Program

Business Purpose

The purpose of this ongoing program is to continue the assessment and monitoring of geologic and seismic hazards along transmission lines and distribution supply lines and within underground gas storage fields. These hazards, including fault ruptures, earthquake-induced liquefaction and landslides, must be identified and understood in order to mitigate their potential adverse effects on the gas infrastructure. Mitigation of these potential hazards will help ensure public safety and service reliability.

Physical Description

This program will utilize the services of companies specializing in aerial and spatial earth surface imaging and geologic and geotechnical engineering. These services will be used to 1) identify where transmission lines and distribution supply lines cross areas subject to ground deformation from geologic and seismic hazards, 2) develop cost-effective strategies for prioritizing areas that require more detailed evaluation to characterize the hazard, and 3) provide recommendations for cost-effective mitigation options. As part of this program, SoCalGas will continue its hazard assessment and mitigation efforts at Aliso Canyon Gas Storage Field.

Project Justification

SoCalGas transmission line and distribution supply line systems must cross areas subject to ground deformation from geologic and seismic events. Geologic and seismic hazards of fault rupture, liquefaction, and land sliding are common to southern California, and mitigating these hazards by avoidance is simply not an option in many areas. In addition, much of the SoCalGas transmission line and distribution supply line systems were installed prior to the modern understanding of the distribution and severity of these types of hazards. Therefore, a more complete understanding of the areas subject to these hazards is sought. The geologic and seismic hazard assessment program began in the early 1990s and the work completed to date has been funded under the Engineering Design Department budget at a rate between \$40K and \$125K per year. The proposed increase in funding of \$200K per year is due to the implementation of new technologies to better identify and monitor hazards that would otherwise go undetected.

Cost estimate calculations

The total annual cost for this program, beginning in 2011 and ending in 2013, is \$170K (contract labor).

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Activity Description:

The Mechanical Design engineering group provides technical expertise in the development and implementation of mechanical engineering strategies and designs related to transmission and storage facilities, including compressor stations, instrument air systems, exhaust systems, pressure vessels, field piping, fire protection systems, and gas processing facilities.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

As the foundation for future labor expense requirements, the 5 year average was chosen. The nature of work performed by the Mechanical Design department, has proven to be consistent over time as evident by historical data. It is predicted that the current activity levels and program support functions will be sustained moving forward. As such, the 5 year average would sufficiently meet the future funding requirements.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

As the foundation for future non labor expense requirements, the 5 year average was chosen. The nature of work performed by the Mechanical Design department, has proven to be consistent over time as evident by historical data. It is predicted that the current activity levels and program support functions will be sustained moving forward. As such, the 5 year average would sufficiently meet the future funding requirements.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There ar no non-standard escalation expenses associated with this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)											
		Adjus	ted-Record		Adjı	sted-Fored	orecast					
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	Total Incurred (100% Level)											
Labor	464	272	223	278	279	301	301	301				
Non-Labor	71	28	14	17	18	28	28	28				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	535	300	237	295	297	329	329	329				
FTE	5.7	3.3	2.6	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.6				
					ations Out							
Labor	43	8	0	0	5	7	7	7				
Non-Labor	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	53	9	0	0	5	7	7	7				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					etained							
Labor	421	264	223	278	274	294	294	294				
Non-Labor	61	27	14	17	18	28	28	28				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	482	291	237	295	292	322	322	322				
FTE	5.6	3.2	2.6	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.6				
					cations In							
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					Expense							
Labor	421	264	223	278	274	294	294	294				
Non-Labor	61	27	14	17	18	28	28	28				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	482	291	237	295	292	322	322	322				
FTE	5.6	3.2	2.6	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.6	3.6				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	32	0	0	32	0.40
0	0	0	0	0.00	2	0	0	2	0.00
279	18	0	297	3.10	267	28	0	295	3.20
98.31%	98.30%				98.30%	98.30%			
1.69%	1.70%				1.70%	1.70%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
274	18	0	292		262	28	0	290	
5	0	0	5		5	0	0	5	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
279	18	0	297	3.10	301	28	0	329	3.60
5	0	0	5		7	0	0	7	
274	18	0	292	·	294	28	0	322	·
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
274	18	0	292		294	28	0	322	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
32	0	0	32	0.40	32	0	0	32	0.40
2	0	0	2	0.00	2	0	0	2	0.00
267	28	0	295	3.20	267	28	0	295	3.20
98.30%	98.30%				98.30%	98.30%			
1.70%	1.70%				1.70%	1.70%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
262	28	0	290		262	28	0	290	
5	0	0	5		5	0	0	5	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
301	28	0	329	3.60	301	28	0	329	3.60
7	0	0	7		7	0	0	7	
294	28	0	322		294	28	0	322	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
294	28	0	322		294	28	0	322	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Department activities are estimated at 75% support for SoCal Gas Storage and 25% SoCal Gas and SDG&E Transmission. Of the 25% Transmission support, services to be provided and departmental expenditures to be incurred on behalf of SDG&E are based on an analysis of the compressors and engines in each utility. This compressor/engine data shows a total of 212,762 Horsepower (HP) for SoCal Gas and 15,485 HP for SDG&E for a total of 228,247 HP. The ratio of the two utilities HP is then 93.22:6.78 respectively. (212762/228247=.9322 and 15485/228247=.0678). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 6.78% of 25% of the total anticipated expenditure .0678*.25=.01695, or 1.7%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Department activities are estimated at 75% support for SoCal Gas Storage and 25% SoCal Gas and SDG&E Transmission. Of the 25% Transmission support, services to be provided and departmental expenditures to be incurred on behalf of SDG&E are based on an analysis of the compressors and engines in each utility. This compressor/engine data shows a total of 212,762 Horsepower (HP) for SoCal Gas and 15,485 HP for SDG&E for a total of 228,247 HP. The ratio of the two utilities HP is then 93.22:6.78 respectively. (212762/228247=.9322 and 15485/228247=.0678). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 6.78% of 25% of the total anticipated expenditure .0678*.25=.01695, or 1.7%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Department activities are estimated at 75% support for SoCal Gas Storage and 25% SoCal Gas and SDG&E Transmission. Of the 25% Transmission support, services to be provided and departmental expenditures to be incurred on behalf of SDG&E are based on an analysis of the compressors and engines in each utility. This compressor/engine data shows a total of 212,762 Horsepower (HP) for SoCal Gas and 15,485 HP for SDG&E for a total of 228,247 HP. The ratio of the two utilities HP is then 93.22:6.78 respectively. (212762/228247=.9322 and 15485/228247=.0678). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 6.78% of 25% of the total anticipated expenditure .0678*.25=.01695, or 1.7%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Department activities are estimated at 75% support for SoCal Gas Storage and 25% SoCal Gas and SDG&E Transmission. Of the 25% Transmission support, services to be provided and departmental expenditures to be incurred on behalf of SDG&E are based on an analysis of the compressors and engines in each utility. This compressor/engine data shows a total of 212,762 Horsepower (HP) for SoCal Gas and 15,485 HP for SDG&E for a total of 228,247 HP. The ratio of the two utilities HP is then 93.22:6.78 respectively. (212762/228247=.9322 and 15485/228247=.0678). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 6.78% of 25% of the total anticipated expenditure .0678*.25=.01695, or 1.7%.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast	Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Labor	5-YR Average	301	301	301	0	0	0	301	301	301	
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	28	28	28	0	0	0	28	28	28	
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	•	329	329	329	0	0	0	329	329	329	
FTE	5-YR Average	3.6	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.6	3.6	

Forecast Adjustment Details:

reca	ist Adjustment De	talis:					
<u>Y</u>	<u>ear/Expl.</u>	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

ctermination of Aujustee	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	353	212	180	229	237
Non-Labor	63	25	13	17	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	415	238	193	246	254
FTE	4.7	2.8	2.2	2.8	2.6
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	ninal \$)				
Labor	353	212	180	229	237
Non-Labor	63	25	13	17	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	415	238	193	246	254
FTE	4.8	2.8	2.2	2.8	2.6
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	I \$)				
Labor	60	38	31	44	43
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	60	38	31	44	43
FTE	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.5
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	51	22	12	4	0
Non-Labor	8	2	1	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	59	25	13	4	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	464	273	223	278	279
Non-Labor	71	28	14	17	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	535	300	237	295	297
FTE	5.7	3.3	2.6	3.4	3.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 1. Engineering Design

Cost Center: 2200-0321.000 - Mechanical Design

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>ReflD</u>
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Activity Description:

This cost center provides the general management and all administrative support for approximately 72 employees performing work in shared cost centers 2200-0310, 2200-0311, 2200-0312, 2200-2248, 2200-0799; and for similar support of non-shared cost center 2200-2265. The shared cost centers are for engineering policy, design, material selection, testing and field support related to measurement, gas regulation, automated control systems for pipelines and compressor stations and other instrumentation for both SoCalGas and SDG&E. Expenses are typically for transmission and gas distribution-related engineering services and associated costs.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-yr average expenditures of \$372K for labor is appropriate to provide for this cost center as it provides longer period to evaluate yearly fluctuation in contactor use and employee periodic assignment on capital projects, which results in reduced or deferred O&C work. All subordinate cost centers to cc 2200-0309 are also forecast on 5-year average as basis.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-yr average expenditures of \$372K for labor is appropriate to provide for this cost center as it provides longer period to evaluate yearly fluctuation in contactor use and employee periodic assignment on capital projects, which results in reduced or deferred O&C work. All subordinate cost centers to cc 2200-0309 are also forecast on 5-year average as basis.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Summary of Results:

		In 2009\$ (000)											
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adjı	ısted-Fore	cast					
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012					
	Total Incurred (100% Level)												
Labor	378	341	345	373	427	411	411	411					
Non-Labor	287	300	313	279	138	266	266	266					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	665	641	658	652	565	677	677	677					
FTE	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8					
	Allocations Out												
Labor	49	47	47	49	61	57	57	57					
Non-Labor	38	40	41	42	24	39	39	39					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	87	87	88	91	85	96	96	96					
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
				Re	etained								
Labor	329	294	298	324	366	354	354	354					
Non-Labor	249	260	272	237	114	227	227	227					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	578	554	570	561	480	581	581	581					
FTE	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8					
					cations In								
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Book Expense												
Labor	329	294	298	324	366	354	354	354					
Non-Labor	249	260	272	237	114	227	227	227					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	578	554	570	561	480	581	581	581					
FTE	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8					

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
7	0	0	7	0.00	3	3	0	6	0.00		
7	7	0	14	0.00	2	3	0	5	0.00		
413	131	0	544	5.00	406	260	0	666	4.80		
87.01%	87.00%				86.45%	86.45%					
12.99%	13.00%				13.55%	13.55%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
359	114	0	473		351	224	0	575			
54	17	0	71		55	36	0	91			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
427	138	0	565	5.00	411	266	0	677	4.80		
61	24	0	85		57	39	0	96			
366	114	0	480		354	227	0	581			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
366	114	0	480		354	227	0	581			

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Alloc. Out
Total Retained
Allocations In
Book Expense

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
3	3	0	6	0.00	3	3	0	6	0.00
2	3	0	5	0.00	2	3	0	5	0.00
406	260	0	666	4.80	406 260		0	666	4.80
86.45%	86.45%				86.45%	86.45%			
13.55%	13.55%				13.55%	13.55%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
351	224	0	575		351	224	0	575	
55	36	0	91		55	36	0	91	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
411	266	0	677	4.80	411	266	0	677	4.80
57	39	0	96		57	39	0	96	
354	227	0	581		354	227	0	581	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
354	227	0	581		354	227	0	581	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%, 840529/6306098). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%, 5465569/6306098).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"											
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast				
<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>				
Labor	5-YR Average	371	371	371	40	40	40	411	411	411		
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	262	262	262	4	4	4	266	266	266		
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	-	633	633	633	44	44	44	677	677	677		
FTE	5-YR Average	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	4.8	4.8	4.8		

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	40	0	0	40	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Transfer \$40,000 labor from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning is now a shared service activity provided to both utilities. This transfer of resources reflects that change.

2010 0 4 0 4 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Transfer \$4,000 non-labor from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning is now a shared service activity provided to both utilities. This transfer of resources reflects that change.

2010 0 0 0 0.5 1-Sided Adj

Transfer labor resource from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning is now a shared service activity provided to both utilities. This transfer of resources reflects that change.

2010 Total	40	4	0	44	0.5	
2011	40	0	0	40	0.0 1-Side	ed Adj
. ,					2200-0309. CN0	
reflects that cl		vice activity p	provided to b	our unines. T	his transfer of res	sources
2011	0	4	0	4	0.0 1-Side	ed Adj

Transfer \$4,000 non-labor from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning is now a shared service activity provided to both utilities. This transfer of resources reflects that change.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2011	0	0	0	0	0.5 1-Sided Adj

Transfer of personel from non-shared cc 2200-2265 to shared cc 2200-0309. CNG station planning is now a shared service activity provided to both utilities. This transfer of resources reflects that change.

2044 Total	40	4	0	44	0.5	
2011 Total	40	4	0	44	0.5	
2012	40	0	0	40	0.0 1-Sided Adj	
Transfer \$40,	000 labor from i	non-shared o	c 2200-2265	to shared cc	2200-0309. CNG station	1
planning is no	ow a shared ser	vice activity p	provided to b	oth utilities. T	nis transfer of resources	
reflects that c	hange.					
2012	0	4	0	4	0.0 1-Sided Adi	
2012	U	4	U	4	0.0 1-Sided Adj	
Transfer \$4.0	00 non-labor fro	m non-share	nd oc 2200-2	265 to shared	cc 2200-0309. CNG	
. ,					ties. This transfer of	
•	lects that chang		ictivity provid	ica to both ath	ucs. This transier of	
1000010001011	iooto triat oriarig	.				
2012	0	0	0	0	0.5 1-Sided Adj	
Transfer of pe	ersonel from nor	n-shared cc 2	2200-2265 to	shared cc 22	00-0309. CNG station	

planning is now a shared service activity provided to both utilities. This transfer of resources reflects that change.

2012 Total 40 4 0 44 0.5

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	423	479	332	308	361
Non-Labor	261	276	296	275	138
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	683	755	628	583	500
FTE	5.6	6.2	4.4	4.0	4.3
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	-135	-214	-54	0	0
Non-Labor	-6	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-141	-214	-54	0	0
FTE	-2.0	-3.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	287	265	278	308	361
Non-Labor	255	276	296	275	138
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	542	541	574	583	500
FTE	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.9	4.2
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	ıl \$)				
Labor	49	47	49	59	65
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	49	47	49	59	65
FTE	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	42	28	18	5	0
Non-Labor	32	25	17	4	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	73	52	35	10	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	378	341	345	373	427
Non-Labor	286	301	313	279	138
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	665	641	658	652	565
FTE	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.7	5.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"									
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009				
Labor	-135	-214	-54	0	0				
Non-Labor	-6	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	-141	-214	-54	0	0				
FTE	-2.0	-3.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0				

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005	-135	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112 163325190
Transfer ex opened dur ongoing ac requiremen	103323190						
2005	0	-6	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112 163417737
opened dur	ing 2007. Thistivities and pro	s adjustmen	t positions	s both		2248, which was etter reflect their resource	
2005	0	0	0	-2.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112
during 2007	7.This adjustm	ent position	s both cos	st cente	Ctr 2200-2248, wers to better refle f future resource	0 0	163908303
2005 Total	-135	-6	0	-2.0			
2006	-214	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112 164023447

Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0309.000 - Measurement, Regulation, Controls Manager & Special Projects

Transfer FTEs for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements. 2006 Total								
Transfer FTEs for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements. 2006 Total	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
Transfer FTEs for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements. 2006 Total	2006	0	0	0	-3.0 C	CTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112
2007 -54 0 0 0.0 CCTR Transf To 2200-0312.000 TPLGL20091112 Transfer expenditures for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements. 2007 0 0 0 -1.0 CCTR Transf To 2200-0312.000 TPLGL20091112 Transfer FTEs for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. 2007 Total -54 0 0 -1.0 2008 Total 0 0 0 0.0	during 20	104103003						
Transfer expenditures for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements. 2007 0 0 0 -1.0 CCTR Transf To 2200-0312.000 TPLGL20091112 Transfer FTEs for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. 2007 Total -54 0 0 -1.0 2008 Total 0 0 0 0.0	2006 Total	-214	0	0	-3.0			
Transfer FTEs for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. 2007 Total -54 0 0 -1.0 2008 Total 0 0 0 0.0	Transfer 6 2200-312	expenditures for S . This adjustmen	Sulfur and (at positions	Gas Qual	ity activit	ies that were m	noved to Cost Ctr t their ongoing	TPLGL20091112 164912537
Transfer FTEs for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. 2007 Total -54 0 0 -1.0 2008 Total 0 0 0 0.0	2007	0	0	0	-1.0 C	CTR Transf	To 2200-0312.000	TPLGL20091112
2008 Total 0 0 0.0			nd Gas Qua	ality activ	ities that	were moved to	Cost Ctr	164944567
-	2007 Total	-54	0	0	-1.0			
-								
2009 Total 0 0 0 0.0	2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total 0 0 0 0.0								
•	2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Activity Description:

This cost center provides detailed engineering design, planning, policy, equipment standards and consultation to operations related to: large meter and regulator stations, California producer gas facilities, interstate pipeline inter-connects, pressure protection for pipelines and related automated controls. All electrical and control system engineering associated with the design, operation; and the related compliance the safety aspects of large gas handling facilities also reside within this cost center and are contained within the associated cost. These engineering services are provided for both SoCalGas and SDG&E. Design, material specifications and policy are typically managed for gas transmission, storage and gas distribution assets; and supports the operational personnel associated with those entities.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-yr average expenditures are appropriate to provide for Engineering Measurement and Design activities as it represents and accounts for the fluctuation in activities from year to year. A five-year average + upward pressure is the forecast choice. There is less than 2% difference between three and five year total expense trend for this cost center. An increase in compliance activity is driving the need for an additional \$90,000. This compliance activity is directly attributable to OSHA's Arc Flash requirements.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-year average was chosen due to the nature of the activities performed within this organization. Additional resources are required and included as incremental to the 5-yr average. Arc Flash non-labor is \$10k due to extended travel and expenses associated with this work (extensive site visits throughout SDGE and SCG service territory.) Employee non-labor expense for design employee with normal travel and training: \$5000 per year.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Summary of Results:

				In 200	09\$ (000)							
		Adjus	sted-Record	ed		Adj	usted-Fore	cast				
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
				Total Incur	red (100% l	Level)						
Labor	867	910	816	963	903	930	980	980				
Non-Labor	300	228	295	285	366	303	308	308				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	1,167	1,138	1,111	1,248	1,269	1,233	1,288	1,288				
FTE	9.6	10.1	8.9	10.9	10.1	10.4	10.9	10.9				
	Allocations Out											
Labor	98	103	138	135	98	126	132	132				
Non-Labor	26	23	33	30	36	34	35	35				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	124	126	171	165	134	160	167	167				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Retained											
Labor	769	807	678	828	805	804	848	848				
Non-Labor	274	205	262	255	330	269	273	273				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	1,043	1,012	940	1,083	1,135	1,073	1,121	1,121				
FTE	9.6	10.0	8.3	10.4	10.0	10.2	10.7	10.7				
					cations In							
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					k Expense							
Labor	769	807	678	828	805	804	848	848				
Non-Labor	274	205	262	255	330	269	273	273				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	1,043	1,012	940	1,083	1,135	1,073	1,121	1,121				
FTE	9.6	10.0	8.3	10.4	10.0	10.2	10.7	10.7				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-For	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
14	1	0	15	0.10	8	3	0	11	0.10
11	0	0	11	0.00	24	0	0	24	0.00
878	365	0	1,243	9.90	898	300	0	1,198	10.10
90.06%	90.06%				88.57%	88.57%			
9.94%	9.94%				11.43%	11.43%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
704	200		1 100		700	000		4.000	
791	329	0	1,120		796	266	0	1,062	
87	36	0	123		102	34	0	136	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
903	366	0	1,269	10.00	930	303	0	1,233	10.20
98	36	0	134		126	34	0	160	
805	330	0	1,135		804	269	0	1,073	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
805	330	0	1,135		804	269	0	1,073	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
8	3	0	11	0.10	8	3	0	11	0.10
24	0	0	24	0.00	24	0	0	24	0.00
948	305	0	1,253	10.60	948	305	0	1,253	10.60
88.57%	88.57%				88.57%	88.57%			
11.43%	11.43%				11.43%	11.43%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
840	270	0	1,110		840	270	0	1,110	
108	35	0	143		108	35	0	143	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
980	308	0	1,288	10.70	980	308	0	1,288	10.70
132	35	0	167		132	35	0	167	
848	273	0	1,121	·	848	273	0	1,121	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
848	273	0	1,121		848	273	0	1,121	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%, 840529/6306098). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%, 5465569/6306098). This Cost Center provides support to SoCal Gas Storage in FERC Accts 814-835. (January-November 2008 actual total was \$212,042. This is normalized for the year to \$231,318. 212042/11=19276.54*12=231318). Those dollars were removed from the total and percentages were corrected to reduce them from the total. (984240-231318=752922*.13=97,880 which should be allocated. 97880/984240=.099447 or 9.94%)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813). This Cost Center provides support to SoCal Gas Storage in FERC Accts 814-835. (January-November 2009 actual total was \$148,219. This is normalized for the year to \$161,693. 148219/11=13474.42*12=161693). 3% Labor inflation was calculated as 5079 and added for a total of 166772 (161693+5079=166772). Those dollars were removed from the total and percentages were corrected to reduce them from the total. (1067215-166772=900443*.13.55=122010 which should be allocated. 122010/1067215=.114325629 or 11.43%)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813). This Cost Center provides support to SoCal Gas Storage in FERC Accts 814-835. (January-November 2009 actual total was \$148,219. This is normalized for the year to \$161,693. 148219/11=13474.42*12=161693). 3% Labor inflation was calculated as 5079 and added for a total of 166772 (161693+5079=166772). Those dollars were removed from the total and percentages were corrected to reduce them from the total. (1067215-166772=900443*.13.55=122010 which should be allocated. 122010/1067215=.114325629 or 11.43%)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813). This Cost Center provides support to SoCal Gas Storage in FERC Accts 814-835. (January-November 2009 actual total was \$148,219. This is normalized for the year to \$161,693. 148219/11=13474.42*12=161693). 3% Labor inflation was calculated as 5079 and added for a total of 166772 (161693+5079=166772). Those dollars were removed from the total and percentages were corrected to reduce them from the total. (1067215-166772=900443*.13.55=122010 which should be allocated. 122010/1067215=.114325629 or 11.43%)

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"											
Forecast Method		Bas	e Forecas	t	Foreca	ist Adjustr	nents	Adjust	ted-Foreca	ast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012		
Labor	5-YR Average	890	890	890	40	90	90	930	980	980		
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	293	293	293	10	15	15	303	308	308		
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total		1,183	1,183	1,183	50	105	105	1,233	1,288	1,288		
FTE	5-YR Average	9.9	9.9	9.9	0.5	1.0	1.0	10.4	10.9	10.9		

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	40	0	0	40	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	urces required for s, drawings, etc. (am compliar	nce. Maintain	ARC Flas	sh Program -
2010	0	10	0	10	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	resources required s, drawings, etc.	l for OSHA p	rogram com	pliance. Main	tain ARC	Flash Program -
2010	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj
	urces required for	OSHA progra	am compliar	nce. Maintain	ARC Flas	sh Program -

calculations, drawings, etc.

2010 Total	40	10	0	50	0.5					
2011	50	0	0	50	0.0 1-Sided Adj					
Engineering labor resource required to support upgrades of aging vaults and reg stations. Design engineering needed for increased special designs related to reg station aging, and in particular vaults requiring replacement. (1/2 of \$100k)										
2011	0	5	0	5	0.0 1-Sided Adj					
			-		gn engineering needed ular vaults requiring					
2011	40	0	0	40	0.0 1-Sided Adj					

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design Cost Center: Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Labor resources required for OSHA program compliance. Maintain ARC Flash Program calculations, drawings, etc. (1/2 of \$80k) 2011 0 10 1-Sided Adj 0.0 Non-labor resources required for OSHA program compliance. Maintain ARC Flash Program calculations, drawings, etc. 2011 0 0 0.5 1-Sided Adj Labor resources required for OSHA program compliance. Maintain ARC Flash Program calculations, drawings, etc. 2011 0.5 1-Sided Adj Engineering labor resource required to support upgrades of aging vaults and reg stations. Design engineering needed for increased special designs related to reg station aging, and in particular vaults requiring replacement. 90 **2011 Total** 105 1.0 2012 40 0 0 40 1-Sided Adj Labor resources required for OSHA program compliance. Maintain ARC Flash Program calculations, drawings, etc. (1/2 of \$80k) 0 2012 10 10 1-Sided Adj Non-labor resources required for OSHA program compliance. Maintain ARC Flash Program calculations, drawings, etc. 2012 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj Labor resources required for OSHA program compliance. Maintain ARC Flash Program calculations, drawings, etc. 2012 0 50 50 0.0 1-Sided Adj Engineering labor resource required to support upgrades of aging vaults and reg stations. Design engineering needed for increased special designs related to reg station aging, and in particular vaults requiring replacement. (1/2 of \$100k) 2012 1-Sided Adj 0.5

Engineering labor resource required to support upgrades of aging vaults and reg stations. Design engineering needed for increased special designs related to reg station aging, and in particular vaults requiring replacement.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type		
2012	0	5	0	5	0.0 1-Sided Adj		

Engineering to support upgrade of aging vaults and reg stations. Design engineering needed for increased special designs related to reg station aging, and in particular vaults requiring replacement.

2012 Total	90	15	0	105	1.0
ZUIZ IUIAI	30	10	U	เบอ	1.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	752	770	657	796	765
Non-Labor	273	209	278	281	366
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,025	979	935	1,077	1,131
FTE	9.3	9.6	7.5	9.1	8.6
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	-93	-61	0	0	0
Non-Labor	-6	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-99	-61	0	0	0
FTE	-1.2	-1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	659	708	657	796	765
Non-Labor	267	209	278	281	366
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	926	918	935	1,077	1,131
FTE	8.1	8.6	7.5	9.1	8.5
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	112	127	115	153	138
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	112	127	115	153	138
FTE	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.6
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	96	74	43	14	0
Non-Labor	33	19	16	4	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	129	93	59	18	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	•				
Labor	867	909	815	963	903
Non-Labor	300	228	294	285	366
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,167	1,138	1,109	1,248	1,269
FTE	9.6	10.1	8.9	10.9	10.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs		
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	-93	-61	0	0	0
Non-Labor	-6	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-99	-61	0	0	0
FTE	-1.2	-1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>ReflD</u>				
2005	-93	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112				
Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.											
2005	0	-6	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112 164252950				
opened du ongoing ac	Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.										
2005	0	0	0	-1.2	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112				
Transfer FTEs for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.											
2005 Total	-93	-6	0	-1.2							
2006	-61	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112 164443360				

Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

0

2009 Total

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0310.000 - Measurement and Design

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID			
2006	0	0	0	-1.0 C	CTR Transf	To 2200-2248.000	TPLGL20091112			
Transfer FTEs for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.										
2006 Total	-61	0	0	-1.0						
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0						
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0						

0

0.0

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Activity Description:

The Measurement Technologies Team under this cost center is responsible for testing, evaluation, selection, deployment strategic planning and policies and practices associated with gas metering equipment, ranging from the smallest residential diaphragm meters to the largest ultrasonic meters and electronic measurement equipment. This work is conducted on behalf of both SDGE and SoCalGas. This group is also responsible for managing the company's meter and regulator maintenance and inspection scheduling and reporting system, for providing auditing of company measurement sites to ensure compliance with policy and technical specifications; and for conducting engineering studies to determine replacement and performance enhancement strategies for installed measurement infrastructure.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-yr average expenditures are appropriate to provide for Engineering Measurement Technologies activities as it represents and accounts for the fluctuation in activities from year to year. While a three-year average results in higher recorded expenses, a 5-year average consistent with all cost centers subordinate to cc 2200-0309 being employed.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-yr average expenditures are appropriate to provide for Engineering Measurement Technologies activities as it represents and accounts for the fluctuation in activities from year to year. While a three-year average or base year actual spend both result in higher recorded expenses, a 5-year average consistent with all cost centers subordinate to cc 2200-0309 being employed.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no non-standard escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)											
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast				
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	Total Incurred (100% Level)											
Labor	694	704	700	677	762	706	706	706				
Non-Labor	44	58	76	125	95	79	79	79				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	738	762	776	802	857	785	785	785				
FTE	7.3	7.6	7.7	7.8	8.6	7.8	7.8	7.8				
		Allocations Out										
Labor	90	93	91	88	100	96	96	96				
Non-Labor	6	8	10	16	12	11	11	11				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	96	101	101	104	112	107	107	107				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					etained							
Labor	604	611	609	589	662	610	610	610				
Non-Labor	38	50	66	109	83	68	68	68				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	642	661	675	698	745	678	678	678				
FTE	7.3	7.6	7.7	7.8	8.6	7.8	7.8	7.8				
					cations In							
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					Expense							
Labor	604	611	609	589	662	610	610	610				
Non-Labor	38	50	66	109	83	68	68	68				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	642	661	675	698	745	678	678	678				
FTE	7.3	7.6	7.7	7.8	8.6	7.8	7.8	7.8				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
3	1	0	4	0.00	1	1	0	2	0.00
1	0	0	1	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
758	94	0	852	8.60	705	78	0	783	7.80
87.01%	87.01%				86.45%	86.45%			
12.99%	12.99%				13.55%	13.55%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
659	82	0	741		609	67	0	676	
99	12	0	111		96	11	0	107	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
762	95	0	857	8.60	706	79	0	785	7.80
100	12	0	112		96	11	0	107	
662	83	0	745		610	68	0	678	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
662	83	0	745		610	68	0	678	

Divertily Detained						
Directly Retained						
Directly Allocated						
Subj. To % Alloc.						
% Allocation						
Retained						
SEU						
CORP						
Unreg						
\$ Allocation						
Retained						
SEU						
CORP						
Unreg						
Total Incurred						
Total Alloc. Out						
Total Retained						
Allocations In						
Book Expense						

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
1	1	0	2	0.00	1	1	0	2	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
705	78	0	783	7.80	705	78	0	783	7.80	
86.45%	86.45%				86.45%	86.45%				
13.55%	13.55%				13.55%	13.55%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
609	67	0	676		609	67	0	676		
96	11	0	107		96	11	0	107		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
706	79	0	785	7.80	706	79	0	785	7.80	
96	11	0	107		96	11	0	107		
610	68	0	678		610	68	0	678		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
610	68	0	678		610	68	0	678		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%, 840529/6306098). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%, 5465569/6306098).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjusted-Forecast			
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	
Labor	5-YR Average	706	706	706	0	0	0	706	706	706	
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	79	79	79	0	0	0	79	79	79	
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	-	785	785	785		0	0	785	785	785	
FTE	5-YR Average	7.8	7.8	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	7.8	7.8	

Fore

e	cast Adjustment I	Details:					
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	527	548	565	559	645
Non-Labor	39	53	72	123	94
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	567	601	637	682	740
FTE	6.2	6.5	6.5	6.5	7.2
Adjustments (Nominal \$)) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	527	548	565	559	645
Non-Labor	39	53	72	123	94
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	567	601	637	682	740
FTE	6.2	6.4	6.5	6.5	7.2
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	90	98	99	108	117
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	90	98	99	108	117
FTE	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	77	58	37	10	0
Non-Labor	5	5	4	2	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	82	62	41	12	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	694	704	700	677	762
Non-Labor	44	58	76	125	94
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	738	762	776	802	856
FTE	7.3	7.6	7.7	7.8	8.6

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0311.000 - Measurement Technologies

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Activity Description:

The labor and non-labor expenses for this cost center are for employees who provide planning, hands on support, technical guidance, policy, procedures and training in the areas of large automated control systems for gas compressor stations, pipelines, California Producers, metering and regulating stations and anciliary equipment for both SDGE and SCG. The gas systems and operational personnel supported include gas transmission, distribution and underground storage. Occasional support provided to customer services. This cost center also provides field support to maintain over 200 field computers used by distribution/transmission and storage field personnel to program, calibrate and configure electronic field instruments such as measurement systems, gas chromatographs and programmable logic controllers.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-year average was chosen due to the nature of the activities performed within this organization. Added resources are required for this cost center to account for increase activity to manage producer gas quality in one-hour averaging . Approximately 40 gas producers will require more technical support when their gas feed to SoCalGas' pipelines are shut in at more frequent intervals. The tracking of such shut-ins via remote monitoring systems and the management of those systems is also and upward pressure which will be incurred by this cost center.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-year average was chosen due to the nature of the activities performed within this organization. Added upward pressure related to California producer hourly gas quality management are attributable to increases in employee travel and expenses to respond to programming and data processing issues, and maintenance of hardware, software and communications costs to manage additional remote monitoring and capture of producer gas quality data from on-site gas chromatographs.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no non-standard escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)									
		Adjus	sted-Record	ed		Adjı	usted-Fore	cast		
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
				Total Incur	red (100% L	_evel)				
Labor	1,006	997	820	1,025	834	985	985	985		
Non-Labor	191	217	184	156	181	204	204	204		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1,197	1,214	1,004	1,181	1,015	1,189	1,189	1,189		
FTE	12.2	11.7	10.3	12.6	9.8	11.8	11.8	11.8		
		Allocations Out								
Labor	132	129	116	183	123	149	149	149		
Non-Labor	25	30	29	32	30	32	32	32		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	157	159	145	215	153	181	181	181		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					etained					
Labor	874	868	704	842	711	836	836	836		
Non-Labor	166	187	155	124	151	172	172	172		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1,040	1,055	859	966	862	1,008	1,008	1,008		
FTE	12.2	11.7	10.2	11.9	9.6	11.6	11.6	11.6		
					cations In					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					k Expense					
Labor	874	868	704	842	711	836	836	836		
Non-Labor	166	187	155	124	151	172	172	172		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1,040	1,055	859	966	862	1,008	1,008	1,008		
FTE	12.2	11.7	10.2	11.9	9.6	11.6	11.6	11.6		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	orded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
6	1	0	7	0.10	2	0	0	2	0.00		
18	8	0	26	0.00	17	5	0	22	0.00		
810	172	0	982	9.50	966	199	0	1,165	11.60		
87.01%	87.01%				86.45%	86.45%					
12.99%	12.99%				13.55%	13.55%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
705	150	0	855		834	172	0	1,006			
105	22	0	127		132	27	0	159			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
834	181	0	1,015	9.60	985	204	0	1,189	11.60		
123	30	0	153		149	32	0	181			
711	151	0	862		836	172	0	1,008			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
711	151	0	862		836	172	0	1,008			

Directly Retained						
Directly Allocated						
Subj. To % Alloc.						
% Allocation						
Retained						
SEU						
CORP						
Unreg						
\$ Allocation						
Retained						
SEU						
CORP						
Unreg						
Total Incurred						
Total Alloc. Out						
Total Retained						
Allocations In						
Book Expense						

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
2	0	0	2	0.00	2	0	0	2	0.00	
17	5	0	22	0.00	17	5	0	22	0.00	
966	199	0	1,165	11.60	966	199	0	1,165	11.60	
86.45%	86.45%				86.45%	86.45%				
13.55%	13.55%				13.55%	13.55%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
834	172	0	1,006		834	172	0	1,006		
132	27	0	159		132	27	0	159		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
985	204	0	1,189	11.60	985	204	0	1,189	11.60	
149	32	0	181		149	32	0	181		
836	172	0	1,008		836	172	0	1,008		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
836	172	0	1,008		836	172	0	1,008		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%, 840529/6306098). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%, 5465569/6306098).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Forecast Summary:

		In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"								
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012
Labor	5-YR Average	935	935	935	50	50	50	985	985	985
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	184	184	184	20	20	20	204	204	204
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		1,119	1,119	1,119	70	70	70	1,189	1,189	1,189
FTE	5-YR Average	11.3	11.3	11.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	11.8	11.8	11.8

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Quality Management. (1/2 \$100k)

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type					
2010	50	0	0	50	0.0	1-Sided Adj					
	Additional labor resources required to provide software maintenance, data analysis, failure response, spare parts inventory maintenance, parts replacement. Gas Producer Hourly Gas										

2010 0 20 0 20 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Additional non-labor resources required to provide parts replacement and spare parts inventory maintenance in preparation for contractual and failure response activities. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management.

2010 0 0 0 0.5 1-Sided Adj

Additional labor resources required to provide software maintenance, data analysis, failure response, spare parts inventory maintenance, parts replacement. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management

2010 Total	50	20	0	70	0.5					
2011	50	0	0	50	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
Additional labor resources required to provide software maintenance, data analysis, failure response, spare parts inventory maintenance, parts replacement. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management. (1/2 \$100k)										
2011	0	20	0	20	0.0	1-Sided Adj				

Additional non-labor resources required to provide parts replacement and spare parts inventory maintenance in preparation for contractual and failure response activities. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

2012 Total

50

20

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	<u>dj Type</u>
2011	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj

Additional labor resources required to provide software maintenance, data analysis, failure response, spare parts inventory maintenance, parts replacement. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management

	-								
2011 Total	50	20	0	70	0.5				
2012	50	0	0	50	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Additional labor resources required to provide software maintenance, data analysis, failure response, spare parts inventory maintenance, parts replacement. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management. (1/2 \$100k)									
2012	0	20	0	20	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Additional non-labor resources required to provide parts replacement and spare parts inventory maintenance in preparation for contractual and failure response activities. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management.									
2012	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj			
Additional labor resources required to provide software maintenance, data analysis, failure response, spare parts inventory maintenance, parts replacement. Gas Producer Hourly Gas Quality Management									

70

0.5

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	765	776	607	846	706
Non-Labor	169	199	175	155	181
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	934	975	782	1,001	887
FTE	10.3	9.9	7.7	10.5	8.3
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	54	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	54	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	765	776	661	846	706
Non-Labor	169	199	175	155	181
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	934	975	835	1,001	887
FTE	10.3	9.9	8.7	10.5	8.3
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	130	139	115	163	128
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	130	139	115	163	128
FTE	1.9	1.8	1.6	2.1	1.5
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	111	81	44	15	0
Non-Labor	21	18	10	2	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	132	99	53	17	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	1,006	996	819	1,024	834
Non-Labor	190	216	185	157	181
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,197	1,212	1,004	1,181	1,015
FTE	12.2	11.7	10.3	12.6	9.8

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0312.000 - Measurement Field Support

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"								
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Labor	0	0	54	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	54	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0			

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID				
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0							
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0							
2007	54	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0309.000	TPLGL20091112				
2200-312.	Transfer expenditures for Sulfur and Gas Quality activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-312. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.										
2007	0	0	0	1.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0309.000	TPLGL20091112				
Transfer F 2200-312.	TEs for Sulfur	and Gas Qı	uality activi	ties tha	at were moved to	Cost Ctr	164944567				
2007 Total	54	0	0	1.0							
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0							
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0							

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Activity Description:

The resources in this organization provide: calibration of temperature and pressure gauges and secondary standards used by field personnel to maintain gas facilities, field inspection of large metering facilities using bore scoping techniques, maintenance of all company gas standards used to test and calibrate gas meters and the laboratory configuration, programming testing and laboratory repair/assessment of all electronic measurement devices used for customer billing. Special meter testing is also conducted on gas meters removed from the field where safety or other matters are investigated. This cost center also provides for the maintenance, troubleshooting repair and upgrade of all "bell provers" (primary measurement test standards) used by the both SDGE and SCG to test over 100,000 meters annually.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-year average was chosen due to retain consistency among all cost centers suboordinate to cc 2200-0309. This methodology accurately averages fluctuations is cc labor expense due to normal staffing issues, like retirements/rehire time skew. Labor increase is for added calibrations required to support 600 new gauges used by customer service personnel to set 2 psi service pressures. Accurate pressure set is important for billing accuracy and service safety. Minor labor increase to process and test field rotary provers following rebuild.

Non-Labor - 3-YR Average

The 3 year average was chosen for non labor expenses due to the changes in activities affecting this cost center since 2005. These changes are now part of normal ongoing operations and are forecast to continue. The increase is due to rebuild of ten provers each year at \$3,000 per unit...cost paid to 3rd party. This rebuild is a 1/10-year event for each field prover. Added non labor cost for gauges include replacement gauges, shipping packaging and test equipment purchase to support the recalibration processes. These additional costs are not reflected in the 5-year average and thus why that methodology is not sufficient to meet ongoing needs.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no non-standard escalation expeneses for this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)										
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast			
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	Level)					
Labor	478	498	477	412	477	492	492	492			
Non-Labor	13	107	227	280	257	309	309	309			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	491	605	704	692	734	801	801	801			
FTE	8.1	7.6	7.8	6.0	6.4	7.6	7.6	7.6			
					ations Out						
Labor	0	1	2	0	29	16	16	16			
Non-Labor	0	1	1	0	13	16	16	16			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	2	3	0	42	32	32	32			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					etained						
Labor	478	497	475	412	448	476	476	476			
Non-Labor	13	106	226	280	244	293	293	293			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	491	603	701	692	692	769	769	769			
FTE	8.1	7.6	7.8	6.0	6.4	7.6	7.6	7.6			
					cations In						
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Book Expense										
Labor	478	497	475	412	448	476	476	476			
Non-Labor	13	106	226	280	244	293	293	293			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	491	603	701	692	692	769	769	769			
FTE	8.1	7.6	7.8	6.0	6.4	7.6	7.6	7.6			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
14	52	0	66	0.00	194	23	0	217	2.40
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
463	205	0	668	6.40	298	286	0	584	5.20
93.81%	93.81%				94.44%	94.44%			
6.19%	6.19%				5.56%	5.56%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
434	192	0	626		282	270	0	552	
29	13	0	42		16	16	0	32	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
477	257	0	734	6.40	492	309	0	801	7.60
29	13	0	42		16	16	0	32	
448	244	0	692		476	293	0	769	·
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
448	244	0	692		476	293	0	769	•

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
194	23	0	217	2.40	194	23	0	217	2.40		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
298	286	0	584	5.20	298	286	0	584	5.20		
94.44%	94.44%				94.44%	94.44%					
5.56%	5.56%				5.56%	5.56%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
282	270	0	552		282	270	0	552			
16	16	0	32		16	16	0	32			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
492	309	0	801	7.60	492	309	0	801	7.60		
16	16	0	32		16	16	0	32			
476	293	0	769		476	293	0	769			
0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0			
476	293	0	769		476	293	0	769			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Shared services allocation covers Field maintenance and instrument repair support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of \$38,000, or 13% of five technicians' productive time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%). The reallocation is based on an average salary of \$70,000 per technician less 17% for V&S. (5*70,000=350,000*.83=290,500*13% =37,765 or ~ \$38K) In order to derive a \$38,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$37,765/\$610,250=6.19%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Shared services allocation covers Field maintenance and instrument repair support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of ~\$39,000, or 13% of five technicians' productive time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%). The reallocation is based on an average salary of \$72,000 per technician less 17% for V&S. (5*72,000=360,000*.83=298,800*13% =38,844 or ~\$39K) In order to derive a \$39,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$38,844/\$698,067=.055645, or 5.56%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Shared services allocation covers Field maintenance and instrument repair support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of ~\$39,000, or 13% of five technicians' productive time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%). The reallocation is based on an average salary of \$72,000 per technician less 17% for V&S. (5*72,000=360,000*.83=298,800*13% =38,844 or ~\$39K) In order to derive a \$39,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$38,844/\$698,067=.055645, or 5.56%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Shared services allocation covers Field maintenance and instrument repair support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of ~\$39,000, or 13% of five technicians' productive time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%). The reallocation is based on an average salary of \$72,000 per technician less 17% for V&S. (5*72,000=360,000*.83=298,800*13% =38,844 or ~\$39K) In order to derive a \$39,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$38,844/\$698,067=.055645, or 5.56%.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Foreca	ıst Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast			
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	
Labor	5-YR Average	467	467	467	25	25	25	492	492	492	
Non-Labor	3-YR Average	254	254	254	55	55	55	309	309	309	
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	-	721	721	721	80	80	80	801	801	801	
FTE	5-YR Average	7.2	7.2	7.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	7.6	7.6	7.6	

Forecast Adjustment Details:

	djustment De		All bu	NCE	Total	CTC	Ad: Tuna
Year/E	<u> </u>	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Adj_Type</u>
2010)	5	0	0	5	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	abor resource ield transfer p	e required to n rovers.	nanage the re	build and re	ecertification o	f 35 gas m	easurement
2010)	0	0	0	0	0.1	1-Sided Adj
	abor resource ield transfer p	e required to n rovers.	nanage the re	build and re	ecertification o	f 35 gas m	easurement
2010)	0	30	0	30	0.0	1-Sided Adj
tr a	ransfer prover	rs. Mfg recom nformance wit	mended main	itenance an	d upgrade to	ensure cor	
2010)	20	0	0	20	0.0	1-Sided Adj
N p	Metrology Lab oressure gaug		n/l:Annual ma	aintenance/d vice operation	calibration and on of 80,000 (I repair of 6 and rapidly	•
2010)	0	25	0	25	0.0	1-Sided Adj
N p	Metrology Lab oressure gaug		n/l:Annual ma customer ser	aintenance/o vice operation	calibration and on of 80,000 (I repair of 6 and rapidly	•
2010)	0	0	0	0	0.3	1-Sided Adj

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2 PSI enhanced CSI from builder perspetive multi family - forward looking to single family. Metrology Lab 1/4 FTE plus n/l:Annual maintenance/calibration and repair of 600 new pressure gauges to support customer service operation of 80,000 (and rapidly expanding in number) 2 psi services. NIST traceabilibty required for above standard delivery billing factor. 2010 Total 55 2011 0 0 0 0 0.1 1-Sided Adj Labor resource required to manage the rebuild and recertification of 35 gas measurement field transfer provers. 2011 30 0 30 0.0 1-Sided Adj Non-labor requirements in support of rebuild and recertification of 35 gas measurement field transfer provers. Mfg recommended maintenance and upgrade to ensure continued accuracy in conformance with CPUC requirements for prover accuracy. Rebuild 10/year at \$3000 per unit. 2011 20 0 0 20 0.0 1-Sided Adj 2 PSI enhanced CSI from builder perspetive multi family - forward looking to single family. Metrology Lab 1/4 FTE plus n/l:Annual maintenance/calibration and repair of 600 new pressure gauges to support customer service operation of 80,000 (and rapidly expanding in number) 2 psi services. NIST traceabilibty required for above standard delivery billing factor. 2011 0 25 0 25 0.0 1-Sided Adj 2 PSI enhanced CSI from builder perspetive multi family- forward looking to single family. Metrology Lab 1/4 FTE plus n/l:Annual maintenance/calibration and repair of 600 new pressure gauges to support customer service operation of 80,000 (and rapidly expanding in number) 2 psi services. NIST traceabilibty required for above standard delivery billing factor. 2011 2 PSI enhanced CSI from builder perspetive multi family - forward looking to single family. Metrology Lab 1/4 FTE plus n/l:Annual maintenance/calibration and repair of 600 new pressure gauges to support customer service operation of 80,000 (and rapidly expanding in number) 2 psi services. NIST traceabilibty required for above standard delivery billing factor. 2011 5 0 5 1-Sided Adj 0.0

Labor resource required to manage the rebuild and recertification of 35 gas measurement field transfer provers.

ENGINEERING Area: Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost 0

Center:	2200-0799.000) - Instrument	Repair & Fig	eld Maintenar	nce Supe	ervision
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type
2011 Total	25	55	0	80	0.4	ı
2012	0	0	0	0	0.1	1-Sided Adj
Labor reso	urce required to a er provers.	manage the re	ebuild and re	certification o	of 35 gas	measurement
2012	5	0	0	5	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Labor reso field transfe	urce required to a er provers.	manage the re	ebuild and re	certification o	of 35 gas	measurement
2012	0	30	0	30	0.0	1-Sided Adj
transfer pro	overs. Mfg recon	nmended mair	ntenance an	d upgrade to	ensure c	
2012	20	0	0	20	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Metrology pressure g	anced CSI from b Lab 1/4 FTE plus auges to support psi services. NIS	n/l:Annual macustomer ser	aintenance/c vice operatio	alibration and on of 80,000 (d repair of and rapi	of 600 new
2012	0	25	0	25	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Metrology pressure g	anced CSI from b Lab 1/4 FTE plus auges to support psi services. NIS	n/l:Annual macustomer ser	aintenance/c vice operatio	alibration and on of 80,000 (d repair of and rapi	of 600 new
2012	0	0	0	0	0.3	3 1-Sided Adj
Metrology pressure g	anced CSI from b Lab 1/4 FTE plus auges to support psi services. NIS	n/l:Annual macustomer ser	aintenance/c vice operatio	alibration and on of 80,000 (d repair of and rapi	of 600 new
2012 Total	25	55	0	80	0.4	1

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	1,265	1,214	796	340	404
Non-Labor	12	259	415	276	257
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,277	1,473	1,211	616	661
FTE	18.9	17.4	11.6	5.0	5.4
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	-902	-825	-411	0	0
Non-Labor	0	-160	-200	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-902	-985	-611	0	0
FTE	-12.0	-11.0	-5.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	363	388	384	340	404
Non-Labor	12	99	215	276	257
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	375	487	599	616	661
FTE	6.9	6.4	6.6	5.0	5.4
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	ıl \$)				
Labor	62	69	67	66	73
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	62	69	67	66	73
FTE	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	53	41	25	6	0
Non-Labor	1	9	12	4	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	54	50	37	10	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	478	498	477	412	477
Non-Labor	13	108	227	280	257
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	492	606	704	692	733
FTE	8.1	7.6	7.8	6.0	6.4

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	;"	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	-902	-825	-411	0	0
Non-Labor	0	-160	-200	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-902	-985	-611	0	0
FTE	-12.0	-11.0	-5.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005	-902	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112 165202897
	expenditures fouring 2007.	2265, which was	100202001				
2005	0	0	0	-12.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112 165627730
Transfer F during 200		ies that were	e moved t	o Cost (Ctr 2200-2265, w	hich was opened	100027700
2005 Total	-902	0	0	-12.0			
	-825 expenditures fouring 2007.	0 or activities t	0 hat were i		CCTR Transf o Cost Ctr 2200-	To 2200-2265.000 2265, which was	TPLGL20091112 165824843
2006	0	-160	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112 165854500
	expenditures fouring 2007.	r activities t	hat were i	moved t	o Cost Ctr 2200-	2265, which was	100004000
2006	0	0	0	-11.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112 165934220
Transfer F during 200		ies that were	e moved t	o Cost (Ctr 2200-2265, w	hich was opened	100904220
2006 Total	-825	-160	0	-11.0			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-0799.000 - Instrument Repair & Field Maintenance Supervision

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2007	-411	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112
	expenditures for uring 2007.	activities tha	at were m	oved to	Cost Ctr 2200-	2265, which was	170028097
2007	0	-200	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112
	expenditures for uring 2007.	activities tha	at were m	oved to	Cost Ctr 2200-	2265, which was	170100737
2007	0	0	0	-5.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2265.000	TPLGL20091112
Transfer F during 200		es that were	moved to	Cost C	Ctr 2200-2265, w	hich was opened	170135220
2007 Total	-411	-200	0	-5.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Activity Description:

Activity in this cost center includes engineering, design, material specification and technical standards for small and medium sized meter and regulator stations employed by both SoCalGas and SDG&E. Other activity includes the management of all policy, standards and planning for the measurement of gas quality associated with thermal zone (SDGE) and Btu district measurement, and for any special reporting and planning in both companies to contend with regulatory and customer need for gas quality/component reporting.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

5-year year average expenditures are used as a starting point to remain consistent with cost center 2200-0309 subordinate cost center forecast methodology. Adjustments were made to account for increased requirements of SCAQMD Rule 433 and related gas quality data presentation and posting requirements. These included California Air Resource Board Gas quality specification monitoring and reporting, greenhouse gas inventory technical/engineering support, and added SDGE Thermal zone monitoring.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The 5-yr average is used as a basis for tracking historical expense and future requirements. Non-Labor upward pressure is for data processing equipment and storage to contend with gas quality recording, data compilation and reporting due to a combination of Air Quality agency direct rulemaking targeting SoCalGas and related support of customers in both SDGE and SCG service territory; and for limited employee expenses associated with added position and activity.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no non-standard escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)										
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast			
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
				Total Incurr	ed (100% L	_evel)					
Labor	301	353	405	337	430	465	465	465			
Non-Labor	13	0	3	15	10	28	13	13			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	314	353	408	352	440	493	478	478			
FTE	3.8	4.7	4.8	3.8	5.0	5.4	5.4	5.4			
				Alloca	ations Out						
Labor	0	0	53	43	56	63	63	63			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	1	4	2	2			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	53	45	57	67	65	65			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					etained						
Labor	301	353	352	294	374	402	402	402			
Non-Labor	13	0	3	13	9	24	11	11			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	314	353	355	307	383	426	413	413			
FTE	3.8	4.7	4.8	3.8	5.0	5.4	5.4	5.4			
					cations In						
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Book Expense										
Labor	301	353	352	294	374	402	402	402			
Non-Labor	13	0	3	13	9	24	11	11			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	314	353	355	307	383	426	413	413			
FTE	3.8	4.7	4.8	3.8	5.0	5.4	5.4	5.4			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
2	0	0	2	0.00	1	0	0	1	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
428	10	0	438	5.00	464	28	0	492	5.40
87.00%	87.00%				86.45%	86.45%			
13.00%	13.00%				13.55%	13.55%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
372	9	0	381		401	24	0	425	
56	1	0	57		63	4	0	67	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
430	10	0	440	5.00	465	28	0	493	5.40
56	1	0	57		63	4	0	67	
374	9	0	383		402	24	0	426	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
374	9	0	383		402	24	0	426	

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Alloc. Out
Total Retained
Allocations In
Book Expense

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
1	0	0	1	0.00	1	0	0	1	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
464	13	0	477	5.40	464	13	0	477	5.40
86.45%	86.45%				86.45%	86.45%			
13.55%	13.55%				13.55%	13.55%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
401	11	0	412		401	11	0	412	
63	2	0	65		63	2	0	65	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
465	13	0	478	5.40	465	13	0	478	5.40
63	2	0	65		63	2	0	65	
402	11	0	413	·	402	11	0	413	
0	0	0	0	·	0	0	0	0	_
402	11	0	413		402	11	0	413	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%, 840529/6306098). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%, 5465569/6306098).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

All calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13.55% SDG&E and 86.45% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%, 859264/6342813). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%, 5483549/6342813).

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Forecast Summary:

		In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Foreca	ast Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast				
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012		
Labor	5-YR Average	365	365	365	100	100	100	465	465	465		
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	8	8	8	20	5	5	28	13	13		
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	•	373	373	373	120	105	105	493	478	478		
FTE	5-YR Average	4.4	4.4	4.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.4	5.4	5.4		
	-							• • •				

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	100	0	0	100	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management districts have been asking for collection, compilation, and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers staff time for preparing data for reporting to agencies. Assist in technical troubleshooting of GC data posted hourly for CARB compliance.

2010 0 20 0 20 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management disricts have been asking for collection compilation and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers one-time purchase and rotation of spare Gas Chromatograph and repair kits to minimize GC down time on transmission system. Assist in technical field troubleshooting of GC data posted hourly for CARB compliance.

2010 0 0 0 1.0 1-Sided Adj

Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management districts have been asking for collection, compilation, and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers staff time for preparing data for reporting to agencies. Assist in technical troubleshooting of GC data posted hourly for CARB compliance.

2010 Total	100	20	0	120	1.0	
2011	100	0	0	100	0.0 1-Sided Adj	

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts Year/Expl. Labor **NLbr** NSE Total FTE Adj Type Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management districts have been asking for collection, compilation, and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers staff time for preparing data for reporting to agencies. Assist in technical troubleshooting of GC data posted hourly for CARB compliance. 1-Sided Adj 2011 0 Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management disricts have been asking for collection compilation and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers expenses such as mileage, travel for new position. 2011 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management districts have been asking for collection compilation and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers additional staff for preparing data for reporting to agencies. Assist in technical field troubleshooting of GC data posted hourly for CARB compliance. 2011 Total 100 105 2012 100 100 1-Sided Adj Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management districts have been asking for collection, compilation, and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers staff time for preparing data for reporting to agencies. Assist in technical troubleshooting of GC data posted hourly for CARB compliance. 2012 1-Sided Adi Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management disricts have been asking for collection compilation and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers expenses such as mileage, travelfor new position. 2012 0 0 n 1.0 1-Sided Adj Gas Quality Reporting to air quality agencies. Air quality management districts have been asking for collection compilation and formal reporting of gas quality data from on-line Gas Chromatographs. SCAQMD Rule 433 adopted June 09. Increase covers additional staff for

preparing data for reporting to agencies. Assist in technical field troubleshooting of GC data

posted hourly for CARB compliance.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type	
2012 Total	100	5	0	105	1.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards. Materials. BTU Districts

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	326	278	365
Non-Labor	0	0	3	15	10
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	330	293	375
FTE	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.2	4.2
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	229	275	0	0	0
Non-Labor	12	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	241	275	0	0	0
FTE	3.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	229	275	326	278	365
Non-Labor	12	0	3	15	10
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	241	275	330	293	375
FTE	3.2	4.0	4.1	3.2	4.2
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	39	49	57	54	66
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	39	49	57	54	66
FTE	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	33	29	21	5	0
Non-Labor	1	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	35	29	22	5	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	•				
Labor	301	353	405	337	430
Non-Labor	13	0	3	15	10
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	314	353	408	352	441
FTE	3.8	4.7	4.8	3.8	5.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		3"			
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	229	275	0	0	0
Non-Labor	12	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	241	275	0	0	0
FTE	3.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>					
2005	135	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0309.000	TPLGL20091112					
Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.												
2005	0	6	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0309.000	TPLGL20091112					
opened du ongoing a	Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.											
2005	0	0	0	2.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0309.000	TPLGL20091112					
during 200	7.This adjustme	ent position	s both co	st cent	Ctr 2200-2248, wers to better refle f future resource	• •	163908303					
2005	93	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0310.000	TPLGL20091112					
opened du	ring 2007.This a	adjustment	positions	both c	o Cost Ctr 2200- ost centers to be precast of future		164214463					
2005	0	6	0		CCTR Transf	From 2200-0310.000	TPLGL20091112 164252950					

Transfer expenditures for activities that were moved to Cost Ctr 2200-2248, which was opened during 2007. This adjustment positions both cost centers to better reflect their ongoing activities and provides for a more accurate forecast of future resource requirements.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 2. Gas Measurement, Regulation & Pressure Control

Cost Center: 2200-2248.000 - Measurement & Regulation Standards, Materials, BTU Districts

Cost Center.	2200-	2240.000 -	ivicasuicii	ieiii α	Regulation Stan	dards, Materials, BTO Distr	icis
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
during 200	7.This adjustme	ent positions	s both cos	Cost C	CCTR Transf Ctr 2200-2248, wers to better refle future resource		TPLGL20091112 164353357
2005 Total	229	12	0	3.2			
opened du ongoing a	uring 2007. This ctivities and pro	adjustmen	t positions	oved to		From 2200-0309.000 2248, which was etter reflect their resource	TPLGL20091112 164023447
during 200	0 TEs for activitie 77.This adjustme	ent positions	s both cos	Cost C	CCTR Transf Ctr 2200-2248, wers to better refle future resource		TPLGL20091112 164105603
opened du	ring 2007.This ctivities and pro	adjustment	positions	oved to	CCTR Transf C Cost Ctr 2200- ost centers to be recast of future		TPLGL20091112 164443360
during 200	7.This adjustme	ent positions	s both cos	Cost C	CCTR Transf Ctr 2200-2248, wers to better refle future resource	0 0	TPLGL20091112 164532563
2006 Total	275	0	0	4.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Activity Description:

The Engineering Analysis Center Chemical section provides environmental, gas operation, and Btu measurement related analytical services to the operating and customer services organizations. These activities include: - PCB analysis and sample management, hazardous material, gas quality policy and operating procedures, gas composition including inerts through C22+ and HC & H20 dew point, simulated distillation through C40+, sulfur gas analysis, odorization management and test development, line odor seasoning management and training, gas quality testing including, mobile gas operations test vehicle, Btu measurement services, Fugitive and Leakage Gas identification and Verification.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

Historical data show that labor expenses have remained relatively steady for this organization. This trend is expected to continue assuming activity levels are maintained at current levels. As such, the 5 year average forecast methodology was chosen to best represent a base level for funding requirements moving forward. Incremental activity levels for gas quality testing, pipeline odorant administration and testing, increases in pipeline integrity generated test samples, and new BTU districts are the key drivers in adjustments needed the historical average.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

The activities that the Chemical Section has performed in the past are expected to remain at their current levels. The 5 year average of these expenses is the best indication of the required funding level to maintain the historic level of activities. There are new incremental activities requested of the organization which will require additional resources. Incremental activity levels for gas quality testing, pipeline odorant administration and testing, increases in pipeline integrity generated test samples, and new BTU districts are the key drivers in adjustments needed the historical average. These incremental funding resources are added to the 5 year average to provide the forecasted needs through the foreseeable future.

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Summary of Results:

		In 2009\$ (000)										
		Adjus	sted-Record	led		Adj	usted-Fore	cast				
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
				Total Incur	red (100% l	Level)						
Labor	954	1,041	1,007	940	1,027	1,069	1,183	1,221				
Non-Labor	189	231	158	178	153	213	229	245				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	1,143	1,272	1,165	1,118	1,180	1,282	1,412	1,466				
FTE	12.3	13.1	12.4	11.9	12.5	13.4	14.9	15.4				
	Allocations Out											
Labor	0	0	0	0	19	20	22	23				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	3				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	22	23	25	26				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					etained							
Labor	954	1,041	1,007	940	1,008	1,049	1,161	1,198				
Non-Labor	189	231	158	178	150	210	226	242				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	1,143	1,272	1,165	1,118	1,158	1,259	1,387	1,440				
FTE	12.3	13.1	12.4	11.9	12.5	13.4	14.9	15.4				
					cations In							
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					k Expense							
Labor	954	1,041	1,007	940	1,008	1,049	1,161	1,198				
Non-Labor	189	231	158	178	150	210	226	242				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	1,143	1,272	1,165	1,118	1,158	1,259	1,387	1,440				
FTE	12.3	13.1	12.4	11.9	12.5	13.4	14.9	15.4				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
12	1	0	13	0.00	8	4	0	12	0.10	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
1,015	152	0	1,167	12.50	1,061	209	0	1,270	13.30	
98.09%	98.09%				98.19%	98.19%				
1.91%	1.91%				1.81%	1.81%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
996	149	0	1,145		1,041	206	0	1,247		
19	3	0	22		20	3	0	23		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
1,027	153	0	1,180	12.50	1,069	213	0	1,282	13.40	
19	3	0	22		20	3	0	23		
1,008	150	0	1,158		1,049	210	0	1,259		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
1,008	150	0	1,158		1,049	210	0	1,259		

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	cast	2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
8	4	0	12	0.10	8	4	0	12	0.10
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
1,175	225	0	1,400	14.80	1,213	241	0	1,454	15.30
98.19%	98.19%				98.19%	98.19%			
1.81%	1.81%				1.81%	1.81%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
1,153	222	0	1,375		1,190	238	0	1,428	
22	3	0	25		23	3	0	26	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,183	229	0	1,412	14.90	1,221	245	0	1,466	15.40
22	3	0	25		23	3	0	26	
1,161	226	0	1,387		1,198	242	0	1,440	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,161	226	0	1,387		1,198	242	0	1,440	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Services to be provided are estimated at a total of 500 hours of combined effort by Laboratory Technicians, Measurement Technicians, Engineers and Senior Engineers at an average of \$36.50 per hour; (Average salary of 76000 per year=76000/2080=36.54). Total amount to allocate is \$18,250 (500*36.5=18250). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 18250/950880=.01919275, or 1.92%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Services to be provided are estimated at a total of 500 hours of combined effort by Laboratory Technicians, Measurement Technicians, Engineers and Senior Engineers at an average of \$37.50 per hour; (Average salary of 78000 per year=78000/2080=37.50). Total amount to allocate is \$18,750 (500*37.5=18750). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 18750/1033804=.0181369, or 1.81%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Services to be provided are estimated at a total of 500 hours of combined effort by Laboratory Technicians, Measurement Technicians, Engineers and Senior Engineers at an average of \$37.50 per hour; (Average salary of 78000 per year=78000/2080=37.50). Total amount to allocate is \$18,750 (500*37.5=18750). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 18750/1033804=.0181369, or 1.81%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Services to be provided are estimated at a total of 500 hours of combined effort by Laboratory Technicians, Measurement Technicians, Engineers and Senior Engineers at an average of \$37.50 per hour; (Average salary of 78000 per year=78000/2080=37.50). Total amount to allocate is \$18,750 (500*37.5=18750). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 18750/1033804=.0181369, or 1.81%.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Forecast Summary:

		In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast			
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	
Labor	5-YR Average	993	993	993	76	190	228	1,069	1,183	1,221	
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	181	181	181	32	48	64	213	229	245	
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	•	1,174	1,174	1,174	108	238	292	1,282	1,412	1,466	
FTE	5-YR Average	12.4	12.4	12.4	1.0	2.5	3.0	13.4	14.9	15.4	

Foreca

cast Adjustment Details:									
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type			
2010	38	0	0	38	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Increased work (1/2 FTE) to support testing required for direct corrosion assessment program and testing of liquids and solids generated from pigging operations and pipeline liquids.									
2010	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Non-Labor-Screening kits, Employee Expenese due to Increased work to support testing required for direct corrosion assessment program and testing of liquids and solids generated from pigging operations and pipeline liquids.									
2010	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj			
Increased work (1/2 FTE) to support testing required for direct corrosion assessment program and testing of liquids and solids generated from pigging operations and pipeline liquids.									
2010	38	0	0	38	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Additional 1/2 FTE for Increased testing & equipment (additional GCs) maintenance due the restructuring and creation of new of BTU Districts and replacing sample bottles with GCs.									
2010	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj			
Additional 1/2 FTE for Increased testing & equipment (additional GCs) maintenance due the restructuring and creation of new of BTU Districts and replacing sample bottles with GCs.									
2010	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
Non-Labor-Employee Expense, Calibration Gases due to increased testing & equipment (additional GCs) maintenance due the restructuring and creation of new of BTU Districts and									

replacing sample bottles with GCs.

ENGINEERING Area: Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost (

enter:	2200-1178.00			n		
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	d <u>i Type</u>
2010 Total	76	32	0	108	1.0	
2011	38	0	0	38	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	work (1.2 fte) to s g of liquids and so					
2011	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj
required fo	r-Screening kits, I or direct corrosion ng operations and	assessmen	t program an			
2011	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj
	work (1.2 fte) to s g of liquids and so		• .			. •
2011	38	0	0	38	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	1/2 FTE for Incre					
2011	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj
	1/2 FTE for Incre					
2011	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj
(additional	r-Employee Expe GCs) maintenan sample bottles wi	ce due the r				
2011	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	r-Employee Expe licy (Gas standar			•		changes in
2011	114	0	0	114	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	work load (1.5 Fine pickling work.		nanges in into	ernal policy (G	Sas standar	d 142.5660) and
2011	0	0	0	0	1.5	1-Sided Adj

<u>Total</u>

FTE Adj Type

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Year/Expl.

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

<u>Labor</u>

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

from pigging operations and pipeline liquids.

<u>NLbr</u>

<u>NSE</u>

011 Total	190	48	0	238	2.5	
2012	38	0	0	38	0.0	1-Sided Adj
acceptance	Testing - Comp testing and will r and monitoring.			• .		
2012	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Gas Quality Reagents, S	Testing - Comp Solvents.	liance - Non-l	_abor-Empl	oyee Expense	, Calibrati	on Gases,
2012	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj
acceptance	Testing - Comp testing and will r and monitoring.					
2012	114	0	0	114	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	vork load (1.5 FT) le pickling work.	E) due to cha	nges in inte	rnal policy (Ga	as standar	rd 142.5660) and
3rd party lin						
3rd party lin 2012	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj
2012 Non-Labor-	0 Employee Expen cy (Gas standarc	se, Calibratio	n Gases, Ro	eagents, Solve	ents due t	•
2012 Non-Labor-	Employee Expen	se, Calibratio	n Gases, Ro	eagents, Solve	ents due t	•
Non-Labor- internal poli 2012	Employee Expen cy (Gas standard	se, Calibratio I 142.5660) an 0 E) due to cha	n Gases, Rond 3rd party 0 nges in inte	eagents, Solve line pickling v 0 rnal policy (Ga	ents due t vork. 1.5 as standar	o changes in 1-Sided Adj d 142.5660 and
Non-Labor- internal poli 2012	Employee Expen cy (Gas standard 0 vork load (1.5 FT)	se, Calibratio I 142.5660) an 0 E) due to cha	n Gases, Rond 3rd party 0 nges in inte	eagents, Solve line pickling v 0 rnal policy (Ga	ents due t vork. 1.5 as standar	o changes in 1-Sided Adj d 142.5660 and
Non-Labor- internal poli 2012 Increased w AGA safety 2012 Increased w	Employee Expen cy (Gas standard 0 vork load (1.5 FT information bulle	se, Calibratio I 142.5660) an 0 E) due to cha tins 0904 and 0	n Gases, Rond 3rd party 0 nges in inte 1 0911) and 0 required fo	eagents, Solve Inne pickling v 0 rnal policy (Ga 3rd party line 38 r direct corros	ents due t vork. 1.5 as standar pickling w 0.0	o changes in 1-Sided Adj d 142.5660 and rork. 1-Sided Adj sment program

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	dj Type
2012	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj
	ork (1/2 fte) to su of liquids and soli					
2012	38	0	0	38	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	/2 FTE for Increas g and creation of r					
2012	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj
	/2 FTE for Increas g and creation of r	-		•	•	
2012	0	16	0	16	0.0	1-Sided Adj
		0				

Non-Labor-Employee Expense, Calibration Gases due to increased testing & equipment (additional GCs) maintenance due the restructuring and creation of new of BTU Districts and replacing sample bottles with GCs.

2012 Total 228 64 0 292 3.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*	· ·		,	· · ·	
Labor	724	810	812	776	870
Non-Labor	168	212	150	175	154
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	892	1,022	961	951	1,024
FTE	10.3	11.0	10.5	9.9	10.5
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	724	810	812	776	870
Non-Labor	168	212	150	175	154
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	892	1,022	961	951	1,024
FTE	10.4	11.0	10.5	9.9	10.5
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	124	145	142	150	157
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	124	145	142	150	157
FTE	1.9	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	105	85	53	14	0
Non-Labor	21	19	8	3	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	126	104	62	16	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	953	1,040	1,007	940	1,028
Non-Labor	189	231	158	177	154
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,142	1,271	1,165	1,117	1,181
FTE	12.3	13.1	12.4	11.9	12.5

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 3. Engineering Analysis Center

Cost Center: 2200-1178.000 - EAC Chemical Section

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"										
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0					
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	0	0	0	0	0					
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Activity Description:

This account includes Labor and expenses associated with the Manager of Operations Technology department and two administrative support individuals. Activities managed include: systems for management, administration, review and publishing of gas standards, Formal Communication Documents (FCDs), other company documents in SoCalGas' and SDG&E's online intranet websites. Also included are costs associated with creating and maintaining intranet websites for various organizations including Safety, Distribution, Customer Service, Environmental, Transmission and Engineering. Manager also oversees groups whose activities include Work Management & data development; GIS applications, CADD applications, Land and project mapping services, Land survey and geographic analysis.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The structure of this cost center has evolved over recent history. Activities within the Ops Tech organization have been re-organized due to changing activities and leveraging synergies. It is expected that the current base year requirements will be adequate for this organization in the future.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The structure of this cost center has evolved over recent history. Activities within the Ops Tech organization have been re-organized due to changing activities and leveraging synergies. It is expected that the current base year requirements will be adequate for this organization in the future.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no non-standard escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)										
		Adjus	sted-Record	ed		Adju	ısted-Fore	cast			
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
				Total Incurr	ed (100% L	_evel)					
Labor	539	439	323	248	233	233	233	233			
Non-Labor	988	867	949	250	11	11	11	11			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,527	1,306	1,272	498	244	244	244	244			
FTE	7.4	6.0	4.4	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1			
					Allocations Out						
Labor	35	15	6	6	4	13	13	13			
Non-Labor	2	29	19	7	0	1	1	1			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	37	44	25	13	4	14	14	14			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					etained						
Labor	504	424	317	242	229	220	220	220			
Non-Labor	986	838	930	243	11	10	10	10			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,490	1,262	1,247	485	240	230	230	230			
FTE	7.4	6.0	4.4	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1			
					cations In						
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					Expense						
Labor	504	424	317	242	229	220	220	220			
Non-Labor	986	838	930	243	11	10	10	10			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,490	1,262	1,247	485	240	230	230	230			
FTE	7.4	6.0	4.4	3.4	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
233	11	0	244	3.10	233	11	0	244	3.10		
98.08%	98.08%				94.40%	94.40%					
1.92%	1.92%				5.60%	5.60%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
229	11	0	240		220	10	0	230			
4	0	0	4		13	1	0	14			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
233	11	0	244	3.10	233	11	0	244	3.10		
4	0	0	4		13	1	0	14			
229	11	0	240	·	220	10	0	230	·		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
229	11	0	240		220	10	0	230			

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
233	11	0	244	3.10	233	11	0	244	3.10	
94.40%	94.40%				94.40%	94.40%				
5.60%	5.60%				5.60%	5.60%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
220	10	0	230		220	10	0	230		
13	1	0	14		13	1	0	14		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
233	11	0	244	3.10	233	11	0	244	3.10	
13	1	0	14		13	1	0	14		
220	10	0	230		220	10	0	230		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
220	10	0	230		220	10	0	230		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Reallocation is based on salaries for two employees whose combined salaries total \$170,000 per year to support 4 FTEs, or 5.33% of the entire departmental staff; (4/75=.05333). Total amount to be allocated is \$9,066, (170000*.05333=9066). Percentages for the allocated dollars are then calculated as their portion of the Cost Center totals for Labor and Nonlabor dollars. Total to reallocate is ~\$9,066 (9066/471840=.01921, or 1.92%).

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Department was reorganized in 2010 which impacted Shared Service allocation percentage calculations. This Cost Center includes salaries for the department Manager and administrative support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Manager and his administrative support (2200-0302). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0302 is calculated as the ratio between total Operations Technology labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 6.4% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 6.4% is the appropriate figure for the supervision and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Ops Tech Cost Centers is estimated at \$289,388.29. Total Labor \$\$ estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Ops Tech is \$4,519,814. 289388.29/4519814=.064026593, or 6.4%). This 6.4% is then applied to the salaries of the two employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$175.1K (175100*.04026593=11211.05638). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 11211.05638/200101=.056026988, or 5.6%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

This Cost Center includes salaries for the department Manager and administrative support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Manager and his administrative support (2200-0302). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0302 is calculated as the ratio between total Operations Technology labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 6.4% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 6.4% is the appropriate figure for the supervision and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Ops Tech Cost Centers is estimated at \$289,388.29. Total Labor \$\$ estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Ops Tech is \$4,519,814. 289388.29/4519814=.064026593, or 6.4%). This 6.4% is then applied to the salaries of the two employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$175.1K (175100*.04026593=11211.05638). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 11211.05638/200101=.056026988, or 5.6%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

This Cost Center includes salaries for the department Manager and administrative support. The Shared Services % is calculated based on the data from all its Cost Centers other than the one for the Manager and his administrative support (2200-0302). The Shared Services percentage for 2200-0302 is calculated as the ratio between total Operations Technology labor dollars and the actual dollars earmarked for Shared Service allocation. In this case, the assumption is that if dollars to be allocated are 6.4% of all of labor the dollars expended, then 6.4% is the appropriate figure for the supervision and administrative support of those dollars. (Total Labor dollars subject to allocation for all Ops Tech Cost Centers is estimated at \$289,388.29. Total Labor \$\$ estimated for allocation for 2010 based on methodolgy developed and applied to each individual Cost Center in Ops Tech is \$4,519,814. 289388.29/4519814=.064026593, or 6.4%). This 6.4% is then applied to the salaries of the two employees providing support to the utility allocation. Salaries total \$175.1K (175100*.04026593=11211.05638). The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 11211.05638/200101=.056026988, or 5.6%.

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"											
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Foreca	ast Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast				
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>		
Labor	Base YR Rec	233	233	233	0	0	0	233	233	233		
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	11	11	11	0	0	0	11	11	11		
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	•	244	244	244		0	0	244	244	244		
FTE	Base YR Rec	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.1	3.1		

Fore

e	cast Adjustment I	Details:					
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

,	a-Recorded (Incurred Co 2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	410	342	261	205	197
Non-Labor	880	797	899	246	11
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,289	1,139	1,159	450	208
FTE	6.3	5.1	3.7	2.8	2.6
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	410	342	261	205	197
Non-Labor	880	797	899	246	11
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,289	1,139	1,159	450	208
FTE	6.3	5.1	3.7	2.8	2.6
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	70	61	45	39	36
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	70	61	45	39	36
FTE	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	60	36	17	4	0
Non-Labor	109	71	50	4	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	169	107	68	7	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	539	439	323	248	233
Non-Labor	989	868	949	250	11
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,528	1,307	1,273	497	244
FTE	7.4	6.0	4.4	3.4	3.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0302.000 - Operations Technology Manager

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	; "	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Activity Description:

Activities associated with this cost center include the support of Work Management Systems for Meter and Regulation (M&R), System Protection Specialists (SPS) and Work Order Tracking (WOT) applications. Also the support of the Technical Services Group in Miramar; specifically the Electronic Data Management System (EDMS) and the Cathodic Protection Data Management (CPDM) applications

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The structure of this cost center has evolved over recent history. Activities within the Ops Tech organization have been re-organized due to changing activities and leveraging synergies. It is expected that the current base year requirements will be adequate for this organization in the future.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The structure of this cost center has evolved over recent history. Activities within the Ops Tech organization have been re-organized due to changing activities and leveraging synergies. It is expected that the current base year requirements will be adequate for this organization in the future. Incremental additions have been included to the base year foundation for one time expenses.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Summary of Results:

				In 200	9\$ (000)			
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	_evel)		
Labor	332	294	260	329	493	433	433	433
Non-Labor	56	60	16	8	18	218	18	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	388	354	276	337	511	651	451	451
FTE	3.9	3.3	3.4	4.8	6.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
					ations Out			
Labor	6	10	6	10	28	26	26	26
Non-Labor	1	2	0	0	1	13	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7	12	6	10	29	39	27	27
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	326	284	254	319	465	407	407	407
Non-Labor	55	58	16	8	17	205	17	17
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	381	342	270	327	482	612	424	424
FTE	3.9	3.3	3.4	4.8	6.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
					cations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	326	284	254	319	465	407	407	407
Non-Labor	55	58	16	8	17	205	17	17
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	381	342	270	327	482	612	424	424
FTE	3.9	3.3	3.4	4.8	6.9	5.9	5.9	5.9

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
2	0	0	2	0.00	2	0	0	2	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
491	18	0	509	6.90	431	218	0	649	5.90
94.22%	94.22%				94.19%	94.19%			
5.78%	5.78%				5.81%	5.81%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
463	17	0	480		405	205	0	610	
28	1	0	29		26	13	0	39	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
493	18	0	511	6.90	433	218	0	651	5.90
28	1	0	29		26	13	0	39	
465	17	0	482		407	205	0	612	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
465	17	0	482		407	205	0	612	

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Alloc. Out
Total Retained
Allocations In
Book Expense

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
2	0	0	2	0.00	2	0	0	2	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
431	18	0	449	5.90	431	18	0	449	5.90
94.19%	94.19%				94.19%	94.19%			
5.81%	5.81%				5.81%	5.81%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
405	17	0	422		405	17	0	422	
26	1	0	27		26	1	0	27	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
433	18	0	451	5.90	433	18	0	451	5.90
26	1	0	27		26	1	0	27	
407	17	0	424	·	407	17	0	424	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	_
407	17	0	424		407	17	0	424	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2007 filing submitted in February of 2008. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 243 miles. SoCal Gas had 3961 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4204 miles for both utilities. (243+3961=4204 miles. 243/4204=.057802. 3961/42048=.942197) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.78% for SDG&E and 94.22% for SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Forecast Summary:

				In 200	09 \$(000) "In	curred Co	sts"			
Forecast	t Method	Base	e Forecas	t	Foreca	ist Adjustr	nents	Adjust	ed-Foreca	st
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Base YR Rec	493	493	493	-60	-60	-60	433	433	433
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	18	18	18	200	0	0	218	18	18
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		511	511	511	140	-60	-60	651	451	451
FTE	Base YR Rec	6.9	6.9	6.9	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	5.9	5.9	5.9

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	-60	0	0	-60	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Changing wo	ork load and inte 2010.	rnal re-organ	ization, this	cost center do	ownsized b	by one position
2010	0	0	0	0	-1.0	1-Sided Adj
Changing wo	ork load and inte 2010.	rnal re-organ	ization, this	cost center do	ownsized b	by one position
2010	0	200	0	200	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Upgrade to MAXIMO software - Maximo will no longer support current version that SCG/SDGE is using. Must upgrade to continue with manufacturers support.

2010 Total	-60	200	0	140	-1.0	
2011	-60	0	0	-60	0.0 1-Sided Adj	
Changing work lo beginning in 2010		al re-organ	ization, this c	ost center do	wnsized by one position	
2011	0	0	0	0	-1.0 1-Sided Adj	
Changing work lo beginning in 2010		al re-organ	ization, this c	ost center do	wnsized by one position	
2011 Total	-60	0	0	-60	-1.0	

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE A	dj Type
2012	-60	0	0	-60	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Changing wor beginning in 2		rnal re-orga	nization, this	cost center do	wnsized b	by one position
2012	0	0	0	0	-1.0	1-Sided Adj

Changing work load and internal re-organization, this cost center downsized by one position beginning in 2010.

2012 Total -60 0 0 -60 -1.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

-	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	252	229	210	271	418
Non-Labor	50	55	15	8	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	302	284	224	279	436
FTE	3.3	2.8	2.9	4.0	5.8
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (No	minal \$)				
Labor	252	229	210	271	418
Non-Labor	50	55	15	8	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	302	284	224	279	436
FTE	3.3	2.8	2.9	4.0	5.8
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	43	41	37	52	76
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	43	41	37	52	76
FTE	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.8	1.1
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	37	24	14	5	0
Non-Labor	6	5	1	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	43	29	15	5	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Co	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	332	294	260	328	494
Non-Labor	56	60	15	8	18
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	388	354	275	336	512
FTE	3.9	3.3	3.4	4.8	6.9

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0306.000 - Work Management & Databases

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Activity Description:

Expenditures covered in this account include the labor costs of database administrators, project managers, and project specialists that support servers, applications and databases for both utilities. Operations Technology maintains, manages, and administers approximately eighty servers that support the systems and applications of various organizations at SCG and SDG&E. This account also includes expenses associated with the management, administration, review and publishing of gas standards, Formal Communication Documents (FCDs), and other company documents in SoCalGas' and SDG&E's online Intranet Sites. Also included are costs associated with creating and maintaining Intranet websites for various organizations, including Safety, Distribution, Customer Service, Environmental, Transmission and Engineering.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

As with other Ops Tech cost centers, there have been organizational movement in this cost center in recent history. Base year 2009 is chosen as the forecast method through this rate case cycle as the current organizational structure is expected to be constant and will meet the current activity requirements.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

As with other Ops Tech cost centers, there have been organizational movement in this cost center in recent history. Base year 2009 is chosen as the forecast method through this rate case cycle as the current organizational structure is expected to be constant and will meet the current activity requirements.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no NSE expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)											
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast				
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
				Total Incurr	ed (100% L	_evel)						
Labor	573	507	535	426	554	554	554	554				
Non-Labor	93	42	64	17	7	7	7	7				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	666	549	599	443	561	561	561	561				
FTE	7.2	6.4	6.5	5.6	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2				
	Allocations Out											
Labor	0	0	0	66	132	103	103	103				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	1				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	69	134	104	104	104				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					etained							
Labor	573	507	535	360	422	451	451	451				
Non-Labor	93	42	64	14	5	6	6	6				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	666	549	599	374	427	457	457	457				
FTE	7.2	6.4	6.5	5.6	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2				
					cations In							
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Book Expense											
Labor	573	507	535	360	422	451	451	451				
Non-Labor	93	42	64	14	5	6	6	6				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	666	549	599	374	427	457	457	457				
FTE	7.2	6.4	6.5	5.6	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2				

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
22	0	0	22	0.20	22	0	0	22	0.20
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
532	7	0	539	7.00	532	7	0	539	7.00
75.23%	75.23%				80.70%	80.70%			
24.77%	24.77%				19.30%	19.30%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
400	5	0	405		429	6	0	435	
132	2	0	134		103	1	0	104	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
554	7	0	561	7.20	554	7	0	561	7.20
132	2	0	134		103	1	0	104	
422	5	0	427		451	6	0	457	·
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
422	5	0	427		451	6	0	457	•

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
22	0	0	22	0.20	22	0	0	22	0.20	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
532	7	0	539	7.00	532	7	0	539	7.00	
00.700/	00.700/				00.700/	00.700/				
80.70%	80.70%				80.70%	80.70%				
19.30%	19.30%				19.30%	19.30%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
429	6	0	435		429	6	0	435		
103	1	0	104		103	1	0	104		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
554	7	0	561	7.20	554	7	0	561	7.20	
103	1	0	104		103	1	0	104		
451	6	0	457		451	6	0	457		
0	0	0	0	·	0	0	0	0		
451	6	0	457	·	451	6	0	457	·	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Services provided to SDG&E are based on 10% of one Team Lead's time at a salary of \$93,150, 50% of one Technical Consultant at a salary of \$77,625, 10% each for three Technical Consultants at \$77,625 each, and 15% each for three Project Manager/Project Specialists' at an average salary of \$75,000, plus 12 trips to San Diego per year calculated @ 368 reimbursable miles per trip. Salary calculations equal \$105,165, (or 9315 + 38812.5 + 23287.5 + 33750 = 105165.) [($93150^*.1=9315$) + ($77625^*.5=38812.5$) + ($77625^*.1=7762.5^*3=23287.5$) + ($75000^*.15=11250^*3=33750$)]. 17% is deducted to adjust for V&S, so 87282 is utilized ($105165^*.83=87286.95$). Mileage totals \$2,230 ($12^*368=4416$ miles @.505=\$2,230). Allocation should total \sim \$89,517 or 87287+2230. The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 87287/361280=.24878, or 24.78%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Services provided to SDG&E are based on 10% of one Team Lead's time at a salary of \$95,945, 50% of one Technical Consultant at a salary of \$79,954, 10% each for three Technical Consultants at \$79,945 each, and 15% each for three Project Manager/Project Specialists' at an average salary of \$77,250, plus 12 trips to San Diego per year calculated @ 368 reimbursable miles per trip. Salary calculations equal \$108,220 , (or 9494.5 + 39,977 + 23986.2 + 34,762.5 = 108220.2) [$(94945^*.1=9494.5) + (79954^*.5=39977) + (79954^*.1=7995.4^*3=23986.2) + (77250^*.15=11587.5^*3=34762.5)$]. 17% is deducted to adjust for V&S, so 89823 is utilized for salary calculation, $(108220.2^*.83=89822.77)$. Mileage totals \$2,230 ($12^*368=4416$ miles @.55=\$2,428.8). Allocation should total \sim \$92,252 or 89823+2429. The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 92252/478089=.192959888, or 19.3%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Services provided to SDG&E are based on 10% of one Team Lead's time at a salary of \$95,945, 50% of one Technical Consultant at a salary of \$79,954, 10% each for three Technical Consultants at \$79,945 each, and 15% each for three Project Manager/Project Specialists' at an average salary of \$77,250, plus 12 trips to San Diego per year calculated @ 368 reimbursable miles per trip. Salary calculations equal \$108,220 , (or 9494.5 + 39,977 + 23986.2 + 34,762.5 = 108220.2) [$(94945^*.1=9494.5) + (79954^*.5=39977) + (79954^*.1=7995.4^*3=23986.2) + (77250^*.15=11587.5^*3=34762.5)$]. 17% is deducted to adjust for V&S, so 89823 is utilized for salary calculation, ($108220.2^*.83=89822.77$). Mileage totals \$2,230 ($12^*368=4416$ miles @.55=\$2,428.8). Allocation should total ~\$92,252 or 89823+2429. The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 92252/478089=.192959888, or 19.3%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Services provided to SDG&E are based on 10% of one Team Lead's time at a salary of \$95,945, 50% of one Technical Consultant at a salary of \$79,954, 10% each for three Technical Consultants at \$79,945 each, and 15% each for three Project Manager/Project Specialists' at an average salary of \$77,250, plus 12 trips to San Diego per year calculated @ 368 reimbursable miles per trip. Salary calculations equal \$108,220 , (or 9494.5 + 39,977 + 23986.2 + 34,762.5 = 108220.2) [$(94945^*.1=9494.5) + (79954^*.5=39977) + (79954^*.1=7995.4^*3=23986.2) + (77250^*.15=11587.5^*3=34762.5)$]. 17% is deducted to adjust for V&S, so 89823 is utilized for salary calculation, $(108220.2^*.83=89822.77)$. Mileage totals \$2,230 ($12^*368=4416$ miles @.55=\$2,428.8). Allocation should total \sim \$92,252 or 89823+2429. The percentage of the organizational budget is then calculated as 92252/478089=.192959888, or 19.3%.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Forecast Summary:

			In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"								
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forec	Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Labor	Base YR Rec	554	554	554	0	0	0	554	554	554	
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	7	7	7	0	0	0	7	7	7	
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	•	561	561	561	0	0	0	561	561	561	
FTE	Base YR Rec	7.2	7.2	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	7.2	7.2	

Fore

re	cast Adjustment	Details:					
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	435	395	432	352	470
Non-Labor	83	38	61	17	7
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	518	433	492	369	477
FTE	6.1	5.4	5.5	4.7	6.1
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nom	inal \$)				
Labor	435	395	432	352	470
Non-Labor	83	38	61	17	7
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	518	433	492	369	477
FTE	6.1	5.4	5.5	4.7	6.1
Vacation & Sick (Nominal	(\$)				
Labor	74	71	75	68	85
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	74	71	75	68	85
FTE	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.1
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	63	41	28	6	0
Non-Labor	10	3	3	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	74	45	32	7	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cons	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	573	507	535	426	555
Non-Labor	93	42	64	17	7
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	666	549	599	443	562
FTE	7.2	6.4	6.5	5.6	7.2

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering

Category-Sub: 4. Asset and Data Management

Cost Center: 2200-0307.000 - Website/ Database/ Sever Support

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"										
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0					
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	0	0	0	0	0					
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Activity Description:

Activities associated with the Planning and Project Development organization include detailed analysis of existing facilities, and systems; or potential additions/ acquisitions to existing systems with the ultimate goal of optimizing asset usage and prolong useful life. In addition, the RECLAIM program is managed from this cost center.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - 5-YR Average

Both Labor and Non-labor spending is anticipated to follow the 5-year average profile. This adequately represents the underlying fluctuation in spending for this cost center that is depicted in historical data and may occur over time. Incremental to the chosen average is an added resource to manage the new environmental cap-and-trade program (AB32 GHG). 0.5FTE in 2011, full FTE for 2012.

Non-Labor - 5-YR Average

Both Labor and Non-labor spending is anticipated to follow the 5-year average profile. This adequately represents the underlying fluctuation in spending for this cost center that is depicted in historical data and may occur over time. Incremental to the chosen average is an added resource to manage the new environmental cap-and-trade program (AB32 GHG).

NSE - 5-YR Average

There are no NSE expenses for this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)									
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	isted-Fored	cast		
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	_evel)				
Labor	645	587	545	560	551	577	632	687		
Non-Labor	69	69	165	64	61	85	98	110		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	714	656	710	624	612	662	730	797		
FTE	6.5	5.9	5.3	5.5	5.1	5.7	6.2	6.7		
					ations Out					
Labor	15	12	11	13	12	12	13	14		
Non-Labor	0	1	3	1	1	2	2	3		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	15	13	14	14	13	14	15	17		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					etained					
Labor	630	575	534	547	539	565	619	673		
Non-Labor	69	68	162	63	60	83	96	107		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	699	643	696	610	599	648	715	780		
FTE	6.5	5.9	5.3	5.5	5.1	5.7	6.2	6.7		
					cations In					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Book Expense									
Labor	630	575	534	547	539	565	619	673		
Non-Labor	69	68	162	63	60	83	96	107		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	699	643	696	610	599	648	715	780		
FTE	6.5	5.9	5.3	5.5	5.1	5.7	6.2	6.7		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adjusted-Recorded					2010 Adjusted-Forecast				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
1	0	0	1	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
550	61	0	611	5.10	577	85	0	662	5.70	
97.79%	97.78%				97.86%	97.86%				
2.21%	2.22%				2.14%	2.14%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
538	60	0	598		565	83	0	648		
12	1	0	13		12	2	0	14		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
551	61	0	612	5.10	577	85	0	662	5.70	
12	1	0	13		12	2	0	14		
539	60	0	599		565	83	0	648		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
539	60	0	599		565	83	0	648		

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adjusted-Forecast					2012 Adjusted-Forecast				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
632	98	0	730	6.20	687	110	0	797	6.70	
97.86%	97.86%				97.86%	97.86%				
2.14%	2.14%				2.14%	2.14%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
619	96	0	715		673	107	0	780		
13	2	0	15		14	3	0	17		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
632	98	0	730	6.20	687	110	0	797	6.70	
13	2	0	15		14	3	0	17		
619	96	0	715		673	107	0	780		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
619	96	0	715		673	107	0	780		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Shared services allocation covers SDG&E Engineering and RECLAIM support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of \$10,000, or 13% of one project manager's time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,306,098. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 840,529 (13%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,465,569 (87%). The reallocation is based on salary less 17% for V&S. (95790*.83=79506*13%=10.336 or ~ \$10K) In order to derive a \$10,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$10,336/\$464,890=2.22%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Shared services allocation covers SDG&E Engineering and RECLAIM support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of ~\$11,000, or 13.55% of one project manager's time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%). The reallocation is based on salary less 17% for V&S. (98664*.83=81891*13.55%=11,096 or ~ \$11K) In order to derive a \$11,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$11,0966/\$517492=.021442323, 2.14%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Shared services allocation covers SDG&E Engineering and RECLAIM support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of ~\$11,000, or 13.55% of one project manager's time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%). The reallocation is based on salary less 17% for V&S. (98664*.83=81891*13.55%=11,096 or ~ \$11K) In order to derive a \$11,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$11,0966/\$517492=.021442323, 2.14%.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Shared services allocation covers SDG&E Engineering and RECLAIM support. Calculation is based on an estimated salary reallocation of ~\$11,000, or 13.55% of one project manager's time based on ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to So Cal Gas Meters. Meter calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Gas Meters to SoCal Gas Meters. The ratio is 13% SDG&E and 87% SoCal Gas based on data from Osvaldo Esparza (Engineering Analyst in SDG&E Region Engineering) and the CIS Monthly Report E08P25-1 prepared by Clara Chu (Forecasting Advisor in SoCal Gas-Gas Forecasting). Total Active meters for both utilities is 6,342,813. Total Active meters at SDG&E is 859,264 (13.55%). Total Active meters at SoCal Gas is 5,483,549 (86.45%). The reallocation is based on salary less 17% for V&S. (98664*.83=81891*13.55%=11,096 or ~ \$11K) In order to derive a \$11,000 reallocation total labor and nonlabor expenditures less V&S are used to calculate a percentage. \$11,0966/\$517492=.021442323, 2.14%.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Forecast Summary:

			In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"											
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast						
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012				
Labor	5-YR Average	577	577	577	0	55	110	577	632	687				
Non-Labor	5-YR Average	85	85	85	0	13	25	85	98	110				
NSE	5-YR Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	•	662	662	662		68	135	662	730	797				
FTE	5-YR Average	5.7	5.7	5.7	0.0	0.5	1.0	5.7	6.2	6.7				

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type				
2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0					
2011	0	0	0	0	0.5	1-Sided Adj				
Additional management personnel required to manage cap-and-trade program for both utilities gas systems. Plan to hire mid year therefore only half of FTE stated. 0.5 FTE										
2011	55	0	0	55	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
	Additional management personnel required to manage cap-and-trade program for both utilities gas systems. Plan to hire mid year therefore only half of salary stated. \$110k/2=\$55k									
2011	0	13	0	13	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
	penses associal		•		•	•				

cap-and-trade	program for b	our dunities ga	is systems. I	ian to fine n	iiu ycai. k	ψ25N 2-ψ 12.5K
2011 Total	55	13	0	68	0.5	
2012	0	0	0	0	1.0	1-Sided Adj
Additional man	•	onnel require	d to manage	cap-and-trac	de prograr	n for both
utilities gas sys	stems.					
2012	0	25	0	25	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Non-labor expenses associated with additional management personnel required to manage cap-and-trade program for both utilities gas systems. \$25k

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj	<u>Type</u>
2012	110	0	0	110	0.0 1	-Sided Adj

Additional management personnel required to manage cap-and-trade program for both utilities gas systems. Plan to hire mid year therefore only half of salary stated. \$110k

2012 Total	110	25	0	135	1.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

•	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	490	457	372	396	467
Non-Labor	62	64	156	64	61
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	552	521	528	460	528
FTE	5.5	5.0	3.6	3.8	4.3
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	68	67	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	68	67	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	490	457	440	463	467
Non-Labor	62	64	156	64	61
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	552	521	596	527	528
FTE	5.5	5.0	4.5	4.6	4.3
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	84	82	77	89	84
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	84	82	77	89	84
FTE	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	71	48	29	8	0
Non-Labor	8	6	9	1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	79	54	38	9	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	645	587	545	560	552
Non-Labor	70	69	165	65	61
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	715	656	710	625	612
FTE	6.5	5.9	5.3	5.5	5.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: A. General Engineering
Category-Sub: 5. Planning & Analysis

Cost Center: 2200-0323.000 - Planning & Project Development

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		3"			
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	68	67	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	68	67	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>				
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0							
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0							
2007	68	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009102				
adjustment to account for unfilled vacancy (\$80K/1.1745 V&S)											
2007	0	0	0	0.9	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009102				
Adjustmen	t to account for	r unfilled va	cancy (1F	TE/1.1	745 V&S)		6114751807				
2007 Total	68	0	0	0.9							
2008	67	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009102				
Adjustmen	t to account for	r unfilled va	cancy (\$80	0k/1.19	927 V&S)		6114957230				
2008	0	0	0	0.8	1-Sided Adj	N/A	TP1RMC2009102				
Adjustmen	t to account fo	r unfilled va	cancy (1F	TE/1.1	927 V&S)		6115037107				
2008 Total	67	0	0	0.8							
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0							

In 2009\$ (000) "Book Expense"

2010

3,811

Adjusted-Forecast 2011

3,785

2012

3,785

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: B. Pipeline Integrity Cost Center:

VARIOUS

Labor

Summary for Category: B. Pipeline Integrity

Adjusted-Recorded

2,639

2009

NSE 0 0 0 Total 3,216 5,708 5,691 5,700 FTE 34.7 55.4 54.9 54.9 Cost Centers belonging to this Category: 200-0319,000 Corosion & Direct Assessment Labor 450 578 552 552 Non-Labor 31 142 151 160 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 481 720 703 712 FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 271 30	Non-Labor	577	1,897	1,906	1,915
FTE 34.7 55.4 54.9 54.9 Cost Centers belonging to this Category: 2200-0319.000 Corrosion & Direct Assessment Labor 450 578 552 552 Non-Labor 31 142 151 160 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 481 720 703 712 FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared 271 </td <td>NSE</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	NSE	0	0	0	0
FTE 34.7 55.4 54.9 54.9 Cost Centers belonging to this Category: 2200-0319,000 Corrosion & Direct Assessment Labor 450 578 552 552 Non-Labor 31 142 151 160 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 481 720 703 712 FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 301<	Total	3,216	5,708	5,691	5,700
	FTE	34.7	55.4	54.9	54.9
Non-Labor 31 142 151 160 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 481 720 703 712 FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.5 1.36 1.60 <					
NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 481 720 703 712 FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Labor 141 160 160 160 Non-Labor 264 851 851 851 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 405 1,011 1,011 1,011 FTE 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 2200-2109.000 Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared Labor 526 556 556 556 <				552	
Total 481 720 703 712 FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>151</td><td>160</td></t<>				151	160
FTE 5.6 7.5 7.0 7.0 2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Babor 141 160 160 160 Non-Labor 141 160 160 160 160 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 405 1,011	NSE	•		0	
2200-0320.000 Material and Quality - Shared Labor 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Labor 141 160 160 160 Non-Labor 264 851 851 851 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 405 1,011 <td></td> <td></td> <td>720</td> <td>703</td> <td></td>			720	703	
Labor 271 271 271 271 Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Labor 141 160 160 160 160 Non-Labor 264 851 851 851 851 N51	FTE	5.6	7.5	7.0	7.0
Non-Labor 30 30 30 30 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Labor 141 160 160 160 Non-Labor 264 851 851 851 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 405 1,011 1,011 1,011 1,011 FTE 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 2200-2109.000 Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared Labor 526 556 556 556 Non-Labor 124 136 136 136 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 650 692 692 692 FTE 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 2200-2291.000 Assessment Pla	2200-0320.000 Materi	al and Quality - Shared			
NSE 0 0 0 Total 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Labor 141 160 160 160 Non-Labor 264 851 851 851 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 405 1,011 1,011 1,011 FTE 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 2200-2109.000 Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared Labor 526 556 556 556 Non-Labor 124 136 136 136 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 650 692 692 692 FTE 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 2200-2291.000 Assessment Planning - Shared Labor 293 293 293 293 Non-Labor 1 1 1 1 <td< td=""><td>Labor</td><td>271</td><td>271</td><td>271</td><td>271</td></td<>	Labor	271	271	271	271
Total 301 301 301 301 FTE 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 2200-2108.000 Pipeline Integrity Manager Labor 141 160 160 160 Non-Labor 264 851 851 851 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 405 1,011 1,011 1,011 FTE 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 2200-2109.000 Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared Labor 526 556 556 556 Non-Labor 124 136 136 136 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 650 692 692 692 FTE 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 2200-2291.000 Assessment Planning - Shared Labor 293 293 293 293 Non-Labor 1 1 1 1 1 <td< td=""><td>Non-Labor</td><td>30</td><td>30</td><td>30</td><td>30</td></td<>	Non-Labor	30	30	30	30
FTE 3.3					

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Cost Center: VARIOUS

	In 2	2009\$ (000) "Book E	xpense"	
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast	
[2009	2010	2011	2012
2200-2293.000 Prever	ntative and Mitigation - Shared			
Labor	457	478	478	478
Non-Labor	92	86	86	86
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	549	564	564	564
FTE	6.3	7.1	7.1	7.1
2200-2297.000 Data N	lanagement and GPS Support	- Shared		
Labor	501	527	527	527
Non-Labor	35	42	42	42
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	536	569	569	569
FTE	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
2200-2325.000 Pipelir	ne Integrity/ Ops Tech Support	- Shared		
Labor	0	948	948	948
Non-Labor	0	609	609	609
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1,557	1,557	1,557
FTE	0.0	17.0	17.0	17.0

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Activity Description:

The Corrosion and Direct Assessment team provides engineering and technical expertise associated with Cathodic protection including system design, audits and troubleshooting; Metallurgical support, including welding procedures, failure analysis, pipe and welding consideration; Internal and external corrosion support including corrosion mitigation and monitoring, chemical treatment, and microbiological influences. Costs incurred include labor and non-labor expenses associated these activities by Project Managers, engineers, technical personnel, and administrative support.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the future activities of this cost center/workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup because the changes that have impacted the workgroup. The nature of work has changed driven by the regulatory needs that have to be addressed. The nature of pipeline integrity is that as new threats are identified, SoCalGas have responded at times by changing the way it does work.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the future activities of this cost center/workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup because the changes that have impacted the workgroup. The nature of work has changed driven by the regulatory needs that have to be addressed. The nature of pipeline integrity is that as new threats are identified, SoCalGas have responded at times by changing the way it does work.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no non-standard escalation expenses for this account.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)										
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast			
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
				Total Incurr	ed (100% L	_evel)					
Labor	879	683	688	847	524	674	644	644			
Non-Labor	430	354	199	87	36	166	176	186			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,309	1,037	887	934	560	840	820	830			
FTE	10.1	8.5	8.1	9.6	5.6	7.5	7.0	7.0			
	Allocations Out										
Labor	48	111	127	122	74	96	92	92			
Non-Labor	0	48	29	12	5	24	25	26			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	48	159	156	134	79	120	117	118			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					etained						
Labor	831	572	561	725	450	578	552	552			
Non-Labor	430	306	170	75	31	142	151	160			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,261	878	731	800	481	720	703	712			
FTE	9.9	8.1	7.6	9.6	5.6	7.5	7.0	7.0			
					cations In						
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					Expense						
Labor	831	572	561	725	450	578	552	552			
Non-Labor	430	306	170	75	31	142	151	160			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,261	878	731	800	481	720	703	712			
FTE	9.9	8.1	7.6	9.6	5.6	7.5	7.0	7.0			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
6	0	0	6	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
518	36	0	554	5.60	674	166	0	840	7.50	
85.73%	85.73%				85.76%	85.76%				
14.27%	14.27%				14.24%	14.24%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
444	31	0	475		578	142	0	720		
74	5	0	79		96	24	0	120		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
524	36	0	560	5.60	674	166	0	840	7.50	
74	5	0	79		96	24	0	120		
450	31	0	481		578	142	0	720		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
450	31	0	481		578	142	0	720		

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	0 0 0 0 0.00 0 0 0 0 0.00 644 186 0 830 7.00 85.76% 85.76% 14.24% 14.24% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
644	176	0	820	7.00	644	186	0	830	7.00
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
552	151	0	703		552	160	0	712	
92	25	0	117		92	26	0	118	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
644	176	0	820	7.00	644	186	0	830	7.00
92	25	0	117		92	26	0	118	
552	151	0	703		552	160	0	712	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
552	151	0	703		552	160	0	712	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Forecast Summary:

				In 20	09 \$(000) "In	curred Co	sts"			
Forecas	t Method	Base Forecast			Foreca	ıst Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	674	644	644	674	644	644
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	166	176	186	166	176	186
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	0	0	0	840	820	830	840	820	830
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.0	7.0	7.5	7.0	7.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	674	0	0	674	0.0	1-Sided Adj
•	rements for sched ct Managers, two		•			•
2010	0	0	0	0	7.5	1-Sided Adj
•	rements for sched ct Managers, two		•			•
2010	0	166	0	166	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases.

2010 Total	674	166	0	840	7.5	
2011	644	0	0	644	0.0	1-Sided Adj
l ab an an aideana a	-4- f	I - I FODA Di-	- 15 14	4	_1	Toomstood
Labor requirementhree Project Man		•	J	, ,	ciudes	leam Lead,
tilice i roject ividi	lagers, two re	commodi / tavis	ors, Engine	Ci ii.		
2011	0	0	0	0	7.0	1-Sided Adj
Labor requirementhree Project Man		-	_		cludes	Team Lead,
2011	0	176	0	176	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

equipment purchases.

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases.

2011 Total	644	176	0	820	7.0	
2012	644	0	0	644	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Labor requirem	onto for ocho	dulad ECDA B	inalina Int	ogrity projects	Indudoo	Toom Load
three Project M			•	egrity projects. gineer II.	includes	ream Leau,
2012	0	0	0	0	7.0	1-Sided Adj
Labor requirent three Project M			•	egrity projects. gineer II.	Includes	Team Lead,
2012	0	186	0	186	0.0	1-Sided Adj
seminar attend	ance; particip	ation on Indus	try commi	•	xpenses	erence and associated with s; Small tool and

2012 Total 644 186 0 830 7.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	667	532	555	700	444
Non-Labor	383	325	189	86	36
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,050	857	743	785	480
FTE	8.6	7.1	6.9	8.1	4.7
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (No	minal \$)				
Labor	667	532	555	700	444
Non-Labor	383	325	189	86	36
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,050	857	743	785	480
FTE	8.6	7.1	6.8	8.0	4.7
/acation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	114	95	97	135	80
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	114	95	97	135	80
FTE	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.6	0.9
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	97	56	37	12	0
Non-Labor	48	29	11	1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	145	85	47	14	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	878	683	688	847	524
Non-Labor	431	354	199	87	36
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,309	1,036	887	934	560
FTE	10.1	8.5	8.1	9.6	5.6

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0319.000 - Corrosion & Direct Assessment

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs		
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Activity Description:

This team manages the business processes for approval and documentation of Gas Pipeline Materials. Pipeline Integrity regulatory requirements mandate minimum levels of materials-related information that are to be maintained to facilitate effective pipeline integrity and O&M decisions in the future. This team is also the process owner for Gas Engineering Quality processes, including the quality control plan for integrity management, and gas materials. The process for approving manufacturers that supply specified materials is also integrated into the material approval process. This team coordinates assessments of potential and approved suppliers of pipeline materials and products, and tracks supplier quality performance.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Due to changes in personnel and activity the 2009 base year forecast is the most accurate representation of services and funding required.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

Due to changes in personnel and activity the 2009 base year forecast is the most accurate representation of services and funding required.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no non-standard escalation expenses associated with this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Summary of Results:

				In 200	9\$ (000)			
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	_evel)		
Labor	339	465	480	409	310	310	310	310
Non-Labor	43	63	47	65	34	34	34	34
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	382	528	527	474	344	344	344	344
FTE	4.0	5.6	5.6	4.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
					ations Out			
Labor	44	69	71	61	39	39	39	39
Non-Labor	6	9	7	9	4	4	4	4
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	50	78	78	70	43	43	43	43
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	295	396	409	348	271	271	271	271
Non-Labor	37	54	40	56	30	30	30	30
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	332	450	449	404	301	301	301	301
FTE	4.0	5.6	5.6	4.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
					cations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	295	396	409	348	271	271	271	271
Non-Labor	37	54	40	56	30	30	30	30
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	332	450	449	404	301	301	301	301
FTE	4.0	5.6	5.6	4.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
1	4	0	5	0.00	1	4	0	5	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
309	30	0	339	3.30	309	30	0	339	3.30
87.37%	87.37%				87.40%	87.40%			
12.63%	12.63%				12.60%	12.60%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
270	26	0	296		270	26	0	296	
39	4	0	43		39	4	0	43	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
310	34	0	344	3.30	310	34	0	344	3.30
39	4	0	43		39	4	0	43	
271	30	0	301		271	30	0	301	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
271	30	0	301		271	30	0	301	_

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		0 1 4 0 5 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
1	4	0	5	0.00	1	4	0	5	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
309	30	0	339	3.30	309	30	0	339	3.30
87.40%	87.40%				87.40%	87.40%			
12.60%	12.60%				12.60%	12.60%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
270	26	0	296		270	26	0	296	
39	4	0	43		39	4	0	43	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
310	34	0	344	3.30	310	34	0	344	3.30
39	4	0	43		39	4	0	43	
271	30	0	301		271	30	0	301	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
271	30	0	301		271	30	0	301	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

This Cost Center provides 75% of its services to Distribution and 25% to Transmission. Calculations for 75% of department are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation in February 2008. SDG&E Distribution main is 8,338 miles. SoCal Gas has 47,566 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,904 miles for both utilities. (8338+47566=55,904 miles. 8338/55904=.14914854. 47566/55904=.850851, or 14.91% and 85.09%.) Calculations for the remaining 25% are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2007 filing submitted in February of 2008. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 243 miles. SoCal Gas had 3961 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4204 miles for both utilities. (243+3961=4204 miles. 243/4204=.057802. 3961/42048=.942197, ot 5.78% for SDG&E and 94.22% for SoCal Gas. 75% of the 242,200 estimate is subject to allocation to SDG&E at 14.91% and 25% of the 242,000 estimate is subject to allocation at 5.78%. The Distribution support is 242200*.75=181650*.1491=27084. Transmission support is 242200*.25=x*.0578=3500. 27084+3500=30584. Percentage is .75*.1491=.111825 + .25*.0578=.01445. 11.1825%+1.445%=12.6275%. 242200*12.6275%=330584.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

This Cost Center provides 75% of its services to Distribution and 25% to Transmission. Calculations for 75% of department are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation in February 2009. SDG&E Distribution main is 8,317 miles. SoCal Gas has 47,540 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,857 miles for both utilities. (8317+47540=55,857 miles. 8317/55857=.1489. 47540/55857=.8511, or 14.89% and 85.11%.) Calculations for the remaining 25% are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429, or 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas. 75% of the 302,302 estimate is subject to allocation to SDG&E at 14.89% and 25% of the 302,302 estimate is subject to allocation at 5.71%. The Distribution support is 302302*.75=226726.5*.1489=33760. Transmission support is 302302*.25=75575.5*.0571=4315. 33760+4315=38075. Percentage is .75*.1489=.111675 + .25*.0571=.014275. 11.1675%+1.4275%=12.595%. 302302*12.595%=38075.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

This Cost Center provides 75% of its services to Distribution and 25% to Transmission. Calculations for 75% of department are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation in February 2009. SDG&E Distribution main is 8,317 miles. SoCal Gas has 47,540 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,857 miles for both utilities. (8317+47540=55,857 miles. 8317/55857=.1489. 47540/55857=.8511, or 14.89% and 85.11%.) Calculations for the remaining 25% are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429, or 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas. 75% of the 302,302 estimate is subject to allocation to SDG&E at 14.89% and 25% of the 302,302 estimate is subject to allocation at 5.71%. The Distribution support is 302302*.75=226726.5*.1489=33760. Transmission support is 302302*.25=75575.5*.0571=4315. 33760+4315=38075. Percentage is .75*.1489=.111675 + .25*.0571=.014275. 11.1675%+1.4275%=12.595%. 302302*12.595%=38075.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

This Cost Center provides 75% of its services to Distribution and 25% to Transmission. Calculations for 75% of department are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation in February 2009. SDG&E Distribution main is 8,317 miles. SoCal Gas has 47,540 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,857 miles for both utilities. (8317+47540=55,857 miles. 8317/55857=.1489. 47540/55857=.8511, or 14.89% and 85.11%.) Calculations for the remaining 25% are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429, or 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas. 75% of the 302,302 estimate is subject to allocation to SDG&E at 14.89% and 25% of the 302,302 estimate is subject to allocation at 5.71%. The Distribution support is 302302*.75=226726.5*.1489=33760. Transmission support is 302302*.25=75575.5*.0571=4315. 33760+4315=38075. Percentage is .75*.1489=.111675 + .25*.0571=.014275. 11.1675%+1.4275%=12.595%. 302302*12.595%=38075.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Forecast Summary:

				In 200	09 \$(000) "In	curred Co	sts"			
Forecast	Forecast Method Base Forecast			t	Foreca	ast Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Base YR Rec	310	310	310	0	0	0	310	310	310
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	34	34	34	0	0	0	34	34	34
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	344	344	344		0	0	344	344	344
FTE	Base YR Rec	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3	3.3

Forecast Adjustment Details:

ecast Adjustment D	etails:					
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

Labor 258 363 387 178 249 Non-Labor 38 58 45 64 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 296 420 431 243 283 FTE 3.4 4.6 4.7 2.0 2.6 Adjustments (Nominal \$) ** Labor 0 0 0 0 159 14 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 159 14 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0.0 0 0 159 14 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 296 420 431 402 296 FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Non-Labor 38	Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
NSE	Labor	258	363	387	178	249
Total 296 420 431 243 283 FTE 3.4 4.6 4.7 2.0 2.6 Adjustments (Nominal \$) ** Labor 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Non-Labor	38	58	45	64	34
FTE 3.4 4.6 4.7 2.0 2.6 Adjustments (Nominal \$) *** Labor 0 0 0 0 159 14 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0 0 0 0 0 0 19 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Nominal \$) Labor 258 363 387 338 263 Non-Labor 38 58 45 64 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 296 420 431 402 296 FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 SSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$)	NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Adjustments (Nominal \$) ** Labor 0 0 0 0 159 14 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.2 Recorded-Adjusted (Nominal \$) Labor 258 363 387 338 263 Non-Labor 38 58 45 64 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 296 420 431 402 296 FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 00 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 43 63 47 65 34	Total	296	420	431	243	283
Labor 0 0 0 159 14 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.2 Recorded-Adjusted (Nominal \$) 8 363 387 338 263 Non-Labor 38 58 45 64 34 NSE 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>4.6</td><td>4.7</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.6</td></t<>			4.6	4.7	2.0	2.6
Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
NSE	Labor	0	0	0	159	14
Total 0 0 0 0 159 14 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.2 Recorded-Adjusted (Nominal \$) Labor 258 363 387 338 263 Non-Labor 38 58 45 64 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 296 420 431 402 296 FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 Ron-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 SECOLUTION OF TEE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$)	Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Labor 258 363 387 338 263 387 338 263 387 388 263 387 388 38	Total	0	0	0	159	14
Labor 258 363 387 338 263 Non-Labor 38 58 45 64 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 296 420 431 402 296 FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.2
Non-Labor 38	Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Labor	258	363	387	338	263
Total 296 420 431 402 296 FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 <td>Non-Labor</td> <td>38</td> <td>58</td> <td>45</td> <td>64</td> <td>34</td>	Non-Labor	38	58	45	64	34
FTE 3.4 4.7 4.7 3.9 2.8 Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$) Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0	Total	296	420	431	402	296
Labor 44 65 67 65 47 Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	FTE	3.4	4.7	4.7	3.9	2.8
Non-Labor 0 0 0 0 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0	Labor	44	65	67	65	47
Total 44 65 67 65 47 FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 0 Non-Labor 5 5 5 3 1 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
FTE 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.5 Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Escalation to 2009\$ Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Total	44	65	67	65	47
Labor 37 38 25 6 0 Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	FTE	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.5
Non-Labor 5 5 3 1 0 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) 8 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Escalation to 2009\$					
NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Labor	37	38	25	6	0
Total 42 43 28 7 0 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Non-Labor	5	5	3	1	0
FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Recorded-Adjusted (Constant 2009\$) Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Total	42	43	28	7	0
Labor 339 465 479 409 310 Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Non-Labor 43 63 47 65 34 NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Recorded-Adjusted (Con	nstant 2009\$)				
NSE 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total 382 528 527 474 344	Labor	339		479	409	310
Total 382 528 527 474 344	Non-Labor	43	63	47	65	34
	NSE	0		0	0	0
FTE 4.0 5.6 5.6 4.7 3.3		382			474	344
	FTE	4.0	5.6	5.6	4.7	3.3

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

	In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"							
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Labor	0	0	0	159	14			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	159	14			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.2			

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008	159	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2300.000	TP1RMC2009102 8160330190
•			. • .	•	ween cost cente 320) cost center	er owners newly s.	0100330130
2008	0	0	0	1.9	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2300.000	TP1RMC2009102 8160445753
-				-	ween cost cente 320) cost center	er owners newly s.	0100110100
2008 Total	159	0	0	1.9			
2009	14	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2300.000	TP1RMC2010042 7073659730

Adjustment to correct timekeeping posting between cost center owners newly created NSS (2200-2300) and historical USS (2200-0320) cost centers.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-0320.000 - Material and Quality - Shared

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009	0	0	0	0.2 CC	TR Transf	From 2200-2300.000	TP1RMC2010043
							0082322173

Adjustment to correct timekeeping posting between cost centers. Activities are Shared Service in nature and therefore transfered from NSS (2200-2300), to USS (2200-0320) cost centers.

2009 Total 14 0 0 0.2

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Activity Description:

This account includes labor and expenses associated with the Pipeline Integrity Manager and administrative support functions, both company and contract. Activities managed include all aspects of the federal rules associated with both Transmission and Distribution aspects of the Pipeline Integrity Management Program, under the congressional mandates of the PIPE's act of 2006. Managed activities include the written programs of both TIMP and DIMP; threat identification, risk evaluation, assessment and repair, data collection, analysis, prevention and mitigative action plans, budgetary development and oversight, reviews and audit of activities, summary reporting to internal and external entities, and continual program improvement.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the future activities of this cost center/workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup because the changes that have impacted the workgroup. The nature of work has changed driven by the regulatory needs that have to be addressed. The nature of pipeline integrity is that as new threats are identified, SoCalGas have responded at times by changing the way it does work.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the future activities of this cost center/workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup because the changes that have impacted the workgroup. The nature of work has changed driven by the regulatory needs that have to be addressed. The nature of pipeline integrity is that as new threats are identified, SoCalGas have responded at times by changing the way it does work.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)								
		Adju	sted-Record	led		Adj	usted-Fore	cast	
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
				Total Incuri	ed (100% l	_evel)			
Labor	400	1,150	1,289	924	165	187	187	187	
Non-Labor	415	581	504	137	308	992	992	992	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	815	1,731	1,793	1,061	473	1,179	1,179	1,179	
FTE	4.5	13.8	14.9	10.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	
					ations Out				
Labor	31	149	160	133	24	27	27	27	
Non-Labor	32	55	50	19	44	141	141	141	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	63	204	210	152	68	168	168	168	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					etained				
Labor	369	1,001	1,129	791	141	160	160	160	
Non-Labor	383	526	454	118	264	851	851	851	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	752	1,527	1,583	909	405	1,011	1,011	1,011	
FTE	4.5	13.8	14.9	10.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	
					cations In				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					Expense		100		
Labor	369	1,001	1,129	791	141	160	160	160	
Non-Labor	383	526	454	118	264	851	851	851	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	752	1,527	1,583	909	405	1,011	1,011	1,011	
FTE	4.5	13.8	14.9	10.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	1	0	1	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
165	307	0	472	1.80	187	992	0	1,179	1.80
85.72%	85.73%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.28%	14.27%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
141	263	0	404		160	851	0	1,011	
24	44	0	68		27	141	0	168	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
165	308	0	473	1.80	187	992	0	1,179	1.80
24	44	0	68		27	141	0	168	
141	264	0	405		160	851	0	1,011	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
141	264	0	405	_	160	851	0	1,011	_

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
187	992	0	1,179	1.80	187	992	0	1,179	1.80
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
160	851	0	1,011		160	851	0	1,011	
27	141	0	168		27	141	0	168	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
187	992	0	1,179	1.80	187	992	0	1,179	1.80
27	141	0	168		27	141	0	168	
160	851	0	1,011		160	851	0	1,011	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
160	851	0	1,011		160	851	0	1,011	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method		Base	e Forecas	t	Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjust	ted-Foreca	ast
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	187	187	187	187	187	187
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	992	992	992	992	992	992
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	0	0	0	1,179	1,179	1,179	1,179	1,179	1,179
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Adj_Type</u>	
2010	187	0	0	187	0.0	1-Sided Adj	
•	irements for mana ive Assistants.	aging the Pip	eline Integrit	ty program. I	Pipeline Inte	egrity Manager	-,
2010	0	992	0	992	0.0	1-Sided Adj	

Non labor expenses include the costs of license and maintenance fees for software applications utilized by the Pipeline Integrity groups: ICAM-Transmission, ICAM-Distribution, Geofields high pressure pipeline database. Included are fees covering consultant work (time, travel, and lodging) associated with the ongoing enhancements of the Integrity Management Programs for both transmission and Distribution rules. Small tools and equipment purchases in support of integrity related field data collection activities. Also applies to training and certification(NACE) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings (OSRAC, AGA); expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/lodging/ meals while attending to company business

2010 0 0 0 1.8 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for managing the Pipeline Integrity program. Pipeline Integrity Manager, Administrative Assistants.

2010 Total	187	992	0	1,179	1.8		
2011	187	0	0	187	0.0	1-Sided Adj	

Labor requirements for managing the Pipeline Integrity program. Pipeline Integrity Manager, Administrative Assistants.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2011	0	992	0	992	0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses include the costs of license and maintenance fees for software applications utilized by the Pipeline Integrity groups: ICAM-Transmission, ICAM-Distribution, Geofields high pressure pipeline database. Included are fees covering consultant work (time, travel, and lodging) associated with the ongoing enhancements of the Integrity Management Programs for both transmission and Distribution rules. Small tools and equipment purchases in support of integrity related field data collection activities. Also applies to training and certification(NACE) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings (OSRAC, AGA); expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/lodging/ meals while attending to company business

2011 0 0 0 1.8 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for managing the Pipeline Integrity program. Pipeline Integrity Manager, Administrative Assistants.

2011 Total	187	992	0	1,179	1.8			
2012	187	0	0	187	0.0	1-Sided Adj		
				_				
Labor requirements for managing the Pipeline Integrity program. Pipeline Integrity Manager, Administrative Assistants.								
Administrative	ASSISIANIS.							
2012	0	992	0	992	0.0	1-Sided Adj		
2012	0	992	0	992	0.0	1-Sided Adj		

Non labor expenses include the costs of license and maintenance fees for software applications utilized by the Pipeline Integrity groups: ICAM-Transmission, ICAM-Distribution, Geofields high pressure pipeline database. Included are fees covering consultant work (time, travel, and lodging) associated with the ongoing enhancements of the Integrity Management Programs for both transmission and Distribution rules. Small tools and equipment purchases in support of integrity related field data collection activities. Also applies to training and certification(NACE) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings (OSRAC, AGA); expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business

2012 0 0 0 0 1.8 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for managing the Pipeline Integrity program. Pipeline Integrity Manager, Administrative Assistants.

2012 Total 187 992 0 1,179 1.8

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	304	896	1,039	763	140
Non-Labor	369	534	478	135	307
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	673	1,430	1,517	898	447
FTE	3.8	11.6	12.6	9.0	1.5
djustments (Nominal \$	5) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	O
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	C
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (No	minal \$)				
Labor	304	896	1,039	763	140
Non-Labor	369	534	478	135	307
NSE	0	0	0	0	(
Total	673	1,430	1,517	898	447
FTE	3.8	11.7	12.6	9.0	1.5
acation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	52	160	181	147	25
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	C
NSE	0	0	0	0	
Total	52	160	181	147	25
FTE	0.7	2.1	2.3	1.8	0.3
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	44	94	68	14	C
Non-Labor	46	48	27	2	C
NSE	0	0	0	0	
Total	90	142	95	16	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	400	1,150	1,289	924	165
Non-Labor	414	582	505	137	307
NSE	0	0	0	0	
Total	815	1,732	1,794	1,061	472
FTE	4.5	13.8	14.9	10.8	1.8

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2108.000 - Pipeline Integrity Manager

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"						
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Activity Description:

The activities conducted by the Pipeline Integrity Technical Support team include project management and engineering analysis associated with integrity assessments of covered pipeline segments in HCA's. These activities include pre-assessment, indirect inspection, direct examination, and post assessment. Pre-assessment includes exhaustive records review and data collection and to identify the historic condition of the pipe. Indirect Inspection includes the various inspection methodologies applicable to a specific pipe segment. These methods include In-Line inspection (ILI or pigging), Direct Assessment (ECDA, ICDA, etc), and Pressure testing (Hydro). Direct Examination involves the complete exposure of pipe segments to visually and physically inspect the pipe body. Post Assessment includes the evaluation of all data and knowledge collected during the previous 3 phases to conduct remaining life assessment, calculate re-assessment interval, determine assessment effectiveness, and project reporting.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the activities of this workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup based on the level of work and the increase in analytical activities.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the activities of this workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup based on the level of work and the increase in analytical activities.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Summary of Results:

				In 200	9\$ (000)			
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	_evel)		
Labor	493	534	514	194	556	590	590	590
Non-Labor	69	109	40	57	131	144	144	144
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	562	643	554	251	687	734	734	734
FTE	5.3	6.1	5.9	2.3	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7
					ations Out			
Labor	29	30	31	11	30	34	34	34
Non-Labor	9	6	2	3	7	8	8	8
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	38	36	33	14	37	42	42	42
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	464	504	483	183	526	556	556	556
Non-Labor	60	103	38	54	124	136	136	136
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	524	607	521	237	650	692	692	692
FTE	5.3	6.1	5.9	2.3	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7
					cations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	464	504	483	183	526	556	556	556
Non-Labor	60	103	38	54	124	136	136	136
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	524	607	521	237	650	692	692	692
FTE	5.3	6.1	5.9	2.3	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
36	4	0	40	0.50	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
520	127	0	647	6.20	590	144	0	734	6.70
94.23%	94.22%				94.29%	94.29%			
5.77%	5.78%				5.71%	5.71%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
490	120	0	610		556	136	0	692	
30	7	0	37		34	8	0	42	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
556	131	0	687	6.70	590	144	0	734	6.70
30	7	0	37		34	8	0	42	
526	124	0	650		556	136	0	692	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
526	124	0	650		556	136	0	692	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
590	144	0	734	6.70	590	144	0	734	6.70
94.29%	94.29%				94.29%	94.29%			
5.71%	5.71%				5.71%	5.71%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
556	136	0	692		556	136	0	692	
34	8	0	42		34	8	0	42	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
590	144	0	734	6.70	590	144	0	734	6.70
34	8	0	42		34	8	0	42	
556	136	0	692		556	136	0	692	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
556	136	0	692		556	136	0	692	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2007 filing submitted in February of 2008. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 243 miles. SoCal Gas had 3961 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4204 miles for both utilities. (243+3961=4204 miles. 243/4204=.057802. 3961/42048=.942197) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.78% for SDG&E and 94.22% for SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. The most recent data is the 2008 filing submitted in February of 2009. SDG&E Transmission pipe totaled 242 miles. SoCal Gas had 3999 miles of Transmission pipe for a total of 4241 miles for both utilities. (242+3999=4241 miles. 242/4241=.0571. 3999/4241=.9429) Percentages based on the most current filing is 5.71% for SDG&E and 94.29% for SoCal Gas.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Forecast Summary:

				In 200	09 \$(000) "In	curred Co	sts"			
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Foreca	ist Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast		
	<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	590	590	590	590	590	590
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	144	144	144	144	144	144
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	0	0	0	734	734	734	734	734	734
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7

Forecast Adjustment Details:

2011

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	590	0	0	590	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of scheduled integrity assessments and resultant post assessment data analysis and remediation activities. Includes Team Lead, two Senior Engineers, two Engineer I's, and two Engineer II's.

2010 0 144 0 144 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE, KAPA) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases.

2010 0 0 0 6.7 1-Sided Adj

144

Labor requirements for resources in support of scheduled integrity assessments and resultant post assessment data analysis and remediation activities. Includes Team Lead, two Senior Engineers, two Engineer I's, and two Engineer II's.

2010 Total	590	144	0	734	6.7
2011	590	0	0	590	0.0 1-Sided Adj
•	data analysis	and remediation	on activities	• •	essments and resultant am Lead, two Senior

0

144

1-Sided Adj

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE, KAPA) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases.

2011 0 0 0 0 6.7 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of scheduled integrity assessments and resultant post assessment data analysis and remediation activities. Includes Team Lead, two Senior Engineers, two Engineer I's, and two Engineer II's.

2011 Total 590 734 6.7 2012 590 0 0 590 0.0 1-Sided Adj Labor requirements for resources in support of scheduled integrity assessments and resultant post assessment data analysis and remediation activities. Includes Team Lead, two Senior Engineers, two Engineer I's, and two Engineer II's. 2012 0 144 0 144 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE, KAPA) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases.

2012 0 0 0 0 6.7 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of scheduled integrity assessments and resultant post assessment data analysis and remediation activities. Includes Team Lead, two Senior Engineers, two Engineer I's, and two Engineer II's.

2012 Total 590 144 0 734 6.7

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

		2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	375	416	415	160	471
Non-Labor	62	99	38	56	131
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	437	515	452	216	602
FTE	4.5	5.1	5.0	1.9	5.6
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nom	inal \$)				
Labor	375	416	415	160	471
Non-Labor	62	99	38	56	131
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	437	515	452	216	602
FTE	4.5	5.1	5.0	1.9	5.6
Vacation & Sick (Nominal	(\$)				
Labor	64	74	72	31	85
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	64	74	72	31	85
FTE	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.4	1.1
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	54	44	27	3	0
Non-Labor	8	9	2	1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	62	52	29	4	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cons	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	493	534	514	194	556
Non-Labor	70	108	40	57	131
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	563	642	554	251	687
FTE	5.3	6.1	5.9	2.3	6.7

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2109.000 - Pipeline Integrity Technical Support - Shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>ReflD</u>
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Activity Description:

Activities included in this cost center include those in support of scheduling, tracking and reporting on the progress associated with assessing the pipeline segments within the TIMP program. These activities include: Creating the Baseline Assessment Schedule; Creating the assessment method matrix; Assigning assessment tools; Keeping records of BAP revisions/updates; Quality reviewing and approving updates to the BAP Schedule; Ensuring prior assessments meet current assessment requirements and that required remediation actions have been taken; Initiates MOC approval process. Prepares the PHMSA semi-annual Integrity Management Plan (IMP) report, as well as the annual DOT report for both utilities.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Labor expenditures reflected in base year 2009 are expected to remain stable through 2012 and beyond. Therefore, base year 2009 was chosen as the forecasting methodology.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

Non labor expenditures reflected in base year 2009 are expected to remain stable through 2012 and beyond. Therefore, base year 2009 was chosen as the forecasting methodology.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no Non Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Summary of Results:

				In 200	9\$ (000)			
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adjı	ısted-Fore	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incurr	red (100% L	_evel)		
Labor	0	0	0	190	342	342	342	342
Non-Labor	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	191	343	343	343	343
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
					ations Out			
Labor	0	0	0	27	49	49	49	49
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	27	49	49	49	49
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	0	0	0	163	293	293	293	293
Non-Labor	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	164	294	294	294	294
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
					cations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	0	0	0	163	293	293	293	293
Non-Labor	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	164	294	294	294	294
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
342	1	0	343	4.00	342	1	0	343	4.00
85.72%	85.73%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.28%	14.27%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
293	1	0	294		293	1	0	294	
49	0	0	49		49	0	0	49	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
342	1	0	343	4.00	342	1	0	343	4.00
49	0	0	49		49	0	0	49	
293	1	0	294		293	1	0	294	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
293	1	0	294		293	1	0	294	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
342	1	0	343	4.00	342	1	0	343	4.00
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
293	1	0	294		293	1	0	294	
49	0	0	49		49	0	0	49	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
342	1	0	343	4.00	342	1	0	343	4.00
49	0	0	49		49	0	0	49	
293	1	0	294		293	1	0	294	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
293	1	0	294		293	1	0	294	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method		Base	e Forecas	t	Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Base YR Rec	342	342	342	0	0	0	342	342	342
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	343	343	343		0	0	343	343	343
FTE	Base YR Rec	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

ecast Adju	stment Details:					
Year/Exp	l. <u>Labor</u>	<u>NLb</u>	n NSE	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010 To	tal 0	0	0	0	0.0	
2011 To	tal 0	0	0	0	0.0	
2012 To	tal 0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*		,	,	,	,
Labor	0	0	0	157	290
Non-Labor	0	0	0	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	158	291
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	3.4
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	157	290
Non-Labor	0	0	0	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	158	291
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	3.4
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	30	52
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	30	52
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.6
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	3	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	3	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	190	342
Non-Labor	0	0	0	1	1
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	191	344
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	4.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2291.000 - Assessment Planning - Shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Activity Description:

The activities undertaken by Preventive & Mitigative Measures are split between support of Integrity Management P&M activities and providing policy and field support for the Utilities. These activities include evaluating the effectiveness of the existing pipeline maintenance programs and enhancing when necessary; establishing future repair and assessment schedules; coordinates mitigation planning for seismic and other outside force threats; Risk - Code interpretation; policy development; risk model maintenance. In addition to this work, this group is also responsible for maintaining external corrosion control policy and field support for cathodic protection activities within the Utilities.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the activities of this workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup based on the level of work and slight increase in activities associated with developing, implementing, and monitoring preventative and mitigative measures.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the activities of this workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup based on the level of work and slight increase in activities associated with developing, implementing, and monitoring preventative and mitigative measures.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non Standard Escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)									
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast		
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
				Total Incurr						
Labor	0	0	0	58	533	557	557	557		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	18	94	100	100	100		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	76	627	657	657	657		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.3	7.1	7.1	7.1		
					ations Out					
Labor	0	0	0	8	76	79	79	79		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	3	2	14	14	14		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	11	78	93	93	93		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					etained					
Labor	0	0	0	50	457	478	478	478		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	15	92	86	86	86		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	65	549	564	564	564		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.3	7.1	7.1	7.1		
					cations In					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					Expense	.=-				
Labor	0	0	0	50	457	478	478	478		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	15	92	86	86	86		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	65	549	564	564	564		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.3	7.1	7.1	7.1		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
0	77	0	77	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
533	17	0	550	6.30	557	100	0	657	7.10		
05.700/	05.700/				05.700/	05.700/					
85.73%	85.72%				85.76%	85.76%					
14.27%	14.28%				14.24%	14.24%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
457	15	0	472		478	86	0	564			
76	2	0	78		79	14	0	93			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
533	94	0	627	6.30	557	100	0	657	7.10		
76	2	0	78		79	14	0	93			
457	92	0	549		478	86	0	564			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
457	92	0	549		478	86	0	564			

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
557	100	0	657	7.10	557	100	0	657	7.10	
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%				
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
478	86	0	564		478	86	0	564		
79	14	0	93		79	14	0	93		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
557	100	0	657	7.10	557	100	0	657	7.10	
79	14	0	93		79	14	0	93		
478	86	0	564	_	478	86	0	564	_	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
478	86	0	564		478	86	0	564		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: B. Pipeline Integrity Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared Cost Center:

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012		
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	557	557	557	557	557	557
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	100	100	100	100	100	100
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	0	0	0	657	657	657	657	657	657
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	557	0	0	557	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of threat and risk policy maintenance and application as well as post integrity assessment activities related to preventative and mitigative measure analysis and application. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer II, two Technical Advisor's, and a Project Specialist.

2010 0 100 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE, KAPA) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases

2010 0 7.1 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of threat and risk policy maintenance and application as well as post integrity assessment activities related to preventative and mitigative measure analysis and application. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer II, two Technical Advisor's, and a Project Specialist.

2010 Total	557	100	0	657	7.1
2011	557	0	0	557	0.0 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of threat and risk policy maintenance and application as well as post integrity assessment activities related to preventative and mitigative measure analysis and application. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer II, two Technical Advisor's, and a Project Specialist.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2011	0	0	0	0	7.1 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of threat and risk policy maintenance and application as well as post integrity assessment activities related to preventative and mitigative measure analysis and application. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer II, two Technical Advisor's, and a Project Specialist.

2011 0 100 0 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE, KAPA) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases

and equipme	nt purchases						
2011 Total	557	100	0	657	7.1		
2012	557	0	0	557	0.0	1-Sided Adj	
application as mitigative me	ements for reso s well as post in asure analysis I, two Technica	itegrity assess and application	sment activi on. Includes	ties related to s Team Lead,	preventat	ive and	
2012	0	0	0	0	7.1	1-Sided Adj	

Labor requirements for resources in support of threat and risk policy maintenance and application as well as post integrity assessment activities related to preventative and mitigative measure analysis and application. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer II, two Technical Advisor's, and a Project Specialist.

2012 0 100 0 100 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover training and certification(NACE, KAPA) activities; conference and seminar attendance; participation on Industry committee meetings; expenses associated with travel/ airfare/ car rental/ lodging/ meals while attending to company business; Small tool and equipment purchases

2012 Total 557 100 0 657 7.1

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

oto minution of Aujuste	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*	,	,			,
Labor	0	0	0	48	452
Non-Labor	0	0	0	18	93
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	66	545
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	5.3
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	48	452
Non-Labor	0	0	0	18	93
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	66	545
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	5.3
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	9	82
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	9	82
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	1	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	58	533
Non-Labor	0	0	0	18	93
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	76	627
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.3

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2293.000 - Preventative and Mitigation - Shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"								
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Activity Description:

The DMG group works with the pipeline integrity assessment and analysis teams as well as the local operating groups in providing assistance and solutions to data generating and data gathering activities. Team members work with project managers in siting dig locations; locating and characterizing mainline pipe, laterals, and appurtenances; developing and maintaining field data collection applications; managing incoming field data for entry to high pressure pipeline database; etc . Their activities are focused to ensure a framework for the gathering, review, and integration of pipeline data with emphasis on the alignment and preservation of that data. Additional and ongoing emphasis shall be placed on data consistency, reliability, repeatability, and defensibility.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the activities of this workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup based on the level of work and the increase in field activities driven by the increase in the number of projects.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

A zero-based forecast was employed to describe the activities of this workgroup. This forecasting methodology best describes this workgroup based on the level of work and the increase in field activities driven by the increase in the number of projects.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non Standard Escalation expenses associated with this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Summary of Results:

		In 2009\$ (000)								
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adjı	ısted-Fore	cast		
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
				Total Incurr		Level)				
Labor	0	0	0	97	583	614	614	614		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	3	40	49	49	49		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	100	623	663	663	663		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		
					ations Out					
Labor	0	0	0	14	82	87	87	87		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	5	7	7	7		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	14	87	94	94	94		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					etained					
Labor	0	0	0	83	501	527	527	527		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	3	35	42	42	42		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	86	536	569	569	569		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		
					cations In					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
					Expense					
Labor	0	0	0	83	501	527	527	527		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	3	35	42	42	42		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	86	536	569	569	569		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
11	3	0	14	0.10	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
572	37	0	609	6.90	614	49	0	663	8.00	
85.73%	85.72%				85.76%	85.76%				
14.27%	14.28%				14.24%	14.24%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
490	32	0	522		527	42	0	569		
82	5	0	87		87	7	0	94		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
583	40	0	623	7.00	614	49	0	663	8.00	
82	5	0	87		87	7	0	94		
501	35	0	536	·	527	42	0	569	·	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
501	35	0	536		527	42	0	569	_	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adjusted-Forecast					2012 Adjusted-Forecast			
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
614	49	0	663	8.00	614	49	0	663	8.00
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
527	42	0	569		527	42	0	569	
87	7	0	94		87	7	0	94	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
614	49	0	663	8.00	614	49	0	663	8.00
87	7	0	94		87	7	0	94	
527	42	0	569		527	42	0	569	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
527	42	0	569		527	42	0	569	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method Base Forecast			Foreca	ist Adjustr	nents	Adjusted-Forecast				
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	614	614	614	614	614	614
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	49	49	49	49	49	49
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	,	0	0	0	663	663	663	663	663	663
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	614	0	0	614	0.0	1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of pre-assessment data collection, general data collection and management, GPS related pipeline feature location, and post assessment anomaly locating. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer I, three Technical Advisor's, and a Technical Specialist

2010 0 0 0 8.0 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of pre-assessment data collection, general data collection and management, GPS related pipeline feature location, and post assessment anomaly locating. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer I, three Technical Advisor's, and a Technical

2010 0 49 0 49 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover job related mileage expenses while traveling to and from project locations. Also covers training and certification activities; conference and seminar attendance.

2010 Total	614	49	0	663	8.0	
2011	614	0	0	614	0.0 1-Sided Ad	li

Labor requirements for resources in support of pre-assessment data collection, general data collection and management, GPS related pipeline feature location, and post assessment anomaly locating. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer I, three Technical Advisor's, and a Technical

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

2012

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2011	0	0	0	0	8.0 1-Sided Adj

Labor requirements for resources in support of pre-assessment data collection, general data collection and management, GPS related pipeline feature location, and post assessment anomaly locating. Includes Team Lead, two Project Manager I's, an Engineer I, three Technical Advisor's, and a Technical

2011 0 49 0 49 0.0 1-Sided Adj

Non labor expenses to cover job related mileage expenses while traveling to and from project locations. Also covers training and certification activities; conference and seminar attendance..

2011 Total	614	49	0	663	8.0	
2012	614	0	0	614	0.0	1-Sided Adj
collection an anomaly loca	ements for resoud management, ating. Includes Thisor's, and a To	GPS related Team Lead, to	pipeline feat	ure location,	and post a	assessment
2012	0	0	0	0	8.0	1-Sided Adj
collection an anomaly loca	ements for resou d management, ating. Includes T dvisor's, and a Te	GPS related Feam Lead, to	pipeline feat	ure location,	and post a	assessment

Non labor expenses to cover job related mileage expenses while traveling to and from project locations. Also covers training and certification activities; conference and seminar attendance.

1-Sided Adj

0.0

2012 Total 614 49 0 663 8.0

49

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					, i
Labor	0	0	0	80	493
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	40
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	82	533
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.9
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	80	493
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	40
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	82	533
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.9
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	ıl \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	15	89
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	15	89
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.1
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	1	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Con	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	97	582
Non-Labor	0	0	0	3	40
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	99	622
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	7.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2297.000 - Data Management and GPS Support - Shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"					
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Activity Description:

New cost center created cost center to track expenses incurred by GIS and database type support that have historically been imbedded in other Ops Tech cost centers. This work group performs mapping and database support functions in direct support of Transmission Pipeline Integrity activities. These functions include ongoing development and maintenance of the Geographic Information System (GIS) which will be used to satisfy federally mandated Pipeline Integrity rule requirements, support of the High Pressure Pipeline Database and related Geofields applications that are associated with Pipeline Integrity. Data entry, report creation and generation as well as standard and custom GIS based mapping products.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Zero-Based

Zero Based forecast since cost center is newly created and no direct historical spending levels.

Non-Labor - Zero-Based

Zero Based forecast since cost center is newly created and no direct historical spending levels.

NSE - Zero-Based

There are no Non-Standard Escalation expenses in the cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)							
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adj	usted-Fore	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incuri	red (100% L			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	1,105	1,105	1,105
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	710	710	710
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	1,815	1,815	1,815
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	17.0	17.0
					ations Out			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	157	157	157
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	101	101	101
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	258	258	258
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	948	948	948
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	609	609	609
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	1,557	1,557	1,557
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	17.0	17.0
					cations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	948	948	948
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	609	609	609
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	1,557	1,557	1,557
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	17.0	17.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	1,105	710	0	1,815	17.00
100.000/	100.000/				0= =00/	0==00/			
100.00%	100.00%				85.76%	85.76%			
0.00%	0.00%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0	0	0	0		948	609	0	1,557	
0	0	0	0		157	101	0	258	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.00	1,105	710	0	1,815	17.00
0	0	0	0		157	101	0	258	
0	0	0	0		948	609	0	1,557	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		948	609	0	1,557	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
1,105	710	0	1,815	17.00	1,105	710	0	1,815	17.00
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%			
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
948	609	0	1,557		948	609	0	1,557	
157	101	0	258		157	101	0	258	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,105	710	0	1,815	17.00	1,105	710	0	1,815	17.00
157	101	0	258		157	101	0	258	
948	609	0	1,557		948	609	0	1,557	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
948	609	0	1,557		948	609	0	1,557	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Cost Center was opened January 1, 2010

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: B. Pipeline Integrity Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Forecast Summary:

			In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"							
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	1,105	1,105	1,105	1,105	1,105	1,105
Non-Labor	Zero-Based	0	0	0	710	710	710	710	710	710
NSE	Zero-Based	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-	0		0	1,815	1,815	1,815	1,815	1,815	1,815
FTE	Zero-Based	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0

Forecas

ecast Adjustment	Details:					
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	481	0	0	481	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cent tracked.					
2010	0	0	0	0	8.0	1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cent tracked.	•			•	
2010	384	0	0	384	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cento tracked.					
2010	0	0	0	0	5.0	1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cent tracked.					
2010	240	0	0	240	0.0	1-Sided Adj
2200-2325	inds from 2EN00 . Due to increase ely tracked within	ed amount of F	PIP support	work required		
2010	0	710	0	710	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Transfer fu	ınds from 2EN00	1 workgroup ir	n support of	the creation of	of new PIP	cost center

2200-2325. Due to increased amount of PIP support work required this move allows costs to be accurately tracked within the engineering department.

Area:

ENGINEERING

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K Category: B. Pipeline Integrity Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2010 0 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj 4.0 Incremental personnel required to support PIP activities in new cost center 2200-2325. 2010 Total 1,105 710 1,815 17.0 2011 481 0 0 481 1-Sided Adj Transfer 8 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Increased PIP work, move allows costs to be accurately tracked. 2011 0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 8 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Increased PIP work, move allows costs to be accurately tracked. 2011 384 0 0 384 1-Sided Adj Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Increased PIP work, move allows costs to be accurately tracked. 0 2011 0 0 1-Sided Adj Transfer 5 FTE's from NSS Ops Tech cost center 2200-0314 to USS Pipeline Integrity/OpsTech cost center 2200-2325. Increased PIP work, move allows costs to be accurately tracked. 2011 240 240 0.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer funds from 2EN001 workgroup in support of the creation of new PIP cost center 2200-2325. Due to increased amount of PIP support work required this move allows costs to be accurately tracked within the engineering department. 2011 0 710 710 0.0 1-Sided Adj Transfer funds from 2EN001 workgroup in support of the creation of new PIP cost center 2200-2325. Due to increased amount of PIP support work required this move allows costs to be accurately tracked within the engineering department. 2011 0 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj Incremental personnel required to support PIP activities in new cost center 2200-2325.

ENGINEERING Area: Witness: Stanford, Raymond K B. Pipeline Integrity Category: Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type
2011 Total	1,105	710	0	1,815	17.0	0
2012	481	0	0	481	0.0	0 1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cen tracked.					
2012	0	0	0	0	8.0	0 1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cen tracked.					
2012	384	0	0	384	0.0	0 1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cen tracked.					
2012	0	0	0	0	5.0	0 1-Sided Adj
	FTE's from NSS osTech cost cen tracked.					
2012	240	0	0	240	0.0	0 1-Sided Adj
2200-2325	unds from 2EN0 . Due to increas ely tracked withi	sed amount o	f PIP suppo	ort work require		PIP cost center ove allows costs to
2012	0	710	0	710	0.0	0 1-Sided Adj
2200-2325	unds from 2EN0 . Due to increas ely tracked withi	ed amount o	f PIP suppo	ort work require		PIP cost center ove allows costs to
2012	0	0	0	0	4.0	0 1-Sided Adj
Incrementa	al personnel requ	uired to suppo	ort PIP activ	vities in new co	st center	2200-2325.
11101011101110						

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*		,			,
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Non	ninal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: B. Pipeline Integrity
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity

Cost Center: 2200-2325.000 - Pipeline Integrity/ Ops Tech Support - Shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000

Summary for Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP

	In 2009\$ (000) "Book Expense" Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast							
	Adjusted-Recorded							
	2009	2010	2011	2012				
Labor	173	172	172	317				
Non-Labor	17	17	17	26				
NSE	0	0	0	0				
Total	190	189	189	343				
FTE	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5				

Cost Centers belonging to 2200-2295.000 DIMP & Spe				
Labor	173	172	172	317
Non-Labor	17	17	17	26
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	190	189	189	343
FTE	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Activity Description:

The activities associated with this cost center focus on policy and program development and implementation for the Distribution Integrity Management Program. Specific activities include: Rule interpretation; Written Plan and Program development; Threat evaluation, data collection and analysis to determine areas for DIMP driven improvement; Implementation oversight of DIMP specific improvement programs; Program monitoring and result reporting.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Base year 2009 was the first full year for this cost center. Current staffing levels are appropriate for the expected work load in 2010 and 2011, therefore base year was chosen as the forecasting methodology. Two additional positions are requested for 2012 to meet the planned increase in DIMP program activities and to continue the development and implementation of the program for both SoCalGas and SDG&E.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

Base year 2009 was the first full year for this cost center. Current staffing levels are appropriate for the expected work load in 2010 and 2011, therefore base year was chosen as the forecasting methodology. Two additional positions are requested for 2012 to meet the planned increase in DIMP program activities and to continue the development and implementation of the program for both SoCalGas and SDG&E.

NSE - Base YR Rec

No Non Standard Escalation expenses associated with this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Summary of Results:

		In 2009\$ (000)									
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast			
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
	Total Incurred (100% Level)										
Labor	0	0	0	94	202	202	202	372			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	20	20	20	30			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	96	222	222	222	402			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5			
					ations Out						
Labor	0	0	0	13	29	30	30	55			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	4			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	13	32	33	33	59			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					etained						
Labor	0	0	0	81	173	172	172	317			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	17	17	17	26			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	83	190	189	189	343			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5			
					cations In						
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					Expense						
Labor	0	0	0	81	173	172	172	317			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	17	17	17	26			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	83	190	189	189	343			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5			

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
202	20	0	222	2.50	202	20	0	222	2.50		
85.72%	85.72%				85.11%	85.11%					
14.28%	14.28%				14.89%	14.89%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
173	17	0	190		172	17	0	189			
29	3	0	32		30	3	0	33			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
202	20	0	222	2.50	202	20	0	222	2.50		
29	3	0	32		30	3	0	33			
173	17	0	190		172	17	0	189			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
173	17	0	190		172	17	0	189			

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
202	20	0	222	2.50	372	30	0	402	4.50		
85.11%	85.11%				85.11%	85.11%					
14.89%	14.89%				14.89%	14.89%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
172	17	0	189		317	26	0	343			
30	3	0	33		55	4	0	59			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
202	20	0	222	2.50	372	30	0	402	4.50		
30	3	0	33		55	4	0	59			
172	17	0	189	·	317	26	0	343			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
172	17	0	189	_	317	26	0	343			

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Focus of activity changed from Transmission and Distribution work in 2009 to Distribution work in 2010 onward. Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317 miles. SoCal Gas has 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,857 miles of Distribution main. (8,317+47,540=55,857 miles.) 8317/55857=.1489. 47540/55857=.8511, or 14.89% of Distribution main at SDG&E and 85.11% of Distribution main at SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317 miles. SoCal Gas has 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,857 miles of Distribution main. (8,317+47,540=55,857 miles.) 8317/55857=.1489. 47540/55857=.8511, or 14.89% of Distribution main at SDG&E and 85.11% of Distribution main at SoCal Gas.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Distribution main to SoCal Gas Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317 miles. SoCal Gas has 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 55,857 miles of Distribution main. (8,317+47,540=55,857 miles.) 8317/55857=.1489. 47540/55857=.8511, or 14.89% of Distribution main at SDG&E and 85.11% of Distribution main at SoCal Gas.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast			
		2010	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	
Labor	Base YR Rec	202	202	202	0	0	170	202	202	372	
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	20	20	20	0	0	10	20	20	30	
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total		222	222	222	0	0	180	222	222	402	
FTE	Base YR Rec	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	4.5	

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj_Type	
2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2012	170	0	0	170	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Position res	nal positions to sta ponsibilities includ ration, field liaisor 0	de program d	levelopmen	t, process modi	ifications	to allow DIMP
2012	U	10	U	10	0.0	1-Sided Adj
Position res	nal positions to sta ponsibilities includ ration, field liaisor	de program d	levelopmen	t, process modi	ifications	to allow DIMP
2012	0	0	0	0	2.0	1-Sided Adj
Position res	nal positions to sta ponsibilities includ ration, field liaisor	de program d	levelopmen	t, process modi	ifications	to allow DIMP

2012 Total 170 10 0 180 2.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

ctermination of Adjustes	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	0	77	171
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	20
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	80	191
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.1
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	77	171
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	20
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	80	191
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.1
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	15	31
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	15	31
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	1	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	94	202
Non-Labor	0	0	0	2	20
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	96	222
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.5

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: C. Pipeline Integrity - Distribution IMP Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Integrity Distribution

Cost Center: 2200-2295.000 - DIMP & Special Projects - shared

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000

Summary for Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

		In 2009\$ (000) "Boo	ok Expense"					
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast					
	2009	2010 2011 2012						
Labor	488	488	488	488				
Non-Labor	115	182	182	182				
NSE	0	0	0	0				
Total	603	670	670	670				
FTE	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9				

Cost Centers belonging to this Category:

2200-0322.000 Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Labor	488	488	488	488
Non-Labor	115	182	182	182
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	603	670	670	670
FTE	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Activity Description:

This group develops and manages engineering gas standards, develops publishing criteria, ensures compliance with publication requirements, ensures review and revision of those standards governed by the O&M plan annually and other Gas Standards every five years. The gas standards comprise the policy and procedures which govern the design, operations, and maintenance of the transmission and distribution systems and are based on the relevant regulatory codes. This department also facilitates integration of SoCalGas and SDG&E Gas Standards yet to be combined into single comprehensive documents. SoCalGas Gas Engineering is the owner of all the engineering standards for the two utilities.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

As evident by historical data, the level of activity and associated expenses has increased from 2005 through 2009. It is anticipated that the activity levels depicted in base year 2009 spending will continue on as an adequate operating level. As such this serves as a reasonable foundation for future forecasting since it reflects the most current actual operating conditions.

Non-Labor - 4-YR Average

Based on forecasted needs, the four year historical average is the most accurate method to reflect the ongoing operational expense requirements for this cost center.

NSE - Base YR Rec

There are no non standard escalation expenses in this cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)											
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast				
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012				
	Total Incurred (100% Level)											
Labor	349	421	424	489	566	566	566	566				
Non-Labor	19	134	307	200	123	190	190	190				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	368	555	731	689	689	756	756	756				
FTE	3.9	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9				
					ations Out							
Labor	0	57	60	69	78	78	78	78				
Non-Labor	3	9	5	11	8	8	8	8				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	3	66	65	80	86	86	86	86				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					etained							
Labor	349	364	364	420	488	488	488	488				
Non-Labor	16	125	302	189	115	182	182	182				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	365	489	666	609	603	670	670	670				
FTE	3.9	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9				
					cations In							
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
					Expense							
Labor	349	364	364	420	488	488	488	488				
Non-Labor	16	125	302	189	115	182	182	182				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	365	489	666	609	603	670	670	670				
FTE	3.9	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9				

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
23	69	0	92	0.20	23	132	0	155	0.20	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
543	54	0	597	5.70	543	58	0	601	5.70	
85.72%	85.72%				85.76%	85.76%				
14.28%	14.28%				14.24%	14.24%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
465	46	0	511		465	50	0	515		
78	8	0	86		78	8	0	86		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
566	123	0	689	5.90	566	190	0	756	5.90	
78	8	0	86		78	8	0	86		
488	115	0	603		488	182	0	670		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
488	115	0	603		488	182	0	670		

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
23	132	0	155	0.20	23	132	0	155	0.20		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
543	58	0	601	5.70	543	58	0	601	5.70		
85.76%	85.76%				85.76%	85.76%					
14.24%	14.24%				14.24%	14.24%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
465	50	0	515		465	50	0	515			
78	8	0	86		78	8	0	86			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
566	190	0	756	5.90	566	190	0	756	5.90		
78	8	0	86		78	8	0	86			
488	182	0	670		488	182	0	670			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			
488	182	0	670		488	182	0	670			

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 243 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8338, totaling 8581 miles. SoCal Gas has 3961 miles of Transmission pipe and 47566 miles of distribution main for a total of 51527 miles. (8581+51527=60,108 miles. 8581/60108=.1427597. 51527/60108=.857240, or 14.28% and 85.72%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Calculations are based on the ratio of SDG&E Transmission pipe and Distribution main to SoCal Gas Transmission pipe and Distribution main based on the Annual Report filed with the Department of Transportation. SDG&E Transmission pipe totals 242 miles. SDG&E Distribution main is 8317, totaling 8559 miles. SoCal Gas has 3999 miles of Transmission pipe and 47540 miles of distribution main for a total of 51539 miles. (8559+51539=60,098 miles. 8559/60098=.1424. 51539/60098=.8576, or 14.24% and 85.76%.)

Area: **ENGINEERING** Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Forecast Summary:

			In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast				
		<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012		
Labor	Base YR Rec	566	566	566	0	0	0	566	566	566		
Non-Labor	4-YR Average	190	190	190	0	0	0	190	190	190		
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	-	756	756	756	0	0	0	756	756	756		
FTE	Base YR Rec	5.9	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.9	5.9		

ecast Adjustment D	etails:					
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	265	343	357	418	480
Non-Labor	17	124	291	197	123
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	283	466	648	615	602
FTE	3.3	4.3	4.3	4.6	5.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$	5) **				
Labor	0	-15	-15	-14	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	-15	-15	-14	0
FTE	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (No	minal \$)				
Labor	265	328	342	404	480
Non-Labor	17	124	291	197	123
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	283	452	633	601	602
FTE	3.3	4.0	4.2	4.5	5.0
/acation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	45	59	60	78	87
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	
Total	45	59	60	78	87
FTE	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	39	34	23	7	0
Non-Labor	2	11	16	3	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	C
Total	41	45	39	10	C
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	349	421	425	489	567
Non-Labor	19	135	307	200	123
NSE	0	0	0	0	
Total	369	556	732	689	689
FTE	3.9	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.9

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	;"	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	-15	-15	-14	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	-15	-15	-14	0
FTE	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006	-15	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2213.000	TP1RMC2009102
	•	•			CG Public Award ent within the ap	eness Cost Center propriate cost	7112220480
2006	0	0	0	-0.2	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2213.000	TP1RMC2009102 7112638283
	•	•			G Public Awarer ent within the ap	ness Cost Center propriate cost	7112030203
2006 Total	-15	0	0	-0.2			
2007	-15	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2213.000	TP1RMC2009102 7112948553
	•	•			CG Public Awardent within the ap	eness Cost Center propriate cost	7112940333
2007	0	0	0	-0.2	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2213.000	TP1RMC2009102 7113023163
Transfer F	TE from Pipel	ine Design	Cost Cent	er to SC	CG Public Aware	ness Cost Center	7 1 10020 100

Transfer FTE from Pipeline Design Cost Center to SCG Public Awareness Cost Center to more accurately reflect costs of program management within the appropriate cost center.

Area: ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Category: D. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards
Category-Sub: 1. Pipeline Design & Gas Standards

Cost Center: 2200-0322.000 - Pipeline Design and Gas Standards

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2007 Total	-15	0	0	-0.2			
2008	-14	0	0	0.0	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2213.000	TP1RMC2009102
	•	•			CG Public Aware ent within the ap	eness Cost Center propriate cost	7113120320
2008	0	0	0	-0.2	CCTR Transf	To 2200-2213.000	TP1RMC2009102
	•	•			G Public Awarer ent within the ap	ness Cost Center propriate cost	7113147053
2008 Total	-14	0	0	-0.2			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR
Cost Center: 2200-8920.000

Summary for Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

		In 2009\$ (000) "Boo	ok Expense"						
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast							
	2009	2010	2011	2012					
Labor	85	127	127	127					
Non-Labor	1	7	7	7					
NSE	0	0	0	0					
Total	86	134	134	134					
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					

Cost Centers belonging to 2200-8920.000 Billed-in Co	• •	RING		
Labor	85	127	127	127
Non-Labor	1	7	7	7
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	86	134	134	134
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

Category-Sub 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Engineering

Cost Center: 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Activity Description:

This cost center was created for GRC to receive the billed-in costs for functional area -

ENGINEERING

Forecast Methodology:

N/A

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

Category-Sub 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Engineering

Cost Center: 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Summary of Results:

				In 200	9\$ (000)			
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adjı	usted-Fored	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	Level)		
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					ations Out			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					ations In			
Labor	114	75	93	82	85	127	127	127
Non-Labor	4	4	3	1	1	7	7	7
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	118	79	96	83	86	134	134	134
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	114	75	93	82	85	127	127	127
Non-Labor	4	4	3	1	1	7	7	7
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	118	79	96	83	86	134	134	134
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Engineering

Cost Center: 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Retained
Allocations In
Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
85	1	0	86		127	7	0	134		
85	1	0	86		127	7	0	134		

Directly Allocated

\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Allocations In
Book Expense

	2011 Adjı	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
127	7	0	134		127	7	0	134	•	
127	7	0	134		127	7	0	134		

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009 N/A

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010 N/A

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011 N/A

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012 N/A

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Engineering

Cost Center: 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"								
Forecast Method	Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

e	cast Adjustment De	etails:					
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed to CCTR for Engineering

Cost Center: 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nomina					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vacation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0		0	0	0
FTE Recorded-Adjusted (Constar	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		0			
Labor	0	0 0	0	0	0
Non-Labor NSE	0	0	0	0	0
NSE Total	0	0	0	0	0 0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
116	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENGINEERING
Witness: Stanford, Raymond K
Category: E. USS Billed to CCTR

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Engineering

Cost Center: 2200-8920.000 - Billed-in Cost Center for ENGINEERING

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs		
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Area: GOEN - ENGINEERING Witness: Stanford, Raymond K

Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers

2200-0256 000 SPECIAL PROJECTS MANAGER	Cost Center	Sub	Description
2200-0301 000 ENG ANALYSIS CTR MGR 2200-0303 000 CAD 2200-0304 000 MAXIMO 2200-0305 000 CMS/MMM/NBMS 2200-0313 000 GEOGRAPHIC SERVICES 2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0317 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1179 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1190 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-2064 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS			
2200-0303 000 CAD 2200-0304 000 MAXIMO 2200-0305 000 CMS/MMM/NBMS 2200-0318 000 CONTRACT/MAINTENANCE 2200-0313 000 GEOGRAPHIC SERVICES 2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0317 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-0317 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-ARI QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
2200-0304 000 MAXIMO 2200-0305 000 CMS/MMM/NBMS 2200-0313 000 GEOGRAPHIC SERVICES 2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1199 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2213 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2225 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE			
2200-0305 000 CMS/MMM/NBMS 2200-0308 000 CONTRACT/MAINTENANCE 2200-0313 000 GEOGRAPHIC SERVICES 2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1235 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVAL			
2200-0308 000 CONTRACT/MAINTENANCE 2200-0313 000 GEOGRAPHIC SERVICES 2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1180 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-APICHITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2044 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2066 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOC QUARRENESS			
2200-0313 000 GEOGRAPHIC SERVICES 2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-2064 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOC PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2223 000 SOC PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2224 000 PIPELINE I			
2200-0314 000 GIS SUPERVISOR 2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2214 000 ROY & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2219 000 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
2200-0315 000 LAND SERVICES 2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2223 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2291 000 RIVIJSTRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2292			
2200-0316 000 GAS PROCESS ENGINEERING 2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MARERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2221 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2229 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2290 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED			
2200-0317 000 PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT 2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-207 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2215 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2229 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2229 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED			
2200-0324 000 OPERATIONS RD&D PROGRAM 2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1201 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2221 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2221 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED		000	PROJECT & CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT
2200-1177 000 GIS-NORTH 2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2223 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2211 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2291 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2298 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
2200-1179 000 EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT 2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2256 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2291 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED <tr< th=""><th></th><th>000</th><th>GIS-NORTH</th></tr<>		000	GIS-NORTH
2200-1180 000 EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES 2200-1199 000 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI 2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2214 000 ROV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2291 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED <		000	EAC-MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT
2200-1200 000 EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES 2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2291 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300		000	EAC-AIR QUALITY AND COMPRESSOR SERVICES
2200-1335 000 DESIGN DRAFTING 2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2291 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2293 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2293 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-1199	000	ENGINEERING ANALYSIS CENTER ADMINISTRATI
2200-2064 000 MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D 2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-1200	000	EAC-APPLIED TECHNOLOGIES
2200-2065 000 MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D 2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-1335	000	DESIGN DRAFTING
2200-2066 000 PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D 2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2064	000	MEASUREMENT TECH RD&D
2200-2067 000 FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D 2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2065	000	MATERIALS/CORROSION RD&D
2200-2203 000 SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS 2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2066	000	PIPELINE DESIGN RD&D
2200-2213 000 SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS 2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2067	000	FIELD TECHNOLOGIES RD&D
2200-2265 000 NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE 2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2203	000	SOCAL GAS PIPELINE INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS
2200-2271 000 CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG 2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2213	000	SCG PUBLIC AWARENESS
2200-2290 000 PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED 2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2265	000	NGV & ELECTRICAL FIELD MAINTENANCE
2200-2292 000 ASSESSMENT PLANNING-NONSHARED 2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2271	000	CIVIL/STRUCTURAL & HAZARD MITIGATION ENG
2200-2294 000 PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED 2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2290	000	PIPELINE INTEGRITY MGR-NONSHARED
2200-2296 000 DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS 001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2292	000	
001 REFUNDABLE DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS-OPEX 2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2294	000	PREVENTATIVE & MITIGATION-NONSHARED
2200-2298 000 DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED 2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2296	000	DIMP & SPECIAL PROJECTS
2200-2299 000 ILI & METALLURGY-NONSHARED 2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED		001	
2200-2300 000 MATERIALS & QUALITY-NONSHARED	2200-2298	000	DATA MGMT & GPS-NONSHARED
	2200-2299		
2200-2322 000 GOVERNANCE & SERVICE IMPROVEMENT	2200-2300		
	2200-2322	000	GOVERNANCE & SERVICE IMPROVEMENT