Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS	
COMPANY for authority to update its gas revenue	
requirement and base rates	
effective January 1, 2012 (U 904-G)	

Application No. 10-12-___ Exhibit No.: (SCG-15-WP)

WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LISA P. GOMEZ ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECEMBER 2010



Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS	
COMPANY for authority to update its gas revenue	
requirement and base rates	
effective January 1, 2012 (U 904-G)	

Application No. 10-12-___ Exhibit No.: (SCG-15-WP)

WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LISA P. GOMEZ ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DECEMBER 2010



2012 General Rate Case - APP INDEX OF WORKPAPERS

Exhibit SCG-15 - ENVIRONMENTAL

DOCUMENT	PAGE
Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-15	1
Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers	2
Category: A. Environmental	3
2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL	4
Summary of Shared Services Workpapers	10
Category: A. Dir Environmental Services	11
2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR	12
2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER	21
2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY	63
2200-2312.000 - E&S TRAINING - SCG	72
Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E	84
2200-8918.000 - USS BILLED_FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	85
Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers	93

Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-15

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Description
Non-Shared Services
Shared Services
Total

In 2009 \$ (000)								
Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast								
2009	2010	2011	2012					
600	594	594	594					
3,235	3,703	3,896	4,262					
3,835	4,297	4,490	4,856					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers:

DescriptionA. Environmental
Total

In 2009 \$ (000)						
Adjusted- Recorded Adjusted-Forecast						
2009	2010	2011	2012			
600	594	594	594			
600	594	594	594			

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Environmental Workpaper: 2EV000.000

Summary for Category: A. Environmental

FTE

	In 2009\$ (000)						
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast					
	2009	2010	2011	2012			
Labor	286	280	280	280			
Non-Labor	314	314	314	314			
NSE	0	0	0	0			
Total	600	594	594	594			
FTE	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3			

Workpapers belonging to this Category: 2EV000.000 ENVIRONMENTAL Labor 286 280 280 280 Non-Labor 314 314 314 314 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 600 594 594 594

4.3

4.3

4.3

4.3

Beginning of Workpaper 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL
Witness: Gomez, Lisa P
Category: A. Environmental
Category-Sub 1. Environmental

Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Activity Description:

The Hazardous Materials and Waste group activities covered by this non-shared servies cost group include the operation of twoTSDFs and the management of the receipt, storage and shipment of hazardous materials and wastes.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The 2010 labor for this work group is zero-based using the existing 2009 year-end labor force and salaries for each individual employee. A labor vacancy credit of 2.5% was removed to account for possible vacancies during employee transitions and retirements.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

This work group does not anticipate non labor change.

NSE - Base YR Rec

This work group does not anticipate non standard escalation.

Summary of Results:

Years
Labor
Non-Labor
NSE
Total
FTE

	In 2009\$ (000)							
	Adjusted-Recorded					usted-Fore	cast	
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
121	143	148	128	286	280	280	280	
335	361	373	475	314	314	314	314	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
456	504	521	603	600	594	594	594	
1.9	2.2	2.2	2.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL
Witness: Gomez, Lisa P
Category: A. Environmental
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental

Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000)									
Forecast	t Method	Base Forecast			Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Base YR Rec	286	286	286	-6	-6	-6	280	280	280
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	314	314	314	0	0	0	314	314	314
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	600	600	600	-6	-6	-6	594	594	594
FTE	Base YR Rec	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	4.3

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	-6	0	0	-6	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - SCG HazMat Union Employee labor adjustment - medical leave and V assignment coverage net impact. Method - 2009 actuals are compared to 2010 full staffing levels by cost center.

2010 Total	-6	0	0	-6	0.0
0044	0	0	0	0	0.0 4.0:4-4.44:
2011	-6	0	0	-6	0.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - SCG HazMat Union Employee labor adjustment - medical leave and V assignment coverage net impact. Method - 2009 actuals are compared to 2010 full staffing levels by cost center.

2011 Total	-6	0	0	-6	0.0	
0040	0	0	0	•	0.0	4.00 1.4.4.19
2012	-6	0	0	-6	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - SCG HazMat Union Employee labor adjustment - medical leave and V assignment coverage net impact. Method - 2009 actuals are compared to 2010 full staffing levels by cost center.

2012 Total	-6	0	0	-6	0.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Environmental Category-Sub: 1. Environmental

Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded:

-	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	92	125	119	105	242
Non-Labor	298	399	644	747	435
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	391	523	763	852	678
FTE	1.6	2.1	1.9	1.7	3.6
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	-13	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	-66	-288	-271	-121
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	-79	-288	-271	-121
FTE	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	92	111	119	105	242
Non-Labor	298	333	356	476	314
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	391	444	475	580	556
FTE	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.7	3.6
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	16	20	21	20	44
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	16	20	21	20	44
FTE	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	13	12	8	3	0
Non-Labor	37	28	17	-1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	50	39	25	2	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	121	143	148	128	286
Non-Labor	335	361	373	475	314
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	456	503	521	603	600
FTE	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.0	4.3

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Environmental Category-Sub: 1. Environmental

Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000)									
Year 2005 2006 2007 2008									
Labor	0	-13	0	-0.086	-0.029				
Non-Labor	-0.042	-66	-288	-271	-121				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0				
Total _	-0.042	-79	-288	-271	-121				
FTE	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0				

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl. L	_abor	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2005	0	-0.042	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to 2200-	2176						110133825717
2005 Total	0	-0.042	0	0.0			
2006	-13	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move CC to 22	200-2176						110132803970
2006	0	-66	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to CC 22	200-2176						110132838300
2006	0	0	0	-0.2	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to 2200-	2176						110133941717
2006 Total	-13	-66	0	-0.2			
2007	0	-288	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to CC 22	200-2176						110132938503
2007 Total	0	-288	0	0.0			
2008	0	-271	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to 2200-					•		110133030740

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Environmental Category-Sub: 1. Environmental

Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID		
2008	-0.086	0	0	0.0 1	I-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091		
Move to 22	200-2176						110133050050		
2008 Total	-0.086	-271	0	0.0					
2009	-0.029	0	0	0.0 1	I-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20100		
CC 2200-2	2013 - Move la	abor to CC 22	00-2176				504110840087		
2009	0	-121	0	0.0 1	I-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20100		
CC 2200-2013 Move all Non labor to CC 2200-2176									
2009 Total	-0.029	-121	0	0.0					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Summary of Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

A. Dir Environmental Services
B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E
Total

	In 2009 \$ (000) "Book Expense"									
Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast									
2009	2010	2011	2012							
1,780	2,159	1,991	2,140							
1,455	1,544	1,905	2,122							
3,235	3,703	3,896	4,262							

In 2009\$ (000) "Book Expense"

2010

1,425

718

Adjusted-Forecast

1,425

550

2012

1,618

522

2011

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services

Cost Center: VARIOUS

Labor

Non-Labor

Summary for Category: A. Dir Environmental Services

Adjusted-Recorded

1,312

468

2009

NSE	0	16	16	0
Total	1,780	2,159	1,991	2,140
FTE	17.2	19.0	19.0	21.9
Cost Centers belongin 2200-2012.000 ENVIR	ng to this Category:	RECTOR		
Labor	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2200-2176.000 ENVIR	RONMENTAL MANAGEMEN	T NORTH MANAGER		
Labor	1,275	1,368	1,368	1,561
Non-Labor	464	715	547	519
NSE	0	16	16	0
Total	1,739	2,099	1,931	2,080
FTE	16.6	18.0	18.0	20.9
2200-2197.000 VP EN	IVIRONMENTAL SAFETY &	FACILITY		
Labor	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	2	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	2	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2200-2312.000 E&S T	raining - SCG			
Labor	37	57	57	57
Non-Labor	2	3	3	3
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	39	60	60	60
FTE	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.0

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Activity Description:

This SCG cost center is designed to capture the cost of administrative activities provided by the Environmental Services Director. This cost center is used only when internal accounting controls requires a SCG cost center. For example, this will be necessary if a SCG employee is assigned to this cost center.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

This cost center, at this time, does not have any SCG employees assigned. The 2010 labor this cost center is zero.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

This cost center, at this time, does not have any SCG non labor expenses planned. This SCG cost center is used only when internal accounting controls require a SCG cost center for data processing. For example, this will be necessary for vendor payments that are scheduled using SCG purchase orders or are addressed specifically to SCG.

NSE - Base YR Rec

This work group does not anticipate non standard escalation.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)								
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adjı	usted-Fored	cast	
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	Level)			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					ations Out				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					etained				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					cations In				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					Expense				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			cast			
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
100.00%	100.00%				29.76%	29.76%			
0.00%	0.00%				70.10%	70.10%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.14%	0.14%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred

Total Retained Allocations In Book Expense

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
29.76%	29.76%				29.76%	29.76%			
70.10%	70.10%				70.10%	70.10%			
0.14%	0.14%				0.14%	0.14%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	_

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from the other operational cost centers in Environmental Services.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from the other operational cost centers in Environmental Services.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from the other operational cost centers in Environmental Services.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from the other operational cost centers in Environmental Services.

Area: **ENVIRONMENTAL** Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forec	Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	
Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	•	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Fore

e	cast Adjustment I	Details:					
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
	2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
	2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

or a management	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*	,				
Labor	128	-1	0	0	0
Non-Labor	15	0	2	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	143	-1	3	0	0
FTE	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$	i) **				
Labor	-128	1	0	0	0
Non-Labor	-15	0	-2	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-143	1	-3	0	0
FTE	-0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (No	minal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vacation & Sick (Nomin	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Co	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (0	00) "Incurred Costs	3"	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	-128	1	-0.321	0	0
Non-Labor	-15	0	-2	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	-143	1	-3	0	0
FTE	-0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005	-128	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091 109165029820
Move to 21	00-3589						109103029020
2005	0	0	0	-0.8	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091 109165107837
Move to 21	00-3588						109103107037
2005	0	-15	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091 109170427413
Moved to C	C 2100-3589						109170427413
2005	0	-0.005	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091 113122054400
Move to CC	2100-3589						113122034400
2005 Total	-128	-15	0	-0.8			
2006	1	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Changed to	SDGE 2100-	3589					109135305550
2006 Total	1	0	0	0.0			
2007	-0.321	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Changed to	SDGE 2100-	3589					109135351923
2007	0	-2	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Changed to	SDGE 2100-	3589					109135412157

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2007 Total	-0.321	-2	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Activity Description:

The Environmental Management North Manager cost center includes subject matter experts in air and water quality, biological resources, cultural resources and land planning. The group supports ongoing environmental compliance, including obtaining environmental permits and approvals, developing environmental plans and conducting specialized environmental training. The group also screens proposed facility, field and real property projects and transactions that have the potential for environmental impacts.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Labor for this cost center is zero-based using the 2009 year-end labor force and salaries for each individual employee and adjusting for any projected annual changes in staffing as further described in these work papers. A labor vacancy credit of 2.5% was removed to account for possible vacancies during employee transitions and retirements. A variety of federal and state initiatives, legislation and regulation are advancing on environmental issues, including but not limited to issues on greenhouse gases, air quality, cultural resources, natural resources, hazardous materials and storm water that impact labor and non-labor. For this reason a base year forecast is the most appropriate forecasting methodology to support specific program expansion.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The non-labor forecast is based upon recorded 2009 spend, adding incremental upward pressure items and removing expense items that are not recurring in future years. A variety of federal and state initiatives, legislation and regulation are advancing on environmental issues, including but not limited to issues on greenhouse gases, air quality, cultural resources, natural resources, hazardous materials, and storm water that impact labor and non-labor. For this reason a base-year forecast is the most appropriate forecasting methodology to support specific program expansion.

NSE - Base YR Rec

Non standard escalation applies only to limited activities such as specific environmental permits and fees.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Summary of Results:

				In 200	09\$ (000)			
		Adjus	sted-Record	ed		Adj	usted-Fore	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incur	red (100% l	Level)		
Labor	1,854	1,714	1,283	1,143	1,279	1,420	1,420	1,620
Non-Labor	357	440	595	425	473	743	569	539
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	17	17	0
Total	2,211	2,154	1,878	1,568	1,752	2,180	2,006	2,159
FTE	22.2	20.7	15.8	15.1	16.6	18.0	18.0	20.9
					ations Out			
Labor	1,118	1,098	1,210	598	4	52	52	59
Non-Labor	188	227	159	71	9	28	22	20
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Total	1,306	1,325	1,369	669	13	81	75	79
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					etained			
Labor	736	616	73	545	1,275	1,368	1,368	1,561
Non-Labor	169	213	436	354	464	715	547	519
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	16	16	0
Total	905	829	509	899	1,739	2,099	1,931	2,080
FTE	22.2	20.7	15.8	15.1	16.6	18.0	18.0	20.9
					cations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					k Expense			
Labor	736	616	73	545	1,275	1,368	1,368	1,561
Non-Labor	169	213	436	354	464	715	547	519
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	16	16	0
Total	905	829	509	899	1,739	2,099	1,931	2,080
FTE	22.2	20.7	15.8	15.1	16.6	18.0	18.0	20.9

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-For	ecast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
1	1	0	2	0.00	1	1	0	2	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
1,278	472	0	1,750	16.60	1,419	742	17	2,178	18.00
99.72%	98.08%				96.29%	96.29%			
0.28%	1.92%				3.71%	3.71%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
1,274	463	0	1,737		1,367	714	16	2,097	
4	9	0	13		52	28	1	81	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,279	473	0	1,752	16.60	1,420	743	17	2,180	18.00
4	9	0	13	·	52	28	1	81	
1,275	464	0	1,739		1,368	715	16	2,099	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,275	464	0	1,739		1,368	715	16	2,099	

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
1	1	0	2	0.00	1	1	0	2	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
1,419	568	17	2,004	18.00	1,619	538	0	2,157	20.90
96.29%	96.29%				96.29%	96.29%			
3.71%	3.71%				3.71%	3.71%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
1,367	546	16	1,929		1,560	518	0	2,078	
52	22	1	75		59	20	0	79	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,420	569	17	2,006	18.00	1,620	539	0	2,159	20.90
52	22	1	75		59	20	0	79	
1,368	547	16	1,931		1,561	519	0	2,080	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,368	547	16	1,931		1,561	519	0	2,080	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL
Witness: Gomez, Lisa P
Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTA

2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Area: **ENVIRONMENTAL** Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
		<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u> <u>2010</u>		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Labor	Base YR Rec	1,279	1,279	1,279	141	141	341	1,420	1,420	1,620
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	473	473	473	270	96	66	743	569	539
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	17	17	0	17	17	0
Total	•	1,752	1,752	1,752	428	254	407	2,180	2,006	2,159
FTE	Base YR Rec	16.6	16.6	16.6	1.4	1.4	4.3	18.0	18.0	20.9

Forecas

ast Adjustment I	Details:					
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	0	-30	0	-30	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	76 Justification pared to 2010 pro		historic 200	09 spend - Me	ethod - Re	view of historical
2010	141	0	0	141	0.0	1-Sided Adj
FTE reassig	76 Justification on the Total Trom CC210 staffing levels by	00-3035 to CC				
2010	0	0	0	0	1.4	1-Sided Adj
	76 Justification ned from CC210				vacancy a	djustments and
2010	0	6	0	6	0.0	1-Sided Adj
GHG reporti inventories. efficiencies i	76 Justification of the property of the proper	s, including a i porting/trackir g. Method - Es	new require	ment for third- tool would ach	party certi iieve accu	fication of GHG racies and
2010	0	162	0	162	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	76 Justification tural Resources			•		

tools for Cultural Resources within the service territory Method - Cost estimates based on a 3-yr process from qualified vendor to research and digitize archaeological records for environmental project pre-screening. Costs include Phase I & Phase II program elements and select options of DPR-523 forms, report and data maintenance annual fee as required for county jurisdictional needs.

Area: **ENVIRONMENTAL** Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Dir Environmental Services Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2010 0 25 0 25 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Track local Storm Water requirements at municipality level for impacts to company facilities and operations Method - 240 municipalities and counties have jurisdiction over SCG's service territory. Each municipality has its own municipal code. Estimate that a consultant would spend 1 hour per year tracking storm water compliance requirements under one municipal code or county. A consultant hourly rate is estimated to be \$100 per hour, for a total of 240 hours per year, plus 10 hours for reporting and meetings, yielding a cost estimate of \$25K per year. 2010 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Contract Labor to support new GHG reporting requirements until environmental specialist FTE hire in 2011. Method - Estimate \$80/hr (consultant rate) x 275 hrs = \$22K 2010 17 17 1-Sided Adj 0.0 CC 2200-2176 Justification - Assembly Bill 1188 Ruskin has an increase of \$0.006 for 2010 and 2011, effective 1/1/2010. Method - SCG's annual petroleum storage for year 2009 was 2.966M gallons times \$0.006 fee increase per gallon equals \$17K. 2010 0 85 85 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Consultant cost to develop Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) in 2010 for SCG permit application in conformance with section 2081(b) of the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) and provide CEQA documentation as requested by the California Department of Fish and Game. This permit application will be implemented to fully mitigate the impacts of any take of state-listed species associated with SCG's routine operation and maintenance (O&M) of its existing pipeline system as well as new SCG construction projects in the San Joaquin Programmatic Permit area. Method - \$85,000 in 2010 based on consultant estimate. 2010 Total 141 428 1.4 17 2011 141 141 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Full year staffing of 2009 employees [vacancy adjustments and FTE reassigned from CC2100-3035 to CC 2200-2176]. Method - 2009 actuals are compared

2011 0 0 0 0 1.4 1-Sided Adj

to 2010 full staffing levels by cost center.

CC 2200-2176 Justification - Full year staffing of 2009 employees [vacancy adjustments and FTE reassigned from CC 2100-3035 to CC 2200-2176].

Area:

Witness:

Category:

Category-Sub:

ENVIRONMENTAL

A. Dir Environmental Services

1. Environmental Services Director

Gomez, Lisa P

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE Total FTE Adj Type 2011 0 6 0 6 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - AB32 and federal GHG reporting rule impose new mandatory GHG reporting requirements, including a new requirement for third-party certification of GHG inventories. A GHG data reporting/tracking software tool would achieve accuracies and efficiencies in GHG reporting. - Method - Estimate of \$6K for 3rd party certification of CARB reports based on consultant quote. 2011 50 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - SCG has identified need to develop and automate mapping tools for Cultural Resources within the service territory Method - Cost estimates based on a 3-yr process from qualified vendor to research and digitize archaeological records for environmental project pre-screening. Costs include Phase I & Phase II program elements and select options of DPR-523 forms, report and data maintenance annual fee as required for county jurisdictional needs. 2011 -30 0 -30 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Reduction in historic 2009 spend Method - Review of historical spend 2011 0 25 0 25 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Track local Storm Water requirements at municipality level for impacts to company facilities and operations Method - 240 municipalities and counties have jurisdiction over SCG's service territory. Each municipality has its own municipal code. Estimate that a consultant would spend 1 hour per year tracking storm water compliance requirements under one municipal code or county. A consultant hourly rate is estimated to be \$100 per hour, for a total of 240 hours per year, plus 10 hours for reporting and meetings, yielding a cost estimate of \$25K per year. 2011 0 17 17 1-Sided Adj 0.0 CC 2200-2176 Justification - Assembly Bill 1188 Ruskin has an increase of \$0.006 for 2010 and 2011, effective 1/1/2010. Method - SCG's annual petroleum storage for year 2009 was 2.966M gallons times \$0.006 fee increase per gallon equals \$17K. 2011 0 45 0 45 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - AB32 and federal GHG reporting rule impose new mandatory GHG reporting requirements, including a new requirement for third-party certification of GHG inventories. A GHG data reporting/tracking software tool would achieve accuracies and efficiencies in GHG reporting. Method - GHG data reporting/tracking software tool is estimated at \$45K on verbal estimates from vendor (Ops Environmental). 2011 Total 141 254 1.4 96

Area: **ENVIRONMENTAL** Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Dir Environmental Services Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER Cost Center: Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2012 140 0 0 140 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Add two environmental specialist FTEs in 2012, required to support new GHG and air quality compliance requirements and new software tool management. Method - Estimated costs of 2 environmental specialist FTEs 2012 2.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Add two environmental specialist FTEs in 2012, required to support new GHG and air quality compliance requirements and new software tool management. 2012 141 n 141 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Full year staffing of 2009 employees [vacancy adjustments and FTE reassigned from CC 2100-3035 to CC 2200-2176]. Method - 2009 actuals are compared to 2010 full staffing levels by cost center. 2012 0 0 0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Full year staffing of 2009 employees [vacancy adjustments and FTE reassigned from CC 2100-3035 to CC 2200-2176]. 2012 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - AB32 and federal GHG reporting rule impose new mandatory GHG reporting requirements, including a new requirement for third-party certification of GHG inventories. A GHG data reporting/tracking software tool would achieve accuracies and efficiencies in GHG reporting. - Method - Estimate of \$6K for 3rd party certification of CARB reports based on consultant quote. 2012 -30 0 -30 1-Sided Adi CC 2200-2176 Justification - Reduction in historic 2009 spend Method - Review of historical 2012 0 60 0 60 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - This position will support new compliance requirements for the SCG's Coastal Region Conservation Programmatic permit which will require development of

CC 2200-2176 Justification - This position will support new compliance requirements for the SCG's Coastal Region Conservation Programmatic permit which will require development of training program for mitigation and avoidance measures, reporting requirements and oversight of compliance for this new program area beginning in 2012. This position will also support the project environmental screening process for Distribution and Transmission district projects within the programmatic area which requires submittal of annual and follow up reports to CDFG and USFWS. Method - Estimated costs of 1 environmental specialist FTE (15% Capital: 85% O&M)

Area: **ENVIRONMENTAL** Witness: Gomez, Lisa P Category: A. Dir Environmental Services Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER Year/Expl. Labor NLbr NSE **Total** FTE Adj Type 2012 0 0 0 0 0.9 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - This position will support new compliance requirements for the SCG's Coastal Region Conservation Programmatic permit which will require development of training program for mitigation and avoidance measures, reporting requirements and oversight of compliance for this new program area beginning in 2012. This position will also support the project environmental screening process for Distribution and Transmission district projects within the programmatic area which requires submittal of annual and follow up reports to CDFG and USFWS. 2012 25 1-Sided Adj 0.0 CC 2200-2176 Justification - Track local Storm Water requirements at municipality level for impacts to company facilities and operations Method - 240 municipalities and counties have jurisdiction over SCG's service territory. Each municipality has its own municipal code. Estimate that a consultant would spend 1 hour per year tracking storm water compliance requirements under one municipal code or county. A consultant hourly rate is estimated to be \$100 per hour, for a total of 240 hours per year, plus 10 hours for reporting and meetings, yielding a cost estimate of \$25K per year. 2012 0 50 0 50 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - SCG has identified need to develop and automate mapping tools for Cultural Resources within the service territory Method - Cost estimates based on a 3-yr process from qualified vendor to research and digitize archaeological records for environmental project pre-screening. Costs include Phase I & Phase II program elements and select options of DPR-523 forms, report and data maintenance annual fee as required for county jurisdictional needs. 2012 10 10 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Add two environmental specialist FTEs in 2012, required to support new GHG and air quality compliance requirements and new software tool management. - Method - Estimated cost of employee NL expenses assumed to be 7% of salary. [\$70k x 7% x 2FTE's = \$9.8k rounded to \$10k] 2012 0 0 5 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - FTE add for Coastal Region Conservation Programmatic Permit. - Method - Estimated cost of employee NL expenses assumed to be 7% of salary.

 $[\$70k \times 7\% = \$4.9k \text{ rounded to }\$5k]$

341

2012 Total

407

4.3

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	2005 (\$000)	sts): 2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000
Recorded (Nominal \$)*		. ,			·
Labor	1,409	1,322	1,035	944	1,083
Non-Labor	317	338	275	148	352
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,726	1,660	1,310	1,092	1,435
FTE	18.6	17.3	13.5	12.6	14.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	13	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	66	288	271	121
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	79	288	271	121
FTE	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	1,409	1,336	1,035	944	1,083
Non-Labor	317	404	563	419	473
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,726	1,739	1,598	1,363	1,556
FTE	18.8	17.5	13.4	12.6	14.0
acation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	240	239	181	182	196
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	240	239	181	182	196
FTE	3.4	3.2	2.4	2.5	2.6
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	205	140	68	17	0
Non-Labor	39	36	32	6	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	244	176	100	23	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	1,854	1,715	1,284	1,143	1,279
Non-Labor	357	440	595	425	473
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2,210	2,154	1,879	1,568	1,752
FTE	22.2	20.7	15.8	15.1	16.6

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	s"	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	13	0	0.086	0.029
Non-Labor	0.042	66	288	271	121
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0.042	79	288	271	121
FTE	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005	0	0	0	0.2 1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved fro	om 2200-2013					110134047640
2005	0	0.042	0	0.0 1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved fro	om 2200-2013					110134110237
2005 Total	0	0.042	0	0.2		
2006	13	0	0	0.0 1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved fro	om 2200-2013					110133226850
2006	0	66	0	0.0 1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved fro	om 2200-2013					110133247600
2006	0	0	0	0.2 1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved fro	om 2200-2013					110133308913
2006 Total	13	66	0	0.2		
2007	0	288	0	0.0 1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved fro	om 2200-2013					110133622463
2007 Total	0	288	0	0.0		

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT NORTH MANAGER

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2008	0	271	0	0.0 1-	Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved from 2200-2013							110133712760
2008	0.086	0	0	0.0 1-	Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved from 2200-2013							110133731200
2008 Total	0.086	271	0	0.0			
2009	0.029	0	0	0.0 1-	Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20100
CC 2200-2176 Move in labor from retired CC 2200-2013 504111042273							
2009	0	121	0	0.0 1-	Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20100
CC 2200-2176 Move in non labor from retired CC 2200-2013							
2009 Total	0.029	121	0	0.0			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2200-2176.000

Full Staffing Labor Increase Worksheet

Environmental Services Full Staffing Review - 2009 vs 2010

Sum of SumOfA	Amount		Fiscal_Year		Dollars	Dollars wV&S	FTE
CE_Group2		GRC CC	2009	2010	Change	Change	Change
Salary	NSS	2100-0190	393,035	486,514	93,479	107,500	1.0
	USS	2100-0206	554,520	594,421	39,901	45,886	0.5
		2100-0632	551,325	609,941	58,616	67,408	0.6
		2100-3022	1,228,942	1,379,461	150,519	173,097	2.0
		2100-3035	570,846	509,829	(61,017)	(70,169)	(1.0)
		2100-3282	363,728	518,759	155,031	178,285	2.0
		2100-3589	186,621	239,187	52,566	60,451	0.2
		2200-2176	1,082,876	1,205,589	122,713	141,120	1.4
		2200-2312	53,387	82,881	29,494	33,918	0.4
	USS Total		4,592,244	5,140,068	547,824	629,997	6.1
Salary Total			4,985,280	5,626,582	641,302	737,498	7.1

Southern California Gas Company Test Year 2012 GRC - APP

Shared Services Workpapers



ARCHAEOLOGY • ANTHROPOLOGY • HISTORY • HISTORIC ARCHITECTURE

June 16, 2010

Susan M. Hector, Ph.D., RPA
Principal Environmental Specialist, Cultural Resources
SDG&E Environmental Services
8315 Century Park Court
CP21E
San Diego, CA 92123

Re: Revised Proposal for GIS Services to Deliver California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) Information Center Data in Digital Format (GEARS)

Dear Dr. Hector.

Thank you for requesting Statistical Research Inc. (SRI) to prepare this revised scope of work and cost estimate to provide digital copies of the historical resources information stored at the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) southern California Information Centers (IC). This revision addresses your comments on our proposal dated April 22, 2010.

Purpose

Sempra Energy (Sempra) is interested in obtaining information regarding historical resources located on lands within its service area. In California, the responsibility for collecting and managing the state's inventory of historical resources is delegated to the members of the CHRIS, which is administered by the Office of Historic Preservation (OHP). Historical resources information comprising the CHRIS is organized by county and managed by regional ICs. The ICs house records, reports, maps, and materials relating to historical resources, and provide information regarding such resources on a fee-for-service basis.

Sempra wishes to create and maintain a GIS database of information acquired from the respective ICs in its service area to better manage cultural resources that may be affected by Sempra's activities. To accomplish this goal, Sempra wishes to contract with SRI, to acquire all pertinent information and records from the CHRIS, convert paper records into digital format compatible with the GEARS GIS currently used by Sempra, and provide updated digital information on a quarterly basis.

SRI Qualifications

SRI is uniquely qualified to provide this service because of our recognized expertise in both Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and cultural resources management (CRM) consulting. Doug Mende will manage the technical portions of the project. Mr. Mende has over 25 years of GIS experience and is a recognized leader in the field. He is intimately familiar with the CHRIS system and the issues involving creating and maintaining digital record search databases. In the early-to-late 1980s, prior to joining SRI, Mr. Mende was contracted by the state of California to conduct a statewide assessment of the CHRIS ICs. His assessment led to the state awarding him a contract to complete a design concept to convert all the ICs from paper-based to digital format. The design concept was accepted by the state but was never implemented statewide due

format. The design concept was accepted by the state but was never implemented statewide due to agency politics. As part of that contract, however, Mr. Mende, along with William Hayden (SRI Director of Cartography and Geospatial Technologies) implemented a pilot study that included digitizing over 50,000

CALIFORNIA Redlands 21 W. Stuart Ave. P.O. Box 390 Redlands, CA 92373-0123 (909) 335-1896 (909) 335-0808 (fax)

San Diego 3990 Old Town Ave. Suite B-102 P.O. Box 82404 San Diego, CA 92138 (619) 299-9776 (619) 299-9774 (fax)

Woodland 211 Court St. Woodland, CA 95695 (530) 661-1400 (530) 662-5500 (fax)

ARIZONA Phoenix P.O. Box 27748 Tempe, AZ 85285-2681 (480) 774-1920 (voice & fax) (480) 600-8692 (cell)

Tucson 6099 E. Speedway Blvd. P.O. Box 31865 Tucson, AZ 85751-1865 (520) 721-4309 (520) 298-7044 (fax)

NEW MEXICO

Albuquerque 4425 Juan Tabo Blvd. NE Suite 112 Albuquerque, NM 87111-2681 (505) 323-8300 (505) 323-8314 (fax) (505) 331-2491 (cell)

> TEXAS El Paso 8201 Lockheed Dr. Suite 125 El Paso, TX 79925 (915) 781-2200 (877) 781-2205 (915) 781-2201 (fox)

www.sricrm.com

records from a sample of ICs. Our thorough understanding of the CHRIS systems is enhance by nearly 30 years of conducting record searches at ICs throughout the state. Among are staff are former directors of the San Bernardino County Archaeological Information Center and the Southern San Joaquin Information Center, as well as staff who have worked for various information centers throughout the state. SRI president Donn Grenda was appointed by the governor of California to the State Historic Resource Commission. In that capacity he currently serves as chair of both the Archaeological Resource Committee (ARC) and the Information Center Procedural Advisory Committee (ICPAC). The ICPAC oversees the activities and procedures of the CHRIS system and advises the OHP IC coordinator about ways to improve the system including direction concerning the digital conversion of the CHRIS system.

Our unique combination of experience and services qualifies SRI to not only complete the proposed project but carry out maintenance/management activities for future SEMPRA data needs. SRI not only meets the project requirements but can handle the necessary work for immediate action to prevent any disruption in SEMPRA services. SRI stands as the only firm qualified to perform these services.

Overview

Each IC operates as an independent entity with minimal guidance and oversight from the OHP. Whereas there is a rules of operation manual for all ICs, each IC is relatively free to develop its own procedures for maintaining information regarding historical resources. Although the CHRIS has long advocated for converting the state's paper-based inventory to digital, the progress made by each IC is highly variable: some ICs have converted all paper records to digital format, whereas others have not yet started this process. SRI recently reviewed several of the ICs procedures through visits and phone calls to ascertain the status of the collections. We learned that the conversion of paper-based records to digital data is essentially stalled.

All six ICs in the Sempra service area (Table 1) still manage paper files as their primary data source. However, several ICs have converted the paper-based files to digital data which they can make available to Sempra. The SCIC (San Diego and Imperial Counties) is the furthest along, having already delivered digital data to Sempra. The CCOIC (Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties) is fully digital, but currently only use the data internally. It is considering the sale of digital data by summer of 2010. The SBAIC (San Bernardino County) provided digital data from 1992 until 2001, but discontinued the service due to a lack of funding and its current database is in need of significant updates. The geographical data at SBAIC was recorded using Computer Aided Drafting (CAD) software and not GIS software. Consequently, the existing digital data will need to be converted to a GEARS-compatible format. The EIC (Riverside, Inyo, and Mono Counties), The SSJVIC (Kern, Kings, Tulare, Madera, and Fresno Counties), and SCCIC (Orange, Los Angeles, and Ventura Counties) have not converted to digital format.

To provide complete digital data to Sempra in some instances will require SRI to convert an entire IC's paper-based records into digital format. In other cases SRI will only have to acquire already converted digital data.

Table 1: CHRIS ICs in the Sempra Service Area

Information Center	County
Central Coast (CCOIC)	San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara
Southern San Joaquin Valley (SSJVIC)	Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera*, Tulare
Eastern (EIC)	Inyo*, Mono*, Riverside
San Bernardino Archaeological (SBAIC)	San Bernardino
South Central Coastal (SCCIC)	Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura
South Coastal (SCIC)	San Diego**, Imperial**

^{*}County outside Sempra service area

^{**} Already provided to Sempra

GIS Deliverables

All information acquired will be limited to that maintained at each IC in the Sempra service area (see Table 1). Data related to San Diego and Imperial Counties are excluded from this proposal because the SCIC is already delivering digital data to Sempra under a separate contract. SRI will provide the records as they are kept at each IC and is not responsible for errors in the IC data. SRI can provide the following information on compact discs (CD or DVD):

Base Data: SRI will provide GEARS-compatible GIS shapefiles containing points or polygons of all historical resources and all manuscripts (e.g., survey areas) recorded at the respective ICs in the Sempra service area. Each polygon will be attributed with the corresponding Primary Number, Trinomial, or Manuscript Number. Isolated occurrences will include only those that have been assigned a Primary Number. Base data will include shapefiles of archaeological sites, historical resources, properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places, and California State Landmarks. SRI will provide digital images of all historical maps. If available, SRI will also include a digital copy of the National Archaeological Database (NADB) associated with each report polygon.

DPR-523 Forms: SRI will include digital copies of all DPR-523 cultural resource recording forms.

Data Maintenance: SRI will provide quarterly updates.

Approach

Because of the highly variable status of digital conversion throughout the CHRIS it is impossible to accurately estimate the total cost of providing complete digital data to Sempra. Also, Sempra has identified those counties that are the highest priority for obtaining digital record search data and the schedule for delivering data for these areas. In response to these needs, SRI recommends a two-phase approach for implementing the project.

Phase I

Phase I will involve a feasibility study to evaluate the status of digital conversion at each IC in the Sempra service area (see Table 1). SRI will undertake a detailed inventory of all records at each IC. This will involve determining the number of shapefiles that need to be digitized, and estimating the number of resource recording forms and manuscripts that need to be scanned. As part of the feasibility study, SRI will interview the director of each IC and analyze and document the business functions (e.g. intake of reports, map maintenance), software applications (e.g., Excel, GIS), and data (national register listings, update lists) in the facility.

SRI will negotiate access to each IC in the most cost effective manner possible. Because paying normal self-service record search fees of \$100.00/hour to access the IC data is not feasible, SRI will attempt to negotiate with each IC for data access at a flat rate. This negotiation could include requesting free access in return for providing digital data back to each respective IC.

At the conclusion of the inventory and interview, SRI will prepare and provide a summary of the inventory and interview findings to each IC to be verified. SRI will also draft a data maintenance agreement with each information center for approval. The agreement is intended to bind the IC into providing updates on a quarterly basis at a disclosed cost. Upon verification, SRI will prepare an evaluation report for Sempra documenting the condition of each IC and will include a scope of work, schedule, and cost estimate for delivering a complete set of digital data.

Phase II

Phase II will involve acquiring digital record search data from each IC. This will include a variety of tasks specific to the status of digital conversion already completed at each facility. These tasks will be identified in the scope of work developed in Phase I. These tasks will likely include developing a method to convert and maintain the records at those ICs that are not already providing digital data, acquiring digital data from those ICs that have already converted to digital, and formatting and delivering updates to GEARS for each IC. Sempra wishes to acquire these data over a three-year period with an optional fourth year for acquiring data from the SSJVIC (Table 2).

Table 2: Data Acquisition and Delivery Schedule

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4 (optional)
CCOIC	EIC	SBAIC	SSJVIC
San Luis Obispo County Santa Barbara County	Riverside County	San Bernardino County	Fresno County Kern County Kings County
SCCIC			Tulare County
Los Angeles County			•
Orange County			
Ventura County			

Cost Estimate

Our cost estimate to complete both phases is shown in Table 3. The cost estimate for the Phase I feasibility study is \$13,200. As described above, specific cost estimates will be developed for each IC as part of the Phase I work. However, Sempra needs some idea of overall estimated costs to deliver digital data for its entire service area to decide if they wish to move forward with this plan. The following costs are based on the estimates prepared in 2000 for the statewide CHRIS conversion and on information provided to SRI by each IC during our recent review. The total estimated cost to deliver digital GIS Base Data and DPR-523 forms is \$248,800 (see Table 3). The Data Maintenance Annual Fee shown in Table 3 is cumulative and the total amount to maintain the GIS for a four-year period is \$55,000.

Table 3: Sempra Energy GIS Chris IC Data Preparation Cost Estimate

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total
				(optional)	
Phase I	\$13,200	-	-	-	\$13,200
Phase II	\$97,000	\$26,470	\$25,000	\$26,700	\$175,170
DPR-523 forms	\$41,800	\$11,030	\$10,000	\$10,800	\$73,630
Data Maintenance Annual Fee*	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$55,000
Total	\$162,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$55,000	\$317,000

^{*} Data maintenance annual fee is cumulative over the four-year period

Given the expansive Sempra service area and large number of cultural resources under your management, it is clear that a GIS approach will ultimately be the most efficient and effective way to integrate cultural resources management into Sempra's overall environmental program. I look forward to working with you on this exciting project. Please call me at (909) 335-1896 or contact me by Email at kbecker@sricrm.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kenneth M. Becker, M.A., RPA

Henneth M. Beck

Principal Investigator



NORTHERN SERVICE TERRITORY OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 2081 INCIDENTIAL TAKE PERMIT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION SCOPE OF WORK SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY May 3, 2010

Introduction

On April 28, 2010, Southern California Gas Company (SCG) requested a scope of work and cost estimate for providing California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation for a California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) 2081 Incidental Take Permit to conduct routine operation and maintenance activities within SCG's northern service territory. More specifically, SCG has requested preparation of a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) pursuant to CEQA, as well as a Mitigation Monitoring Program (MMP), if required. Operation and maintenance activities on SCG's existing pipeline system may require work within habitat for state-listed species in Fresno, Kings, Tulare, Kern, Ventura, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo counties. In response to this request, Insignia Environmental (Insignia) has prepared this scope of work detailing the tasks, schedule, staffing, and costs to conduct this work.

Description of Work

Insignia will provide the following task for this project:

Task #1: Prepare an MND

• Description: Under this task, Insignia will prepare an MND in accordance with the CEQA Guidelines. The MND will primarily be developed based on information provided in the CDFG 2081 Incidental Take Permit application package and the existing Biological Opinion (BO) for SCG's northern service territory. Insignia will also collect additional information from published documents, maps, General Plans, and Internet sites to ensure all resource areas are fully described and analyzed. The MND will include a thorough project description, an overview of the region's environmental setting, and an evaluation of the project's potential impacts. Applicant-proposed measures (APMs) to reduce potential impacts will be proposed, where necessary. As part of this task, Insignia will prepare an MMP to summarize all APMs and mitigation measures. The MMP will identify the potential impact, proposed mitigation, required documentation, and the responsible party.

Insignia will prepare an Initial Draft MND for SCG's review in electronic format, after which it will be revised and submitted to the CDFG for review as the Preliminary Draft MND. Insignia will then revise the Preliminary Draft MND in accordance with the CDFG's comments and prepare an Administrative Draft MND for submittal to the CDFG in order to distribute it to other agencies for review. Once comments have been received,



Page 1 of 4

Insignia will prepare a Draft MND for public review in electronic format. Up to five hard copies of the document will be produced, along with up to 25 CDs containing the Draft MND in PDF format.

After public review has been completed, Insignia will assist by organizing and developing responses to all comments. The responses to comments will be submitted to SCG for one round of review and revisions. Insignia will then revise the responses to comments and submit them to the CDFG for review. Insignia will then incorporate the CDFG's comments and finalize the comment responses.

Schedule

Work on the project will begin as soon as written authorization has been received from SCG. A preliminary schedule for the project, assuming a May 5 start date, is presented in the table below. This schedule will be discussed and revised in coordination with SCG at the time of contract execution to ensure the project's needs are met.

Activity	Approximate Timeframe	
Initial Draft MND	June 22	
SCG Review	June 22 – June 29	
Preliminary Draft MND	July 13	
CDFG Review	July 13 – July 27	
Administrative Draft MND	August 10	
Agency Review	August 10 – August 31	
Draft MND	September 14	
Public Review	September 15 – October 15	
Responses to Comments	October 29	

Staffing

This project will be managed by Anne Marie McGraw. Nicole Markowitz and Robert Curley will take the lead on the research and analysis for the MND. Armen Keochekian will provide technical review and input. Additional Insignia staff will support the team with research, preparation of the MND, mapping, and production. Short summaries of each key staff member's qualifications are included below. Resumes can be provided upon request.

Anne Marie McGraw

Anne Marie McGraw combines an education in community and environmental planning with 14 years of experience in utility and transportation engineering and permitting. She has successfully assisted clients with navigating the CEQA and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) approval processes, developing strategies for permitting projects, and serving as an agency liaison. Anne Marie has managed the preparation of hundreds of federal, state, and local permit applications and supporting documentation for projects in the west. She has developed and delivered regulatory and project-specific training programs, and overseen environmental inspection and biological monitoring programs during construction of projects throughout California. Her work has included management of the Line 6914 Loop Imperial Valley Project permitting, as well as technical assistance on the North-South Interconnect Project. Anne Marie holds a Master of City and Regional Planning and a Master of Science in Engineering from California Polytechnic State University (Cal Poly), San Luis Obispo and a



Page 2 of 4

Bachelor of Science (B.S.) in Environmental Policy Analysis and Planning from the University of California at Davis.

Armen Keochekian

Armen Keochekian has over 14 years of experience in environmental planning, permitting, and managing environmental programs for large-scale utility projects. He has recently acted as Project Manager for the preparation of an Initial Study for SCG's Line 41-17 Potrero Creek Exposure Drop Replacement Project and is currently working with the CDFG to complete CEQA review. In addition, he is currently serving as the Project Manager for CEQA and NEPA compliance for SCG's North-South Interconnect Project. In this role, he is responsible for oversight and management of resource specialists, analysts, technical experts, and subconsultants. Armen also has significant experience in erosion and sediment control planning and implementation, soil surveys, water quality and hydrological issues, stream and river construction techniques, sensitive resource mitigation, and restoration. Armen has authored numerous sections of CEQA and NEPA document sections; as well as Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans; Construction, Operation, and Maintenance Plans; and Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plans. This experience is greatly enhanced by his extensive field experience inspecting over 1,000 miles of natural gas pipeline construction across the United States, as well as hundreds of miles of electrical transmission lines in California and Nevada. Armen holds a B.S. in Soils Science from Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo.

Nicole Markowitz

Nicole Markowitz has a strong background working with federal, state, and local government agencies supporting various infrastructure construction projects in southern California. Her work has included supporting the preparation of multiple environmental documents in accordance with the CEQA and NEPA, including Initial Studies, MNDs, and Environmental Impact Reports. Nicole generally specializes in land use, recreation, and hazardous materials analysis, but also has significant experience with impact analysis in the areas of transportation and traffic; geology, soils and mineral resources; and cultural and paleontological resources. Recently, Nicole assisted in preparing a constraints study for more than 150 miles of transmission line in Fresno, Kern, Tulare, and Kings counties. Nicole was also a primary author on the SCG Line 6914 Loop Imperial Valley Project CEQA document. Nicole holds a B.S. in Environmental Management and Protection with a Minor in City and Regional Planning from Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo.

Robert Curley

Robert Curley combines an engineering background with 9 years of work experience in the field of environmental analysis. His work has included assisting with the development of environmental documents supporting the CEQA and NEPA processes, as well as utilizing various Environmental Protection Agency-approved air dispersion models to evaluate air quality impacts from accident reconstruction reports. Robert's work has included preparation of the air quality, noise, and traffic and transportation analyses for multiple applicant-prepared documents including Initial Studies, MNDs, and Environmental Assessments, as well as preparing permitting applications for multiple electrical transmission lines. He has also provided geographic information system mapping and analysis support for several natural gas, fiber optic, and electric transmission line projects throughout the state of California. Robert holds a Master of Business Administration from Santa Clara University and a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from U.C., Santa Barbara.



Page 3 of 4

Summary of Costs and Assumptions

The cost implications of this scope of work are detailed in the attached spreadsheet and summarized below. Costs will be billed on a time-and-materials basis. Payment on invoices is due within 30 days. This scope of work and cost estimate is based on the following assumptions:

- The project description as described in the 2081 Incidental Take Permit Application dated April 2009 will not change.
- No more than one request for information will be required to complete the project description and analysis.
- No technical reports will be prepared to support the MND.
- · No site visits or fieldwork will be conducted.
- One meeting with SCG and the CDFG in the San Joaquin Valley has been included. Up to a total of 10 hours each has been included to travel to and attend this meeting for two Insignia staff members.
- Comments on all rounds of the MND will be compiled into one hard copy prior to submittal to Insignia for incorporation.
- Up to 60, 52, and 40 hours have been included to address comments from the CDFG, other agencies, and the public, respectively, on the MND.
- Assistance with public noticing has not been included.
- All work will be completed within a period of 6 months with no major periods of delay or work stoppages.

Task #1: Prepare MND	\$85,000
Total Not-to-Exceed Amount	\$85,000



$\overline{}$
C

						Estimated Costs	d Costs						
	_	Northerr	Se	rvice Territ	ory 20	81 Incidental 1	ake Permit Mit	Northern Service Territory 2081 Incidental Take Permit Mitigated Negative Declaration	Declaration				
		Total	Ļ		L								
	Hourly Rate	ate Hours	ρ	Total \$	2	May 2010	Jun 2010	Jul 2010	Aug 2010	Sep 2010	Oct 2010	_	TOTAL
1: Prepare MND													
Principal	\$ 130.00		62	\$ 8,060.00	C	12.00	26.00	10.00	00.9	4.00	4.00		
Director	\$ 120.00		28	\$ 6,960.00	0	12.00	26.00	00.9	00.9	4.00	4.00		
Senior Managing Planner/Biologist	\$ 90.00		40	\$ 12,600.00	0	24.00	64.00	18.00	14.00	12.00	8.00		
Managing Planner/Biologist	\$ 90.00		280	\$ 25,200.00	0	88.00	88.00	28.00	28.00	24.00	24.00		
Planner/Biologist	\$ 85.0		88	\$ 6,800.00	0	40.00	40.00				•		
GIS Specialist	\$ 90.0		36	\$ 12,240.00	0	44.00	44.00	22.00	18.00	8.00	•		
Technical Editor	\$ 65.0		88	\$ 10,920.00	0	4.00	64.00	26.00	26.00	40.00	8.00		
Administrative	\$ 55.00	00	1	- +									
TOTAL		6	924	\$ 82,780.00	C							€9	82,780.00
AL Fees					\$	20,700.00 \$	31,700.00 \$	9,830.00	\$ 8,590.00	\$ 7,560.00	\$ 4,400.00	s	82,780.00
S													
Travel					69	9	\$ 00.009				- &	s	00.009
Supplies					↔	\$ 00.005	1			\$ 250.00	- &	\$	750.00
Per Diem					69	٠	'				. \$	s	
Printing/Copies					69	٠	'			\$ 750.00	. \$	s	750.00
Shipping					↔	·	1	,		\$ 120.00	- 9	\$	120.00
AL ODCS					S	\$ 00.005	\$ 00.009		-	\$ 1,120.00	- \$	\$	2,220.00
AL Fees and ODCS					s	21,200.00 \$	32,300.00 \$	\$ 00.028,6	8,590.00	\$ 8,680.00	\$ 4,400.00	\$	85,000.00

eignia Environme

GHG California Air Resource Board 3rd Party Certification Workpaper CC 2200-2176 (Southern California Gas Company) CC 2100-3022 (San Diego Gas & Electric Company)

Background: SoCalGas and SDG&E have been voluntarily reporting their GHG emission inventory with 3rd party certification. There will be additional certification activities to meet the new AB32 mandatory reporting rule requirements. The incremental upward pressures for the additional tasks associated with AB32 are as follows:

Amount spent in 2008 per utility (\$28,000/2): \$14,000 Amount spent in 2009 per utility: \$20,000

(Included informal ARB verification)

2010 bid for 3rd Party Certification (\$55,000/2): \$26,000

(includes formal ARB certification)

GRC Upward pressure per utility (\$26K-\$20K = \$6K) \$6,000

Support documentation attached.



Climate Action | Clean Energy | Air Quality

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson
Principal Environmental Specialist, Environmental Services
Southern California Gas Company
555 W. 5th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1031
Sent via email to djohnson@semprautilities.com

PROPOSAL FOR VERIFICATION

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY GHG EMISSIONS INVENTORY, CY 2009 - CALIFORNIA CLIMATE ACTION REGISTRY GHG INVENTORY REPORT, CY 2009 - CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Dear Darrell,

Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc., (RMA) is pleased to provide this proposal to verify the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Inventories for Calendar Year (CY) 2009 for San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas), collectively referred to in this proposal as Sempra Utilities (Sempra). The verification is required for Sempra to meet both the reporting requirements as a member of The California Climate Action Registry (California Registry) and the mandatory reporting requirements of the California Air Resources Board (ARB).

This will be the sixth year of verifications by RMA for GHG inventories submitted to the California Registry by Sempra. RMA has previously verified the GHG emissions inventories for CY 2004 through CY 2008 for Sempra. From this previous work we are thoroughly familiar with the nature and sources of GHG emissions that will be reported from Sempra operations and believe that we can verify the Sempra inventory, California Registry, once again in a very cost-effective manner.

RMA is an accredited verification body with the California Air Resources Board. There are six ARB-accredited Lead Verifiers on our staff. We are very familiar with the types of issues that arise during ARB verification; and will pay careful attention to the features of your inventory that distinguish it from others in the investor-owned utility sector.

This proposal discusses:

- 1. Our qualifications to perform these verifications
- 2. Scope of work to be performed and assumptions
- 3. Schedule and deliverables
- 4. Cost and terms of our services
- 5. Contracting requirements
- 6. Appeals process, confidentiality and Insurance

This proposal is valid for 90 days unless extended in writing by RMA. RMA will coordinate this work from our Santa Barbara office.

735 State St., Suite 407, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5503 ph; 805.730.1338 fax; 805.730.1341 www.RMAQ.com

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 2

1. RMA QUALIFICATIONS AND STAFF

COMPANY PROFILE AND QUALIFICATIONS

Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc., a member of the Lloyd's Register Group of entities, is located in Santa Barbara, California. Incorporated since 1994, RMA specializes in GHG verification services, climate action, clean energy and air quality services. GHG emissions verification is a primary focus of our company. RMA also performs services to develop GHG inventories and carbon footprints and to prepare climate action strategic plans. RMA has provided services for an extensive number of private and public sector clients throughout California, the United States, Mexico and Canada.

The RMA staff is highly trained in the area of GHG verification and climate action planning, and we specialize in leading edge, forward-thinking analyses and planning in this critical area. RMA has substantial experience with GHG emission reduction project accounting as well as entity accounting; and has developed internal systems to maintain high quality, independent third-party verifications.

- RMA is an accredited verification body with the California Climate Action Registry, Climate Reserve, and The Climate Registry.
- RMA is an accredited verification body with the California Air Resources Board.
- Our professional staff includes five ARB Lead Verifiers and two ARB Verifiers, all
 with sector specializations, who are accredited to conduct GHG verifications by the
 California ARB.
- RMA is an ANSI-accredited Validator/Verifier Body under ISO 14064-3, "Greenhouse gases Part 3: Specification with guidance for the validation and verification of greenhouse gas assertions" and ISO 14065 "Greenhouse gases Requirements for greenhouse gas validation and verification bodies for use in accreditation or other forms of recognition."
- RMA has extensive experience with GHG procedures and protocols. Since the
 California Climate Action Registry (CCAR) began operations in 2001, RMA has verified
 the majority of all its registered/public emissions in that Registry. RMA provided
 extensive consultation services to The Climate Registry (TCR) for development of its
 electric power sector protocol. RMA has communicated frequently with ARB during the
 past year as ARB initiated activities for the mandatory reporting regulation.

RELEVANT PROJECT EXPERIENCE

RMA has performed a majority of the ARB full verifications submitted for CY 2008 and knows firsthand what is needed to meet the ARB reporting requirement. In addition to completing many informal CY 2008 verifications, RMA has verified full ARB GHG reports for CY 2009 for Dynegy Moss Landing LLC, Imperial Irrigation District and the Sacramento Municipal Utility District.

Within our extensive experience, RMA has verified emission inventories for a broad range of industries and organizations, including the GHG emissions inventories for Sempra Utilities for all

735 State St., Suite 407, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5503 ph; 805,730.1338 fax; 805,730.1341 www.RMAQ.com

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 3

five previous years. RMA has verified GHG emissions inventories for the Salt River Project, NRG, NV Energy (formerly Sierra Pacific Resources and Nevada Power), PG&E, and Southwest Gas. Our references are available on request.

KEY STAFF

The verification teams will be comprised of a Lead Verifier, Quality Assurance Reviewer and qualified GHG staff. Our Lead Verifiers, Quality Assurance Reviewers, and Verifiers will be selected for your verifications from the list below, in which the education, accreditation, and experience of our professional staff are briefly described. Full resumes, including accreditation certificates, are available upon request.

William A. Master

Mr. William Master is Senior Vice President and a founding member of RMA, and has over thirty years of experience in the areas of greenhouse gas assessments, climate action planning, clean energy programs, alternative fuel vehicle technology, diesel emission reduction projects and air quality technology. Mr. Master is a Lead Verifier qualified by the California Climate Action Registry for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the general reporting, power utility and cement protocols; a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane, livestock, coal mine methane, and organic waste disposal protocols; and a Lead Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier and electricity transactions, cement, and refineries specialist. His work experience covers a broad range of industrial and government sectors, electric power generation and distribution, oil and gas production and processing, landfill gas recovery systems, agriculture production and processing, transportation systems, mail and parcel delivery systems. He has extensive experience managing diesel emission reduction projects in the marine, construction, agricultural, material handling and on-road sectors. Mr. Master holds an M.S. in Biology with emphasis in environmental science from the University of Illinois and B.S. in Biology with emphasis in Systems Ecology from San Diego State University. He is a member of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers and the Air and Waste Management Association. [RSL-90]

J. Ivor John, Ph.D.

Dr. Ivor John is Senior Vice President at RMA, with over 25 years experience in the areas of greenhouse gas assessments, atmospheric physics, air toxics review, climate change and chemical risk management. Dr. John is a Lead Verifier qualified by the California Climate Action Registry for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the general reporting, power utility and cement protocols; a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane and livestock protocols; and a Lead Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier and electricity transactions, cement plant and refineries specialist. Dr. John's work experience covers a broad range of industrial and government sectors including water and wastewater treatment, electric power generation and transmission, oil and gas production and processing, petroleum refining, and alternative fuel vehicles. Dr. John holds a Ph.D. in atmospheric physics from the University of Wales UK and a B.S. in Physics with Meteorology from the University of Reading UK. He is a Certified

735 State St., Suite 407, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5503 ph; 805.730.1338 fax; 805.730.1341 www.RMAQ.com

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 4

Consulting Meteorologist with the America Meteorological Society, a Fellow of the Royal Meteorological Society, and a member of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers. [RSL-90]

Ann Hewitt, Ph.D.

Dr. Ann Hewitt is a Vice President at RMA and has over 20 years experience in the areas of climate action planning, environmental policy and program development, greenhouse gas assessments, and government continuity. Dr. Hewitt is a Lead Verifier qualified by the California Climate Action Registry for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the general reporting, power utility and cement protocols; a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane and livestock protocols; and a Lead Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier and an electricity transactions and refineries specialist. Her work experience covers a broad range of industrial and government sectors, including wastewater treatment, electric power generation and transmission, universities and college facilities, food processing facilities, and local, state and federal agency operations. In addition, she has performed work for the Canadian government, the United Nations, and the Organization for Economic and Cultural Development (OECD). Dr. Hewitt was a member of the founding management team for the California Climate Action Registry. Dr. Hewitt holds a Ph.D. in Sociology from Carleton University Canada; M.Sc. in Environmental Psychology from the University of Surrey, Guildford UK; and B.A. in Sociology and Anthropology from Carleton University Canada. [RSL-80]

DeAnn Sarver

DeAnn Sarver is a Vice President and at RMA and has over 18 years experience in the areas of greenhouse gas assessments, environmental management, corporate strategy and marketing, and business development. Ms. Sarver is a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane project and livestock methane project protocols; and a <u>Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier and a refineries specialist</u>. In addition, Ms. Sarver Her work experience covers a broad range of industrial and government sectors, including mail and parcel delivery, landfill gas recovery, and universities and colleges. Ms. Sarver holds a B.A. in Aquatic Biology from the University of California, Santa Barbara. [RSL-71]

Rachel Pelc

Ms. Pelc is a Manager of Carbon Emissions Programs at RMA and has more than seven years experience in the areas of greenhouse gas assessments, air quality, diesel emission reduction projects, climate action planning and environmental conservation. Ms. Pelc is a Lead Verifier qualified by the California Climate Action Registry for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the general reporting, power utility and cement protocols; a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane and livestock protocols; and a Lead Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier and electricity transactions, cement plant and refineries specialist. Her work experience covers a broad range of industrial and government sectors, including electric power generation and transmission, university and college facilities, green building technology, and natural gas distribution systems. Ms. Pelc holds an M.S. in Environmental Engineering and Science from

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 5

Stanford University and a B.A. in Human Biology from Stanford University with an emphasis in ecology and environmental conservation. [RSL-51]

Nick Facciola

Mr. Nick Facciola is a Manager of Carbon Emissions Programs at RMA and has four years experience in the areas of climate action planning, greenhouse gas assessments, diesel emission reduction projects, air quality, and clean energy. Mr. Facciola is a Lead Verifier qualified by the California Climate Action Registry for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the general reporting, power utility and cement protocols; a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane and livestock protocols; and a Lead Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier and an electricity transactions and refineries specialist. His work experience covers a broad range of industrial and government sectors including mail and parcel delivery systems, local and federal agencies, and electric power generation and transmission. He has extensive experience managing diesel emission reduction projects in the marine, agricultural, and on-road sectors. Mr. Facciola holds an M.S. in Mechanical Engineering with an emphasis on energy and environment from the University of Colorado at Boulder and a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from the University of California Santa Barbara. [RSL-51]

Ryan Schauland

Ryan Schauland is a Carbon Emissions Specialist at RMA and has several years experience in the areas of green buildings and greenhouse gas assessments. Mr. Schauland is a US Green Building Council LEED® AP Accredited Professional. Mr. Schauland is a Lead Verifier qualified by the Climate Action Reserve for reporting and verifying greenhouse gas emissions inventories under the landfill methane and livestock protocols; and a Lead Verifier accredited by the California Air Resources Board to conduct verification services as a lead verifier, and cement and refineries specialist. His work experience covers numerous industrial and government sectors including electric power generation and distribution, oil and gas processing and distribution, and university facilities. Mr. Schauland holds a B.S. with Honors in Environmental Studies and a B.A. with Honors in Geography, both from the University of California Santa Barbara. [RSL-41]

2. VERIFICATION SCOPE AND ASSUMPTIONS

2.1 ARB CY 2009 VERIFICATION

Verification: Full verification

Calendar Year: 2009

Regulation: California Regulation for the Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 17 Subchapter 10, Article 2, Sections 95100 to 95133, and including verification standards of the California Air Resources Board.

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 6

Deliverable: Independent, third party verification opinion of the data reports assessed for materiality, completeness, and conformance with the regulation.

Verification Process: The ARB full verification is expected to proceed as follows:

- Submittal of Conflict of Interest (NOVS) forms
- Agreement on verification services and execution of verification contract or purchase order.
- Kick-off teleconference or meeting that includes coordination of planned site visits, access to ARB emissions/transactions data report, and access to necessary verification data
- Development of a verification plan for each report to be verified.
- Review of management systems.
- Development of a sampling plan for each report to be verified, including a ranking of emissions sources and electricity transactions by their relative size and uncertainty.
- Site Visits in accordance with sampling plan.
- Performance of data checks on each emissions data report to determine whether or not the reported emissions and transactions are free of material misstatement and the emissions data report otherwise conforms to the requirements of the regulation.
- Review of the methods and factors used to develop the emissions data report for conformance with the regulation.
- Logging any issues identified in the course of verification of each emissions data report that may affect determinations of materiality and conformance, and associated corrective actions, if any.
- Delivery of initial and final verification findings, and a verification opinion for each facility.

Facilities: Five general stationary combustion and two electricity generation facilities are to be verified. In addition, the ARB recognizes both San Diego Gas & Electric and the Southern California Gas Company as California-only retail providers, which requires a site visit to the energy scheduling center. The facilities and their locations are listed below.

- ARB ID# 101349 SCG Aliso Canyon Storage Facility located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (General Stationary Combustion)
- ARB ID# 100915 SCG Honor Rancho Storage Facility located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (General Stationary Combustion)
- ARB ID# 101348 SCG Newberry Springs Compressor Station located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (General Stationary Combustion)
- ARB ID# 101346 SCG South Needles Compressor Station located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (General Stationary Combustion)
- ARB ID# 101675 SCG Blythe Facility located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (General Stationary Combustion)
- ARB ID# 101401 SDG&E Miramar Energy Facility located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (Electricity Generation)
- ARB ID# 100362 SDG&E Palomar Energy Center located in Los Angeles, California 90013 (Electricity Generation)

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 7

Site Visits: Eight site visits will be conducted. Site visits will be grouped by geographic proximity to achieve economy and efficiency.

Conflict of Interest: The potential for conflict of interest risk for RMA in this third-party verification assignment is assumed to be low.

It is assumed that the GHG data, files and reports will be shared with ARB registry staff.

In addition to our understanding of the scope of your GHG inventory, RMA assumes that your company will:

- Submit your emissions report into the California ARB database by the ARB deadline and supply the required supporting data to RMA
- Identify a single point of contact for verification activities who will (a) work with RMA
 through the verification process, including participation in project kick-off and site visits;
 and (b) be available for discussions about the inventory, including review of the
 verification and opinion.
- The contact will also provide facility access to RMA staff and third party observers, if any, in accordance with the California ARB Regulation.

2.2 CALIFORNIA REGISTRY CY 2009 VERIFICATION

The purpose of this assignment will be to verify the Sempra GHG Emissions Report for CY 2009, following the applicable standards and protocols of the California Registry. The verification process is intended to provide Sempra with an independent opinion on the completeness for the data and information being submitted to the California Registry regarding the CY 2009 emissions.

The following table identifies RMA's understanding of the scope of Sempra GHG inventory:

SCOPE OF SEMPRA UTILITIES G	HG EMISSIONS INVENTORY TO THE CALIFORNIA REGISTRY
GREENHOUSE GASES	□ carbon dioxide (CO₂)
REPORTED	☐ methane (CH ₄)
	□ nitrous oxide (N₂O)
	☐ hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
	□ perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
	☐ sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆)
	☒ All six Kyoto GHGs (all of the above)
TYPE OF INVENTORY	☐ Baseline Year (optional, may be applied to any of the inventory
	years below)
	☐ 1 st Year Inventory
	☐ 2 nd Year Inventory
	☐ 3 rd Year Inventory
	☐ 4 th Year Inventory

735 State St., Suite 407, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5503 ph: 805.730.1338 fax: 805.730.1341 www.RMAQ.com

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 8

SCOPE OF SEMPRA UTILITIES G	HG EMISSIONS INVENTORY TO THE CALIFORNIA REGISTRY	
	☐ 5 th Year Inventory	
	⊠ 6 th Year Inventory	
	☐ Historic (indicate CYs)	
GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARIES		
	☐ United States	
	☐ Other	
REPORTING METHOD		
	☐ Control Approach – Financial	
	☐ Equity Share	
ORGANIZATIONAL BOUNDARIES	Sempra Utilities, operating the San Diego Gas & Electric Company	
	and the Southern California Gas Company	

The verification is expected to proceed as follows:

- Agreement on verification services and execution of verification contract or purchase order or revised contract. The verification purchase order must incorporate this proposal by reference or incorporate substantially similar language.
- Conflict of Interest documentation to the California Registry.
- Kick-off teleconference or meeting. For expedience, this meeting with be combined with the ARB-related verification teleconference.
- Development of a risk-based verification plan.
- No site visits are required for a sixth year verification.
- Review of GHG management and information systems and procedures.
- Verification of reported GHG emissions, including De Minimis methodologies, against the California Registry GRP's principles of relevance, completeness, consistency, transparency and accuracy.
- Submittal of a Verification Opinion and Verification Report to Sempra, documenting the
 basis upon which a verification conclusion was reached. The Verification Report and
 Opinion will be delivered in pdf format. Note that the RMA Verification Report is a
 confidential document and is not generally available for the California Registry's review
- One re-verification of amended CARROT submittal, if Sempra modifies the CARROT report in response to RMA initial verification report.
- Completion of the verification process in CARROT and response to any follow-up questions by the California Registry with respect to the verification.

RMA will coordinate this work from our Santa Barbara office. The following table outlines specific assumptions for this verification:

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 9

SEMPRA UTILITIE	S - CALIFONIA REGISTRY VERIFICATION ASSUMPTIONS			
VERIFICATION	CY 2009			
CALENDAR YEAR				
PROTOCOLS AND STANDARDS	The California Action Registry General Reporting Protocol (GRP) Version 3.1, January 2009			
	The California Climate Action Registry General Verification Protocol (GVP) Version 3.0, August 2008			
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	The potential for conflict of interest risk for RMA in this third-party verification assignment is assumed to be low; RMA has not previously worked for Sempra, thus reducing the potential for project delays.			
PRIMARY EMISSION	Stationary combustion emissions from electric power generation, fugitive			
SOURCES	and process emissions from natural gas transmission and distribution			
	activities, and indirect emissions from losses on electric power			
	transmission and distribution systems.			
NUMBER OF FACILITIES	Approximately 280 per utility (approx 560 total)			
SITE VISITS	Based on the California Registry GVP, no site visits are required for the			
	sixth year reporting verification.			

April 29, 2010 Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 10

3. SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

3.1 SCHEDULE FOR ARB CY 2009 VERIFICATION

The following deadlines are required by RMA for completing the verification with the ARBimposed verification deadlines.

The following deadlines are pertinent to completing the verification with the ARB-imposed verification deadlines.

			0	DLINES TO COMPLETE FICATION
	TYPE OF FACILITY	VERIFICATION DEADLINE	CONTRACT EXECUTION DEADLINE	TECHNICAL DATA RECEIVED BY RMA DEADLINE
1.	General Stationary combustion	October 1, 2010 Verification Deadline	The Verification Services Agreement	All required technical data must be provided to RMA
2.	Electricity generating facilities and cogeneration facilities that are not under operational control of the retail provider including cement plant, petroleum refinery, hydrogen plant, and oil & gas facility not under operational control of the retail provider.		with RMA must be fully executed by May 1, 2010.	by June 1, 2010 in order to achieve a positive verification opinion by the October 1, 2010 ARB- imposed deadline
3.	Retail provider	December 1, 2010	The Verification	All required technical data
4.	Marketer	Verification Deadline	Services Agreement with RMA must be	must be provided to RMA by August 1, 2010 in
5.	General stationary combustion within the oil & gas sector		fully executed by July 1, 2010.	order to achieve a positive verification opinion by the December 1, 2010 ARB-
6.	Cement plant			imposed deadline
7.	Petroleum refinery			
8.	Hydrogen plants			

The schedule assumes that your company is able to address and correct any material misstatements within ten days of receiving a Verification Report that identifies any such misstatements.

The main deliverables include detailed verification reports for each reporting facility/entity. The detailed verification report will include the verification plan, the detailed comparison of the data checks with the submitted emissions data report, the log of issues identified in the course of verification activities and their resolution, and any qualifying comments on findings during verification services.

The RMA deliverables in may be included in your company's annual report or may be issued to other interested parties or entities.

April 29, 2010 Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 11

3.2 SCHEDULE FOR CALIFORNIA REGISTRY CY 2009 VERIFICATION

RMA expects that this verification will be conduced concurrently with the ARB verification, and the same deadlines will be met by Sempra.

4. COST AND INVOICING

The following table presents the RMA cost details for the CY 2009 ARB and California Registry verifications for San Diego Gas & Electric and Southern California Gas.

	ARB VERIFICATION	CALIF REGISTRY VERIFICATION	ARB & CALIF REGISTRY COMBINED, DISCOUNTED
San Diego Gas & Electric and Southern California Gas Company	\$49,500	\$19,000	\$55,000

The ARB and California Registry combined discounted fixed price includes all labor and travel costs. This price assumes the ARB and California Registry verifications will be conducted concurrently, and reflects a significant discount associated with the concurrent analysis for these verifications. The price assumes that all data required for the verifications are provided by the deadlines listed in Section 3 of this proposal.

RMA will issue four invoices for these verifications, each equal to 25% of the total costs. The first invoice will be issued upon completion of the kick off meeting, verification plan and initial data review. The second will be issued upon completion of the site visits. The third will be issued upon delivery of the ARB verification opinion. The fourth will be issued upon delivery of the California Registry verification opinion. Payment in full for each invoice is due within 30 days of the invoice date (net 30).

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 12

5. CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

RMA requests that any contract terms required by your company be provided to us for review prior to issuance of any Purchase Order or Contract for our services.

For California ARB verification work, RMA contracting procedures and GHG verification accreditation require that the following bulleted, italicized terms be incorporated into the purchase order or contract by reference to this proposal, or that substantially similar language be included in the purchase order or contract.

ACCESS TO TECHNICAL DATA, POINT OF CONTACT AND TERMINATION

- Client will submit its emissions report into the reporting program database, and provide RMA
 access to this report and supporting data.
- Client shall provide to RMA in a timely manner all data required by RMA to perform the
 verification. RMA's compliance with Reporting Program verification timelines is contingent
 upon-Client providing to RMA all data required by RMA to issue a positive verification
 opinion at least 90 days prior to the Reporting Program's verification deadline.
- Client will identify a single point of contact for verification activities who will work with RMA
 through the verification process, including participation in project kick-off and site visits, and
 who will be available for discussions about the inventory, including review of the Verification
 Report and Opinion.
- This Agreement may be terminated by either party with thirty days advance notice in writing to the other party. Upon termination, RMA will be compensated for those services which have been rendered to Client through the date of termination. If the Client terminates a contract for verification prior to issuance of a verification opinion, RMA will notify the Reporting Program of the termination and provide a brief summary to the Reporting Program of the status of verification results to date.

THIRD-PARTY OBSERVERS

The California ARB requires as part of contract provisions that the services contract or purchase order include a statement as listed below that acknowledges third-party observer access.

 Client will provide facility access to RMA staff and third party observers, if any, in accordance with the requirements of the reporting program.

In a limited number of verifications, third party observers from the California Air Resources Board, the California Registry, or the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) may choose to witness the RMA verification activities, for the purpose of monitoring the verification process. Third-party observers to the verification process will be subject to your company's confidentiality requirements.

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson
Southern California Gas Company

Page 13

LIMITS ON LIABILITY

- RMA agrees to defend, indemnify and save harmless Client, including all of its boards, departments, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers, against all claims, lawsuits (whether against RMA, Client or others), judgments, debts, demands and liability, including without limitation, those arising from injuries or death of persons and/or for damages to property, caused by the actions or omissions of RMA, and to the extent caused by the actions or omissions of RMA.
- Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Contract, neither party will be liable to the other for any loss of profit, loss of contract, loss of use, or any indirect, consequential, punitive, or special losses, damages, or expenses sustained by any person and caused by any act or omission or caused by any inaccuracy in any information or advice given in any way by or on behalf of the party. Client waives any claims for damages, whether direct or indirect, arising out of or in connection with the loss of emission-reduction credits, loss of credit value, or regulatory noncompliance.
- Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, RMA's liability to Client under this Agreement, whether under tort (including negligence), contract, strict liability, indemnity, or any other legal theory, is limited to the fee charged by RMA for the services.

6. APPEALS PROCESS, CONFIDENTIALITY AND INSURANCE

APPEALS, COMPLAINTS, AND ASSIGNED STAFF

RMA has a policy in place for handling appeals, complaints and requests to work with alternate RMA personnel. This policy describes the formal process for handling appeals and complaints, and is available upon request. Any disputes not resolved through these processes may be referred to the ARB.

CONFIDENTIALITY AND IMPARTIALITY

RMA understands the importance of impartiality in its verification activities. We have internal procedures in place to ensure the objectivity of verification activities and to manage conflict of interest according to the standards of the ARB.

RMA has internal confidentiality procedures in place that ensure we keep all client GHG data and information confidential, including not releasing any client GHG data or information to a third-party without prior written approval from our clients. In addition, our clients have the option to sign a Non-Disclosure Agreement with RMA.

April 29, 2010

Mr. Darrell Johnson Southern California Gas Company Page 14

INSURANCE

RMA will comply with all insurance requirements included in the signed verification services agreement.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to present this proposal. To initiate the verification work, contact me directly at (805) 730-1342 or by email to ivorjohn@RMAQ.com, or contact Rachel Pelc at (805) 979-9050 or email to rpelc@RMAQ.com. We are excited about a continuation of our work with Sempra and look forward to working again with Sempra staff.

Sincerely,

J. Ivor John

Senior Vice President



Climate Action | Clean Energy | Air Quality

200	DATE	
11/	12-16-05	

Hugo Mejia F99210

2200-2176

PURCHASE ORDER NO.

5660016549

Sempra Utilities c/o Southern California Gas Company Mail Stop MLGT 16G3 555 W. 5th Street

Los Angeles, CA 90013-1036

INVOICE #:

DATE: PAYMENT DUE: Project Code:

1/11/2010

12/11/2009

RMA-09139 R2

Sempra Utilities (SDGE &SCG): CCAR/ARB Ver CY 08

Invoice Payable To

For payment by mail: Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc. PO Box 2637 Santa Barbara, CA 93120

For overnight delivery of payment: Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc. 735 State Street, Suite 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5503 Federal Tax ID #77-0363194

RMA CONTACT	P.O. NUMBER	TERMS
Rachel Pelc	Agreement #5660016549 dated 09/11/2009	Net 30
Rachel Pelc	Agreement #5660016549 dated 09/11/2009	Net 30

Southern California Gas Company CCAR and Informal ARB Verification Services For Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reports, Calendar Year 2008	\$20,000
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
TOTAL DUE	\$20.000

Make check payable to Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc. If you have any questions concerning this invoice, call (805) 730-1338.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR BUSINESS

J. Ivor John Vice President 12/11/2009

Date

735 State St., Suite 407, Santa Barbara, CA 93101-5503 ph: 805.730.1338 fax: 805.730.1341 www.RMAQ.com

Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc.

Business Services for Innovative Technology & Air Quality Management

735 STATE STREET, SUITE 407 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101-5503 PHONE (805) 730-1338 FAX (805) 730-1341

E-MAIL: info@RMAQ.com

INVOICE #: RMA-08293R1

DATE: December 3, 2008 PAYMENT DUE: January 2, 2009

PROJECT CODE: GHG-IOU

Customer

Sempra Utilities c/o Southern California Gas Company Mail Stop MLGT 16G3 555 W. 5th Street Los Angeles, CA 90013-1036

Invoice Payable To

Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc. 735 State Street, Suite 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Federal Tax ID # 77-0363194

STATE OF THE STATE	Carrier State of Control of Manager Control of Control	A STATE WELLS
Rachel Pelc	Agreement #5660002949, Amendment #3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory Certification dated 9/10/08	Net 30
	Contacts: Darryl Johnson, Crystal Yancey-York	

greenhouse gas emission inventories for CY 2007. TOTAL DUE	\$28,000
This invoice is for verification (certification) services provided by Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc. through December 2008. The verification activities have been initiated and the data verification is in progress for the Southern California Gas Company and San Diego Gas & Electric	
VERIFICATION (CERTIFICATION) SERVICES FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS INVENTORIES, FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2007	\$28,000
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY	
	AMOUNT

NOTE OUR ADDRESS CHANGE --- SUITE # 407 --- IN YOUR RECORDS

Make all checks payable to: Ryerson, Master and Associates, Inc. If you have any questions concerning this invoice, call (805) 730-1338

THANK YOU FOR YOUR BUSINESS

Rachel Rela		December 3, 20	008 OK-V *
Rachel Pelc Lead Verifier	APPROVING THE PROPERTY OF THE	Date DATE 12-11-0	1
	Hugo Mejia	213 5819	MAIL LOC 671663
	FG91210002200	ACCT. NO. (220L)	
	2200 - 217La	FUNCHASE ORDERN	

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Activity Description:

This SCG cost center is designed to capture the cost of administrative activities provided by the executive vice president who oversees the following shared services departments:

Environmental Services; Real Estate, Land and Facilities; Emergency Preparedness and Safety; and Fleet Services. This cost center is used only when internal accounting controls require a SCG cost center. For example, this will be necessary if the vice president or assigned staff are SCG employees.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

This cost center, at this time, does not have any SCG employees assigned. The 2010 labor this cost center is zero.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

This cost center, at this time, does not have any SCG non labor expenses planned. This SCG cost center is used only when internal accounting controls require a SCG cost center for data processing. For example, this will be necessary for vendor payments that are scheduled using SCG purchase orders or are addressed specifically to SCG.

NSE - Base YR Rec

This work group does not anticipate non standard escalation.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)								
		Adjus	ted-Recorde	ed		Adju	sted-Fored	cast	
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
				Total Incurr	ed (100% l	_evel)			
Labor	67	18	24	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	5	15	7	2	3	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	72	33	31	2	3	0	0	0	
FTE	0.4	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					ations Out				
Labor	30	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	31	0	16	0	1	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					etained				
Labor	37	18	8	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	4	15	7	2	2	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	41	33	15	2	2	0	0	0	
FTE	0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
					cations In				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
			_		Expense		_		
Labor	37	18	8	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	4	15	7	2	2	0	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	41	33	15	2	2	0	0	0	
FTE	0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	3	0	3	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
100.00%	58.89%				59.23%	59.23%			
0.00%	30.73%				30.62%	30.62%			
0.00%	7.21%				7.02%	7.02%			
0.00%	3.17%				3.13%	3.13%			
0	2	0	2		0	0	0	0	
0	1	0	1		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	3	0	3	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	1	0	1		0	0	0	0	
0	2	0	2		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	2	0	2		0	0	0	0	

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Retained
Allocations In

Book Expense

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor			Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
59.23%	59.23%				59.23%	59.23%			
30.62%	30.62%				30.62%	30.62%			
7.02%	7.02%				7.02%	7.02%			
3.13%	3.13%				3.13%	3.13%			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from all operational cost centers under the organization of the Vice President of Environmental, Safety & Support Services.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from all operational cost centers under the organization of the Vice President of Environmental, Safety & Support Services.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from all operational cost centers under the organization of the Vice President of Environmental, Safety & Support Services.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Shared services allocation percentages were based on a collective roll-up of forecasted labor and non-labor spend and shared service allocations from all operational cost centers under the organization of the Vice President of Environmental, Safety & Support Services.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"										
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast			
		<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>		
Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	3	3	3	-3	-3	-3	0	0	0	
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total		3	3	3	-3	-3	-3	0	0	0	
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type				
2010	0	-3	0	-3	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
CC 2200-2197 Justification - Method - Review of historical spend										
2010 Total	0	-3	0	-3	0.0					
2011	0	-3	0	-3	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
CC 2200-2197 Justification - Method - Review of historical spend										
2011 Total	0	-3	0	-3	0.0					
2012	0	-3	0	-3	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
CC 2200-2197 Justification - Method - Review of historical spend										

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

Cicinination of Aujuste	d-Recorded (Incurred Co 2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*		,		,	,
Labor	51	194	207	13	0
Non-Labor	5	19	27	7	3
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	56	214	233	20	3
FTE	0.3	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	-181	-187	-13	0
Non-Labor	0	-6	-20	-4	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	-186	-207	-17	0
FTE	0.0	-1.0	-0.9	-0.2	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nor	minal \$)				
Labor	51	14	20	0	0
Non-Labor	5	14	7	2	3
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	56	27	27	2	3
FTE	0.3	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	9	2	3	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	9	2	3	0	0
FTE	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	7	1	1	0	0
Non-Labor	1	1	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	8	3	2	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	67	18	25	0	0
Non-Labor	6	15	7	2	3
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	73	32	32	2	3
FTE	0.4	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (0	00) "Incurred Costs	3"	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	-181	-187	-13	0
Non-Labor	0	-6	-20	-4	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	-186	-207	-17	0
FTE	0.0	-1.0	-0.9	-0.2	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006	-181	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to Co	C 2100-3588						109151311630
2006	0	-6	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to Co	C 2100-3588						109151328723
2006	0	0	0	-1.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to Co	C 2100-3588						109152213843
2006 Total	-181	-6	0	-1.0			
2007	-187	0	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to Co	C 2100-3588						109151416070
2007	0	-20	0	0.0	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to C	C 2100-3588						109151437070
2007	0	0	0	-0.9	1-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to Co	C 2100-3588						109152243360
2007 Total	-187	-20	0	-0.9			

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director

Cost Center: 2200-2197.000 - VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>ReflD</u>
2008	-13	0	0	0.0 1-	-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved to	2100-3588						109142739297
2008	0	-4	0	0.0 1-	-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved to	2100-3588						109142757983
2008	0	0	0	-0.1 1-	-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Moved to	CC 2100-3588						109142835720
2008	0	0	0	-0.1 1-	-Sided Adj	N/A	MHARPER20091
Move to C	C 2100-3588						109152314890
2008 Total	-13	-4	0	-0.2			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Activity Description:

The Environmental Strategy group manages the environmental component of SoCalGas' internal environmental governance and self-evaluation program – the Environmental & Safety Compliance Management Plan ("ESCMP"), and provides a number of other strategic functions. For example, the Environmental Strategy group develops, tracks and reports to management SoCalGas' environmental compliance metrics and goals. The group develops, manages and maintains environmental compliance policies, procedures and compliance tools; internal environmental communications; environmental training modules; and an internal environmental website as the repository for this information. In 2009, to achieve efficiencies and added effectiveness, the group assumed responsibility for the development, management and maintenance of safety training modules and the safety website. In 2010, the group assumed responsibility for monitoring, coordinating and tracking environmental regulatory developments and auditing environmental hazardous waste vendors.

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Labor for this cost center is zero-based using the 2009 year-end labor force and salaries for each individual employee and adjusting for any projected annual changes in staffing as further described in these work papers. A labor vacancy credit of 2.5% was removed to account for possible vacancies during employee transitions and retirements. A base-year forecast is the most appropriate forecasting methodology to support specific program expansion.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The non-labor forecast is based upon recorded 2009 spend, adding incremental upward pressure items and removing expense items that are not recurring in future years. A variety of federal and state initiatives, legislation and regulation are advancing on environmental issues, including but not limited to issues on greenhouse gases, air quality, cultural resources, natural resources, hazardous materials, and storm water that impact labor and non-labor. For this reason a base-year forecast is the most appropriate forecasting methodology to support specific program expansion.

NSE - Base YR Rec

This work group does not anticipate non standard escalation.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Summary of Results:

	In 2009\$ (000)							
		Adjus	ted-Record	ed		Adjı	ısted-Fore	cast
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
				Total Incurr	ed (100% L			
Labor	0	0	0	0	63	97	97	97
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	4	6	6	6
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	67	103	103	103
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.0
					ations Out			
Labor	0	0	0	0	26	40	40	40
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	3
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	28	43	43	43
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					tained			
Labor	0	0	0	0	37	57	57	57
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	3
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	39	60	60	60
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.0
					ations In			
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					Expense			
Labor	0	0	0	0	37	57	57	57
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	3
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	39	60	60	60
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU **CORP** Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In

Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	orded		2010 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
63	4	0	67	0.60	97	6	0	103	1.00	
59.47%	59.47%				59.00%	59.00%				
40.53%	40.53%				41.00%	41.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
37	2	0	39		57	3	0	60		
26	2	0	28		40	3	0	43		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
63	4	0	67	0.60	97	6	0	103	1.00	
26	2	0	28		40	3	0	43		
37	2	0	39	·	57	3	0	60	·	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
37	2	0	39		57	3	0	60		

Directly Retained Directly Allocated Subj. To % Alloc. % Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg \$ Allocation Retained SEU CORP Unreg **Total Incurred** Total Alloc. Out **Total Retained** Allocations In **Book Expense**

	2011 Adju	sted-Fore	cast		2012 Adjusted-Forecast				
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
97	6	0	103	1.00	97	6	0	103	1.00
59.00%	59.00%				59.00%	59.00%			
41.00%	41.00%				41.00%	41.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
57	3	0	60		57	3	0	60	
40	3	0	43		40	3	0	43	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
97	6	0	103	1.00	97	6	0	103	1.00
40	3	0	43		40	3	0	43	
57	3	0	60		57	3	0	60	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
57	3	0	60		57	3	0	60	

ENVIRONMENTAL

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL
Witness: Gomez, Lisa P
Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SC 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Shared services allocation percentages were determined by the manager's analysis of each employee's utility-specific workload within their cost center.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Forecast Summary:

	In 2009 \$(000) "Incurred Costs"									
Forecast Method Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast				
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>2011</u> <u>2012</u>			<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Labor	Base YR Rec	63	63	63	34	34	34	97	97	97
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	4	4	4	2	2	2	6	6	6
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	67	67	67	36	36	36	103	103	103
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010	0	2	0	2	0.0	1-Sided Adj
CC 2200 22	12 Justification	NII ootimoto	a basad an	annualization	of partial v	oor NIL for SCC

CC 2200-2312 Justification - NL estimates based on annualization of partial year NL for SCG Safety Training FTE. Method - 2009 NL spend annualized and adjusted for add'l travel responsibilities.

2010 34 0 0 34 0.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2312 Justification - SCG Safety Training Instructional Desgineer moved from Safety Dept to Env Dept. Training Development Team Method - 4 months of FTE @ \$105 annual salary = \$34K

2010 0 0 0 0.4 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2312 Justification - SCG Safety Training Instructional Desgineer moved from Safety Dept to Env Dept. Training Development Team.

2010 Total	34	2	0	36	0.4		
2011	0	2	0	2	0.0	1-Sided Adj	
	· ·	_	· ·	_	0.0		
CC 2200-231	2 Justification - I	NL estimate	s based on a	nnualization	of partial y	ear NL for SCG	
Safety Trainii	ng FTE. Method	- 2009 NL s	pend annuali	zed and adju	sted for a	dd'l travel	
responsibilitie	•			,			
•							
2011	34	0	0	34	0.0	1-Sided Adi	

CC 2200-2312 Justification - SCG Safety Training Instructional Desgineer moved from Safety Dept to Env Dept. Training Development Team. - Method - 4 months of FTE @ \$103 annual salary = \$34K

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

2012 Total

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

34

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2011	0	0	0	0	0.4 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2312 Justification - SCG Safety Training Instructional Desgineer moved from Safety Dept to Env Dept. Training Development Team.

2011 Total	34	2	0	36	0.4	
2012	0	2	0	2	0.0	1-Sided Adj
CC 2200-2312 Just Safety Training F7 responsibilities.				•	•	
2012	0	0	0	0	0.4	1-Sided Adj
CC 2200-2312 Just Dept to Env Dept.		•	· ·	tional Desgin	eer mo	oved from Safety
2012	34	0	0	34	0.0	1-Sided Adj
CC 2200-2312 Just Dept to Env Dept. salary = \$34K		•	J	•		•

36

0.4

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

eternination of Aujuste	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*	, , , ,		,	· · ·	
Labor	0	0	0	0	53
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	4
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	57
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (No	minal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	53
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	4
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	57
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	10
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	10
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cor	nstant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	63
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	4
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	67
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: A. Dir Environmental Services
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Services Director
Cost Center: 2200-2312.000 - E&S Training - SCG

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

		In Nominal \$ (00	00) "Incurred Costs	."	
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2200-2312.000

Non-Labor Adjustment for Instructional Designer CC2200-2312

0 12/11/09 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 150717 232.10 11/25/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2009 12/16/2	Cost element name	ıme	Name	Vendor Name	[Vendor	Doc Type	Per Year	Reference ar Doc No.		ValCOArCur Postg Date Doc. Date	Doc. Date
Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 011 2009 150717 232.10 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 292.60 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 150717 167.20 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 150717 167.20 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 150717 167.20 NEXTEL SA 009 2009 10048475 11.75 17.30 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367977 8.303 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 41.39 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 41.35 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 2009 5800380539 41.35 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924<	2200-2312 6130010 EMP TRVL- *Trip from 11/12/09 To 12/11/09 MEALS&TIP	*Trip from 11/12/0	19 To 12/11/09	Carolyn Johnson			112 200		239.98	12/16/2009	12/16/2009
Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 292.60 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 011 2009 150717 167.20 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 150494 1537.00 NEXTEL SA 009 2009 10048475 111.75 147.50 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367957 76.80 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800372597 76.80 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 006 2009 58003807987 76.80 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380589 41.39 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 2009 5800380589 41.35 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800380589 41.35 NEXTEL COMMUNIC	2200-2312 6130012 EMP TRVL-MILEAGE *Trip from 09/01/09 To 11/03/09	*Trip from 09/01/0	9 To 11/03/09	Carolyn Johnson			111 200	150717	232.10	11/25/2009	11/25/2009
Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 011 2009 150717 167.20 1/25/2009 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 38.01 12/16/2009 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 10065440 1,537.00 12/16/2009 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 860.00 12/16/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 5009 5800367951 76.80 12/16/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 5800367951 76.80 06/26/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 43.75 07/27/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 43.75 08/24/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800380539 41.35 11/23/2009	2200-2312 6130012 EMP TRVL-MILEAGE *Trip from 11/12/09 To 12/11/09	*Trip from 11/12/0		Carolyn Johnson	E32499 /		200	152193	292.60	12/16/2009	12/16/2009
Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 38.01 12/16/2009 Carolyn Johnson SA 012 2009 10065440 1,537.00 12/01/2009 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 16048475 11.75 09/01/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367951 76.80 12/16/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 006 2009 5800367957 83.03 06/28/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 43.75 07/27/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 43.75 08/24/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 2009 5800380538 41.39 10/22/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01 2009 5800380538 41.35 10/26/	6211470 MATL-PRINTED *Trip from 09/01/09 To 11/03/09 MATERLS	*Trip from 09/01/0!	9To 11/03/09	Carolyn Johnson			111 200	150717	167.20	11/25/2009	11/25/2009
SA 012 2009 10065440 1,537,00 12/01/2009 Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 162193 46.00 12/01/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367957 76.80 12/16/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367957 76.80 06/26/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 006 2009 5800367957 83.03 06/26/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800380518 43.75 07/27/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380518 43.75 08/34/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 580038058 41.39 09/24/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 580038058 41.35 10/26/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01 2009 <td>6213005 MATL-OFFICE *Trip from 11/12/09 To 12/11/09</td> <td>*Trip from 11/12/09</td> <td>To 12/11/09</td> <td>Carolyn Johnson</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>112 200</td> <td></td> <td>38.01</td> <td>12/16/2009</td> <td>12/16/2009</td>	6213005 MATL-OFFICE *Trip from 11/12/09 To 12/11/09	*Trip from 11/12/09	To 12/11/09	Carolyn Johnson			112 200		38.01	12/16/2009	12/16/2009
Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 850.00 17.75 99/01/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800387951 76.80 12/16/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800387977 83.03 06/28/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800387589 59.57 07/27/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 008 2009 5800380518 43.75 08/31/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380518 43.75 08/31/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01 2009 5800380589 41.39 10/26/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01 2009 5800380589 41.35 11/23/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01 2009 5800389207 41.35 11/228/2009	6213030 MATL-SOFTWARE C8798	C8798			0,		112 200	10065440	1,537.00	12/01/2009	12/28/2009
Carolyn Johnson E32499 AB 012 2009 152193 850.00 12/16/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367951 76.80 06/28/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800372597 83.57 07/27/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380518 43.75 08/31/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380518 43.75 08/31/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380539 41.39 09/24/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800380789 41.39 10/26/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800380789 41.35 11/23/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01 2009 580038078 41.35 11/23/2009 NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 01	2200-2312 6213180 MATL-COMPUTR USD-C926709 HARDWAR	USD-C926709			0,		00 200	10048475		09/01/2009	09/28/2009
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 005 2009 5800367957 76.80 05/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 006 2009 5800367977 83.03 06/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800372597 59.57 07/27/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 008 2009 5800380518 43.75 08/31/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380539 41.39 09/24/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800384787 41.35 10/26/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389206 41.35 10/26/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389207 41.35 11/23/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389217 41.29 11/23/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 5800389217 41.29 11/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 2800389217 41.29 11/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 12/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 1	2200-2312 6220640 SRV-TRNG & SEM IN *Trip from 11/12/09 To 12/11/09	*Trip from 11/12/09 T	o 12/11/09	Carolyn Johnson	E32499 /		112 200	152193	850.00	12/16/2009	12/16/2009
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 006 2009 5800367977 83.03 06/26/2009	6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(05/09)** JOHNSON CAROLYN M	909-772-3649**(05/09 JOHNSON CAROLYN)** I M	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			005 200	9 58003679		05/28/2009	05/15/2009
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 007 2009 5800372597 59.57 07/27/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 008 2009 5800380518 43.75 08/31/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 009 5800380539 41.39 09/24/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800384787 41.35 10/26/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389206 41.35 11/23/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389217 41.35 11/23/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 5800399217 41.29 12/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 2800399217 41.29 12/28/2009 COMMUNICATIONS 1	6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(06/09)** JOHNSON CAROLYN M	909-772-3649**(06/09) JOHNSON CAROLYN	w	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			006 200			06/26/2009	06/15/2009
NEXTEL OOB COOMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC OOB 2009 5800380518 43.75 OB/31/2009 OOMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC OO9 2009 5800380539 41.39 OB/24/2009 OOMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC O10 2009 5800384787 41.35 OO/26/2009 OOMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC O11 2009 5800389206 41.35 OO/26/2009 OOMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC O12 2009 5800399217 41.29 12/28/2009 OOMMUNICATIONS OOMMUNICATI	6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(07/09)**	909-772-3649**(07/09 JOHNSON CAROLYN)** M	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			007 200			07/27/2009	07/15/2009
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800380539 41.39 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800384787 41.35 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389206 41.35 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 5800399217 41.29	ELLULAR S	909-772-3649**(08/09 JOHNSON CAROLYN)** M	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			008 200			08/31/2009	08/15/2009
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 010 2009 5800384787 41.35 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800399217 41.29 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 5800399217 41.29 COMMUNICATIONS COMMUN	6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(09/09)**	909-772-3649**(09/09)	9)** V M	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			909 200	9 58003805		09/24/2009	09/15/2009
NEXTEL OCOMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 011 2009 5800389206 41.35 COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 5800399217 41.29	6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(10/09)**	909-772-3649**(10/09 JOHNSON CAROLYN)** N M	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			10 200	9 58003847		10/26/2009	10/15/2009
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS 16924 KC 012 2009 5800399217 41.29	2200-2312 6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(11/09)** JOHNSON CAROLYN M	909-772-3649**(11/0 JOHNSON CAROLY	 N M	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			111 200	9 58003892		11/23/2009	11/15/2009
	2200-2312 6320002 TELE-CELLULAR 909-772-3649**(12/09)** JOHNSON CAROLYN M	909-772-3649**(12/ JOHNSON CAROL	W N∀	NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS			112 200	9 58003992	17 41.29	12/28/2009	12/15/2009

	Annualized	5 additional trips to SD
3897.17	\$ 1,299.06	\$ 700.00
Total	4 months	

C Johnson NL 2200-2312 2009 NL annualization plus 5 add'l trips to SD region

Full Staffing Labor Increase Worksheet

Environmental Services Full Staffing Review - 2009 vs 2010

Sum of SumOf	Amount		Fiscal_Year		Dollars	Dollars wV&S	FTE
CE_Group2		GRC CC	2009	2010	Change	Change	Change
Salary	NSS	2100-0190	393,035	486,514	93,479	107,500	1.0
	USS	2100-0206	554,520	594,421	39,901	45,886	0.5
		2100-0632	551,325	609,941	58,616	67,408	0.6
		2100-3022	1,228,942	1,379,461	150,519	173,097	2.0
		2100-3035	570,846	509,829	(61,017)	(70,169)	(1.0)
		2100-3282	363,728	518,759	155,031	178,285	2.0
		2100-3589	186,621	239,187	52,566	60,451	0.2
		2200-2176	1,082,876	1,205,589	122,713	141,120	1.4
		2200-2312	53,387	82,881	29,494	33,918	0.4
	USS Tota	ı	4,592,244	5,140,068	547,824	629,997	6.1
Salary Total			4,985,280	5,626,582	641,302	737,498	7.1

Southern California Gas Company
Test Year 2012 GRC - APP

Shared Services Workpapers

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000

Summary for Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

		In 2009\$ (000) "Boo	ok Expense"	
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast	
	2009	2010	2011	2012
Labor	1,318	1,383	1,398	1,467
Non-Labor	137	161	507	655
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	1,455	1,544	1,905	2,122
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Cost Centers belonging to this Category: 2200-8918.000 USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL Labor 1,318 1,383 1,467 1,398 Non-Labor 137 161 655 507 NSE 0 0 0 0 Total 1,455 1,544 2,122 1,905 FTE 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Activity Description:

Forecast Methodology:

Labor - Base YR Rec

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

NSE - Base YR Rec

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Summary of Results:

				In 200	09\$ (000)						
		Adjus	sted-Record			Adj	usted-Fore	cast			
Years	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012			
				Total Incur	red (100% l	Level)					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					ations Out						
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Retained										
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					cations In						
Labor	1,093	1,521	1,230	1,311	1,318	1,383	1,398	1,467			
Non-Labor	317	681	172	119	137	161	507	655			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,410	2,202	1,402	1,430	1,455	1,544	1,905	2,122			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
					k Expense						
Labor	1,093	1,521	1,230	1,311	1,318	1,383	1,398	1,467			
Non-Labor	317	681	172	119	137	161	507	655			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,410	2,202	1,402	1,430	1,455	1,544	1,905	2,122			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Calculation of Book Expense:

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Retained
Allocations In
Book Expense

	2009 Adju	sted-Reco	rded			2010 Adjı	usted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,318	137	0	1,455		1,383	161	0	1,544	
1,318	137	0	1,455		1,383	161	0	1,544	

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
\$ Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg
Total Incurred
Total Retained
Allocations In

Book Expense

	2011 Adj u	sted-Fore	cast			2012 Adjւ	sted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
1,398	507	0	1,905		1,467	655	0	2,122	
1,398	507	0	1,905		1,467	655	0	2,122	

Area: **ENVIRONMENTAL** Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category:

B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub:

1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center:

2200-8918 000 LISS Billed_to_TOTAL 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2009

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2010

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2011

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2012

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Forecast Summary:

				In 20	09 \$(000) "lı	ncurred Co	osts"			
Forecas	t Method	Bas	e Forecas	t	Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjust	ed-Foreca	ıst
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012
Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	•	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

ecast Adjustment D	etails:					
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj_Type
2010 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2011 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	
2012 Total	0	0	0	0	0.0	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed to CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed for ENVIRONMENTAL

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

ctermination of Aujustea	2005 (\$000)	2006 (\$000)	2007 (\$000)	2008 (\$000)	2009 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*	(,,,,,	(,,,,,	((111)	((,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	((,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$)	**				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nom	ninal \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vacation & Sick (Nomina	l \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Escalation to 2009\$					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Cons	stant 2009\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Category: B. USS Billed-in from SDG&E

Category-Sub: 1. USS Billed_to_CCTR for Environmental

Cost Center: 2200-8918.000 - USS Billed_for ENVIRONMENTAL

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

	In Nominal \$ (000) "Incurred Costs"						
Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
Labor	0	0	0	0	0		
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	0		
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2005 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2006 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2007 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2008 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			

Area: ESEV - ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Gomez, Lisa P

Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers

Cost Center	Sub	<u>Description</u>
2200-0733	000	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST
2200-1181	000	SOCAL SITE ASSESSMENT & MITIG CROP (TOWN
2200-2013	000	ENVIRONMENTAL FEES-SOCAL