QUESTION 1:

Please confirm that SoCalGas called an OFO in Cycle 3 on February 11, 2012.

RESPONSE 1:

QUESTION 2:

Please confirm that the OFO was called on the basis of total excess "scheduled quantities" of approximately 36 MMcf.

RESPONSE 2:

QUESTION 3:

Please confirm that SoCalGas reduced the storage injection capacity from the Cycle 2 level of 425 MMcf to 375 MMcf for Cycle 3 on February 11, 2012.

RESPONSE 3:

QUESTION 4:

Please confirm that SoCalGas held the storage injection capacity at 375 MMcf through Cycle 3 and Cycle 4 on February 11, 2012.

RESPONSE 4:

Yes, the maintenance update showing the maintenance completed was posted on 2/11/12 at 9:02:59 PM.

QUESTION 5:

Please confirm that for Cycle 1, on February 12, 2012, SoCalGas increased the storage injection capacity to 425 MMcf.

RESPONSE 5:

QUESTION 6:

Please explain why SoCalGas reduced the storage injection capacity by 50 MMcf for two cycles on February 11, 2012.

RESPONSE 6:

Maintenance updated posted on 2/10/12 at 5:13 PM reduced the storage injection capacity by 50 MMcf due to force majeure at Honor Rancho's main unit #2, requiring a head change out.

QUESTION 7:

Please explain why, after reducing the storage injection capacity by 50 MMcf for two cycles, SoCalGas restored the storage injection capacity for the first cycle on February 12, 2012.

RESPONSE 7:

While Cycle 3 for 2/11 and Cycle 1 for 2/12 are approved at the same time, the injection capacity was increased for Cycle 1 for 2/12 in anticipation of the maintenance work being completed the night of 2/11. The maintenance posting was updated at 9:02 PM to reflect the completion of maintenance. Had work to the unit exceeded the estimated time, a revised posting would have been made to reflect a new end date.

QUESTION 8:

If SoCalGas had not reduced the storage injection capacity by 50 MMcf for the two cycles on February 11, 2012, please explain whether, and if so why, SoCalGas would have called an OFO.

RESPONSE 8:

No.