

**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)  
(A.15-09-013)  
(DATA REQUEST ED-05)  
Date Requested: August 8, 2017  
Date Responded: August 22, 2017**

---

**Regarding Draft RFP/RFO Attachment 1**

**QUESTION 1:**

- a. Please define the purpose of Attachment 1 to the draft RFP/RFO. What is the title of Attachment 1 (e.g., Terms Sheet?) and what type of information would be requested?
- b. Please provide a complete draft of Attachment 1 to the draft RFP/RFO. Let me know how long it will take to complete the draft.

**RESPONSE 1:**

- a. Attachment 1 below provides a format for interested parties to follow in response to the draft Request for Offers (RFO) submitted on July 15, 2016 by SDG&E and SoCalGas (Applicants) at Energy Division's request (Draft July 15 RFO). Applicants submitted the Draft July 15 RFO seeking both Energy Division input on the desired RFO terms, and Commission authorization to issue such an RFO. To date, Applicants have not received input from Energy Division.

Issue No. 3 of the November 4, 2016 Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner (Scoping Memo) sought party testimony regarding: "How should the quantity of natural gas supply and amount of pipeline capacity that could be available for firm delivery (e.g., imports) to the Applicants' system at Otay Mesa be reasonably estimated/determined, over what period of time from which suppliers, and pipeline capacity owners, and at what indicative price and price ranges?" Applicants' February 21, 2017 Supplemental Testimony addressed potential Otay Mesa alternatives and requested that the Commission identify the "need to be met" so as to further inform evaluation of any potentially feasible Otay Mesa alternatives. The Commission is expected to issue a Phase 1 Decision that will provide guidance on this issue. Until such guidance is provided, Applicants do not believe that a useful and credible RFO can be developed.

Among the issues on which the Commission's guidance is needed are:

- 1) What volume of gas should be available at the Otay Mesa receipt point when needed? Should the volume have the comparable benefits of proposed Line 3602, be sufficient to replace Line 3010's capacity if it suffers an outage, match the existing Otay Mesa receipt point capacity of 400 MMcfd, or be something less?
- 2) How quickly should gas be available at the Otay Mesa receipt point? If Line 3010 or the Moreno Compressor Station suffer an outage, gas pressure will begin to

**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)  
(A.15-09-013)  
(DATA REQUEST ED-05)**

**Date Requested: August 8, 2017  
Date Responded: August 22, 2017**

---

drop as gas in the SDG&E system is consumed by customers. If gas is not delivered to SDG&E's system soon enough, curtailments will be necessary. Curtailments of residential service will result in a lengthy process to restore service, as discussed in SDGE-05 Prepared Direct Testimony of Jani Kikuts.

- 3) What should the term of a contract to deliver gas to the Otay Mesa receipt point be? Line 3602 is expected to transport gas for at least 100 years, and provide benefits to ratepayers during that time. If an Otay Mesa contract is to substitute for proposed Line 3602, what is the initial and any subsequent terms of such a contract?
- 4) Presumably, to ensure that gas is available at the Otay Mesa receipt point when needed, the contracting entity would need to have firm capacity rights on the pipelines required to deliver such gas. However, the Commission needs to confirm this point.

Following receipt of the Commission's Phase 1 Decision, Applicants hope to be able to provide a draft RFO that meets Energy Division's and the Commission's interests, assuming there is an interest in issuing such an RFO. Attachment 1 to such an RFO provides both basic information about the responding party and information responsive to the terms of the RFO. Therefore, until an RFO is finalized, Attachment 1 cannot be finalized. However, to provide Energy Division with an understanding of the type of information sought in an Attachment 1, Applicants provide a draft Attachment 1 below for the Draft July 15 RFO. Like the Draft July 15 RFO, the draft Attachment 1 does not address critical issues on which the Commission's guidance is required in order to design a useful and credible RFO.

- b. See below for an example of Attachment 1 that would accompany the Draft July 15 RFO.

**SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
PIPELINE SAFETY & RELIABILITY PROJECT (PSRP)**

**(A.15-09-013)**

**(DATA REQUEST ED-05)**

**Date Requested: August 8, 2017**

**Date Responded: August 22, 2017**

ATTACHMENT 1

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY  
SOUTHERN SYSTEM RELIABILITY

Due by 5:00 PM Pacific Time on (day/date)

Submit to (email address for CEH contact)

Company Name	
Address	
Contact Person, Job Title	
Phone No.	
Email Address	
Service offered	
Pipeline receipt point	
Pipeline delivery point (Otay Mesa)	
Quantity (Dth/day)	
Term	
Price	
Source of incremental gas (supply basin) if applicable	
Transport rights to SDG&E at Otay Mesa (Pipeline, Capacity, Firmness, Path, Term)	
Other Provisions	