# APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION: 17.1:**

## Regarding Applicants' response to TURN-SCGC-010

**17.1.** Does the 55 feet of criteria mileage described in the response to Q.10.2.3.1 correspond to the vertical blue line in Figure 3 at WP-III-A357

### RESPONSE 17.1:

# APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

### **QUESTION 17.2:**

**17.2.** If the answer to the previous question is "no," please identify what part of Line 45-120 shown in Figure 3 corresponds to the 55 feet of criteria mileage.

### **RESPONSE 17.2:**

APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

### **QUESTION 17.3:**

**17.3.** Does the \$857,395 cost of replacing Line 45-120XO1 shown in Table 4 on WP-III-A354 include only the cost of replacing the 57 feet of pipe that as of the end of 2013 connected Line 85 to Line 45-120?

### **RESPONSE 17.3:**

# APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION 17.4:**

**17.4.** If the answer to the previous question is "no," please explain in detail what portions of Line 45-120XO1 are included as part of the \$857,395 replacement cost figure.

### **RESPONSE 17.4:**

APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION 17.5**

**17.5.** Does the \$6,418,206 cost of replacing Line 45-120 Section 1 include the cost of abandoning the 46 feet of Line 45-120XO1 and replacing that pipe with the "S-curve" structure described on WP-III-A359?

### **RESPONSE 17.5:**

# APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION 17.6:**

**17.6.** If the answer to the previous question is "no":

**17.6.1.** Please explain in detail what portions of Line 45-120 are included as part of the \$6,418,206 replacement cost.

### **RESPONSE 17.6.1:**

Not applicable.

**17.6.2.** Please identify the cost associated with abandoning the 46 feet of Line 45-120XO1 and replacing that pipe with the "S-curve" structure described on WP-III-A359.

### **RESPONSE 17.6.2:**

Not applicable.

**17.6.3.** Please state how SoCalGas proposes to recover this cost.

#### **RESPONSE 17.6.3:**

# APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION 17.7:**

**17.7.** Does SoCalGas expect to include the cost of the Line 85 replacement project in a subsequent reasonableness review application?

## **RESPONSE 17.7**:

Yes

APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION 17.8:**

**17.8.** If the answer to the previous question is "yes," does SoCalGas expect that the cost of abandoning the remainder of Line 45-120XO1, adding the new valve and replacing the pipe between Line 45-120 and Line 85 would be included as part of the cost of the Line 85 replacement project?

### **RESPONSE 17.8:**

APPLICATION TO RECOVER COSTS RECORDED IN THE PIPELINE SAFETY AND RELIABILITY MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS, THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT EXPENSE BALANCING ACCOUNTS, AND THE SAFETY ENHANCEMENT CAPITAL COST BALANCING ACCOUNTS (A.16-09-005)

(DATA REQUEST TURN-SCGC-17)

Date Requested: August 14, 2017 Date Responded: August 28, 2017

## **QUESTION 17.9:**

**17.9.** If the answer to the previous question is "no," please identify the amount of cost associated with abandoning the remainder of Line 45-120XO1, adding the new valve and replacing the pipe between Line 45-120 and Line 85 and explain where SoCalGas has proposed to recovery these costs.

## RESPONSE 17.9: