

**ORA DATA REQUEST  
ORA-SCG-011-TXB  
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008  
SOCALGAS RESPONSE  
DATE RECEIVED: NOVEMBER 9, 2017  
DATE RESPONDED: NOVEMBER 30, 2017**

**Exhibit Reference:** SCG-03/SDG&E-03

**SCG Witness:** Snyder & Clark

**Subject:** Fueling Our Future (FOF) Policy/SCG

**Please provide the following:**

1. Referring to Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-7, ln. 5, please provide a complete list in Excel format of all “approved ideas”. For each approved idea, the list should include the following: (a) a summary of the idea, (b) its proposed costs, benefits and net savings for TY 2019 and (c) expected completion date.

**SoCalGas Response 1:**

Please refer to the attachment ORA-SCG-011-TXB Q1 Attachment.

**ORA DATA REQUEST  
ORA-SCG-011-TXB  
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008  
SOCALGAS RESPONSE  
DATE RECEIVED: NOVEMBER 9, 2017  
DATE RESPONDED: NOVEMBER 27, 2017**

**Exhibit Reference:** SCG-03/SDG&E-03

**SCG Witness:** Snyder & Clark

**Subject:** Fueling Our Future (FOF) Policy/SCG

**Please provide the following:**

1. Referring to Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-7, ln. 5, please provide a complete list in Excel format of all “approved ideas”. For each approved idea, the list should include the following: (a) a summary of the idea, (b) its proposed costs, benefits and net savings for TY 2019 and (c) expected completion date.

**SoCalGas Response 1:**

This response is not ready yet. Per email exchange with Mr. Clayton Tang dated November 27, 2017, the file will be provided on or before November 30, 2017.

**ORA DATA REQUEST  
 ORA-SCG-011-TXB  
 SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008  
 SOCALGAS RESPONSE  
 DATE RECEIVED: NOVEMBER 9, 2017  
 DATE RESPONDED: NOVEMBER 27, 2017**

2. Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-8, refers to the testimony of Debbie Robinson (Ex. SCG-30/SDG&E-28). While Table HS/RC-1 shows proposed savings of \$1.676 million, there does not appear to be any Fueling Our Future (FOF) discussion in Ms. Robinson’s testimony. Please provide a citation or testimony supporting Ms. Robinson’s proposed FOF savings.

**SoCalGas Response 2:**

The discussion of the FOF savings of \$1.676 million shown in Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-8 are discussed in the testimony of Debbie Robinson (Ex. SCG-30/SDG&E-28) and related workpapers as follows.

<b>Benefit Type</b>	<b>FOF Savings \$ in millions</b>	<b>Testimony Page Reference (Ex. SCG-30/SDG&amp;E 28)</b>	<b>Workpaper Page Reference (Ex. SCG-30-WP)</b>
Medical	\$1.432	p. DSR-26, footnote 20	41 and 53
Dental	\$0.203		58 and 65
Vision	\$0.020		68 and 75
Wellness	\$0.021	n/a	78 and 83
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1.676</b>		

**ORA DATA REQUEST  
 ORA-SCG-011-TXB  
 SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008  
 SOCALGAS RESPONSE  
 DATE RECEIVED: NOVEMBER 9, 2017  
 DATE RESPONDED: NOVEMBER 27, 2017**

3. Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-8 refers to the testimony of Mia DeMontigny (Ex. SCG-28). While Table HS/RC-1 shows proposed savings of \$3.606 million, Ms. DeMontigny’s testimony states on p. MLD-3, ln. 22 that the proposed FOF savings for SCG are \$3.380 million. Please reconcile these figures and provide supporting workpapers.

**SoCalGas Response 3:**

The difference between the two tables is that the figures in Ms. DeMontigny’s testimony are base costs while the figure referencing this chapter in Table HS/RC-1 is reflective of cost savings that had been escalated to 2019 dollars.

The dollar values in the Corporate Center – General Administration chapter (Chapters SCG-28 and SDG&E-26) are built using direct costs but then, unlike the majority of the chapters in Application 17-10-007/008, they are escalated within the Chapter workpapers using escalation rates developed in the testimony of Scott Wilder (Chapters SCG-40/SDG&E-39). Please see reference to Ms. DeMontigny’s testimony at page MLD-15. Most cost values in other chapters of the Application are created at the direct cost level, in constant 2016 dollars, but are escalated later in the process in the RO Model (See Khai Nguyen SCG-43/SDG&E-42) using escalation rates developed in the testimony of Scott Wilder (Chapters SCG-40/SDG&E-39). Therefore, what p. MLD-3 reflects as \$3.380 million is the same, but in constant 2016\$ costs as what is shown in Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03 as \$3.606 million. In order to correct for this, Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03 Table HS/RC-1 will be updated at the earliest opportunity to be consistent with all other dollars presented in this table.

Please see below for a reconciliation of those costs.

SoCalGas Allocation		
Base Costs	Escalation Rate	Escalated Costs
(1,429) Labor	1.0849	(1,550)
(1,951) Non-Labor	1.0534	(2,056)
(3,380)		(3,606)

Escalation rates can be found in workpaper SCG-28/SDG&E-26-WP on page 426.

**ORA DATA REQUEST  
ORA-SCG-011-TXB  
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008  
SOCALGAS RESPONSE  
DATE RECEIVED: NOVEMBER 9, 2017  
DATE RESPONDED: NOVEMBER 27, 2017**

4. Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-8 refers to the testimony of Mike Baldwin (Ex. SCG-19). While Table HS/RC-1 shows proposed savings of \$9.347 million, Mr. Baldwin's testimony states on p. MHB-3, Table MB-4 that the proposed FOF savings for SCG are \$9.565 million. Please reconcile these figures and provide supporting workpapers.

**SoCalGas Response 4:**

During final review of Mr. Baldwin's testimony and workpapers, it was discovered that the costs to achieve the projected FOF savings in the Customer Contact Center workpaper 200000.000 were double counted. By removing the \$0.218 million expense, the ongoing benefits increase from \$9.347 million to \$9.565 million. The attachment provided in response to question 1 includes the projected costs and savings for the FOF initiatives.

In order to correct for this, Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03 Table HS/RC-1 will be updated at the earliest opportunity to be consistent with Ex. SCG-19 Table MB-4.

**ORA DATA REQUEST  
ORA-SCG-011-TXB  
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008  
SOCALGAS RESPONSE  
DATE RECEIVED: NOVEMBER 9, 2017  
DATE RESPONDED: NOVEMBER 27, 2017**

5. Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-8 refers to the testimony of Darrell Johnson (Ex. SCG-25). While Table HS/RC-1 shows no proposed savings, Mr. Johnson's testimony states on p. DJ-4, Table 3 that the proposed FOF savings for SCG are \$0.096 million. Please reconcile these figures and provide supporting workpapers.

**SoCalGas Response 5:**

Table 3 on p. DJ-4 of Ex. SCG-25 accurately reflects the forecasted SoCalGas Environmental Services savings of \$0.096 million. Table HS/RC-1 in Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03, p. HDS/RC-8 will be updated to reflect what is represented in Table 3 of Ex. SCG-25. In the course of responding to this data request, it was also determined that the accompanying workpapers (2EV000.000 in Ex SCG-25-WP) and the associated revenue requirement forecast for SoCalGas Environmental Services only account for FoF savings of \$0.079 million, which is \$0.017 million less than what is represented in Table 3 of Ex. SCG-25. Therefore, the Non-Shared Service forecast for SoCalGas Environmental Services is \$0.017 million higher than should be reflected. SoCalGas Environmental Services Testimony and Workpapers will be updated for an incremental reduction of \$0.017 million and the updated forecast will be reflected in the Results of Operations model. Additionally, Ex. SCG-03/SDG&E-03 Table HS/RC-1 will be updated at the earliest opportunity to be consistent with Ex. SCG-25 Table 3.