

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY &
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AUTHORITY TO REVISE THEIR
NATURAL GAS RATES AND IMPLEMENT STORAGE PROPOSALS EFFECTIVE
JANUARY 1, 2020 IN THE TRIENNIAL COST ALLOCATION PROCEEDING**

(A.18-07-024)

(DATA REQUEST CAL ADVOCATES-DR-025)

DATA RECEIVED: 12-14-18

DATE RESPONDED: 01-09-19

FOLLOW-UP RESPONSE: 02-01-19

QUESTION 4:

In response to DR 014 Q1(c)¹, the Applicants state that “The 20th percentile line length was used instead of the 10th or 20th percentile of respective distributions, or the average cost for the bottom 10% or 20%, because the cost distribution was not available when the study was prepared.” It has been six (6) months since the Application was filed.

- a) Please state whether the cost distribution referenced in this response is now available. If it is not currently available, when does the Applicants project it will become available? Please send the Public Advocates Office an electronic copy when the cost distribution referenced in the response becomes available.
- b) Please state whether an update with respect to this aspect on the use of “the 20th percentile line length instead of the 10th or 20th percentile of respective distributions, or the average cost for the bottom 10% or 20%, because the cost distribution was not available when the study was prepared” could be easily performed when the cost distribution is available?

FOLLOW-UP RESPONSE 4:

- a) Attached is SoCalGas’s response to this question in the form of a study analysis using the cost distribution information for the average cost for the bottom 20%. However, please note that SoCalGas maintains that its original study results are reasonable because they were produced under a reasonable approach, based on SoCalGas’s understanding of D.17-09-035, as discussed on page 8, Chapter 12 (Chaudhury).



- b) See a).

¹ Applicants believe the question intended to refer to DR 014 Q1(c).