Exhibit No.:	A.06-08-026 Yu Kai Chen	
California Gas Co Gas & Electric Co California Edison	e Application of Southern mpany (U 904 G), San Diego mpany (U 902 M) and Southern Company (U 338 E) for Approval ural Gas Operations and Service)	A.06-08-026
	PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL T	ESTIMONY
	OF YU KAI CHEN	
	SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC	COMPANY
	AND	
	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS	COMPANY
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES OF THE STATE OF CALIFO January 19, 2007	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2		<u>Page</u>	
3	A.	ACTUAL SCALED LONG-RUN MARGINAL COST1	
4	В.	RATE IMPACTS 1	
5	1.	Core Storage1	
6	2.	Other Rate Impacts2	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2425			
26			
27			
28			

PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF YU KAI CHEN

My name is Yu Kai Chen. I have previously submitted testimony in this proceeding.

My testimony is in response to the Assigned Commissioner's ruling for Application A.06-08-026, which ordered SDG&E/SoCalGas to file supplemental testimony on several issues. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to: (a) provide actual scaled long-run marginal cost for the proposed unbundled storage service; and (b) identify the potential rate impacts from changes that will result from the combined portfolio proposal.

A. ACTUAL SCALED LONG-RUN MARGINAL COST

Assuming the current SoCalGas EPMC Scaling Factor of 1.90,¹ the actual scaled long-run marginal cost is \$0.38 per Mcf for inventory, \$35.40 per Mcfd for injection capacity, \$0.02 per dth for injection variable, \$20.33 per Mcfd for withdrawal capacity, and \$0.03 per dth for withdrawal variable. Under these actual scaled long-run marginal costs, the total proposed unbundled storage service is \$36.3 million. The actual fully allocated scaled long-run marginal cost, which includes margin-related marketing costs and uncollectibles, is \$36.5 million. The SoCalGas long-run marginal costs and allocations were adopted in the SoCalGas BCAP decision, D.00-04-060. Long-run marginal costs and allocations will be updated in the next BCAP.

B. RATE IMPACTS

1. Core Storage

The current total core storage cost in SoCalGas rates is \$79.1 million (a core average of 2.3 cents per therm). Under the core portfolio consolidation proposal, SoCalGas core customers will pay \$72.8 million in storage costs (a core average of 2.1 cents per therm). This is a \$6.3 million (a core average of 0.2 cent per therm), or 8% decrease in storage costs.

The estimated storage cost in SDG&E rates in 2006 is \$14.6 million (a core average of 3.2 cents per therm). Under the proposal, SDG&E core customers will pay \$9.7 million (a core

¹ The SoCalGas EPMC Scaling Factor for Unbundled Non-Core Storage effective January 1, 2007 is 1.90.

average of 2.1 cents per therm) in storage costs. This is a \$4.9 million (a core average of 1.1 cent per therm), or 34% decrease in storage costs.

2. Other Rate Impacts

As described in my Prepared Direct Testimony there will be some minimal rate impacts to the new combined core portfolio as a result of combining the utilities' core assets.

Interstate pipeline demand charges (IPDC) will be recovered through an equal cent per therm (ECPT) basis for the combined portfolio. SDG&E will recover approximately \$15.0 million in IPDC charges compared to \$16.5 million currently and SoCalGas will recover \$109.6 million versus \$108.1 million today.

Under the combined portfolio, carrying costs of gas in storage inventory will be recovered through the procurement charge on an ECPT basis, resulting in \$1.753 million recovered for SoCalGas and \$0.239 million for SDG&E.² SoCalGas currently recovers \$1.636 million compared to \$0.356 million for SDG&E.

Lastly, relative to brokerage fees, SoCalGas and SDG&E will now recover a fee of 0.1845 cents per therm through the procurement charge on an ECPT basis. This is a change from the current brokerage fee of 0.0922 cents per therm for SDG&E and 0.1971 cents per therm for SoCalGas customers (both fees exclude FF&U).

Each of the rate impacts discussed herein are included in the table presented on page 5 of my Direct Testimony which illustrates the overall average rate impact of the utilities combined portfolio proposal.

This concludes my supplemental testimony.

² Both amounts exclude FF&U.