

Application No: A.11-11-002
Exhibit No.: _____
Witness: Bruce M. Wetzel

)
In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas &)
Electric Company (U 902 G) and Southern California)
Gas Company (U 904 G) for Authority to Revise)
Their Rates Effective January 1, 2013, in Their)
Triennial Cost Allocation Proceeding)
_____)

A.11-11-002
(Filed November 1, 2011)

UPDATED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF BRUCE M. WETZEL
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
AND
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

June 1, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| I. | QUALIFICATIONS..... | 1 |
| II. | PURPOSE | 1 |
| III. | SOCALGAS' NONCORE GAS DEMAND FORECASTS | 2 |
| | A. Introduction..... | 2 |
| | B. SoCalGas' Noncore Customer Segment Demand | 3 |
| | 1. Commercial..... | 3 |
| | 2. Industrial | 4 |
| | 3. Electric Power Generation | 5 |
| | 4. Enhanced Oil Recovery-Cogeneration and Steaming..... | 6 |
| | 5. ECOGAS (Mexicali)..... | 6 |
| | 6. Wholesale..... | 6 |
| IV. | SOCALGAS CONSOLIDATED GAS DEMAND FORECASTS..... | 7 |
| | A. Introduction..... | 7 |
| | B. Temperature Assumptions (SoCalGas) | 7 |
| | C. SoCalGas' Peak Day Temperature Designs | 9 |
| | D. Consolidated Gas Demand for Average Year and Cold Year | 10 |
| | E. Consolidated Peak Day Gas Demand..... | 11 |
| | F. Consolidated Peak Month Gas Demand..... | 12 |
| V. | SDG&E'S NONCORE GAS DEMAND FORECASTS | 13 |
| | A. SDG&E's Noncore Gas Demand | 13 |
| | 1. Noncore Commercial and Industrial | 14 |
| | 2. Electric Power Generation | 14 |
| VI. | SDG&E CONSOLIDATED GAS DEMAND FORECASTS | 14 |
| | A. Introduction..... | 14 |
| | B. Temperature Assumptions (SDG&E)..... | 15 |
| | C. SDG&E's Peak Day Temperature Designs | 17 |
| | D. Consolidated Gas Demand for Average Year and Cold Year | 17 |
| | E. Consolidated Peak Day Gas Demand..... | 18 |
| | F. Consolidated Peak Month Gas Demand..... | 19 |

UPDATED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF BRUCE M. WETZEL

I. QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Bruce M. Wetzel. My business address is 555 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California 90013-1011. I am employed by Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) as a Forecasting Advisor in the Regulatory Affairs Department. I am responsible for the preparation and consolidation of natural gas demand forecasts together with the acquisition and analysis of daily weather data used to prepare gas demand forecasts for San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) and SoCalGas. I have been in this position since March 2004. I have previously testified before the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission).

My academic and professional qualifications are as follows: I earned an undergraduate degree in mathematics from Drexel University, a Master of Science in Operations Research from George Washington University and a Ph.D. in Public Policy Analysis from the RAND-Pardee Graduate School for Public Policy Analysis (formerly, the RAND Graduate School). In addition, during the past 29 years, I have held analyst positions in the Regulatory Affairs, Commercial and Industrial Services, and Gas Supply Departments of SoCalGas.

My employment outside of SoCalGas has been in the areas of public policy analysis/research and applied mathematics and operations research at the RAND Corporation in Santa Monica and for the U.S. Department of the Air Force in Washington D.C.

II. PURPOSE

The purpose of my testimony is to present the demand forecast for the noncore market segments other than large electric generation (EG) and cogeneration customers (with capacity greater than 20 megawatts (MW)), whose gas demand forecasts are discussed in the prepared

1 direct testimony of Mr. Huang. My testimony also presents the consolidated gas demand
2 forecasts for Average Year and Cold Year temperature conditions along with peak day and peak
3 month demand forecasts, for the years 2013 through 2015 (TCAP period) for SDG&E's and
4 SoCalGas' markets. My consolidated forecasts rely on the forecasts of core customer demand
5 and exchange gas presented by Ms. Payan.

6 **III. SOCALGAS' NONCORE GAS DEMAND FORECASTS**

7 **A. Introduction**

8 SoCalGas' service to noncore markets is split between retail and wholesale service.
9 Retail service consists of transportation and distribution of gas directly for end-use consumption.
10 Wholesale service is provided to municipalities or other investor-owned utilities who re-deliver
11 the gas to their end-use customers. SoCalGas' wholesale customers are the City of Long Beach
12 (Long Beach), SDG&E, the City of Vernon (Vernon), and Southwest Gas Company (SWG).

13 Noncore retail customers typically represent those with much larger individual loads than
14 are characteristic of core customers. Also, noncore customers are generally business
15 establishments with many employees. SoCalGas' overall outlook for customer growth is
16 summarized in Table 1 below. For the TCAP period, SoCalGas expects steady customer growth
17 overall and stable customer counts in its retail noncore markets.

1

Table 1
SoCalGas Active Meters (annual averages)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Total Core | 5,685,996 | 5,757,810 | 5,387,120 | 5,760,309 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 681 | 682 | 682 | 682 |
| Electric Generation | 209 | 209 | 209 | 209 |
| EOR | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 922 | 923 | 923 | 922 |
| Wholesale and International | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| System Total Active Meters | 5,686,923 | 5,578,373 | 5,838,048 | 5,761,236 |

2

Noncore customer and meter counts are developed from base year 2010 data and

3

projected forward based on observed trends and known activity and plans of existing customers

4

from discussions with account executives. Customer/meter counts for the electric generation

5

market segments are developed in the manner described by Mr. Huang.

6

B. SoCalGas' Noncore Customer Segment Demand

7

1. Commercial

8

During the TCAP period, noncore commercial demand is forecasted to average nearly

9

17,032 MDth per year, lower than 2010 Heating-Degree Day (HDD)-adjusted actual usage of

10

19,204 MDth.¹ The decrease in the HDD-adjusted average year demand for 2013 through year

11

2015 is the net result of expected modest growth in this market net of decreases from expected

12

implementation of mandated Energy Efficiency and Demand-Side Management (EE/DSM)

13

programs.

¹ The HDD-adjusted value for 2010 is 19,204 MDth and reflects the small, but statistically significant, sensitivity to HDD where calendar year 2010 had about 74 more HDD than our average year design HDD value of 1,375. The observed value for 2010 was 19,313 MDth.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

Table 2
Average Year Noncore Commercial Demand Forecast (MDth/yr)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3 Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Noncore Commercial | 17,558 | 17,066 | 16,471 | 17,032 |

2. Industrial

Retail noncore industrial (non-refinery) demand is expected to grow from 48,842 MDth in 2010 to an average of 49,731 MDth during the TCAP period. Growth of this market segment from 2010 through the TCAP period is also mitigated by decreases in demand from expected implementation of mandated EE/DSM programs.

Refinery industrial demand is comprised of gas consumption by petroleum refining customers, hydrogen producers and petroleum refined product transporters. Refinery industrial demand is forecasted separately from other industrial demand due to the complex nature of these customers. These customers are characterized by a complex interaction of refinery operations, on-site production of alternate fuels, and changing regulatory requirements impacting the production of petroleum products. Refinery industrial demand is forecasted to average 81,595 MDth per year for calendar years 2013 through 2015. This is 4,640 MDth lower than the 86,235 MDth recorded for 2010. This decrease is mainly due to the refineries' use of alternate fuels such as butane during summer months where natural gas prices are forecasted to be less competitive than the alternate fuel prices. The reduction of refinery gas demand also reflects savings from both Commission-mandated EE programs and other refinery process-related energy-efficient improvements that are ineligible for SoCalGas' EE programs. Additionally, implementation of Low Carbon Fuel Standards and greenhouse gas reduction regulation (AB32) are expected to reduce use of natural gas by refineries beginning in 2013.

1 **Table 3**

Average Year Noncore Industrial Demand Forecast (MDth/yr)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3 Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Noncore Industrial | 49,824 | 49,937 | 49,433 | 49,731 |
| Industrial Refinery | 82,530 | 81,632 | 80,623 | 81,595 |
| Total | 132,354 | 131,569 | 130,056 | 131,326 |

2 **3. Electric Power Generation**

3 This sector includes the markets for all industrial/commercial cogeneration, and non-
4 cogeneration EG. Small Industrial/Commercial and refinery cogeneration demand is included in
5 this testimony; the other sectors of electric power generation demand are discussed by Mr.
6 Huang.

7 **(a) Industrial/Commercial Cogeneration <20 MW**

8 Most of the cogeneration units in this noncore segment are installed mainly to generate
9 electricity for customers' internal consumption rather than for power sales to electric utilities or
10 to the California Independent System Operator. In 2010, gas deliveries to this market were
11 21,077 MDth. Small Industrial/Commercial cogeneration demand is projected to average 19,704
12 MDth per year during the TCAP period. The reduction in demand is due to the expected
13 increase in the burner-tip price of natural gas relative to retail electricity over the forecast period.

14 **(b) Refinery Cogeneration**

15 Refinery cogeneration units are installed primarily to generate electricity for internal use.
16 Refinery-related cogeneration is forecast to remain steady at 24,751 MDth for the TCAP period.
17 This is an 18% increase from the year 2010 recorded throughput of 20,901 MDth that reflects
18 our expectation of the addition of cogeneration equipment for this customer segment.

1 **4. Enhanced Oil Recovery-Cogeneration and Steaming**

2 The EOR demand forecast is prepared based on historical throughput, knowledge of
3 customer operations, and general market conditions. For the 2013 to 2015 TCAP period,
4 SoCalGas forecasts EOR—combined for cogeneration and steaming usage—to average 14,977
5 MDth per year. This is about a 1.6% decrease from the 2010 recorded gas deliveries of 15,215
6 MDth. SoCalGas expects this market to have fairly stable throughput throughout the TCAP
7 period.

8 **5. ECOGAS (Mexicali)**

9 For this forecast, SoCalGas used the *2010 California Gas Report (CGR)* forecast
10 prepared by ECOGAS of Mexicali. Mexicali’s use is expected to increase from 6,469 MDth in
11 2010 to an average of 6,638 MDth in the 2013-2015 TCAP period.

12 **6. Wholesale**

13 The forecast of wholesale gas demand includes transportation service to SDG&E, Long
14 Beach, SWG, and Vernon.

15 The non-EG gas demand forecast for SDG&E is made on a customer class basis. Under
16 average temperature conditions, total non-EG requirements for SDG&E are expected to decrease
17 from 55,142 MDth in 2010 to an average of 55,067 MDth for the TCAP period.

18 The forecast of EG gas demand in SDG&E’s service area shows an increase in SDG&E’s
19 EG gas requirements from 66,099 MDth in 2010 to an average of 66,582 MDth for the TCAP
20 period. During the TCAP period EG demand is expected to grow about 0.7% per year, from
21 66,166 MDth in 2013 to 67,037 MDth in 2015.

22 For Long Beach, the forecast received from Long Beach for the *2010 CGR* was used.
23 SoCalGas’ transportation deliveries to Long Beach are forecast at 8,408 MDth per year.

1 The demand forecast for SWG for SoCalGas deliveries to SWG was based on an updated
2 demand forecast from SWG for its southern California markets. The direct service load to SWG
3 is expected to grow 1.4% per year from 6,628 MDth in 2013 to 6,810 MDth in 2015.

4 Vernon initiated municipal gas service to its electric power plant in June 2005 and to
5 noncore customers in December 2006. The forecasted annual usage averages 8,060 MDth for
6 the TCAP period. Vernon's commercial and industrial load is based on recorded 2010 usage for
7 commercial and industrial customers already served by Vernon plus those additional customers
8 that are expected to request retail service from Vernon. Results from the power market
9 simulation model (employed by Mr. Huang and described in his testimony) provided the basis
10 for our forecast of Vernon's EG gas demand.

11 **IV. SOCALGAS CONSOLIDATED GAS DEMAND FORECASTS**

12 **A. Introduction**

13 For year 2010, SoCalGas' total gas demand, adjusted to Average Year HDD of 1,375
14 HDD, totaled 964,036 MDth, which is an average of 2,641 MDth/day. In the TCAP period,
15 SoCalGas expects its Average Year gas demand to decline from 2013 through 2015 at about
16 -0.3% annually. The average for the TCAP years is 991,129 MDth, an increase of 2.8% over the
17 2010 Average Year value.

18 **B. Temperature Assumptions (SoCalGas)**

19 The following section begins with a discussion of temperature assumptions that underlie
20 forecasts for gas demand on the SoCalGas system. The first sub-section discusses two specific
21 cases we use to calculate gas demand under Average Year and Cold Year weather assumptions.
22 The second sub-section describes the temperature design values that we use to forecast peak-day
23 gas demand for temperature-sensitive market segments.

1 The consolidated gas demand forecasts for SoCalGas under Average Year and Cold Year
2 temperature assumptions are presented in the second part of this section along with consolidated
3 gas demand forecasts for peak day and peak month. These forecasts incorporate the core
4 demand forecasts discussed by Ms. Payan, the electric generation forecast discussed by Mr.
5 Huang and the noncore forecasts presented in Section III above.

6 Core demand forecasts are prepared for two temperature designs – average and cold – to
7 quantify changes in space heating demand due to weather. Temperature variations can cause
8 significant changes in winter gas demand due to space heating principally in the residential and
9 commercial markets. SoCalGas uses the concept of a HDD² to measure the coldness of a month
10 or year as a variable that correlates with the increased natural gas consumption typical in winter
11 months. One HDD is accumulated, daily, for each degree that the daily average temperature is
12 below 65° Fahrenheit. The largest demand increases due to lower temperatures generally occur
13 in the month of December. Historical annual HDD are used to determine specific values of
14 annual HDD to define Average Year and Cold Year temperature conditions. The Average Year
15 HDD value is the simple average of the calendar-year HDD totals for the 20-year period from
16 1991 through 2010. The Cold Year HDD value is 2.025 standard deviations³ more than the
17 Average Year HDD total. The Cold Year HDD design temperature conditions are based on a
18 criterion that this particular HDD value would be exceeded with a one-chance-in-35 annual
19 likelihood. Based on the 20-year period 1991 through 2010, a Cold Year HDD value

² For SoCalGas, daily values of system-wide average temperatures are calculated from a six-zone temperature monitoring procedure. From this daily system average temperature data, a corresponding daily value of Heating Degrees (HD) are computed from the following formula: $HD = \max\{0, 65-T\}$ where T is the daily system average temperature. For each calendar month, the accumulated number of HD are determined from which an annual total is calculated. Accumulated values of HD for a specified number of days (>1) are called Heating-Degree-Days (HDD).

³ The standard deviation for SoCalGas' annual HDD data for the 20-year period 1991 through 2010 is 138.62 HDD.

1 corresponds to 1,656 HDD; this contrasts with 1,375 HDD for an Average Year. Assumed
 2 monthly HDD values are shown in Table 4.⁴

3 **Table 4**
SoCalGas Heating Degree Days Weather Design

| Month | Cold Year | Average Year |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|
| | 1-in-35 design | 1-in-2 design ⁵ |
| January | 340 | 282 |
| February | 275 | 229 |
| March | 224 | 186 |
| April | 152 | 126 |
| May | 60 | 50 |
| June | 16 | 14 |
| July | 3 | 2 |
| August | 2 | 2 |
| September | 5 | 4 |
| October | 45 | 37 |
| November | 177 | 147 |
| December | <u>357</u> | <u>296</u> |
| | 1,656 | 1,375 |

4 **C. SoCalGas’ Peak Day Temperature Designs**

5 SoCalGas plans and designs its system to provide continuous service to its core (retail
 6 and wholesale) customers under an extreme peak day event.⁶ The extreme peak day design
 7 criteria are defined as a 1-in-35 annual event; this corresponds to a system average temperature
 8 of 39.7 degrees Fahrenheit or 25.3 HD on a peak day. Although the gas demand for most of our
 9 noncore retail markets is not HDD-sensitive, the noncore commercial segment does exhibit a
 10 small, but statistically significant HDD load sensitivity. For such SoCalGas noncore markets, we

⁴ The monthly values for Average Year HDD were calculated as the simple average of the respective month’s 20 years of observed monthly HDD. The monthly values for the Cold Year HDD were calculated from multiplying a proportion for each calendar month times the Cold Year HDD annual value. The proportion for each calendar month is simply that month’s HDD total relative to the annual HDD total based on the Average Year data.

⁵ SoCalGas also refers to the Average Year HDD data (monthly or annual) as a “1-in-2” design because the average or expected value has the characteristic that there is a 50% (i.e., 1-in-2) chance of observing a larger value.

⁶ The temperature SoCalGas uses to define a peak-day is determined from our analysis of annual minimums of SoCalGas’ daily system-average temperatures in order to estimate a probability model for the annual minimum daily temperature. The extreme peak-day temperature value is determined from a calculation using this estimated model such that the chance we would observe a lower value than this extreme peak-day temperature is 1/35 or about 0.0286.

1 use a less extreme, but more frequent, 1-in-10 annual likelihood peak day temperature of 41.6
 2 degrees Fahrenheit or 23.4 HD.

3 **D. Consolidated Gas Demand for Average Year and Cold Year**

4 Table 5 shows the composition of SoCalGas' throughput forecast for 2013, 2014 and
 5 2015 under Average Year temperature conditions and Table 6 shows demand under Cold Year
 6 temperature conditions.⁷

7 **Table 5**
Composition of SoCalGas Throughput (MDth/Yr) Average Temperature Year

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 249,118 | 248,263 | 247,535 | 248,305 |
| Core C&I | 102,025 | 101,611 | 100,318 | 101,318 |
| Gas AC | 60 | 60 | 53 | 58 |
| Gas Engine | 1,874 | 1,766 | 1,756 | 1,798 |
| NGV | 12,745 | 13,192 | 13,636 | 13,191 |
| Total Core | 365,822 | 364,891 | 363,297 | 364,670 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 152,584 | 151,306 | 149,198 | 151,029 |
| EG | 307,219 | 309,073 | 305,5869 | 307,2926 |
| EOR | 14,977 | 14,977 | 14,977 | 14,977 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 474,779 | 475,356 | 469,761 | 473,299 |
| Wholesale and International | | | | |
| Long Beach | 8,407 | 8,356 | 8,460 | 8,408 |
| SDG&E | 123,088 | 123,330 | 123,594 | 123,337 |
| SWG | 6,628 | 6,714 | 6,810 | 6,717 |
| Vernon | 7,807 | 8,060 | 8,313 | 8,060 |
| Mexicali | 6,605 | 6,638 | 6,671 | 6,638 |
| Total Wholesale & Intl. | 152,536 | 153,097 | 153,848 | 153,160 |
| Average Year Throughput (AYTP) | 993,137 | 993,345 | 986,906 | 991,129 |

8
⁷ Gas demand under Average Year temperature conditions is called Average Year Throughput (AYTP) and gas demand under Cold Year temperature conditions is called Cold Year Throughput (CYTP).

1

Table 6**Composition of SoCalGas Throughput (MDth/Yr) 1-in-35 Cold Temperature Year**

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 272,737 | 271,801 | 271,003 | 271,847 |
| Core C&I | 106,921 | 106,529 | 105,223 | 106,224 |
| Gas AC | 60 | 60 | 53 | 58 |
| Gas Engine | 1,874 | 1,766 | 1,756 | 1,798 |
| NGV | 12,745 | 13,192 | 13,636 | 13,191 |
| Total Core | 394,337 | 393,347 | 391,671 | 393,119 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore | 152,999 | 151,722 | 149,613 | 151,445 |
| EG | 307,219 | 309,073 | 305,586 | 307,292 |
| EOR | 14,977 | 14,977 | 14,977 | 14,977 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 475,194 | 475,771 | 470,176 | 473,714 |
| Wholesale and International | | | | |
| Long Beach | 8,861 | 8,810 | 8,915 | 8,862 |
| SDG&E | 127,236 | 127,470 | 127,725 | 127,477 |
| SWG | 7,098 | 7,191 | 7,294 | 7,194 |
| Vernon | 7,807 | 8,060 | 8,313 | 8,060 |
| Mexicali | 6,605 | 6,638 | 6,671 | 6,638 |
| Total Wholesale & Intl. | 157,606 | 158,168 | 158,918 | 158,231 |
| Cold Year Throughput (CYTP) | 1,027,138 | 1,027,287 | 1,020,766 | 1,025,063 |

2

E. Consolidated Peak Day Gas Demand

3

SoCalGas uses the following consolidated peak day gas demand for cost allocation and

4

rate design purposes. Table 7 below shows the peak day gas demand for each year of the TCAP

5

period as well as the 3-year average for that period.

6

1

Table 7
SoCalGas' Peak Day Demand (MDth/d)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 2,499 | 2,490 | 2,483 | 2,490 |
| Core C&I | 606 | 607 | 603 | 605 |
| Gas AC | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Gas Engine | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| NGV | 42 | 43 | 45 | 43 |
| Total Core | 3,149 | 3,142 | 3,132 | 3,141 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 421 | 417 | 411 | 416 |
| EG | 873 | 909 | 944 | 909 |
| EOR | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 1,355 | 1,367 | 1,396 | 1,366 |
| Wholesale and International | | | | |
| Long Beach | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 |
| SDG&E | 606 | 607 | 565 | 592 |
| SWG | 59 | 59 | 60 | 59 |
| Vernon | 29 | 29 | 30 | 29 |
| Mexicali | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Total Wholesale & Intl. | 772 | 775 | 735 | 761 |
| Total Peak Day Demand | 5,257 | 5,284 | 5,263 | 5,268 |

2

For retail core HDD-sensitive market segments, peak-day demand was calculated using

3

the applicable 1-in-35 peak-day temperature condition for SoCalGas or SDG&E. For the

4

SoCalGas retail noncore HDD-sensitive market segment, peak-day demand was calculated under

5

a 1-in-10 peak-day temperature condition. For the SoCalGas and SDG&E electric generation

6

facilities included in Mr. Huang's testimony, power market simulation model, peak-day demand

7

was calculated as a coincident peak day for all these facilities. For all other market segments,

8

peak-day load was calculated as average daily December month's demand.

9

F. Consolidated Peak Month Gas Demand

10

SoCalGas uses gas demand for the month of December as the peak month, for cost

11

allocation and rate design purposes. Consolidated forecasts of peak month gas demand are

1 shown below in Table 8 for each year of the TCAP period as well as the 3-year average for that
 2 period.

3 **Table 8**
SoCalGas' Peak Month Demand (MDth/Mo)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 41,332 | 41,190 | 41,070 | 41,197 |
| Core C&I | 12,092 | 12,076 | 11,962 | 12,043 |
| Gas AC | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Gas Engine | 89 | 63 | 63 | 72 |
| NGV | 1,293 | 1,338 | 1,383 | 1,338 |
| Total Core | 54,811 | 54,671 | 54,481 | 54,654 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 12,501 | 12,383 | 12,200 | 12,361 |
| EG | 23,078 | 23,375 | 23,162 | 23,205 |
| EOR | 1,272 | 1,272 | 1,272 | 1,272 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 36,850 | 37,030 | 36,634 | 36,838 |
| Wholesale and International | | | | |
| Long Beach | 1,028 | 1,023 | 1,034 | 1,029 |
| SDG&E | 13,849 | 13,997 | 13,992 | 13,946 |
| SWG | 1,102 | 1,119 | 1,135 | 1,119 |
| Vernon | 889 | 910 | 932 | 910 |
| Mexicali | 529 | 531 | 534 | 531 |
| Total Wholesale & Intl. | 17,397 | 17,581 | 17,627 | 17,535 |
| Total Peak Month Demand | 109,058 | 109,282 | 108,741 | 109,027 |

4 For HDD-sensitive market segments, December HDD for cold year temperature designs
 5 were used to calculate gas demand.

6 **V. SDG&E'S NONCORE GAS DEMAND FORECASTS**

7 **A. SDG&E's Noncore Gas Demand**

8 This forecast presents noncore customer gas demand for SDG&E, with the exception of
 9 gas requirements for non-cogeneration EG demand discussed by Mr. Huang. Gas demand
 10 forecasts for commercial & industrial and cogeneration are derived by trending recorded data for
 11 2010 based on expected annual growth in employment for these market segments. The data in

1 Table 9 below shows SDG&E's noncore throughput each year for the TCAP period as well as
2 the 3-year average.

3 **Table 9**
Composition of SDG&E Noncore Throughput (MDth/Yr)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| NonCore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 4,828 | 4,870 | 4,892 | 4,863 |
| Electric Generation | 66,166 | 66,543 | 67,037 | 66,582 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 70,994 | 71,414 | 71,929 | 71,445 |

4 **1. Noncore Commercial and Industrial**

5 SDG&E's noncore commercial and industrial demand is expected to grow about 0.7% per
6 year in the TCAP period, from 4,828 MDth in 2013 to 4,892 MDth by 2015. Noncore
7 commercial and industrial load was 4,472 MDth for 2010.

8 **2. Electric Power Generation**

9 Cogeneration gas demand is included in this testimony; the other sources of electric
10 power generation demand (power plant facilities) are discussed in the testimony of Mr. Huang.
11 SDG&E's cogeneration load was 17,480 MDth in 2010. Cogeneration load is expected to grow
12 1.7% per year in the TCAP period, from 19,049 in 2013 to 19,701 MDth by 2015.

13 **VI. SDG&E CONSOLIDATED GAS DEMAND FORECASTS**

14 **A. Introduction**

15 SDG&E's total throughput (gas sales and transportation), adjusted to Average Year HDD
16 of 1,315 HDD, totaled 120,241 MDth for year 2010, an average of 329 MDth/day. In the 2013
17 to 2015 TCAP years, SDG&E expects Average Year throughput to grow at about +0.2%
18 annually from 2013 through 2015. Total Average Year throughput for the TCAP years is
19 121,649 MDth, an increase of 1.2% over the 2010 value.

SDG&E’s noncore customer count is expected to be stable while core customers are expected to increase, as explained by Ms. Payan, over the three-year TCAP period.

Table 10
SDG&E Meters (Annual Averages)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Total Core | 869,227 | 880,386 | 892,785 | 880,799 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| EG | 65 | 66 | 67 | 66 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 128 | 129 | 130 | 129 |
| System Total Meters | 869,355 | 880,515 | 892,915 | 880,928 |

B. Temperature Assumptions (SDG&E)

The following section begins with a discussion of temperature assumptions for the SDG&E system. Similar to the discussion for SoCalGas, the first sub-section explains Average Year and Cold Year weather assumptions. The second sub-section describes the temperature design values that we use to forecast peak-day gas demand for temperature-sensitive market segments in SDG&E’s service area.

The section ends with a discussion of the consolidated gas demand forecasts (annual demand forecasts under Average and Cold Year temperature conditions, peak day demand and peak month demand) for SDG&E. These forecasts incorporate the core demand forecast presented by Ms. Payan, the EG forecast provided by Mr. Huang, and the noncore demand forecast provided in Section V above.

As with SoCalGas, core demand forecasts for SDG&E are prepared for two temperature designs – Average and Cold – to quantify changes in space heating demand due to weather. The largest demand variations due to temperature generally occur in the month of December. HDD

1 for SDG&E are defined similarly as for SoCalGas, but use a daily system-average temperature
 2 calculated from a weighted-average of three weather station locations in SDG&E's service
 3 territory. The Average Year total is the simple average of the annual (calendar year) HDD totals
 4 for the 20-year period from 1991 through 2010 and yields a value of 1,315 HDD. The Cold Year
 5 HDD total is based on a criterion that this particular HDD value would be exceeded with a one-
 6 chance-in-35 annual likelihood and corresponds to a value of 1,673 HDD. The cold year HDD
 7 value is approximately 2.025 standard deviations⁸ more than the average year HDD value.
 8 Assumed monthly⁹ HDD values are shown in Table 11.

9 **Table 11**
SDG&E Heating Degree Days Weather Design

| Month | Cold Year | Average |
|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 1-in-35 design | Year 1-in-2 design |
| January | 333 | 262 |
| February | 278 | 219 |
| March | 245 | 192 |
| April | 165 | 130 |
| May | 72 | 57 |
| June | 17 | 14 |
| July | 1 | 1 |
| August | 0 | 0 |
| September | 2 | 1 |
| October | 36 | 28 |
| November | 171 | 134 |
| December | <u>353</u> | <u>278</u> |
| | 1,673 | 1,315 |

10 ⁸ The standard deviation for SDG&E's annual HDD data for the 20-year period 1991 through 2010 is 176.94 HDD.

⁹ The monthly values for Average Year HDD were calculated as the simple average of the respective month's 20 years of observed monthly HDD. The monthly values for the Cold Year HDD were calculated from multiplying a proportion for each calendar month times the Cold Year HDD annual value. The proportion for each calendar month is simply that month's HDD total relative to the annual HDD total based on the Average Year data.

1 **C. SDG&E's Peak Day Temperature Designs**

2 SDG&E plans and designs its system to provide continuous service to its core customers
3 under an extreme peak day event.¹⁰ The extreme peak day design criteria are defined as a 1-in-
4 35 annual event; this corresponds to a system average temperature of 42.5 degrees Fahrenheit or
5 22.5 HD on a peak day.

6 **D. Consolidated Gas Demand for Average Year and Cold Year**

7 Tables 12 and 13 show details of SDG&E's forecasted annual gas demand under
8 Average-Year and 1-in-35 Cold-Year temperature conditions.

9 **Table 12**
Composition of SDG&E Throughput (MDth/Yr) Average Temperature Year

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 30,740 | 30,775 | 30,837 | 30,784 |
| Core C&I | 18,544 | 18,292 | 17,942 | 18,259 |
| NGV | 1,127 | 1,160 | 1,195 | 1,161 |
| Total Core | 50,410 | 50,228 | 49,974 | 50,204 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 4,828 | 4,870 | 4,892 | 4,863 |
| Electric Generation | 66,166 | 66,543 | 67,037 | 66,582 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 70,994 | 71,414 | 71,929 | 71,445 |
| Average Year Throughput (AYTP) | 121,404 | 121,642 | 121,903 | 121,649 |

10
¹⁰The temperature SDG&E uses to define a peak-day is determined from our analysis of annual minimums of SDG&E's daily system-average temperatures in order to estimate a probability model for the annual minimum daily temperature. The extreme peak-day temperature value is determined from a calculation using this estimated model such that the chance we would observe a lower value than this extreme peak-day temperature is 1/35 or about 0.0286.

1 **Table 13**
Composition of SDG&E Throughput (MDth/Yr) 1-in-35 Cold Year Temperature

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 34,008 | 34,047 | 34,115 | 34,057 |
| Core C&I | 19,367 | 19,104 | 18,739 | 19,070 |
| NGV | 1,127 | 1,160 | 1,195 | 1,161 |
| Total Core | 54,501 | 54,312 | 54,049 | 54,287 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 4,828 | 4,870 | 4,892 | 4,863 |
| EG | 66,166 | 66,543 | 67,037 | 66,582 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 70,994 | 71,414 | 71,929 | 71,445 |
| Cold Year Throughput (CYTP) | 125,495 | 125,725 | 125,977 | 125,732 |

2 **E. Consolidated Peak Day Gas Demand**

3 SDG&E uses the following consolidated peak day gas demand for cost allocation and
4 rate design purposes. Table 14 below shows the peak day gas demand.

5 **Table 14**
SDG&E's Peak Day Demand (MDth/d)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 280 | 280 | 281 | 280 |
| Core C&I | 90 | 88 | 87 | 88 |
| NGV | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Core | 373 | 372 | 371 | 372 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Electric Generation | 211 | 213 | 173 | 199 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 225 | 227 | 187 | 213 |
| Total Peak Day Demand | 597 | 598 | 557 | 584 |

6 For SDG&E's HDD-sensitive core market segments, peak-day demand was calculated
7 under a 1-in-35 peak-day temperature condition. For the SDG&E (and SoCalGas) electric
8 generation facilities included in Mr. Huang's power market simulation model, peak-day demand
9 was calculated as a coincident peak day for all these facilities. For all other market segments,
10 peak-day load was calculated as average daily December month's demand.

1 **F. Consolidated Peak Month Gas Demand**

2 SDG&E uses gas demand for the month of December as the peak month, for cost
3 allocation and rate design purposes. Consolidated forecasts of peak month gas demand are
4 shown in Table 15 below.

5 **Table 15**
SDG&E's Peak Month Demand (MDth/Mo)

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 3-Year Avg. 2013-2015 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Core | | | | |
| Residential | 5,089 | 5,095 | 5,105 | 5,097 |
| Core C&I | 2,077 | 2,048 | 2,009 | 2,045 |
| NGV | 100 | 103 | 106 | 103 |
| Total Core | 7,266 | 7,246 | 7,220 | 7,244 |
| Noncore | | | | |
| Noncore C&I | 410 | 413 | 414 | 412 |
| EG | 5,983 | 6,147 | 6,166 | 6,099 |
| Total Retail Noncore | 6,393 | 6,560 | 6,580 | 6,511 |
| Total Peak Month Demand | 13,659 | 13,806 | 13,800 | 13,755 |

6 For HDD-sensitive market segments, December HDD for SDG&E's cold year
7 temperature design was used to calculate gas demand.

8 This concludes my updated prepared direct testimony.