Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS)
COMPANY for authority to update its gas revenue)
requirement and base rates)
effective January 1, 2016 (U 904-G))

Application No. 14-11-004

Exhibit No.: (SCG-17-WP-R)

REVISED WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JILL TRACY ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MARCH 2015



2016 General Rate Case - REVISED INDEX OF WORKPAPERS

Exhibit SCG-17-WP-R - ENVIRONMENTAL

DOCUMENT	PAGE
Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-17-WP-R	1
Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers	2
Category: A. Environmental Compliance	3
2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL	4
Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)	16
2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 FEES SUBPART W MS4 AND LDAR	17
Summary of Shared Services Workpapers	27
Category: A. Environmental Programs	28
2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS	29
Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management	43
2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR	44
Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers	51

Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-17-WP-R

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Description
Non-Shared Services
Shared Services
Total

In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs								
Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast							
2013	2014	2015	2016					
8,305	8,426	8,618	9,527					
2,863	3,054	3,520	3,468					
11,168	11,480	12,138	12,995					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

A. Environmental Compliance

B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct

(NERBA)

Total

In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs								
Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast							
2013	2014	2015	2016					
3,288	3,361	3,468	3,624					
5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903					
8,305	8,426	8,618	9,527					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance

Workpaper: 2EV000.000

FTE

Summary for Category: A. Environmental Compliance

	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast				
	2013	2014	2015	2016		
Labor	1,973	2,046	2,153	2,153		
Non-Labor	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,472		
NSE	0	0	0	0		
Total	3,289	3,362	3,469	3,625		
FTE	22.0	23.0	25.0	25.0		
Workpapers belonging t	o this Category:					
2EV000.000 ENVIRON	MENTAL					
Labor	1,973	2,046	2,153	2,153		
Non-Labor	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,472		
NSE	0	0	0	0		
Total	3,289	3,362	3,469	3,625		

23.0

25.0

25.0

22.0

In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs

Beginning of Workpaper 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Activity Description:

Environmental Services responds dynamically to several changing factors in the SCG territory which cannot be expected to follow historical trending patterns. Regulatory instructions and requirements from government agencies expand the scope of Environmental attention annually. These Environmental Services cost centers includes subject matter experts in air and water quality, biological resources, cultural resources, land planning, and managing the internal environmental governance of the company. The group supports ongoing environmental compliance, including obtaining environmental permits and approvals, developing environmental plans and conducting specialized environmental training.

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

		In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs									
		Adjι	ısted-Recor	ded		Ad	justed-Fore	cast			
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
Labor	1,137	1,459	1,318	1,619	1,973	2,046	2,153	2,153			
Non-Labor	521	437	660	1,275	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,472			
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	1,658	1,896	1,978	2,894	3,288	3,361	3,468	3,624			
FTE	12.7	16.1	14.3	16.9	22.0	23.0	25.0	25.0			

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Forecast Summary:

	In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs									
Forecast	t Method	Bas	se Foreca	st	Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjusted-Forecast		
Years	3	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
Labor	Base YR Rec	1,973	1,973	1,973	73	180	180	2,046	2,153	2,153
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	1,316	1,316	1,316	0	0	156	1,316	1,316	1,472
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	I	3,288	3,288	3,288	73	180	336	3,361	3,468	3,624
FTE	Base YR Rec	22.0	22.0	22.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	23.0	25.0	25.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE	Adj Type
2014	73	0	0	73	1.0	1-Sided Adj

CC2200-0733 Justification - Labor adjustment for full-year funding.

2014 Total	73	0	0	73	1.0	
2015	107	0	0	107	2.0 1-Sided Adj	

CC 2200-2443 Justification - Environmental Sustainability Operational Support: These two (2) FTE positions will support SCG's Green Operations Initiative by developing a centralized environmental data collection system, identifying key performance indicators, setting goals for reducing the company's environmental footprint, analyzing operational processes for efficiencies and cost reduction opportunities, and establishing an annual cycle of monitoring with operations.

2015 73 0 0 73 1.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - Labor adjustment for full-year funding.

2015 Total	180	0	0	180	3.0	
2016	107	0	0	107	2.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2443 Justification - Environmental Sustainability Operational Support: These two (2) FTE positions will support SCG's Green Operations Initiative by developing a centralized environmental data collection system, identifying key performance indicators, setting goals for reducing the company's environmental footprint, analyzing operational processes for efficiencies and cost reduction opportunities, and establishing an annual cycle of monitoring with operations.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2016	0	156	0	156	0.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - Pico Rivera & Olympic Treatment Storage & Disposal Facilities (TSDF) Permit Renewals: The existing Pico Rivera and Olympic TSDF RCRA Part B permits are 10-year permits that expire July 30, 2017 and May 4, 2017 (Department of Toxic Substances Control Permit #:07-GLN-08 & 07-GLN-03). The permit application process requires a consultant to support development of the TSDF permit renewal, associated technical documents, agency meetings and inquiries and public outreach. SoCalGas anticipates \$267k will be the total cost for the permit development process and permit fees. Approximately, \$110k of the \$267k associated with Olympic TSDF would be subject to recovery from a third party and the remaining cost of \$156k is the forecast expense for 2016. Cost estimates are based on a consultant estimate and Department of Toxic Substances Control permit fee schedule. The permit development process begins in 2016. See Supplemental Workpapers.

2016 73 0 0 73 1.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 - Labor adjustment for full-year funding.

2016 Total 180 156 0 336 3.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

Determination of Aujusteu-	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	240	228	906	1,108	1,691
Non-Labor	444	352	634	1,159	1,316
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	684	580	1,540	2,267	3,007
FTE	3.6	3.3	10.7	12.3	18.9
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	644	937	174	255	0
Non-Labor	26	52	0	94	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	670	989	174	349	0
FTE	7.2	10.4	1.5	2.2	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	884	1,165	1,080	1,362	1,691
Non-Labor	470	405	634	1,253	1,316
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,354	1,570	1,714	2,616	3,007
FTE	10.8	13.7	12.2	14.5	18.9
/acation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	148	193	179	218	281
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	148	193	179	218	281
FTE	1.9	2.4	2.1	2.4	3.2
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	105	101	59	38	0
Non-Labor	51	33	25	22	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	156	134	85	60	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Constan	nt 2013\$)				
Labor	1,137	1,459	1,318	1,619	1,973
Non-Labor	521	437	660	1,275	1,316
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,658	1,896	1,978	2,894	3,288
FTE	12.7	16.1	14.3	16.9	22.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs											
Years		2010	2011	2012	2013						
Labor	644	937	174	255	0						
Non-Labor	26	52	0.268	94	0						
NSE	0	0	0	0	0						
Total	670	989	174	349	0						
FTE	7.2	10.4	1.5	2.2	0.0						

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>				
2009	66	0.661	0	1.0 CC	TR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131 113102408737				
SDGE to SCG recorded SDG the SCG activ	6. The FTE SE O&M cos ities. The r	calculation at some standard calculation at the standard c	is based nd the as alculated . The no	on hours- sociated i based up on-labor fo	time in position on-labor use too the ratio or the three er	transferred from n at SDGE, the d in performance of f total hours worked mployees is spread	113102400131				
2009	75	3	0	0.8 CC	TR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131				
were formally O&M costs for activities. Th	In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in peformance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.										
2009	318	10	0	3.2 CC	TR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 118103440120				
were formally O&M costs for	transferred labor and t non-labor	from SDGE t he associate is calculated	o SCG. d non-lab	The table or used ir	displays the performance	t supporting SCG recorded SDGE of the SCG urs worked by the	110100440120				
2009	66	11	0	0.8 CC	TR Transf	From 2200-0433.000	RHFLAMIN20131				
Transfer histo	rical costs re	elated to env	ronment	al employe	ees to cost ce	nter 2200-2475.	127110014037				
2009	60	1	0	0.7 CC	TR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131 127111225200				
Transfer histo	rical costs re	elated to envi	ironmenta	al employe	ees to cost ce	nter 2200-2475.	127 111223200				

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENT

<u>/ear/Expl.</u>	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID				
2009	59	0.973	0	0.7 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131 127113110573				
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to er	nvironmen	tal emplo	yees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.	127 110110070				
2009 Total	644	26	0	7.2							
2010	61	2	0	0.8 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-3282.000	MHARPER20131 113072904640				
Environme SDGE to S SDGE O& activities.	ental Strategy SCG. The FT &M costs for lab The non-labor	and Sustaina E calculation oor and the a	ability supposed is based associated	oorting S on time i non-labo	CG was formal n position at Sl or used in perfo	enter 2100-3282 Ily transferred from DGE, the recorded ormance of the SCG urs worked by the					
In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread											
In early 20 SDGE to 3 recorded 3 the SCG a by the trar	13 011 three empl SCG. The F1 SDGE O&M co activities. The	oyees in cos E calculatio osts for labor non-labor is o of employe	st center 2 n is based and the a calculate es. The r	100-0206 on hours ssociated d based on-labor	6 were formally s-time in position of non-labor use upon the ration for the three e	transferred from on at SDGE, the ed in performance of of total hours worked	MHARPER20131 113102824720				
In early 20 SDGE to 3 recorded 3 the SCG a by the trar	13 011 three empl SCG. The F1 SDGE O&M co activities. The nsferring group	oyees in cos E calculatio osts for labor non-labor is o of employe	st center 2 n is based and the a calculate es. The r	100-0206 on hours ssociated d based on-labor cost cent	6 were formally s-time in position of non-labor use upon the ration for the three e	transferred from on at SDGE, the ed in performance of of total hours worked	113102824720 MHARPER20131				
In early 20 SDGE to S recorded S the SCG a by the trar one-third to SDGE to S recorded S the SCG a by the trar	13 011 three emploof SCG. The F1 SDGE O&M continues. The Instruction of the continues of th	oyees in cos E calculation osts for labor in non-labor is of employee yee for each 0.637 oyees in cos E calculation osts for labor non-labor is	st center 2 n is based and the a calculate es. The r year and o st center 2 n is based and the a calculate es. The r	on hoursessociated based on labor cost cent 1.0 C	6 were formally s-time in position of non-labor used upon the ratio of for the three eler. CCTR Transf 6 were formally s-time in position of non-labor used upon the ratio of for the three eler.	transferred from on at SDGE, the ed in performance of of total hours worked mployees is spread	113102824720				

In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Voor/Evol	Labor	NII be	NCE	CTC	- Adi Tuna	Erom CCtr	PofID					
Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE		From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>					
2010	317	7	0		CCTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121001513					
were form O&M cost activities.	nally transferred ts for labor and	d from SDGE the associate or is calculate	to SCG. ed non-la	The to	able displays the ed in peformance	nt supporting SCG recorded SDGE e of the SCG ours worked by the						
2010	75	2	0	8.0	CCTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121130260					
were form O&M cost activities.	nally transferred ts for labor and	d from SDGE the associate or is calculate	to SCG. ed non-la	The to	able displays the ed in peformance	nt supporting SCG recorded SDGE e of the SCG ours worked by the						
2010	74	18	0		CCTR Transf	From 2200-0433.000	RHFLAMIN20131 127110243590					
I ranster f	nistorical costs	related to en	vironmen	al emp	oloyees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.						
2010	63	7	0		CCTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131 127111538420					
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to en	vironmen	al emp	oloyees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.						
2010	69	0.839	0	0.7	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131 127113342467					
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to en	vironment	tal emp	oloyees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.	127 1 100 12 107					
2010	33	12	0	0.4	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2115.000	TPKAJ201311211					
	Transfer of Field Environmental Representative labor and non-labor expense from Field Services to Environmental Services in order to align the historical expense with the forecast.											
2010 Total	937	52	0	10.4								
2011	6	0.036	0	0.1	CCTR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131					

In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

113103024703

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/	Expl. L	.abor	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2011		22	0.533	0	0.2	CCTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131
w C a	vere formally to the contract of the contract	ransferred to labor and labor a	from SDGE he associate is calculate	to SCG. ed non-lat	The ta	able displays the ed in peformance	t supporting SCG recorded SDGE of the SCG urs worked by the	114121426423
2011		5	0.129	0	0.1	CCTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121542960
w C a	vere formally to the contract of the contract	t supporting SCG recorded SDGE of the SCG urs worked by the	114121342900					
2011		70	18	0		CCTR Transf	From 2200-0433.000	RHFLAMIN20131 127110413590
Т	ransfer histor	ical costs re	elated to en	vironment	al emp	loyees to cost ce	enter 2200-2475.	
2011	ranafar hiatar	64	14	0		CCTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000 enter 2200-2475.	SVELASQU20131 127111914180
	ransiei nistoi							
2011			1	0	• • •	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131 127113605530
I	ranster histor	ical costs re	elated to en	vironment	al emp	loyees to cost ce	enter 2200-2475.	
2011		-51	-33	0	-0.6	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2115.000	TPKAJ201311202 10813887
S			-			and non-labor ex ne historical expe	opense from Field ense with the	10010007
2011		4	0.166	0	0.1	CCTR Transf	From 2100-3282.000	MHARPER20131
E S S a	nvironmental DGE to SCG DGE O&M co	Strategy ar The FTE osts for labor non-labor i	nd Sustaina calculation or and the a	bility supp is based o ssociated	orting on time non-lal	SCG was formal in position at Sl bor used in perfo	enter 2100-3282 ly transferred from DGE, the recorded ormance of the SCG urs worked by the	113073320987
2011	Total	174	0.268	0	1.5			

2012 68 24 0 0.4 CCTR Transf From 2200-0433.000 RHFLAMIN20131 127110515020

Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2012	81	19	0	0.5 C	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131
Transfer hi	storical costs	related to en	vironment	al emplo	yees to cost ce	enter 2200-2475.	127112246440
2012	56	2	0	0.7 C	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131
Transfer hi	storical costs	related to en	127113823563				
2012	51	48	0	0.6 C	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2115.000	TPKAJ201311211
Transfer of	f Field Enviror	nmental Repr	esentative	e labor ar	nd non-labor ex	xpense from Field	32802417

Transfer of Field Environmental Representative labor and non-labor expense from Field Services to Environmental Services in order to align the historical expense with the forecast.

2012 Total 255 94 0 2.2

2013 Total 0 0 0.0

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2EV000.000

Southern California Gas Company 2016 GRC - REVISED

Supplemental Workpaper: Pico Rivera & Olympign Freeting to Storage & Disposal Facility Permit Renewals [Cost

Center: 2200-0733]

PICO RIVERA & OLYMPIC PERMIT RENEWAL APPLICATION - 2016 FORECAST

	VENDOR/		2016	
	CONTRACTOR	PROJECT	FORECAST	NOTES
1	Consultant Support	Prepare Permit Renewal Applications	\$190,000	Costs are based on estimates from Haley & Aldrich, who is SCG's Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility (TSDF) permitting consultant. Haley & Aldrich has extensive TSDF permitting experience and played a key role in preparing the Pico Rivera and Olympic 2006 permit renewal applications. Project Scope of Work: Haley & Aldrich will provide consultant support and prepare, review and revise all documents that are required elements of the Department of Toxic Substances Control RCRA Part B Permit Renewal Applications for SCG's Pico Rivera and Olympic Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities. Haley & Aldrich will also provide related consultant technical support with Department of Toxic Substances Control (Review & Response) until the Department of Toxic Substances Control permits are issued. Duties include but will not be limited to: Provide regulatory guidance for the content of the permit. Pevelop drawings, diagrams and obtaining photos of equipment and storage areas. Obtaining maps from an outside vendor (Mapping services etc.). Speaking on SCG's behalf with Department of Toxic Substances Control regarding aspects of the permits and our strategies for both facilities. Proof reading the permit contents to ensure it meets Department of Toxic Substances Control regulations. 2016 Timeline: Jan: Start Project Sep: Final Draft Nov: Submit Application to Department of Toxic Substances Control Haley & Aldrich will prepare the Permit Renewal Application as outlined in the Project Scope of Work described above.
		Certificates of Design	\$16,000	2016 1 st Quarter: Complete Haley & Aldrich will provide consultant support to prepare Certification of Design for the Permit Renewal Applications if needed.
2	Department of Toxic Substances Control (Standardized, Series B permit)	Permit Renewal Fees	\$61,056	The Department of Toxic Substances Control fee estimate for the Pico Rivera and Olympic Treatment, Storage & Disposal Facility Permit Renewal Application (RCRA Part B Permits) is based on the "Permitting Activity Fee" information described in the "Department of Toxic Substances Control Fee Summary" effective January 1, 2013. See also Hazardous Waste Fee Health and Safety Code 25205.7.
	Total		\$267,056	

Note: These costs are not a bid. Costs provided are only estimates based on what is currently known and are subject to change.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001

Summary for Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs								
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast						
	2013	2014	2015	2016					
Labor	51	69	154	154					
Non-Labor	0	30	30	783					
NSE	4,966	4,966	4,966	4,966					
Total	5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903					
FTE	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.6					

Workpapers belonging to this Category:

2EV000.001 RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Labor	51	69	154	154
Non-Labor	0	30	30	783
NSE	4,966	4,966	4,966	4,966
Total	5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903
FTE	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.6

Beginning of Workpaper 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Activity Description:

This is a refundable cost category related to gas operations that will be recorded in a regulatory two-way balancing account called the New Environmental Regulatory Balancing Account ("NERBA").

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

		In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs											
		Adju	sted-Recor	ded		Adjusted-Forecast							
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016					
Labor	0	0	0	65	51	69	154	154					
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0	30	30	783					
NSE	0	5,085	7,046	6,079	4,966	4,966	4,966	4,966					
Total	0	5,085	7,046	6,152	5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903					
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.6					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Forecast Summary:

	In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs												
Forecas	t Method	Bas	Base Forecast Forecast Adjustments Adjusted-Forecast			ast							
Years 20			2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016			
Labor	Base YR Rec	51	51	51	18	103	103	69	154	154			
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	30	30	783	30	30	783			
NSE	Base YR Rec	4,966	4,966	4,966	0	0	0	4,966	4,966	4,966			
Tota	Total		5,017	5,017	48	133	886	5,065	5,150	5,903			
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	1.6	1.6			

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE	Adj Type
2014	18	0	0	18	0.0	1-Sided Adj

NERBA Subpart W: Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support environmental compliance efforts.

2014 0 30 0 30 0.0 1-Sided Adj

NERBA Subpart W: Consultants to support modifications Monitoring Recordkeeping and Reporting (MRR) Monitoring Plans.

2014 Total	18	30	0	48	0.0	
2015	85	0	0	85	1.0	1-Sided Adj
NERBA Green Impact Prograr Greenhouse G percent reducti	m - Senate Bill as leak detect	1371 and Asi ion and redu	ssembly Bill oction require	32 LDAR imp ment. The es	ose new n timates are	nandatory e based on twenty
2015	18	0	0	18	0.0	1-Sided Adj
NERBA Subpa	ırt W: Labor ad	diustment to a	annualize exi	isting labor co	osts as we	Il as capture
incremental lab	oor costs requi	•		J		•

2015 Total 103 30 0 133 1.0

NERBA Subpart W: Consultants to support modifications Monitoring Recordkeeping and

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

Reporting (MRR) Monitoring Plans.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

See supplemental workpapers..

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type			
2016	85	0	0	85	1.0 1-Sided Adj			
NERBA LDAR Impact Program (Environmental Services): Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair.								

2016 18 0 0 18 0.0 1-Sided Adj

NERBA Subpart W: Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support environmental compliance efforts.

2016 0 540 0 540 0.0 1-Sided Adj

NERBA LDAR Impact Program (Gas Transmission): Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair. See supplemental workpapers..

2016 0 213 0 213 0.0 1-Sided Adj

NERBA LDAR Impact Program (Gas Storage): Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair. See supplemental workpapers.

2016 0 30 0 30 0.0 1-Sided Adj

NERBA Subpart W: Consultants to support modifications Monitoring Recordkeeping and Reporting (MRR) Monitoring Plans.

2016 Total 103 783 0 886 1.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

Determination of Aujusteu-	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	0	0	0	54	44
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0
NSE	0	4,742	6,797	5,994	4,966
Total	0	4,742	6,797	6,056	5,010
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
Recorded-Adjusted (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	54	44
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0
NSE	0	4,742	6,797	5,994	4,966
Total	0	4,742	6,797	6,056	5,010
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
/acation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	0	0	0	9	7
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	9	7
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Escalation to 2013\$					
Labor	0	0	0	2	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	343	249	85	0
Total	0	343	249	87	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Consta	nt 2013\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	65	51
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0
NSE	0	5,085	7,046	6,079	4,966
Total	0	5,085	7,046	6,152	5,017
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs								
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013			
Labor	0	0	0	54	44			
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0			
NSE	0	4,742	6,797	5,994	4,966			
Total	0	4,742	6,797	6,056	5,010			
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5			

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2010	0	0	4,742	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014051 5223708347
RNERBA - 20)10 AB32 Fee	es .					3223100341
2010 Total	0	0	4,742	0.0			
2011	0	0	6,797	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014022
RNERBA - 20)11 AB32 Fee	es					6220314430
2011 Total	0	0	6,797	0.0			
2012	0	0	5,994	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014022
RNERBA - 20)12 AB32 Fe	es					6220539217
2012	54	8	0	0.6 1-S	ided Adi	N/A	TP1DEW2014051
				0.0			5200317113
2012 Labor a	nd Transmiss	ion NL Co	sts				
2012 Total	54	8	5,994	0.6			

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID	
2013 RNERBA	0 - 2012 AB 32 F	0 ees	4,966	0.0 1-	Sided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014022 6220836850	
2013 RNERBA	44 - 2013 SubPart	0 t W for Env	0 Svcs cost		Sided Adj 00-2176	N/A	TP1DEW2014022 6221324407	
2013 Total	44	0	4,966	0.5				

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2EV000.001

GRC 2016 NERBA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection & Repair (LDAR) Impact Program

Issue Title:			se Gas (GHG) M	lethan	NERBA ¹ Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) Impact Program								
Affected Plan Category & Cost Centers:	(O&N	Environmental Services (O&M), Gas Distribution (Capital & O&M), Gas Transmission (O&M), and Gas Storage (O&M).											
Issue Description and Scope:	priorit gover gas pi as a h reduce to adv	Senate Bill SB1371 ² (Leno) is to require California Public Utilities Commission, giving priority to safety, reliability, and affordability of service, to adopt rules and procedures governing the operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of those commission-regulated gas pipeline facilities that are intrastate transmission and distribution lines to minimize leaks as a hazard to be mitigated pursuant to the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 2011 and to reduce emissions of natural gas from those facilities to the maximum extent feasible in order to advance the state's goals in reducing emissions of greenhouse gases pursuant to the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006.											
Estimated Cost Impacts		Total Expected Costs per Year (\$000K)											
		3000	Туре		201	14		2015		ŕ	2016		
		⊕O&M ⊕Capital			\$ \$		\$ \$		85	\$	83	8	
		Grand Total			ș Ŝ	_	\$		85	\$	83	8	
			ılatory developm									_	
Timing of Change:	and A	B32. The fina	regulatory requincial impact of Sed in 2017. The a	B137	1 is exp	pected	to beg	in 201	6, a	and po	otential c	changes	
Justification/ Reason for Change:	Califo	ornia leak natu	tes that it is undi ral gas. The incid regulators to be a	dence	of natu	ıral gas	leaks	and th	eir	repair	r is cons	idered	
Cost Estimate & Methodology :	20% v method reduction	was then used odology devel	oposed a 20% me as a reasonable a oped herein perm al costs necessary reduction.	ssum its fle	ption fo xibility	or initia 7 to adji	l cost ist targ	estima get me	tes tha	. Cost ne en	estimat hissions	es and	

¹ New Environmental Regulatory Balancing Account (NERBA)

² California Legislative Information, Senate Bill 1371 - Natural gas: leakage abatement (September 21, 2014), http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill id=201320140SB1371.

GRC 2016 NERBA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection & Repair (LDAR) Impact Program

Total Expected Costs per Ye	ar (\$000K)						
			GR	C P	eriod Fore	cast	t
Organization	↓ † Type	▼ Cost Categor	2014 💌		2015 💌		2016
Environmental Services	Capital	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Environmental Services	Capital	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Environmental Services	O&M	Labor	\$ -	\$	85	\$	85
Environmental Services	O&M	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	Capital	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	Capital	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	O&M	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	O&M	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	213
Gas Transmission	Capital	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Transmission	Capital	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Transmission	O&M	Labor	\$ -	\$	-		
Gas Transmission	O&M	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	540
Total			\$ -	\$	85	\$	838

Cost estimates for each operations group used best available data and a 20% emissions reduction scenario.

Storage and Transmission calculations were based on actual cost proposals to
perform complete Equipment Component Inventory accounting, GHG Leak
Monitoring applied to applicable facilities. Estimates also include the cost of
identifying and repairing an additional 20 percent of leaking pipes and engine
equipment above and beyond business as usual in line with anticipated regulatory
changes.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Summary of Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

A. Environmental Programs

B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Total

In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs								
Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast							
2013	2014	2015	2016					
2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140					
283	328	328	328					
2,863	3,054	3,520	3,468					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000

Summary for Category: A. Environmental Programs

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs								
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast							
	2013	2014	2015	2016					
Labor	1,498	1,587	1,783	1,783					
Non-Labor	1,082	1,139	1,409	1,357					
NSE	0	0	0	0					
Total	2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140					
FTE	16.9	17.8	19.8	19.8					

Cost Centers belonging to this Category:

2200-2176.000 SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

1,498	1,587	1,783	1,783
1,082	1,139	1,409	1,357
0	0	0	0
2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140
16.9	17.8	19.8	19.8
	1,082 0 2,580	1,082 1,139 0 0 2,580 2,726	1,082 1,139 1,409 0 0 0 2,580 2,726 3,192

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Activity Description:

The Environmental Programs group includes subject matter experts in air and water quality, biological resources, cultural resources, land planning and hazardous materials and waste. The group supports ongoing environmental compliance, including obtaining environmental permits and approvals, developing environmental plans and conducting specialized environmental training. The group also screens proposed facility, field and real property projects and transactions that have the potential for environmental impacts. This environmental screening involves a thorough review and analysis of potential environmental impacts, permits and compliance requirements for all environmental disciplines, as appropriate for the project scope. In 2013, the group assumed the development and management for the content of all environmental compliance procedures.

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs									
		Adju	sted-Recor	Adjusted-Forecast						
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
Labor	1,555	1,335	1,346	1,409	1,498	1,587	1,783	1,783		
Non-Labor	386	697	508	851	1,082	1,139	1,409	1,357		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1,942	2,032	1,854	2,260	2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140		
FTE	18.3	15.8	16.2	15.9	16.9	17.8	19.8	19.8		

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Cost Center Allocations (Incurred Costs):

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreq

	2013 Adju	2014 Adjusted-Forecast							
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
57	-6	0	51	0.53	57	-6	0	51	0.53
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
1,440	1,088	0	2,528	16.33	1,529	1,145	0	2,674	17.23
1,497	1,082	0	2,579	16.86	1,586	1,139	0	2,725	17.76
98.35%	98.35%				98.35%	98.35%			
1.65%	1.65%				1.65%	1.65%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg

	2015 Adjusted-Forecast						2016 Adjusted-Forecast					
L	abor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE		
	57	-6	0	51	0.53	57	-6	0	51	0.53		
	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00		
	1,725	1,415	0	3,140	19.23	1,725	1,363	0	3,088	19.23		
	1,782	1,409	0	3,191	19.76	1,782	1,357	0	3,139	19.76		
9	8.35%	98.35%				98.35%	98.35%					
	1.65%	1.65%				1.65%	1.65%					
	0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					
	0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%					

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2013

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2014

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2015

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2016

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Forecast Summary:

In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs										
Forecas	t Method	Base Forecast			Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjusted-Forecast		
Years		2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
Labor	Base YR Rec	1,498	1,498	1,498	89	285	285	1,587	1,783	1,783
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	1,082	1,082	1,082	57	327	275	1,139	1,409	1,357
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	ıl	2,580	2,580	2,580	146	612	560	2,726	3,192	3,140
FTE	Base YR Rec	16.9	16.9	16.9	0.9	2.9	2.9	17.8	19.8	19.8

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type
2014	0	54	0	54	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Discharge Permits for Natural Gas Pipeline Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB permits to address water discharges that occur on natural gas facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. Natural gas-related discharge permit(s) would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic discharge permit(s) for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers.

2014 0 3 0 3 0.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Annual Permit Fees: California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has a fee schedule for the initial (i.e., application) and annual fees for the permits and water quality certifications that are issued by the SWRCB and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCBs). These fees are reviewed on an annual basis. A revised fee schedule is normally adopted each September, effective from the previous July 1 to the following June 30. Fee incremental increases are based on historic fee increases.

2014 89 0 0 89 0.9 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2176 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the environmental compliance efforts.

2014 Total	89	57	0	146	0.9	
2015	196	0	0	196	2.0	1-Sided Adj

ENVIRONMENTAL Area: Witness: Jill Tracy A. Environmental Programs Category: 1. Environmental Programs Category-Sub: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS Cost Center: Year/Expl. Labor NLbr **NSE** Total FTE Adj Type CC 2200-2176 Justification - Environmental Services Water Quality Staff: Two (2) FTE positions to support the State and Regional Water Resources Control Board increasing stringent permit requirements. 2015 0 54 0 54 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Discharge Permits for Natural Gas Pipeline Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB permits to address water discharges that occur on natural gas facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. Natural gas-related discharge permit(s) would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic discharge permit(s) for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers. 2015 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Annual Permit Fees: California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has a fee schedule for the initial (i.e., application) and annual fees for the permits and water quality certifications that are issued by the SWRCB and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCBs). These fees are reviewed on an annual basis. A revised fee schedule is normally adopted each September, effective from the previous July 1 to the following June 30. Fee incremental increases are based on historic fee increases. 2015 122 0 O 122 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification Greenhouse Gas (GHG) and Environmental Sustainability Management Tool Project: O&M cost associated with the implementation of GHG and Environmental Sustainability Management Tool Project (# 14862 WP 786C). 2015 0 147 147 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification For Linear Utilities Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) to address impacts to waterbodies that occur on linear utility facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. A programmatic Section 401 WQC would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic WQC for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers. 2015 89 89 0.9 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the environmental compliance efforts.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

t Cente	er:	2200-2176.000 -							
Yea	r/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type		
201	5 Total	285	327	0	612	2.9			
				_					
201	16	196	0	0	196	2.0	1-Sided Adj		
		he State and Reg			-		wo (2) FTE positions ng stringent permit		
201	16	0	6	0	6	0.0	1-Sided Adj		
	Fees: Californitial (i.e., a issued by the are reviewed effective from the second seco	ornia State Water application) and a ne SWRCB and th	Resources nnual fees le Regional asis. A revi lly 1 to the	Control Boar for the permit Water Qualities sed fee sche	rd (SWRCB) h s and water q ty Control Boa dule is norma	as a fee uality ce irds (RW lly adopt	rtifications that are 'QCBs). These fees ed each September,		
201	16	0	122	0	122	0.0	1-Sided Adj		
	Manageme	176 Justification nt Tool Project: O ntal Sustainability	&M cost as	sociated with	the implemer	ntation of	f GHG and		
201	16	0	147	0	147	0.0	1-Sided Adj		
	CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification For Linear Utilities Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) to address impacts to waterbodies that occur on linear utility facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. A programmatic Section 401 WQC would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic WQC for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers.								
201	16	89	0	0	89	0.9	9 1-Sided Adj		
		176 Justification - remental labor cos	-			-			

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

285

275

2016 Total

560

2.9

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

eterrimation of Aujustet	a-Recorded (incurred Cos 2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
ecorded (Nominal \$)*		,			
Labor	1,083	1,059	1,105	1,188	1,285
Non-Labor	350	649	486	832	1,082
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,433	1,709	1,592	2,020	2,366
FTE	14.0	13.4	13.8	13.6	14.4
djustments (Nominal \$) *	*				
Labor	118	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	1	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	120	0	0	0	0
FTE	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Nomir	nal \$)				
Labor	1,201	1,059	1,105	1,188	1,285
Non-Labor	352	649	486	832	1,082
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,553	1,709	1,592	2,020	2,366
FTE	15.4	13.4	13.8	13.6	14.4
acation & Sick (Nominal S	\$)				
Labor	214	185	184	190	213
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	214	185	184	190	213
FTE	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.4
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	140	91	57	31	0
Non-Labor	35	47	22	19	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	175	138	79	49	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Const	ant 2013\$)				
Labor	1,555	1,335	1,346	1,409	1,498
Non-Labor	386	697	508	851	1,082
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,942	2,032	1,854	2,260	2,580
FTE	18.3	15.8	16.2	15.9	16.8

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs										
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013					
Labor	118	0	0	0	0					
Non-Labor	1	0	0	0	0					
NSE	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	120	0	0	0	0					
FTE	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009	118	1	0	1.4 CC	TR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131
							113100546697

In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

2009 Total	118	1	0	1.4		
2010 Total	0	0	0	0.0		
2011 Total	0	0	0	0.0		
2012 Total	0	0	0	0.0		
		•				
2013 Total	0	0	0	0.0		
2010 10tai	3	U	•	0.0		

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2200-2176.000

November 20, 2013

Mr. Fredrik Jacobsen San Diego Gas & Electric Company 8315 Century Park Court (CP-21E) San Diego, California 92123

SUBJECT: COST ESTIMATE FOR PROGRAMMATIC PERMITTING

Dear Mr. Jacobsen:

In response to your request, Mikhail Ogawa Engineering (MOE) is pleased to submit pro-forma cost estimates for developing two programmatic permits with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). Based on our understanding, the two permits would serve to permit activities conducted by utility companies in a general permitting manner.

Cost estimates have been developed for the two permit approaches and are identified as follows:

- 1) Statewide Programmatic NPDES Permit (Non-CEQA)
- 2) Statewide Programmatic Permit for Activities Requiring CEQA

The cost estimates are provided as an attachment and include assumptions made for developing staff hours required. Rates for staff hours are variable and pre-calculated into the "Line Item Cost".

If you have any questions, please call me at (619) 994-7074.

Respectfully submitted,

Mikhail Ogawa Principal

c. File

ATTACHMENT: Pro-Forma Cost Estimates for General Programmatic Permits

Pro-Forma Cost Estimates for General Programmatic Permits

1) Statewide General Permit for Utilities: NPDES PERMIT (Non-CEQA)

This description and budget estimate is for budgeting purposes only – a more detailed analysis and scoping discussion would be necessary to develop a project level cost estimate.

This approach assumes that the permitting effort would provide coverage for activities by any utility company within the State of California.

In general, the programmatic permit would cover a spectrum of activities, with clear limits on allowable activities, for which the permit would provide coverage. It is anticipated that projects would obtain coverage under the permit by: (1) providing a description of the project and the applicable activities; (2) ensuring that the activities are within the allowable activities as described in the permit; (3) implement required mitigating practices as described in the permit; and (4) prepare reporting and tracking as required by the permit.

The general approach to the permit development would include:

- (1) coordination with all stakeholders (utilities)
- (2) identification of acceptable permit formatting
- (3) develop initial findings for SWRCB staff
- (4) general provisions and standards for the permit
- (5) identification of criteria for coverage under the permit
- (6) identification of qualifying activities to be covered under permit
- (7) identification of standard conditions and mitigating practices
- (8) coordination with SWRCB staff
- (9) develop a pre-public draft to circulate and socialize with SWRCB staff and any other identified key stakeholders
- (10) meet with SWRCB staff and address comments
- (11) develop a public draft of the permit for release and public comment
- (12) meet with SWRCB and address comments
- (13) prepare final draft permit for SWRCB adoption
- (14) preparation of Board hearing materials

Costs for the general scope of services identified above are found in the table below. These costs reflect the additional coordination, consideration and complexity of incorporating multiple utility companies and their specific interests, activities, concerns and practices.

Non-CEQA (NPDES)	Staff Hours	Line Item Cost
CEQA analysis: N/A		
Confirmation of SWRCB NPDES permit standard formats, language and provisions	24	\$ 2,424.00
Identification of applicable standards and conditions	48	\$ 5,736.00
Develop pre-public draft permit	616	\$ 68,984.00
Prepare pre-public draft permit		
Meetings with SWRCB staff to review pre-public draft permit (Assume up to 4)		
meetings)		
Review of applicant comments on pre-public draft permit		
Develop public draft permit	392	\$ 44,400.00
Prepare public draft permit		
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review public draft permit (Assume up to 4 		
meetings)		
Review of applicant comments on public draft permit		
Final draft for Board action	424	\$ 47,336.00
 Review of applicant comments on public final draft permit 		
 Prepare final draft permit 		
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review final draft (Assume up to 4 meetings) 		
Preparation of response to comments document		
Preparation of Board hearing materials (support to SWRCB staff)	68	\$ 7,836.00
Attend a workshop	16	\$ 2,208.00
Attend adoption hearing	16	\$ 2,208.00
Assumed Travel Costs		\$ 4,800.00
Total Budget	1,604	\$ 185,932.00

2) Statewide General Permit for Utilities: PERMIT FOR ACTIVITIES REQUIRING CEQA PROCESS

This description and budget estimate is for budgeting purposes only – a more detailed analysis and scoping discussion would be necessary to develop a project level cost estimate.

This approach assumes that the permitting effort would provide coverage for activities by any utility company within the State of California.

In general, the programmatic permit would cover a spectrum of activities, with clear limits on allowable activities, for which the permit would provide coverage. These projects would require CEQA process, e.g., activities within waters of the U.S. It is anticipated that projects would obtain coverage under the permit by: (1) providing a description of the project and the applicable activities; (2) ensuring that the activities are within the allowable activities, including coverage by programmatic CEQA document, as described in the permit; (3) implement required mitigating practices as described in the permit; and (4) prepare reporting and tracking as required by the permit.

The general approach to the permit development would include:

- (1) conduct CEQA analysis and process
 - a. compilation, review and analysis of proposed permit activities
 - b. development of CEQA document (assumed Programmatic EIR)
- (2) identification of acceptable permit formatting
- (3) develop initial findings for SWRCB staff
- (4) general provisions and standards for the permit
- (5) identification of criteria for coverage under the permit
- (6) identification of qualifying activities to be covered under permit
- (7) identification of standard conditions and mitigating practices
- (8) coordination with SWRCB staff
- (9) develop a pre-public draft to circulate and socialize with SWRCB staff and any other identified key stakeholders
- (10) meet with SWRCB staff and address comments
- (11) develop a public draft of the permit for release and public comment
- (12) meet with SWRCB and address comments
- (13) prepare final draft permit for SWRCB adoption
- (14) preparation of Board hearing materials

Costs for the general scope of services identified above are attached. These costs reflect the additional coordination, consideration and complexity of incorporating multiple utility companies and their specific interests, activities, concerns and practices.

CEQA (WDR, 401 Certification)	Staff Hours	Line	Item Cost	
 CEQA analysis: Compilation, review and analysis of proposed permit activities and development of CEQA document 		\$ 1	1,000,000.00	
Confirmation of SWRCB NPDES permit standard formats, language and provisions	60	\$	6,060.00	
Identification of applicable standards and conditions	120	\$	14,340.00	
Develop pre-public draft permit	1540	\$	-	
 Prepare pre-public draft permit 		\$	122,920.00	
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review pre-public draft permit 		\$	16,560.00	
 Review of applicant comments on pre-public draft permit 		\$	32,980.00	
Develop public draft permit	1080	\$	-	
 Prepare public draft permit 		\$	61,460.00] 9
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review public draft permit 		\$	16,560.0 ∯	201
 Review of applicant comments on public draft permit 		\$	32,980.0क	201
Final draft for Board action	1060	\$	9	6 GRC - F
 Review of applicant comments on public final draft permit 		\$	30,560.0ٌ	RC
 Prepare final draft permit 		\$	40,660.0 %	77 2
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review final draft 		\$	16,560.0€	EV.
 Preparation of response to comments document 		\$	30,560.0	
 Preparation of Board hearing materials (support to SWRCB staff) 	170	\$	19,590.0🕏	SED
Attend a workshop	40	\$	5,520.00 ⁷	1
Attend adoption hearing	40	\$	5,520.00	
Assumed Travel Costs		\$	4,800.00	
Total Budget	4,110	\$ 1	L,468,670.00	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000

Summary for Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs							
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast						
	2013	2014	2015	2016				
Labor	238	283	283	283				
Non-Labor	46	46	46	46				
NSE	0	0	0	0				
Total	284	329	329	329				
FTE	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1				

Cost Centers belonging to this Category:

2200-2012.000 SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Labor	238	283	283	283
Non-Labor	46	46	46	46
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	284	329	329	329
FTE	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Activity Description:

The SCG cost center is designed to capture the cost of administrative activities provided by the Environmental Services Director.

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

		In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs										
		Adju	sted-Recor	Adjusted-Forecast								
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016				
Labor	58	58	256	317	238	283	283	283				
Non-Labor	3	2	31	53	46	46	46	46				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	61	60	287	370	283	328	328	328				
FTE	0.9	0.9	2.2	3.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1				

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Cost Center Allocations (Incurred Costs):

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreq

	2013 Adju	sted-Reco	rded		2014 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.01	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
237	46	0	283	2.13	282	46	0	328	2.13	
237	46	0	283	2.13	282	46	0	328	2.14	
98.12%	98.12%				98.12%	98.12%				
1.88%	1.88%				1.88%	1.88%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg

	2015 A	djusted-Fo	recast		2016 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labo	r NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
	0 0	0	0	0.01	0	0	0	0	0.01	
	0 0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
28	32 46	0	328	2.13	282	46	0	328	2.13	
28	32 46	0	328	2.14	282	46	0	328	2.14	
98.12	% 98.12%				98.12%	98.12%				
1.88	% 1.88%				1.88%	1.88%				
0.00	% 0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00	% 0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2013

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2014

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2015

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2016

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Forecast Summary:

	In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs									
Forecas	t Method	Bas	se Foreca	st	Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
Years	s	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
Labor	Base YR Rec	238	238	238	45	45	45	283	283	283
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	46	46	46	0	0	0	46	46	46
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	ıl	283	283	283	45	45	45	328	328	328
FTE	Base YR Rec	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1	2.1

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE	Adj Type
2014	45	0	0	45	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2112 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the Director's activities.

2014 Total	45	0	0	45	0.0	
22.45			•	4-		4.004.4.4.15
2015	45	0	0	45	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2112 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the Director's activities.

2015 Total	45	0	0	45	0.0	
2016	45	0	0	45	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2112 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the Director's activities.

al 45 0 0 45 0.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

•	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
ecorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	207	267	220
Non-Labor	0	0	29	52	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	236	319	266
FTE	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.7	2.0
djustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	46	47	3	0	-17
Non-Labor	3	2	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	49	49	3	0	-17
FTE	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.0	-0.2
ecorded-Adjusted (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	46	47	210	267	204
Non-Labor	3	2	29	52	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	49	49	240	319	250
FTE	0.8	0.8	1.9	2.7	1.8
acation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	7	7	35	43	34
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7	7	35	43	34
FTE	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	5	4	11	7	0
Non-Labor	0	0	1	1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	6	4	12	8	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Consta	nt 2013\$)				
Labor	58	58	256	317	238
Non-Labor	3	2	31	53	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	61	60	287	370	283
FTE	0.9	0.9	2.2	3.2	2.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs						
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
Labor	46	47	3	0	-17	
Non-Labor	3	2	0.153	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	49	49	3	0	-17	
FTE	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.0	-0.2	

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2009	46	3	0	0.8 CC	TR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131
							114120754237

In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in peformance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.

2009 Total	46	2	0 08

2010 47 2 0 0.8 CCTR Transf From 2100-3035.000 MHARPER20131 114121253900

In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.

2010 Total 47 2 0 0.8

2011 3 0.153 0 0.1 CCTR Transf From 2100-3035.000 MHARPER20131 114121659840

In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2011 Total	3	0.153	0	0.1			
2012 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2013	-17	0	0	-0.2	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0331.000	RMCHRIST20140
423122926577 Budget Planner Transfer in 2013.Transfer 2013 Budget Planner dollars from cost center 2200-2012 in work paper group 2EV000 to cost center 2200-0331 in work paper group 2OO006.							

2013 Total -17 0 0 -0.2

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers

Cost Center	Sub	<u>Description</u>
2200-0733	000	SCG HAZMAT OPERATIONS
2200-1181	000	SCG HAZARDOUS WASTE BALANCING ACCOUNT
2200-2013	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL FEES
2200-2197	000	VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY
2200-2370	000	SCG SITE ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION
2200-2403	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL UST COMPLIANCE
2200-2440	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL MAJOR PROJECTS
2200-2443	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGY & SUSA
2200-2475	000	FIELD ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES