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BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) to Expand Existing Off-System Delivery Authority.

APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY AND SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY TO EXPAND EXISTING OFF-SYSTEM DELIVERY AUTHORITY

Pursuant to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC” or “Commission”), Southern California Gas Company (“SoCalGas”) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”), (collectively referred to as “Applicants”) hereby jointly submit their application requesting authority to expand their existing off-system delivery service to all pipeline interconnections on their respective systems (“Application”). As more fully discussed below, SoCalGas is currently authorized to deliver gas on its customers’ behalf to the gas transmission system of Pacific Gas & Electric Company (“PG&E”).

Applicants herein request approval for similar treatment of all of Applicants’ pipeline interconnections allowing for natural gas deliveries to such points (“off-system delivery service”). Utilization of Applicants’ off-system delivery service on a system-wide basis is not only in the public interest as it treats all similarly-situated interconnects in a non-discriminatory manner, but it is also consistent with the off-system service currently granted to PG&E by the Commission. Also, customers stand to benefit from expanded off-system deliveries as increased system utilization/throughput could lead to
lower transportation rates. Moreover, because Applicants’ Hinshaw exemptions are not impacted, there are no legal impediments for Commission approval of the requested authorization.

I. BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF APPLICANTS’ PROPOSAL

A. Procedural History and Relevant Decisions

In A.04-12-004, Applicants requested authority to integrate their gas transmission rates (“system integration”), establish a system of firm access rights (“FAR”) and provide off-system natural gas transportation on a firm¹ and interruptible basis through backhaul service.² The system integration proposal was bifurcated from the other proposals and approved separately in D.06-04-033. In D.06-12-031, the Commission adopted a system of FAR and approved Applicants’ proposal for off-system deliveries, but because of certain jurisdictional concerns expressed by the Commission, off-system deliveries were limited to SoCalGas’ interconnection with PG&E.³ However, the Commission expressly allowed Applicants to “file an application, no earlier than May 1, 2008, to offer off-system service to pipeline interconnections other than PG&E.”⁴

On January 19, 2007, PG&E, SDG&E and SoCalGas jointly filed a petition for modification (“PFM”) of D.06-12-031 to allow SDG&E/SoCalGas and PG&E to develop firm delivery options on the combined transmission systems of the three California-

---

¹ D.06-12-031, mimeo., p. 112. Applicants’ proposal to offer firm off-system delivery service provided for an open season process to gauge levels of customer interest and to determine whether additional facilities were needed to provide such firm service. Applicants also proposed to separately file an application for approval to provide firm off-system service based on the results of the open season process. That application would also request appropriate (either rolled-in or incremental) rate treatment for any facilities that were determined to be necessary to provide such firm service.

² Id.

³ D.06-04-033, Ordering Paragraph (OP) 2.

⁴ Id., OP 8.
regulated utilities prior to the May 1, 2008 date established in that decision. On June 21, 2007, the Commission issued a decision (D.07-06-025) denying the PFM.

In reaching its determination on the PFM, the Commission considered several factors, including: i) recognition that “parties in D.06-12-031 continued to advocate [off-system delivery service to] interconnections with transmission systems other than PG&E”; ii) concern that the “use of the SoCalGas transmission facilities to transport gas to points outside of California raises FERC [Federal Energy Regulatory Commission] jurisdictional issues pertaining to the Hinshaw Exemption of SoCalGas’ transmission system and has operational ramifications for intrastate transmission”; and, iii) “whether LNG project developers are successful in their efforts to bring LNG to California.”

The Commission also addressed the PFM’s contention that the proposed modifications would send LNG developers a market signal indicating that their projects would be able to access east of California markets by using the transmission systems of SDG&E, SoCalGas and PG&E. In response, the Commission stated that it had already sent that signal by permitting SDG&E and SoCalGas to file an application to offer off-system delivery service to pipeline interconnections other than PG&E on or after May 1, 2008.

Subsequent to its June 21, 2007 decision on the PFM, the Commission issued an Order Instituting Rulemaking to Determine Issues Related to the California Utilities’ Procurement of Natural Gas Supplies from Liquefied Natural Gas (“LNG OIR”) on November 11, 2007. The LNG OIR was established to determine whether and how the California utilities should enter into procurement contracts for natural gas from LNG

---

5 D.07-06-025, pp. 3 – 4.
6 Id. at 5.
7 R.07-11-001, p. 1.
suppliers on the West Coast to ensure that there would be adequate supplies of natural
gas at reasonable prices to meet California’s long-term needs.8

B. Authorization Requested and Basis for Approval

The forgoing decisions, proceedings and events have culminated in the instant request for expanded off-system delivery authority. Applicants submit that the time is ripe for the Commission to recognize the almost unanimous support by parties in A.04-12-004 for off-system deliveries to all pipeline interconnections on Applicants’ respective systems. The concerns expressed by the Commission in D.06-12-031 and D.07-06-025 have since been fully vetted or have otherwise been incorporated in other proceedings. Furthermore, the authorization requested herein is grounded on well-established legal precedent.

Development of LNG projects is being addressed in the LNG OIR which was specifically initiated to comprehensively review long-term utility procurement of LNG to ensure long-term natural gas supplies to California. In addition, the completion of construction of at least one West Coast LNG project has been announced since the time D.06-12-031 was issued.9 Thus, the Commission’s concern regarding LNG supply is being addressed in its LNG OIR and there is no reason to reconsider those issues in this proceeding.

Applicants propose to expand the existing firm and interruptible off-system delivery service as authorized in D.06-12-031 to all pipeline interconnections. Immediate use of displacement service to provide off-system deliveries to the other interconnection

---

8 Id.
points will more fully optimize system facility use and design. Further, an expanded set of off-system delivery points that access additional markets could potentially increase utilization of Applicants’ respective gas transmission systems, resulting in greater throughput and lower gas transportation rates for both SDG&E and SoCalGas customers on a system-wide basis.

The requested authority raises no FERC jurisdictional issues. As explained in Applicants’ January 19, 2007 PFM, off-system deliveries to markets other than PG&E in northern California do not impact Applicants’ Hinshaw exemption. In principle, Applicants’ proposal is no different than PG&E’s long-standing authorization to deliver natural gas from its intrastate transmission system to markets located outside of California under its FERC blanket transportation certificate. Like PG&E, SoCalGas and SDG&E also hold blanket transportation certificates issued by FERC. Under a Part 284.224 “blanket” or “limited” transportation certificate, local distribution companies (“LDCs”) are authorized to transport gas in interstate commerce without affecting the jurisdiction of state agencies under the LDC’s Hinshaw exemption to the Natural Gas Act.

Indeed, PG&E has a history of transporting gas to markets outside of California under its blanket certificate with no adverse impact to its Hinshaw exemption. In granting PG&E its blanket certificate, FERC rejected the contention that doing so would

---

10 Any specific proposal to provide firm off-system deliveries would require Commission approval of a separate application consistent with the process previously adopted for firm off-system deliveries to PG&E. See, D.06-12-031, mimeo, p.112.
12 18 C.F.R. § 284.224.
jeopardize PG&E’s Hinshaw exemption. FERC explained that an LDC may transport gas under a limited jurisdiction certificate while maintaining its Hinshaw exemption:

In carrying out its mandate under the NGA the Commission has also seen fit to issue limited jurisdictional certificates. The Commission has issued limited jurisdiction certificates authorizing a specific activity when the activity is found to be within the Commission’s regulatory domain and in the public interest. The Commission has elected to issue a limited jurisdiction certificate in circumstances where it seeks to authorize nonjurisdictional parties (LDCs, intrastate pipelines, or Hinshaw-exempt pipelines) to engage in a specific activity while assuring such parties that their participation in the described activity will not result in the Commission’s asserting jurisdiction over any of their other operations.

In another case, FERC issued a blanket certificate to an LDC transporting regasified LNG to points outside of the state’s (Massachusetts) jurisdiction, stating:

This will allow Boston Gas to perform the transportation service for DOMAC without impairing the continuing validity of its Hinshaw status under the NGA. See 18 C.F.R. § 284.221(d)(2).

In short, FERC’s blanket/limited jurisdiction certificates provide a means by which Hinshaw-exempt LDCs remain subject to state regulation even though they may engage in transactions that could otherwise result in the loss of their Hinshaw exemption. Accordingly, any legal concerns over Applicants’ request to transport natural gas to off-system markets located outside of the State are unfounded and can readily be put to rest.

---

15 Id.
17 See also Mid Continent Market Center, Inc. v. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, 86 FERC ¶ 61,259 (1999) (FERC allowed a company to retain its Hinshaw status even for an abandoned interstate pipeline it acquired that interconnected with interstate pipelines at both ends and where there was no question that gas it would receive was both in interstate commerce and would leave the state).
II. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

A. Compliance with Rule 2.1

In accordance with Rule 2.1 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Applicants provide the following information concerning the proposed category for the proceeding, the need for hearing, the issues to be considered, and a proposed schedule.

1. Proposed Category of Proceeding

Applicants propose to categorize this Application as “rate-setting” in as much as the authorization requested does not require Commission promulgation of rules of general applicability, nor adjudicates any allegation against a party. However, the requested authorizations do not require any adjustment or change in customer rates.

2. Need for Hearing

Applicants believe hearings will not be necessary in this proceeding because the only question that needs to be resolved is whether the authorizations requested impact Applicants’ Hinshaw exemption. This is purely a legal question. There are no material issues of fact that must be determined before approving the authorizations requested herein. Accordingly, Applicants propose the following procedural schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application filed</td>
<td>June 6, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses / Protests</td>
<td>July 14, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicants’ Reply to Protests</td>
<td>August 15, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALJ Proposed Decision</td>
<td>October 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission Decision</td>
<td>November 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Issues to be considered

The issues to be considered in this proceeding are whether the Commission should grant Applicants’ request for off-system deliveries to all pipeline interconnections.

B. Statutory Authority - Rule 2.1

This Application is filed pursuant to Sections 451, 454, 491, and 701 of the California Public Utilities Code and complies with the applicable orders of the Commission and the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

C. Legal Name and Correspondence

SDG&E is a public utility organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. SDG&E is engaged in the business of providing electric service in a portion of Orange County and electric and gas service in San Diego County. SDG&E’s principal place of business is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123.

SoCalGas is a public utility organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. SoCalGas is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission and is engaged in the business of providing public utility gas service in southern and central California. The location of SoCalGas’ principal place of business is Los Angeles, California and its address is 555 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California, 90013-1011.

The attorneys representing Applicants in this matter are David J. Gilmore and Carlos F. Pena. Correspondence or communications regarding this Application should be addressed to:

Kari Kloberdanz
Regulatory Case Administrator
California Regulatory Affairs
555 West Fifth Street, GT14D6
Los Angeles, California  90013
Phone: (213) 244-3807
Fax: (213) 244-3201
KKloberdanz@semprautilities.com
D. Articles of Incorporation - Rule 2.2

A copy of SDG&E’s Restated Articles of Incorporation as last amended, presently in effect and certified by the California Secretary of State, was previously filed with the Commission in connection with SDG&E's Application No. 97-12-012, and is incorporated herein by reference.

A copy of SoCalGas’ current Articles of Incorporation, as amended and restated, certified by the California Secretary of State, was previously filed with the Commission in connection with Application 98-10-012, and is incorporated herein by reference.

E. Service of Notice - Rule 1.9 and 1.10

Applicants will serve a copy of this Application and exhibits on parties of record in the related proceedings A.04-12-004 and A.08-02-001. However, Applicants note that this Application will initiate a new proceeding. As such, no official service list has yet been established.
III. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES AND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Various affiliates of SoCalGas and SDG&E may be affected by this filing. Sempra Pipelines and Storage owns, develops and operates natural gas pipeline and storage facilities in Mexico and the United States.¹⁸ Ecogas Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (formerly Distribuidora de Gas Natural de Mexicali, S. de R.L. de C.V.) holds a Commission-approved transportation contract with SoCalGas that is scheduled to expire in 2009. Sempra LNG develops and operates LNG receipt terminals in North America.¹⁹ Because these companies are involved either directly or indirectly with natural gas markets, these companies might be affected by the authorization requested in this proceeding. The only alternatives considered by SDG&E and SoCalGas were whether or not to seek authorization for off-system deliveries to all pipeline interconnects. Given the relevant Commission decisions, proceedings and events described above, SDG&E and SoCalGas determined it was appropriate to submit their request at this time.

IV. CONCLUSION

Applicants are ready to proceed with their showing in support of the requested authorizations set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, SDG&E and SoCalGas respectfully request the Commission:

1. Grant Applicants’ request for expansion of the existing firm and interruptible off-system delivery authority, by removing the current restriction that limits such service to PG&E, thereby allowing for off-system deliveries of natural gas to all pipeline interconnections with Applicants’ respective natural gas transmission systems.

¹⁸ Gasoducto Bajanorte S. de R.L. de C.V. and Transportadora de Gas Natural de Baja California S. de R.L. de C.V. own pipeline facilities in northern Mexico.
¹⁹ Energia Costa Azul, S. de R.L. de C.V. owns LNG terminal facilities in northern Mexico.
2. Grant Applicants such other and further relief requested, and as the Commission finds just and reasonable.

Dated this 6th day of June 2008, in San Diego, California.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]
Southern California Gas Company and
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
Senior Vice President

[Signature]
David J. Gilmore, and
Carlos F. Peña

Attorneys for:
Southern California Gas Company and
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
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Facsimile: (619) 699-5027
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FOR: ENERGY DIVISION
FOR: ORA

ROBERT M. POCTA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
FOR: ORA

BILL WOOD
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-48
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Parties

KEITH R. MCCREA
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004
FOR: CALIFORNIA MANUFACTURERS & TECHNOLOGY ASSN.

DOUGLAS W. RASCH
ATTORNEY AT LAW
EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION
800 BELL STREET, RM. 3497-O
HOUSTON, TX 77002
FOR: EXXON MOBIL GAS & POWER MARKETING COMPANY

KEITH A. BROWN
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89150-0002
FOR: SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

STEPHEN G. KOERNER, ESQ.
EL PASO CORPORATION
WESTERN PIPELINES
2 NORTH NEVADA AVENUE
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
FOR: EL PASO CORPORATION

NORMAN A. PEDERSEN, ESQ.
HANNA & MORTON LLP
444 S. FLOWER STREET, SUITE 1500
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2916
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GENERATION COALITION

GLORIA M. ING
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMONT, CA 91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

CARLOS F. PENA
SEMPRA ENERGY LAW DEPARTMENT
101 ASH STREET
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC CO. AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO.

DAVID J. GILMORE
ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ12
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY & SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

WILLIAM D. RAPP
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SEMPRA LNG
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

MICHAEL SHAMES
UTILITY CONSUMERS ACTION NETWORK
3100 FIFTH AVE., SUITE B
SAN DIEGO, CA 92103
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD, DC  20374-5018
FOR: DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

LISA M. SIMPKINS
CONSTELLATION ENERGY RESOURCES, LLC
111 MARKET PLACE, SUITE 600
BALTIMORE, MD  21202

GARY HINNERS
RELIANT ENERGY, INC.
PO BOX 148
HOUSTON, TX  77001-0148

JOHN ROHRBACH
RELIANT ENERGY INC
1000 MAIN ST
HOUSTON, TX  77002

KIRBY BOSLEY
BEAR ENERGY LP
700 LOUISIANA ST. STE 1000, 10TH FLR
HOUSTON, TX  77002

PAUL TRAMONTE
BEAR ENERGY LP
700 LOUISIANA ST., STE 1000, 10TH FLR
HOUSTON, TX  77002

RICHARD D. SMITH
EXXON MOBIL GAS & POWER MARKETING
800 BELL STREET, ROOM 3923 -L
HOUSTON, TX  77002

ROBERT HANNA
RELIANT ENERGY INC
1000 MAIN ST SUITE 1100
HOUSTON, TX  77002

W. WAYNE TOMLINSON
EL PASO CORPORATION- WESTERN PIPELINES
2 NORTH NEVADA AVENUE
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO  80903
FOR: EL PASO CORPORATION- WESTERN PIPELINES

STEVE WILLIAMS
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS, NV  89150-0002

TELMA LOPEZ
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS, NV  89150-0002

ROBERT L. PETTINATO
LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER
111 NORTH HOPE STREET, RM. 1150
LOS ANGELES, CA  90012

HUGH YAO
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. 5TH ST, GT2G2
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BECKY ROBERTS
SEMPRA ENERGY
555 WEST FIFTH STREET, GT-14E7
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013-1011
FOR: SEMPRAX ENERGY

BETH MUSICH
SEMPRA ENERGY UTILITIES
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013-1011
FOR: SEMPRAX ENERGY UTILITIES

KARI KLOBERDANZ
REGULATORY CASE ADMINISTRATOR
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST 5TH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013-1011
FOR: SEMPRAX ENERGY UTILITIES

RICHARD S. CAVALIERS
SEMPRA ENERGY UTILITIES
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013-1011
FOR: SEMPRAX ENERGY UTILITIES

ATTN: CENTRAL FILES
SEMPRA ENERGY UTILITIES
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES, CA  90013-1011
FOR: SEMPRAX ENERGY UTILITIES

ALANA STEELE, ESQ.
HANNA AND MORTON LLP
444 S. FLOWER ST., SUITE 1500
LOS ANGELES, CA  90071-2916

ERIC KLINKNER
PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER
150 SOUTH LOS ROBLES AVENUE, SUITE 200
PASADENA, CA  91101-2437

REN ZHANG
PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POWER
45 EAST GLENARM STREET
PASADENA, CA  91105

STEVE ENDO
PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POWER
45 EAST GLENARM STREET
PASADENA, CA  91105

STEVEN G. LINS
GENERAL COUNSEL
GLENDALE WATER AND POWER
613 EAST BROADWAY, SUITE 220
GLENDALE, CA  91206-4394

BRUNO JEIDER
RICHARD J. MORILLO
BURBANK WATER AND POWER
164 WEST MAGNOLIA BOULEVARD
BURBANK, CA  91502

CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 370
ROSEMEN, CA  91770
FOR: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

DAVID LLOYD
REGIONAL GENERAL COUNSEL
NRG ENERGY
1817 ASTON AVENUE, SUITE 104
CARLSBAD, CA  92008

AIMEE M. SMITH
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SEMPRA ENERGY
101 ASH STREET, HQ13
SAN DIEGO, CA  92101
FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC CO. AND SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO.

YVONNE GROSS
SEMPRA GLOBAL
101 ASH STREET, HQ08C
SAN DIEGO, CA  92101

MARCIE A. MILNER
GENERAL MGR., REGULATORY AFFAIRS
CORAL POWER, LLC
4445 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CA  92121
FOR: SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC CO. & SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS CO.

JEFFREY M. GARBER
GENERAL COUNSEL
IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT
333 EAST BARIONI BOULEVARD
IMPERIAL, CA  92251

STEVEN SCIORTINO
CITY OF ANAHEIM
200 SOUTH ANAHEIM BOULEVARD
ANAHEIM, CA  92805

J. JOSHUA DAVIDSON
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94111
FOR: CITY OF LONG BEACH

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
425 DIVISADERO STREET, STE 303
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94117

CASE COORDINATION
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
JOE PAUL
ATTORNEY AT LAW
State Service

FRANZ CHENG
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

HARVEY Y. MORRIS
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
ROOM 5036
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

JACQUELINE GREIG
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4102
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

JOHN S. WONG
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES
ROOM 5106
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

JOYCE ALFTON
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

FOR: DRA

MARIA ZAFAR
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
PUBLIC ADVISOR OFFICE
ROOM 2-B
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

PEARLIE SABINO
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

RAMESH RAMCHANDANI
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4102
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

RICHARD A. MYERS
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214

ROBERT M. POCTA
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA  94102-3214