

**ORA DATA REQUEST
 ORA-SCG-DR-094-DAO
 SOCALGAS 2016 GRC – A.14-11-004
 SOCALGAS RESPONSE
 DATE RECEIVED: JUNE 16, 2015
 DATE RESPONDED: JUNE 23, 2015**

Exhibit Reference: SCG-204, SoCalGas’ Rebuttal Testimony of Frank B. Ayala

Subject: Non-Routine Capital Tools

Please provide the following:

1. Referring to SoCalGas’ rebuttal of ORA’s Non-Routine Capital Tools’ forecasts for 2015 and 2016 on pages FBA-71 and FBA-72, please provide the 2014 and 2015 CPUC authorized funding for Capital Tools broken down by (a) Routine Capital Tools, and (b) non-Routine Capital Tools.

SoCalGas Response 01:

The 2012 GRC decision did not authorize specific amounts for routine and non-routine capital tools for 2014 and 2015, rather, the decision discusses forecasts for all capital tools for 2010, 2011, and 2012. This translates into authorized depreciation, tax, and return amounts for capital ratebase in the test year 2012 which then carries forward into 2014 and 2015 when that ratebase is examined by the Commission in the 2016 rate case. Thus, to SoCalGas’s knowledge, there is no authorized funding specifically stated for routine and non-routine capital tools for 2014 and 2015. In the 2012 decision, the Commission adopted \$2.401 million for 2010, \$7.253 million for 2011, and \$1.393 million for 2012 for the combination of routine and non-routine capital tools.¹ The breakdown between routine and non-routine capital tools for the years 2011 and 2012 is provided below. A further breakdown was not made for 2010.

	2010	2011	2012
Equipment/Tools – Routine Capital Tools	Breakdown Not Provided	\$493	\$493
Equipment / Tools – Non-Routine Capital Tools		\$1,760	\$900
New Environmental Regulatory Balancing Account – Non-Routine Capital Tools		\$5,000	\$0
Total Capital Tools	\$2,401	\$7,253	\$1,393

Thousands of 2009\$

¹ D.13-05-010 (A.10-12-005/006) at page 343.