

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
AND SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
FOR AUTHORITY TO REVISE THEIR NATURAL GAS RATES
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2017 IN THEIR
TRIENNIAL COST ALLOCATION PROCEEDING - PHASE 2**

(A.15-07-014)

(6th DATA REQUEST FROM CLEAN ENERGY FUELS CORP.)

QUESTION 06-01:

Question 01-11.b. of Clean Energy's First Data Request asked the following:

In SoCalGas' Advice Letter 4877 dated October 15, 2015, Attachment A proposes an increase in the G-NGV rate on January 1, 2016 to 21.774 cents a therm, an increase of 7.474 cents a therm from the "Present Rate" of 14.3 cents a therm on August 1, 2015, resulting from the amortization of an estimated core market balancing account under-collection of \$213.9 million.

Sempra responded that "The increase in the G-NGV rate that results from amortization of SoCalGas' regulatory account balances is primarily due to the projected under-collected balance for its CFCA."

- a. In SoCalGas' Advice Letter 4877, as shown in Attachment A, what would have been the proposed per therm change in the "NGV – Post SempraWide" rate have been between "Present" and "Proposed" rates if none of the CFCA revenue under-collection had been allocated to the non-residential NGV market segment? Please indicate if it would have been an increase or a decrease.
- b. In Advice Letter 4877, as shown in Attachment A, what would have been the proposed per therm change in the "NGV – Post SempraWide" rate have been between "Present" and "Proposed" rates if none of the "Total Core" revenue under-collection had been allocated to the non-residential NGV market segment? Please indicate if it would have been an increase or a decrease.
- c. In Advice Letter 4877, as shown in Attachment A, if the proposed change in the per therm "NGV – Post SempraWide" rate between "Present" and "Proposed" rates had been calculated to only recover the revenue difference resulting from the volume variation between the assumed August-1-15 Mth volume of 117,220 Mth forecast for NGV and the actual recorded throughput for NGV in 2015, what would the rate change have been?

RESPONSE 06-01:

- a. Assuming the CFCA under-collection was not allocated to the NGV customer class the proposed per therm change in the NGV – Post SempraWide rate would have been (\$0.04598), from \$0.14300 to \$0.09702.

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
AND SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
FOR AUTHORITY TO REVISE THEIR NATURAL GAS RATES
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2017 IN THEIR
TRIENNIAL COST ALLOCATION PROCEEDING - PHASE 2**

(A.15-07-014)

(6th DATA REQUEST FROM CLEAN ENERGY FUELS CORP.)

- b. SoCalGas and SDG&E assume that the question is referring to the “Core Revenue Requirement” table found on page 3 of AL 4877. The question appears to be asking for the per therm rate change if no Core revenue under-collection had been allocated to the NGV customer class. The question is silent regarding how to allocate any over-collection therefore, SoCalGas and SDG&E assumed that any over-collection would not be allocated as well. Thus, if the NGV customer class wasn’t allocated any regulatory account balances the proposed per therm change in the NGV – Post SempraWide rate would be (\$0.04992), from \$0.14300 to \$0.09309.
- c. Assuming the NGV throughput used to calculate rates was the recorded usage for 2015 the proposed change in the per therm NGV – Post SempraWide rate would have been \$0.06265, from \$0.14300 to \$0.20566.