

ORA DATA REQUEST
ORA-SCG-113-OE2
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008
SOCALGAS RESPONSE
DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 31, 2018
DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 15, 2018

Exhibit Reference: SCG-06
SCG Witness: Beth Musich
Subject: Gas Transmission Operation

Please provide the following:

1. Referring to SCG’s response to ORA-SCG-048-OE2, Question 5a. You state that SCG has increased its HCA mileage from 1080 miles as reported in the 2012 PHMSA report to 1136 miles, as reported in the 2016 PHMSA report. This is a total of 56 miles.

- a. Please state on an annual basis, how many of the 56 miles you have carried out a class location mitigation on, from 2012 to 2017?
- b. What is the average cost per mile to perform a class location mitigation?
- c. Please show annual costs to perform class location mitigations from 2012 to 2017.

SoCalGas Response 1:

- a. During the course of research conducted in responding to this data request, SoCalGas identified that the reference to “HCA” (High Consequence Area) as stated in certain testimony, workpapers, and RAMP documents should have referred only to “Class Location Mitigation” rather than also including the term “HCA”. SoCalGas will conduct a document review to determine where this may have occurred and a correction to those documents will be made at the next opportunity where appropriate. Notwithstanding, there is no correlation between HCA miles and class location mitigation.
- b. Class location mitigation measures and associated costing vary greatly due to the many and varied differences associated with this specific type of work. For instance, variables such as pipeline location, substructure and surface attributes, permitting requirements and type of mitigative process employed have a direct impact on costing that is unique to each such project. As such, SoCal Gas has not calculated an “average cost per mile.”

**ORA DATA REQUEST
ORA-SCG-113-OE2
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008
SOCALGAS RESPONSE
DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 31, 2018
DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 15, 2018**

SoCalGas Response 1: -Continued

- c. The 2012 through 2017 annual recorded cost associated with the performance of class location mitigations are reflected in following table.

Year	Replacement Cost	Hydro-Test Cost
2012	\$ 2,197,256.00	
2013	\$ 1,945,838.00	
2014	\$ 3,969,701.00	
2015	\$ 26,232,247.00	\$ 2,887,058.00
2016	\$ 7,849,316.00	\$ 965,715.00
2017	\$ 17,391,490.00	\$ 429,562.00

**ORA DATA REQUEST
ORA-SCG-113-OE2
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008
SOCALGAS RESPONSE
DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 31, 2018
DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 15, 2018**

2. Referring to SCG’s response to ORA-SCG-048-OE2, Question 11.

- a. Please provide the exact date the lease terminated.
- b. What was the agreed tenure of the lease?
- c. Was this termination premature?

SoCalGas Response 2:

- a. The 25-year pipeline lease agreement between SoCalGas and the City of Long Beach will terminate based on expiration of the lease term effective June 3, 2018. SoCalGas is not terminating the lease agreement with the City of Long Beach.

Please see also SoCalGas’ response to data request “ORA-SCG-107-TXB,” question 3, referring to file attachment “ORA-SCG-048-OE2 _ Q8,” which was previously provided to ORA in SoCalGas’ Response 8 to ORA data request, ORA-SCG-048-OE2.

- b. See response to 2a.
- c. See response to 2a.

**ORA DATA REQUEST
ORA-SCG-113-OE2
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008
SOCALGAS RESPONSE
DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 31, 2018
DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 15, 2018**

3. Referring to SCG's response to ORA-SCG-048-OE2, Question 14b: Please provide recorded overtime compensation for 2017 for the Blythe Compressor station.

SoCalGas Response 3:

Recorded overtime compensation for the Blythe Compressor station in 2017 was \$304,196.00.

**ORA DATA REQUEST
ORA-SCG-113-OE2
SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008
SOCALGAS RESPONSE
DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 31, 2018
DATE RESPONDED: FEBRUARY 15, 2018**

4. Referring to Ex. SCG-06 testimony, page EAM-18, lines: 14-17.
- a. Please provide a breakdown of the costs associated with the Moreno Whitewater portion of the Southern Gas System Reliability Project.
 - b. What percentage of the entire project was related to the Moreno Whitewater section?
 - c. Why wasn't the cost for this project included in the system planning portion of your last GRC?

SoCalGas Response 4:

- a. The request for recovery of project costs associated with the Southern Gas System Reliability Project, otherwise known as the North South Project, may be found in Exhibit SCG-07. Please note that SoCalGas is not seeking recovery of project costs associated with the Moreno-Whitewater portion of the North South Project. The Moreno-Whitewater portion of the North South Project was de-scoped in November 2014 and at that time costs associated with that portion of the project were removed from the internal order.
- b. Not applicable. See response to 4a.
- c. Not applicable. See response to 4a.