

Company: Southern California Gas Company (U904G)
Proceeding: 2019 General Rate Case
Application: A.17-10-007/008 (cons.)
Exhibit: SCG-242

SOCALGAS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RAE MARIE Q. YU

(REGULATORY ACCOUNTS)

JUNE 18, 2018

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES	1
II.	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. ORA	1
III.	REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' PROPOSALS	2
	A. OFCMA	2
IV.	INFORMATIONAL ONLY	3
	A. ACMA.....	3
V.	CONCLUSION.....	3

1 **SOCALGAS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RAE MARIE Q. YU**
2 **(REGULATORY ACCOUNTS)**

3
4 **I. SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES**

	SOCALGAS	ORA
OFCMA	Amortize recorded balance as of 12/31/2018 over 12 months	Amortize recorded balance as of 12/31/2018 over 24 months

5
6 **II. INTRODUCTION**

7 This rebuttal testimony regarding Southern California Gas Company’s (SoCalGas)
8 request for regulatory accounts addresses the testimony from Ms. Dao Phan (Exhibit ORA-11)
9 from the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA), dated April 13, 2018.

10 I also discuss and clarify that a balance will remain in the Aliso Canyon
11 Memorandum Account (ACMA) upon amortizing the ending balance in the account as of
12 December 31, 2018 and the ACMA will remain open until the balance has been fully
13 amortized.

14 As a preliminary matter, the absence of a response to any particular issue in this rebuttal
15 testimony does not imply or constitute agreement by SoCalGas with the proposal or contention
16 made by these or other parties.

17 **A. ORA**

18 ORA issued its report on April 13, 2018 addressing, among other things, Gas Distribution
19 and Gas Control & System Operations/Planning.¹ ORA supports recovery of the capital-related
20 costs recorded in the Operational Flow Cost Memorandum Account (OFCMA) but proposes to
21 amortize the balance over 24 months instead of 12 months.

22

¹April 13, 2018, ORA Report on SoCalGas – Gas Distribution and Gas Control & System Operations/Planning, Exhibit ORA-11 (Dao Phan).

1 **III. REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' PROPOSALS**

2 **A. OFCMA**

3 ORA supports the recovery of the capital-related costs associated with the capital
4 expenses incurred to implement system changes for new Low Operation Flow Order
5 (OFO)/Emergency Flow Order (EFO) requirements, but proposes to recover the costs over a
6 two-year period to “provide for a gradual increase in rates.”² Citing the direct testimony of
7 SoCalGas witness Devin Zornizer, ORA states, “SCG requests the recovery of \$1.696 million for
8 OFO/EFO capital costs the utility has incurred through 2017...ORA does not dispute the
9 recovery of the OFO/EFO memorandum account, which recorded \$1.696 million at the end of
10 2017.”³ ORA appears to be confusing the costs discussed in Mr. Zornizer’s testimony regarding
11 the reasonableness of OFO/EFO capital costs spent and my testimony discussing the recovery of
12 actual capital revenue requirements recorded in the OFCMA. Specifically, Mr. Zornizer
13 discusses only the reasonableness of the \$1.696 million capital expenses spent to implement
14 system changes to support recovery of the balance recorded in the OFCMA. My direct
15 testimony addresses the balance recorded in the OFCMA as of June 30, 2017, which was \$0.8
16 million overcollected, and the proposal to recover the recorded balance at the end of 2018. ORA
17 did not raise any opposition to SoCalGas’ cost recovery proposals included in my direct
18 testimony.⁴

19 The OFCMA does not record capital expenses spent, but instead records the actual capital
20 revenue requirements (i.e., depreciation, return, taxes) associated with assets in service as a result
21 of the \$1.696 million of capital expenses spent. The OFCMA currently has an overcollected
22 balance due to self-developed software tax benefits that currently exceed the actual capital
23 revenue requirements incurred. Therefore, the amount expected to be amortized in rates is much
24 less than the \$1.696 million of capital expenses spent and it would not make sense to deviate
25 from the standard 12-month amortization period of regulatory accounts, as SoCalGas believes it
26 would not be a significant impact to customers’ rates. The capital revenue requirement

² Ex. ORA-11 (Phan) at 92.

³ *Id.* (citing October 6, 2017, Direct Testimony on Gas Control & System Operations/Planning, Exhibit SCG-13 (Devin Zornizer) at 31-32).

⁴ *See generally* April 13, 2018, ORA Report on Regulatory Accounts, Exhibit ORA-30 (Sophie Chia).

1 associated with the remaining net book value of these assets that was not recorded to the
2 OFCMA will be recovered through ratebase as sponsored by SoCalGas' Ratebase witness, Pat
3 Moersen.⁵

4 5 **IV. INFORMATIONAL ONLY**

6 **A. ACMA**

7 In my direct testimony, I had proposed to 1) discontinue recording in the ACMA the
8 capital-related costs associated with the amount included in ratebase in this TY 2019 GRC to
9 avoid double recovery of these costs and 2) to eliminate the ACMA after the remaining balance
10 has been amortized in rates. In the direct testimony of David Buczkowski (Exhibit SCG-11), a
11 forecast of the Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement (ACTR) Project costs was presented for
12 reasonableness and is reflected in ratebase in this TY 2019 GRC, as sponsored by Mr. Moersen.⁶
13 As discussed in Mr. Buczkowski's rebuttal testimony (Exhibit SCG-211), ACTR Project costs
14 have exceed the forecast presented, but SoCalGas is not seeking an update to the revenue
15 requirement in this TY 2019 GRC associated with the additional expenses. SoCalGas will
16 continue to record the capital-related costs associated with any excess above the forecast in the
17 ACMA and keep the ACMA open since there will be a remaining balance after amortizing the
18 balance as of December 31, 2018. In compliance with SoCalGas' Preliminary Statement, *Part*
19 *VI., Regulatory Accounts – Memorandum, Aliso Canyon Memorandum Account (ACMA)*,
20 SoCalGas may seek recovery of the remaining balance in its next GRC.

21 22 **V. CONCLUSION**

23 To summarize, ORA recommends recovering the balance recorded in the OFCMA over a
24 two-year period to prevent significant rate impacts. I clarify in this testimony that the OFCMA
25 records actual capital revenue requirement, not capital expenses incurred. Therefore, the balance
26 to be amortized in rates will be smaller than ORA understood it to be and will not have a
27 significant impact on rates, making it unreasonable to amortize the balance over a two-year
28 period.

⁵ April 6, 2018, Second Revised Testimony on Rate Base, Exhibit SCG-35-2R (Patrick D. Moersen).

⁶ *Id.*

1 I also clarify that the ACMA cannot be eliminated after the amortization of the balance in
2 the account as of December 31, 2018 since there will be a remaining balance because actual
3 ACTR Project costs exceed the forecast reflected in ratebase in this TY 2019 GRC. Instead,
4 ACMA will remain open to continue recording the actual capital-related costs associated with
5 any excess project costs, so the remaining balance may be presented and reviewed for recovery
6 in SoCalGas' next GRC and fully amortized in rates.

7 This concludes my prepared rebuttal testimony.