Application No	o: <u>A.18-11-XXX</u>
Exhibit No.:	
Witness:	M. Schmidt-Pines

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) for Review of Costs Incurred in Executing Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan

Application A.18-11-XXX

CHAPTER X

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARJORIE SCHMIDT-PINES

(RATES)

ON BEHALF OF

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

AND

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

November 13, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
I.	PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY	1
II.	METHODOLOGY TO ALLOCATE PSEP COSTS	1
III.	BALANCES TO BE COLLECTED IN GAS TRANSPORTATION RATES	2
IV.	ALLOCATION OF PSEP COSTS TO FUNCTIONS	2
V.	RATE IMPACT	7
VI.	WITNESS OUALIFICATIONS	9

I. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY

The purpose of my direct testimony on behalf of Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) is to provide the gas transportation rate impacts that would result from the amortization of the balances in the Pipeline Safety and Reliability Memorandum Accounts (PSRMAs), the Safety Enhancement Capital Cost Balancing Accounts (SECCBAs), and the Safety Enhancement Expense Balancing Accounts (SECBAs) of SoCalGas and SDG&E.

II. METHODOLOGY TO ALLOCATE PSEP COSTS

Per Decision (D.) 14-06-007, Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (PSEP) costs will be allocated consistent with the existing cost allocation and rate design for SoCalGas and SDG&E and include allocation to the backbone function.¹ D.16-12-063 clarified that the PSEP costs functionalized as high pressure distribution shall be allocated using the existing marginal demand measures for high pressure distribution costs. ² As such, SoCalGas and SDG&E propose to allocate the requested PSEP revenue requirement (described below) on a functional basis consistent with D.16-12-063. Table 1 depicts the methods of allocating the PSEP account balances to each function and to rate classes.

¹ D.14-06-007 authorized the allocation of safety-related costs. D.14-06-007 at OP 9 ("Safety Enhancement costs will be allocated consistent with the existing cost allocation and rate design for the companies."). In addition, D.14-06-007 ordered allocation of relevant costs to backbone transmission service. D.14-06-007 at 50.

² D.16-12-063 at 59 (COL 24).

Table 1 Existing Functional Allocation Methods

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E
Backbone	100% to the SoCalGas/SDG&E	100% to the SoCalGas/SDG&E
Transmission	Backbone Transmission Service Rate	Backbone Transmission Service Rate
Local	Based on Peak Month Demand by Class	Based on Peak Month Demand by Class
Transmission	on Local Transmission System.	on Local Transmission System.
High Pressure	Based on Peak Month Demand by Class	Based on Peak Month Demand by Class
Distribution	on High Pressure Distribution System.	on High Pressure Distribution System.

III. BALANCES TO BE COLLECTED IN GAS TRANSPORTATION RATES

The PSEP revenue requirements requested to be collected in transportation rates have been recorded in three accounts: (1) the PSRMAs, (2) the SECCBAs, and (3) the SEEBAs. The PSEP capital-related balances (recorded in SECCBAs and PSRMAs) to be amortized in rates amount to \$163.4 million (\$143.0 million at SoCalGas and \$20.4 million at SDG&E), as discussed in Chapter IX (Reyes). These balances consist of the annualized revenue requirements resulting from capitalized costs. The PSEP Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses to be amortized (recorded in SEEBAs and PSRMAs) in rates amount to \$47.9 million (\$45.3 million at SoCalGas and \$2.5 million at SDG&E³), as explained in Chapter IX (Reyes).

IV. ALLOCATION OF PSEP COSTS TO FUNCTIONS

The first step in allocating the PSEP balances to transportation rates is the allocation of these costs to the relevant pipeline functions: backbone, local transmission, and high-pressure distribution. Allocation to the functions was performed as follows:

 O&M expenses and capital costs are identified by project name, as discussed in Chapter III (Phillips), Chapter IV (Mejia), Chapter VII (Moersen), and Chapter VIII (Cayabyab).

^{3 🔻}

³ Values may not sum to total due to rounding.

3) The annual revenue requirement, by project, is allocated to the designated function that the line provides (e.g., backbone transmission, local transmission or high-pressure distribution). The Direct Testimony of Ms. Sim Cheng Fung in SoCalGas' 2017 Triennial Cost Allocation Proceeding (TCAP) Phase II contains the functional designation of each pipeline.⁴ In instances where revenue requirements were not attributable to a specific line, and, therefore, not to a specific Backbone, Local or Distribution function, such costs are identified as Non-Functional. A summary of the initial allocation of revenue requirements, without Franchise Fees & Uncollectibles (FF&U), is shown in Tables 2 and 3 below. ⁵

Table 2 SECCBA/PSRMA⁶ (in \$000s)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$19,533	\$1,130	\$20,664
Local Transmission	\$28,841	\$0	\$28,841
High Pressure Distribution	\$94,600	\$19,274	\$113,874
Non-functional A&G	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$142,974	\$20,404	\$163,378

⁴ A.15-07-014.

⁵ Pursuant to D.16-12-063, SoCalGas and SDG&E have been authorized 50% interim rate recovery of PSEP revenue requirements, subject to refund, and have previously incorporated revenue requirements associated with this Application into rates (see SoCalGas Advice Letters 5075 and 5238 and SDG&E Advice Letters 2544-G and 2638-G). The tables only illustrate the remaining PSEP revenue requirements to be authorized for recovery in this Application.

⁶ Values may not sum to total due to rounding.

3 4

5

6 7 8

9

10 11 12

Table 3 SEEBA/PSRMA (in \$000s)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$18,772	\$0	\$18,772
Local Transmission	\$3,237	\$0	\$3,237
High Pressure Distribution	\$17,811	\$2,253	\$20,064
Non-functional A&G	\$5,521	\$274	\$5,796
Total	\$45,342	\$2,527	\$47,870

Non-Functional costs, without FF&U, are then allocated evenly amongst the functions as shown in Tables 4 and 5 below.

Table 4 SECCBA/PSRMA (in \$000s)

Non-functional costs	SoCalGas	SoCalGas	SDG&E	SDG&E	Total
Allocated to Functions	Allocation Factor		Allocation Factor		
Backbone Transmission	33%	\$0	50%	\$0	\$0
Local Transmission	33%	\$0	0%	\$0	\$0
High Pressure Distribution	33%	\$0	50%	\$0	\$0
Total		\$0		\$0	\$0

Table 5 SEEBA/PSRMA (in \$000s)

Non-functional costs	SoCalGas	SoCalGas	SDG&E	SDG&E	Total
Allocated to Functions	Allocation Factor		Allocation Factor		
Backbone Transmission	33%	\$1,840	50%	\$137	\$1,978
Local Transmission	33%	\$1,840	0%	\$0	\$1,840
High Pressure Distribution	33%	\$1,840	50%	\$137	\$1,978
Total		\$5,521		\$274	\$5,796

13 14

A summary of the PSEP costs allocated to each function including the allocation of Non-

Functional costs, but before integration of local transmission costs, without FF&U, is depicted in

Tables 6 and 7.

17

15

16

Table 6
SECCBA/PSRMA Allocated to Functions (in \$000s)

(before system integration)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$19,533	\$1,130	\$20,664
Local Transmission	\$28,841	\$0	\$28,841
High Pressure Distribution:	\$94,600	\$19,274	\$113,874
Total Pre-integration	\$142,974	\$20,404	\$163,378

Table 7
SEEBA/PSRMA Allocated to Functions (in \$000s)

(before system integration)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$20,613	\$137	\$20,750
Local Transmission	\$5,078	\$0	\$5,078
High Pressure Distribution:	\$19,652	\$2,390	\$22,042
Total Pre-integration	\$45,342	\$2,527	\$47,870

4) In accordance with the existing cost allocation process, the local transmission costs, without FF&U, are integrated between SoCalGas and SDG&E as part of integration of transmission system cost.⁷ Local transmission integration is shown in Tables 8 and 9 below.

Table 8
SECCBA/PSRMA
Integration of Local Transmission Costs (\$000's)

Local Transmission	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Allocation before integration	\$28,841	\$0	\$28,841
Integration factor	87%	13%	100%
Integrated Local Transmission	\$25,092	\$3,749	\$28,841

⁷ This integration is based on splitting local transmission costs by the percentage share of cold-year throughput (87% SoCalGas and 13% SDG&E), similar to the integration of the Integrated Transmission Balance Account (ITBA) based on TCAP D.16-10-004.

Table 9 SEEBA/PSRMA Integration of Local Transmission Costs (\$000's)

Local Transmission	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Allocation before integration	\$5,078	\$0	\$5,078
Integration factor	87%	13%	100%
Integrated Local Transmission	\$4,418	\$660	\$5,078

5) Tables 10 and 11 summarize the allocation of PSEP balances into the functions.

These are the revenue requirements, without FF&U, allocated to each function for inclusion in transportation rates and are anticipated to be recovered over a 12-month period.

Table 10 SECCBA/PSRMA Allocated to Functions (\$000s)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$19,533	\$1,130	\$20,664
Local Transmission	\$25,092	\$3,749	\$28,841
High Pressure Distribution:	\$94,600	\$19,274	\$113,874
Total	\$139,225	\$24,153	\$163,378

Table 11 SEEBA/PSRMA Allocated to Functions (\$000s)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$20,613	\$137	\$20,750
Local Transmission	\$4,418	\$660	\$5,078
High Pressure Distribution:	\$19,652	\$2,390	\$22,042
Total	\$44,682	\$3,187	\$47,870

6) Finally, Table 12 summarizes the total PSEP costs, without FF&U, for all the accounts combined.

Table 12 Total PSEP Costs Allocated to Functions (\$000s)

Function	SoCalGas	SDG&E	Total
Backbone Transmission	\$40,146	\$1,268	\$41,414
Local Transmission	\$29,509	\$4,409	\$33,919
High Pressure Distribution	\$114,252	\$21,664	\$135,915
Total	\$183,907	\$27,341	\$211,248

′

V. RATE IMPACT

Applying the allocation methods shown in Table 1 to the functionalized revenue requirement shown in Table 12 results in the proposed transportation rates presented in Table 13 below. The backbone transmission service rate is for transportation service from receipt points to SoCalGas City Gate. The other listed transportation rates are for service from SoCalGas City Gate to end-use customers' meters. For core customers of SoCalGas and SDG&E, the backbone transmission service rate is embedded in the gas procurement tariff rate and also in the residential bill impact shown in Table 13.

⁸ The "Illustrative Transportation Rates" table only illustrates the potential rate impact of the remaining PSEP revenue requirements to be recovered in this application. See n. 4, *supra*. Rates include FF&U. The gas procurement tariff rate used in calculating Residential class average bill is from September 2018.

Table 13 Illustrative Transportation Rates (\$/therm, except as noted)

	11/1/2018	Proposed	Increase	%
Transportation	Rates	Rates	(decrease)	change
SoCalGas Summary				
Core Rates				
Residential	\$0.758	\$0.794	\$0.036	4.7%
Residential class average bill \$/month	\$40.04	\$41.35	\$1.31	3.3%
Core C&I	\$0.328	\$0.353	\$0.025	7.5%
NGV (uncompressed)	\$0.114	\$0.128	\$0.014	12.5%
NonCore Distribution Level Service Rates				
C&I Rate	\$0.078	\$0.095	\$0.017	21.9%
Electric Generation Tier 1	\$0.128	\$0.146	\$0.018	13.7%
Electric Generation Tier 2	\$0.056	\$0.074	\$0.017	30.6%
NonCore Transmission Level Service Rates				
C&I Rate (w/ csitma & CARB Fee adders)	\$0.025	\$0.027	\$0.003	11.7%
Electric Generation Rate (w/CARB Fee)	\$0.022	\$0.024	\$0.003	13.3%
Backbone Transmission Service \$/dth/day	\$0.264	\$0.306	\$0.043	16.3%
Revenue Requirement \$ millions	\$2,668	\$2,854	\$186	7.0%
CARB Fee Credit \$/therm	(\$0.0010)	(\$0.0010)	\$0.0000	0.0%
SDG&E Summary				
Core Rates				
Residential	\$0.920	\$0.973	\$0.053	5.8%
Residential class average bill \$/month	\$30.84	\$32.13	\$1.29	4.2%
Core C&I	\$0.279	\$0.308	\$0.029	10.6%
NGV (uncompressed)	\$0.115	\$0.129	\$0.014	12.5%
NonCore Distribution Level Service Rates				
C&I Rate	\$0.129	\$0.146	\$0.018	13.7%
Electric Generation Tier 1	\$0.057	\$0.074	\$0.017	30.8%
Electric Generation Tier 2	\$0.025	\$0.028	\$0.003	11.7%
NonCore Transmission Level Service Rates				
C&I Rate (w/ csitma & CARB Fee adders)	\$0.025	\$0.028	\$0.003	11.7%
Electric Generation Rate (w/CARB Fee)	\$0.021	\$0.024	\$0.003	13.5%
Revenue Requirement \$ millions	\$371	\$397	\$27	7.2%
CARB Fee Credit \$/therm	(\$0.001)	(\$0.001)	\$0.000	0.0%

5

This concludes my prepared Direct Testimony.

VI. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Marjorie A. Schmidt-Pines. My business address is 555 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California, 90013-1011. I am Senior Principal Regulatory Economic Advisor in the CPUC/FERC Gas Regulatory Affairs Department for SoCalGas and SDG&E as of December 2017.

I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with an emphasis in Accounting from California State University at Northridge, California. I have been employed by SoCalGas since 1981 and have held positions of increasing responsibilities as an Accountant and Senior Accountant in the Accounting & Finance department, as an Analyst and a Budget Coordinator in the Gas Supply department, as a Market Advisor for the Marketing and Customer Services departments and Principal Regulatory Economic Advisor in the Regulatory Affairs Department.

As Senior Principal Regulatory Economic Advisor, I represent the Gas Rate Design Group for both SoCalGas and SDG&E in the role of Project Manager, Senior Analyst and witness in various major regulatory proceedings and filings dealing with allocating authorized revenue requirements to functions and customer rate classes, developing the design of the rate for each class, calculating customer rate changes, and computing the impact on customers' monthly bills. I train new rate design analysts in the concepts of cost allocation and rate design, how to obtain data from different organizations, how to run the various cost allocation and rate design models.

I have previously submitted testimony before the California Public Utilities Commission.