

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY FOR
ANGELES LINK PHASE 2 A.24-12-011**

DATA REQUEST Cal PA-SCG-DR06

Date Requested: December 30, 2025, Submitted: January 14, 2026

Supplement Submitted: January 26, 2026

QUESTION 1:

Page 11 and 12 of the Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Authorization to Implement Revenue Requirement for Costs to Enable Commencement of Phase 2 Activities for Angeles Link states, "As an additional measure to promote the affordability of the costs of Phase 2 activities, and in light of the widespread ratepayer and public interest benefits that could be realized by advancing the study of and ultimately implementing Angeles Link, SoCalGas proposes to allocate the costs of Phase 2 activities across all ratepayers utilizing the equal cents per therm (ECPT) cost allocation methodology, which has been previously authorized by the Commission for activities for the public good. Doing so results in a reduction of burden on any one class of customer and recognizes the benefits to existing ratepayers across SoCalGas's service territory by advancing to Phase 2 and the ultimate realization of Angeles Link. The illustrative rate impact on the average residential customer bill of 37 therms/month, taking into account accrued interest savings, is an average increase of approximately \$0.35 per monthly bill over three years, or approximately \$12.60 in total. All ratepayers will benefit directly from this work and each has an interest in a feasible and affordable means of decarbonization that supports the reliability of the energy system."

With respect to the statement above, please clarify,

- a. How the following was calculated: "an average increase of approximately \$0.35 per monthly bill over three years, or approximately \$12.60 in total"
- b. Where ratepayers would see this on their bill. Would it be included in the Public Purpose Surcharge? Or to another category?
- c. How "all ratepayers will benefit directly from this work"?
- d. What the bill impact would look like if cost allocation was only deemed prudent for a subset of ratepayers, such as non-core customers?

RESPONSE 1:

1a. ~~This amount is calculated by averaging the projected bill increases for 2026, 2027, and 2028 (\$0.34, \$0.35, and \$0.25, respectively). The annual impact is based on multiplying the \$0.35 monthly increase by 12 months for each of the three years. In other words, $(0.35 \times 12) \times 3$. See Prepared Direct Testimony of Nasim Ahmed and Michael W. Foster (Chapter 7 – Regulatory Accounting, Cost Recovery, Revenue Requirement, and Rates) for additional information.~~

Revised Response 1a:

This amount is calculated by averaging the projected bill increases for 2026, 2027, and 2028 (\$0.34, \$0.45, and \$0.25, respectively). The annual impact is based on multiplying the \$0.35 monthly increase by 12 months for each of the three years. In other words, $(0.35 \times 12) \times 3$. See Prepared Direct Testimony of Nasim Ahmed and Michael W. Foster (Chapter 7 – Regulatory Accounting, Cost Recovery, Revenue Requirement, and Rates) for additional information.

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY FOR
ANGELES LINK PHASE 2 A.24-12-011**

DATA REQUEST Cal PA-SCG-DR06

Date Requested: December 30, 2025, Submitted: January 14, 2026

Supplement Submitted: January 26, 2026

- 1b. SoCalGas has proposed that the increase only impact transportation rates, not to be included as part of Public Purpose Program Surcharge (PPPS). See Prepared Direct Testimony of Nasim Ahmed and Michael W. Foster (Chapter 7 – Regulatory Accounting, Cost Recovery, Revenue Requirement, and Rates) for additional information.
- 1c. SoCalGas has proposed a transformative proposal which can be a cornerstone in California's transition to a sustainable and resilient energy future.¹ As described in prepared direct testimony, Angeles Link could deliver a multitude of benefits—recognized by the Commission as being in the public interest—not only to direct users of the clean renewable hydrogen flowing through Angeles Link but to all SoCalGas ratepayers, by contributing to energy reliability and resiliency, decarbonization, and improved air quality and public health. See Prepared Direct Testimony of Maryam Brown (Chapter 1 – Policy), Neil Navin (Chapter 2 – Angeles Link and Summary of Phase 1 Studies), Josh Schellenberg (Chapter 5 – Value of Electric Grid Reliability and Resilience), and Dr. Sonja Sax (Chapter 6 – Air Quality and Public Health Benefits) for additional information.
- 1d. SoCalGas objects to this request pursuant to Rule 10.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure on the grounds that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome and the burden, expense and intrusiveness of this request clearly outweighs the likelihood that the information sought will lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. SoCalGas further objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, particularly with respect to the reference to "a subset of ratepayers, such as non-core customers," and presents an incomplete hypothetical.

¹ Angeles Link Phase 2 Application at 91 available at https://www.socalgas.com/sites/default/files/alproject/phase2/A.24-12-XXX_SoCalGasApplicationALP2_PDF_A_2024.12.20.pdf.