

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY FOR ANGELES LINK PHASE 1
REASONABLENESS REVIEW (DATA REQUEST Cal PA-SCG-12)**

Date Requested: March 20, 2026, Submitted: April 3, 2026

QUESTION 1:

The following invoices from WoodMackenzie, which SoCalGas provided in response to Data Request “CalAdvocates-SCG-A2506011-007,” do not provide detail on the work performed, whether it is related to Angeles Link, or whether it is related to hydrogen.

CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_01
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_02
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_03
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_04
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_05
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_06
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q04a_Attach_07

In response to CalAdvocates-SCG-250611-009, when asked “Did SoCalGas approve these invoices as-is?”, SoCalGas responded “No.”

- a. What additional information did SoCalGas request from WoodMackenzie to approve these invoices?
- b. What additional steps did SoCalGas take to approve these invoices?
- c. Was any amount of the invoice disallowed? If so what amount, what task(s), and why?

RESPONSE 1:

SoCalGas objects to this request to the extent it is argumentative. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, SoCalGas responds as follows.

- 1a. SoCalGas did not request additional information from WoodMackenzie to approve these invoices.
- 1b. As mentioned in Chapter 3 Workpapers (Project Options and Alternatives and High-Level Economic Analysis and Cost Effectiveness Studies), SoCalGas followed a structured invoice and cost management process which includes reviewing invoices against activities and deliverables to confirm that costs accurately reflect work performed. Specifically, SoCalGas compared each invoice milestone payment due against the requirements set forth such as a list of schedules (e.g., scope of services, compensation milestone-based payment schedule, reimbursable expenses, etc.) in SoCalGas’s contractual services agreement with Wood MacKenzie Inc. Please refer to the contracts and change orders provided in response to ALP1 RR DR Response CalPA-SCG-7 Question 4d dated February 25, 2026. Once verified, the invoice was approved and no additional steps were necessary beyond this established process.
- 1c. SoCalGas further objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, particularly with respect to the phrase “disallow.” Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, SoCalGas responds as follows. SoCalGas interprets this request to seek whether invoice costs were excluded from the ALMA. The total costs of the invoices were included in the ALMA.

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY FOR ANGELES LINK PHASE 1
REASONABLENESS REVIEW (DATA REQUEST Cal PA-SCG-12)**

Date Requested: March 20, 2026, Submitted: April 3, 2026

QUESTION 2:

The following invoice from Accenture, which SoCalGas provided in response to Data Request “CalAdvocates-SCG-A2506011-007,” does not provide detail on the work performed, whether it is related to Angeles Link, or whether it is related to hydrogen.

CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q05a_Attach_01

In response to CalAdvocates-SCG-250611-009, when asked “Did SoCalGas approve these invoices as-is?”, SoCalGas responded “No.”

- a. What additional information did SoCalGas request from Accenture to approve these invoices?
- b. What additional steps did SoCalGas take to approve these invoices?
- c. Was any amount of the invoice disallowed? If so what amount, what task(s), and why?

RESPONSE 2:

SoCalGas objects to this request to the extent it is argumentative. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, SoCalGas responds as follows.

- 2a. SoCalGas did not request additional information from Accenture to approve these invoices.
- 2b. As mentioned in Chapter 3 Workpapers (Demand Study), SoCalGas followed a structured invoice and cost management process which includes reviewing invoices against activities and deliverables to confirm that costs accurately reflect work performed. Specifically, SoCalGas compared each invoice milestone payment due against the requirements set forth in SoCalGas’s contractual services agreement with Accenture (e.g., scope of services, deliverables, milestone-based payment schedule, reimbursable expenses etc.). Please refer to the contracts and change orders provided in response to ALP1 RR DR Response CalPA-SCG-7 Question 5d dated February 25, 2026. Once verified, the invoice was approved and no additional steps were necessary beyond this established process.
- 2c. SoCalGas further objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, particularly with respect to the phrase “disallow.” Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, SoCalGas responds as follows. SoCalGas interprets this request to seek whether invoice costs were excluded from the ALMA. The total cost of the invoice was included in the ALMA.

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY FOR ANGELES LINK PHASE 1
REASONABLENESS REVIEW (DATA REQUEST Cal PA-SCG-12)**

Date Requested: March 20, 2026, Submitted: April 3, 2026

QUESTION 3:

The following invoices from Accenture, which SoCalGas provided in response to Data Request "CalAdvocates-SCG-A2506011-007," do not provide detail on the work performed.

CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q05a_Attach_02
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q05a_Attach_03
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q05a_Attach_04
CONFIDENTIAL_ALP1-RR_A2506011_DR_CalPA_07_Q05a_Attach_05

In response to CalAdvocates-SCG-250611-009, when asked "Did SoCalGas approve these invoices as-is?", SoCalGas responded "No."

- a. What additional information did SoCalGas request from Accenture to approve these invoices?
- b. What additional steps did SoCalGas take to approve these invoices?
- c. Was any amount of the invoice disallowed? If so what amount, what task(s), and why?

RESPONSE 3:

SoCalGas objects to this request to the extent it is argumentative. SoCalGas further objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous, particularly with respect to the phrase "disallow." Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, SoCalGas responds as follows. SoCalGas interprets this request to seek whether invoice costs were excluded from the ALMA.

See Response 2.