

Company: Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G)
Proceeding: 2028 General Rate Case
Application: A.26-06-____
Exhibit: SCG-01

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RODGER R. SCHWECKE

POLICY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



June 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. MAINTAINING SAFE AND COMPLIANT OPERATIONS AT REASONABLE COST 5

 A. Our Integrated, Risk-Informed Approach to Safety and Safety-Related Investments 5

 B. This Rate Case Will Fund Mandated Work That is Essential to Public Safety And Infrastructure Safety 6

 C. Proposed Activities Specifically Promote Employee and Contractor Safety Aligned to Evolving Conditions and Safety Culture Objectives 8

III. MAINTAINING SYSTEM RELIABILITY 9

IV. MEETING CUSTOMER NEEDS TODAY AND IN THE FUTURE.....11

V. MAINTAINING AFFORDABLE BILLS FOR CUSTOMERS..... 12

VI. REVENUE REQUIREMENT, BILL IMPACT, AND KEY DRIVERS..... 15

 A. Revenue Requirement and Bill Impact of Rate Case Proposals 15

 B. Key Cost Drivers..... 16

VII. PROPOSED EARNINGS SHARE MECHANISM 17

VIII. RESPONSIVENESS TO CPUC INPUT 18

IX. CONCLUSION..... 19

X. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS 20

1 compressor stations, and four storage facilities. It is our privilege to operate a system that is
2 designed to deliver an essential service to over 21 million consumers through almost six million
3 meters in more than 200 cities. Our obligation to serve extends from Visalia in the North to the
4 Mexico border in the South, and from the Colorado River in the East to the Pacific Ocean in the
5 West. As of December 31, 2025, SoCalGas employed approximately 8,000 employees.
6 SoCalGas’s employees reflect the diversity of our service territory and live throughout southern
7 and central California. Together, we are dedicated to service.¹

8 Average annual natural gas bills for SoCalGas customers have historically been among
9 the lowest nationwide.² When adjusted for inflation, natural gas rates for SoCalGas customers
10 actually *decreased* by 25% between 2000 and 2023, the last year that relevant data were
11 published by the California Energy Commission (CEC).³ Natural gas serves more than 60% of
12 household energy needs but represents less than 30% of total household energy cost. As a
13 percent of wallet share, SoCalGas leads peer utilities in the United States, with bills on average
14 representing 0.6% of the median household income statewide.⁴ To further enhance affordability
15 while improving safety, system resiliency and customer service, SoCalGas has been modernizing
16 its business over the 2024 rate case cycle. By way of example:

- 17 • **Technology Adoption.** Modernized systems have enabled improved outcomes
18 and efficiency gains. For instance, through customer call center innovations like

¹ We are so passionate about this ethos, we adopted “Glad to be of Service” as our motto, and trademarked the phrase in 2004.

² As compared to peer gas corporations. See American Gas Association (AGA), Annual Report of Volumes, Revenues, and Sales Consumers by Company (1997-2024). SoCalGas ranked 9th or better for 27 out of 28 years compared to a peer group of 50 largest U.S. gas corporations by number of residential consumers.

³ Natural gas rates provided by CEC staff for SoCalGas residential rates (2023). CEC, Baseline Demand Forecast Files: “CEDU 2024 Baseline Forecast– Total State,” accessed October 1, 2025. On wholesale natural gas prices this century, see U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), “Natural Gas Citygate Price in California (Dollars Per Thousand Cubic Feet), Monthly,” released September 30, 2025.

⁴ AGA, Form EIA-857; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Average residential natural gas bill divided by state’s median household income. U.S. Census Bureau, *American Community Survey Briefs: Household Income in States and Metropolitan Areas: 2024 (Report No. ACSBR-025)* (September 11, 2025), available at: <https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2025/acs/acsbr-025.html>; EIA, *Natural Gas Annual Respondent Query System* (EIA-176 Data through - 2024, re-released February 2026), available at: <https://www.eia.gov/naturalgas/annual/>.

1 automated agents and other tools that better support our approximately 10 million
2 customer interactions annually, the volume of calls answered and customer wait
3 times have improved—allowing us to meet legally required customer service
4 obligations in a more efficient manner. Further, successful implementation of
5 additional self-service options for customer support the cessation of branch office
6 operations, which, if allowed per our pending application, will save customers
7 approximately \$20 million annually.⁵

- 8 • **Workforce Optimization.** Through efforts to upskill, improve employee agility,
9 manage attrition, and align talent to highest priorities, our workforce has been
10 reduced by over 10% since January 1, 2024. Further, investments in technology
11 and data analytics have greatly enhanced workforce planning, scheduling, and
12 dispatch capabilities to manage work efficiently and effectively. Reliance on
13 contract labor, other than for temporary or highly specialized needs, has also been
14 reduced.
- 15 • **Continuous Improvement of Processes.** Through disciplined assessment and
16 redesign of labor-intensive field processes, opportunities for significant savings
17 have been generated. For instance, in 2026 we successfully consolidated certain
18 inspection activities, and anticipate that approximately 1 million service orders
19 could be reduced in 2026 alone as a result— potentially lowering labor and fuel
20 costs by a significant margin. We have also reduced field technician drive time
21 and improved emergency response time through modernized tools and processes.
- 22 • **Enhanced Procurement Capabilities.** Procurement activity at SoCalGas
23 exceeds \$2.5 billion annually, most of which is spent locally in California, and
24 over \$1 billion of which is spent with small or diverse suppliers. These materials
25 and services are essential to safely operating, maintaining, and modernizing the
26 natural gas system that customers rely on. Through disciplined procurement
27 practices, including rebidding contracts, coordinating purchases with San Diego
28 Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) where appropriate to achieve efficiencies,

⁵ A.25-05-001, Application of SoCalGas for Approval of the Branch Offices Closure Proposal (Branch Office Application) at 3-4.

1 and leveraging data and technology to improve transparency—SoCalGas works to
2 secure materials and services at competitive rates, manage cost pressures, and
3 reduce unnecessary expense, helping to limit bill impacts for customers.

4 These are a few examples of efforts expected to lower costs, which are reflected in our
5 GRC forecasts.

6 In 2025, SoCalGas announced a new mission to affirm our focus: *Safe, Reliable, and*
7 *Affordable energy delivery today. Ready for tomorrow.* This mission closely aligns our work to
8 the essential needs of our customers and the economic realities they face. Collectively, the
9 activities proposed in this GRC support safety, compliance, reliability, and affordability.
10 Through the testimony of our witnesses, we demonstrate that these activities will be managed
11 prudently and efficiently at every stage—from sourcing materials and services through
12 execution—to help control costs and keep bills low.

13 While affordability is a central consideration in this GRC, it does not replace SoCalGas’s
14 fundamental obligation to provide safe and compliant service. Inherent in the obligation to serve
15 is the need to mitigate the risk of avoidable, catastrophic incidents through investments that
16 maintain system integrity, comply with applicable regulations, improve public, employee, and
17 contractor safety, and reduce the risk of third-party damage.

18 With natural gas being a vital part of California’s energy ecosystem, reliability is also
19 paramount. Millions rely on natural gas for basic needs like cooking, bathing, and warming their
20 homes. Businesses of all sizes—including restaurants, industrial facilities, essential services like
21 hospitals, and even electric generators—also rely on natural gas. Investments in reliability, such
22 as cyber defense and integrity assessments, are necessary to avoid disrupting the State’s
23 economy and the lives of millions. The requests in this GRC are intended to maintain reliable
24 and affordable natural gas service during normal operating conditions and periods of stress,
25 including peak demand and abnormal events.

26 This GRC proposal is designed to facilitate the work of the CPUC in ensuring that rates
27 are just and reasonable, and that SoCalGas’s revenue requirement enables it to “furnish and
28 maintain such adequate, efficient, just, and reasonable service, instrumentalities, equipment, and
29 facilities [...] as are necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its

1 patrons, employees, and the public.”⁶ While SoCalGas’s 2024 rate case included proposals
2 focused on accelerating the clean energy transition through innovation and various sustainability
3 initiatives, many of which were ultimately not funded, this GRC focuses on our core business
4 needs. Our application demonstrates a disciplined approach toward minimizing operating costs
5 while limiting investments to those which are necessary and reasonable to provide essential
6 natural gas service, prevent safety incidents, maintain system integrity, meet compliance
7 requirements, and optimize efficiency with a lens on affordability for our customers.⁷ These
8 investments provide lasting value to customers, today and into the future.

9 **II. MAINTAINING SAFE AND COMPLIANT OPERATIONS AT**
10 **REASONABLE COST**

11 **A. Our Integrated, Risk-Informed Approach to Safety and Safety-Related**
12 **Investments**

13 The responsibility to deliver natural gas safely to more than 21 million consumers is a
14 profound one. How we build and operate our infrastructure is governed to a large degree by
15 regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Pipeline and
16 Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), the California Geologic Energy
17 Management Division (CalGem), the CPUC, and other agencies. The investments proposed in
18 this rate request are necessary to maintain compliance with these requirements and to address
19 known risks to public, worker, and infrastructure safety. Indeed, approximately 70% of the
20 capital and operating and maintenance (O&M) expenses requested in this GRC relate to safety,
21 reliability, or maintenance.

22 SoCalGas defines safety as the presence of effective controls for known hazards, the
23 foresight to anticipate and guard against emerging risks, and a continuous drive to improve our
24 ability to recognize and mitigate potential threats. Safety considerations shape the vast majority
25 of operational and investment decisions, from workforce planning to major infrastructure

⁶ Pub. Util. Code § 451.

⁷ When SoCalGas filed its last rate case, our mission was to be the cleanest, safest, most innovative energy infrastructure company in America. This mission was developed in 2019 in direct response to the State’s unprecedented and ambitious climate goals; we believe firmly in the direction it offered and sought resources to support it. Today, although broad support for the clean energy transition remains—and SoCalGas continues to support a transition that is equitable, risk-managed, and provides safe, reliable and affordable energy to our customers—this rate case focuses on affordability and our current, core business.

1 programs. Our 2028 GRC proposals will allow us to continue safety-related investments that are
2 thoroughly considered, data-informed, and aligned to our Safety Management System (SMS), the
3 structured framework through which we manage safety comprehensively, systematically, and in
4 an integrated manner to improve safety performance and strengthen safety culture. The SMS
5 embeds safety into every level of the organization through leadership commitment, employee
6 engagement, risk-informed decision-making, and continuous improvement.

7 A substantial number of requests in this application were initially included in SoCalGas's
8 Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase (RAMP) Report. As explained in the Risk Management
9 Volume, the RAMP Report submitted for this GRC is the most robust report submitted to date.⁸
10 The Commission's risk-based decision-making framework (RDF) has undergone more than a
11 decade of development, and now includes new requirements for tranching, risk quantification,
12 cost benefit methodologies, discount rate sensitivity analysis, mitigation selection transparency,
13 and standardized data templates. Almost half (47%) of the capital and O&M requests in this
14 GRC fund mitigations for key safety risks documented in the RAMP Report. Where applicable,
15 SoCalGas has included benefit-cost ratios in this GRC as data points for each proposed activity
16 that impacts safety. We have also utilized extensive integrity risk modeling where applicable to
17 support our requests.

18 **B. This Rate Case Will Fund Mandated Work That is Essential to Public Safety**
19 **and Infrastructure Safety**

20 SoCalGas seeks to maintain and continuously improve programs that are essential for
21 safe and compliant system operations. Public safety depends on the safety of our operations and
22 infrastructure, highlighting the necessity of these continued investments.

23 SoCalGas's integrity management programs, which are rooted in state and federal
24 requirements and are critical to the safety of our infrastructure, are primary examples of this
25 work. Distribution, storage, and transmission integrity management programs are established in
26 alignment with federal and state regulations to identify threats to pipeline and storage facilities,
27 determine the risk posed by the threats, schedule prescribed assessments to evaluate the threats,
28 identify mitigation measures, execute on those mitigations, and continually collect information
29 about the condition of the pipelines and facilities. These programs are overseen by teams of

⁸ Exhibit (Ex.) SCG-02/SDG&E-02.

1 experienced engineers and operators with expertise in risk management and system operations
2 who are also familiar with the complexities of our system and the unique facets of our vast
3 service territory.

4 As executed, these programs seek to maintain compliance with various gas infrastructure
5 regulations and help to inform prioritization of safety-related projects, including the replacement
6 of riskier segments of our pipeline system. They also successfully reduce the risk of catastrophic
7 incidents like the San Bruno rupture, as well as smaller incidents that could also cause injury and
8 property damage and disrupt system reliability. The potential for incidents involving our
9 medium pressure pipeline system is the top operational and safety risk, and is documented in our
10 RAMP Report, hence investments in distribution integrity management correspond to our top
11 risk.

12 Our witness testimony demonstrates that our integrity management programs are
13 managed in a risk-based, cost-effective manner and deliver measurable benefits.⁹ In 2025, a
14 third-party review of our Transmission Integrity Management Program (TIMP) found that the
15 number of annual assessments performed, timely repairs and mitigation following those
16 assessments, and year-over-year increases in pipeline mileage retrofitted to enable in-line
17 inspection reflect effective planning, continuous improvement, risk mitigation, and
18 public safety benefits.¹⁰

19 In tandem with infrastructure safety, protecting public safety requires adequately-
20 resourced emergency management capabilities and safety-related information campaigns. For
21 example, SoCalGas provides targeted outreach regarding safe practices during construction and
22 home improvement activities (*e.g.*, “*call 811 before-you-dig*”). By educating the public and
23 maintaining adequate workforce for locate and mark activities, we aim to reduce safety risks
24 associated with commonly occurring work near gas infrastructure, a key risk driver. We also
25 regularly disseminate information on appliance safety, leak awareness, and emergency
26 preparedness.

⁹ As discussed in Section VIII below, SoCalGas is also proposing to end the balancing account treatment for the Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) and Storage Integrity Management Program (SIMP) programs. This will prevent later increases in costs for those programs during the GRC cycle, helping to control rates.

¹⁰ See the Gas Engineering & System Integrity testimony, Ex. SCG-03 at Appendix F.

1 Protecting the public also requires a highly trained, customer-facing workforce to render
2 safety-related services in homes and businesses. State law directs gas corporations to engage in
3 leak investigations, customer side piping and appliance inspections, carbon monoxide
4 investigations and pilot relights.¹¹ General Order 58-A implements these mandates by
5 establishing requirements for the inspection and investigation of gas appliance conditions and
6 customer premise safety. Our application seeks the revenue requirement necessary to meet these
7 requirements and keep customers safe in their homes and businesses.

8 **C. Proposed Activities Specifically Promote Employee and Contractor Safety**
9 **Aligned to Evolving Conditions and Safety Culture Objectives**

10 SoCalGas has an integrated portfolio of research-driven, industry standard, and fit-for-
11 purpose initiatives that support safe work execution through emphasis on preparation,
12 identification and mitigation of risk, learning, and accountability. Examples of investments in
13 this area include programs designed to reduce the probability of injuries that are the most
14 common cause of lost time (*i.e.*, sprains, strains and poor body mechanics), programs that
15 increase awareness of potentially life-altering hazards, driver-facing cameras and other tools to
16 improve the safety of our over 4,000 unit fleet, and resources to enhance safety culture—
17 including programs for which the CPUC and outside experts have voiced strong support.

18 Qualified workforce proposed in this GRC includes field safety specialists and union-
19 represented safety advisors to provide readily accessible safety support to our frontline, enabling
20 observations and coaching, and assistance with mitigation of identified hazards. Our safety
21 focus also extends to contractors, with our Contractor Safety Program strengthening alignment
22 between SoCalGas and contracted crews by establishing clear and consistent expectations and
23 performance monitoring, consistent with the CPUC’s directives in the recent safety culture
24 proceedings.

25 In addition, given the rise in hostile incidents against utility workers, and with customers
26 expressing growing concern over bad actors disguising themselves as utility employees, we
27 recently launched a multimedia campaign to educate customers on the types of work we perform
28 in their communities and how to identify SoCalGas employees engaged in such work.

¹¹ Pub. Util. Code § 963.

1 Collectively, these efforts and others—all aligned to our SMS—help to establish shared
2 norms around safety, reduce avoidable incidents, and support safe and dependable service to our
3 customers.

4 **III. MAINTAINING SYSTEM RELIABILITY**

5 The natural gas system is an integral and indispensable component of Southern
6 California’s energy ecosystem. System reliability is essential for Californians to meet basic daily
7 needs, such as cooking, bathing, and heating their homes. Natural gas system reliability also
8 underpins the stability of commercial and industrial operations across the region, supporting
9 businesses, hospitals, and other essential institutions that depend on consistent energy supply to
10 function. Moreover, a reliable natural gas system plays a key role in electric generation,
11 particularly during periods when renewable energy resources are limited or unavailable. Today,
12 natural gas accounts for over 40% of in-state electric generation.¹² The activities proposed in
13 this GRC continue to fund baseline operations necessary for reliability, including the availability
14 of a qualified workforce to maintain the system and address any issues promptly and safely.

15 SoCalGas’s extensive network of transmission and distribution pipelines are key to
16 California’s energy reliability and affordability. They not only deliver natural gas to individual
17 customer locations but provide access to many of the largest gas supply basins. Having that
18 access allows flexibility to buy and deliver supplies from the lowest cost basins, thereby
19 mitigating price spikes attributable to significant weather events or other issues constraining
20 supply at a particular basin or region.

21 Another key component of system reliability is SoCalGas’s storage field assets, which
22 provide operational resiliency and flexibility for the broader energy grid. These facilities enable
23 the system to respond to hourly and daily gas demands that fluctuate and require the ability to
24 perform gas injection or withdrawal functions at any time. Accordingly, storage fields are
25 continually staffed with operating crews and on-call personnel 24 hours per day, 365 days per
26 year, to support critical operations.

27 With minimal instate natural gas production, local underground storage assets for
28 interstate deliveries are critical for maintaining not only reliability, but affordability. These

¹² CEC, *2024 Total System Electric Generation*, available at <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2024-total-system-electric-generation>.

1 assets must be able to supply large volumes of natural gas over short periods or seasonally in
2 response to customer demand from typical seasonal weather patterns, extreme daily weather,
3 unforeseen pipeline maintenance, or temporary reductions in interstate supplies driven by
4 weather or pipeline conditions in other parts of the country. The availability of storage fields
5 located in our service territory enhances reliability and flexibility by mitigating the impact of
6 adverse pipeline conditions or gas supply basin disruption. This dependability yields savings for
7 customers, with adequate stored reserves mitigating the impact of constrained supply and
8 commodity price volatility.

9 Often, safety investments have the added benefit of promoting reliability. An example is
10 investments required by Gas Transmission Safety Rule (GTSR) Part 1, Replacements and
11 Hydrotests.¹³ The GTSR is a PHMSA regulation designed and implemented to improve the
12 safety of onshore gas transmission pipelines through enhanced integrity management. The
13 GTSR supports safe operation of the gas transmission system by reconfirming Maximum
14 Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP), effectively validating that a pipeline can be operated
15 safely within verified pressure limits. Because these projects are primarily located in populated
16 areas, timely reconfirmation of MAOP through hydrotesting or replacement is especially
17 important to confirm the pipeline is fit for service at the required pressures and reduce safety
18 risk, while meeting compliance milestones required in the federal regulations. This work also
19 maintains system reliability by confirming that pipelines can operate at the pressure they are
20 designed to handle, and that is necessary to meet customer demand, thereby mitigating service
21 disruptions and safety concerns while supporting affordability.

22 Cybersecurity, identified as SoCalGas's 2nd highest operational and safety risk and
23 included in its RAMP report, is another significant driver of investment necessary to sustain
24 system reliability and safety. Utilities across the country face an increasingly complex threat
25 environment characterized by more frequent and sophisticated cyberattacks on operational
26 technology, including those sponsored by nation-state actors. SoCalGas serves regions with
27 millions of people, a large network of hospitals and first responders, one of the nation's busiest
28 ports, critical military bases, defense contractors, and a diverse array of businesses dependent on
29 natural gas. This risk profile is further elevated by the global visibility associated with major

¹³ Pipeline Safety: Safety of Hazardous Liquid Pipelines, 84 Fed. Reg. 190,52260 (October 1, 2019),
available at: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2019-10-01/pdf/2019-20458.pdf>

1 events like the 2028 Olympics.¹⁴ In the event of a successful cyber-attack, gas service could be
2 significantly disrupted with the system shut down or damaged. Worse yet, the system could be
3 weaponized if the proper protections are not in place. Significant loss of service or a critical
4 safety event could have cascading consequences throughout the State.

5 The cybersecurity investments outlined in this application, together with proposed
6 investments for hardening of physical sites (including sites deemed critical by the U.S.
7 Transportation Security Administration and subject to heightened requirements), are designed to
8 strengthen system protection in alignment with best practices, prevent unauthorized access, and
9 support the ability to detect, respond to, and neutralize threats in order to preserve safety and
10 reliability. Many of the cybersecurity RAMP activities include benefit-cost ratios well over 1,
11 with some showing that every dollar spent on certain activities mitigates over \$200 of negative
12 impact on SoCalGas and its ratepayers.¹⁵

13 **IV. MEETING CUSTOMER NEEDS TODAY AND IN THE FUTURE**

14 SoCalGas must meet its obligation to serve both today and in the future. The proposed
15 activities will position our workforce and operations to maintain safety, reliability and resiliency
16 throughout the 2028 rate case cycle and beyond, delivering on the “*ready for tomorrow*” aspect
17 of our mission.

18 SoCalGas is making targeted investments to strengthen operational resilience and
19 efficiency both near and long-term. Examples include investments aligned to our Climate
20 Adaptation and Vulnerability Assessment (CAVA), which helps to measure vulnerability of
21 critical assets to climate-related hazards so that mitigations are appropriately prioritized. The
22 proliferation of extreme weather events and wildfires require a proactive approach to
23 improvements that protect system resilience.

24 Another future-ready investment is the continued migration of data and applications to
25 cloud technologies, which will enhance resiliency, scalability, and technology lifecycle support,
26 while also improving cost-efficiency and reducing reliance on aging, onsite infrastructure. This

¹⁴ Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (LADWP), *LADWP’s Sixth Annual Utility Trends Briefing on Preparation for the 2028 Olympic Games, Legislative and Program Updates*, LADWP News (January 10, 2024), available at: <https://www.ladwpnews.com/ladwps-sixth-annual-utility-trends-briefing-on-preparation-for-the-2028-olympic-games-legislative-and-program-updates/>.

¹⁵ Benefit Cost Ratios (BCRs) are the CPUC-mandated metric for comparing an activity’s benefit per dollar spent.

1 transition helps mitigate technology obsolescence risks and supports compatibility with modern
2 applications and services, many of which are increasingly only available through cloud
3 integration.

4 SoCalGas must also continue to modernize the gas control center and associated
5 infrastructure via enhancements to Distribution Regulator Stations (DRS) and High-
6 Consequence Area (HCA) methane detection systems.¹⁶ Deploying advanced, real-time
7 monitoring and control capabilities at select locations, paired with improved leak detection along
8 the transmission system, strengthens overall system safety and reliability by providing a more
9 comprehensive, real-time view of the network and enabling faster identification of and response
10 to abnormal operating conditions, promoting both infrastructure and public safety.

11 SoCalGas remains prepared to support California’s long-term climate and energy policy
12 objectives. We recognize that achieving these goals must be balanced with affordability
13 considerations, as the pace and scale of change raise important affordability and reliability
14 considerations for customers. Our existing infrastructure and highly skilled workforce will play
15 a critical role in maintaining reliability, resiliency, and affordability as energy needs change and
16 new technologies emerge.

17 **V. MAINTAINING AFFORDABLE BILLS FOR CUSTOMERS**

18 Southern Californians are facing cost pressures in many aspects of daily life. Although
19 inflation has moderated from post-pandemic peaks observed in 2021–2022, the cost of certain
20 goods and services remains elevated.¹⁷ Even within this context, natural gas continues to be a
21 relatively low-cost energy source, and should be leveraged to promote overall energy
22 affordability. Investment in the gas system as proposed in this GRC will support affordability in
23 the near term by maintaining reliable, cost-effective service. Maintaining a safe and reliable
24 system also supports California’s long-term climate and energy policy objectives by providing
25 the flexibility needed to support the broader energy system as it evolves.

¹⁶ Authorized in D.19-09-051 and D.24-12-074.

¹⁷ BLS, “Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U): All items in U.S. city average, all urban consumers, not seasonally adjusted,” 2016-2025.

1 In Southern California, on average, natural gas serves more than 60% of household
2 energy needs but represents less than 30% of total household energy cost.¹⁸ For SoCalGas
3 customers in particular, average residential bills typically rank in the top quartile of lowest bills
4 among peer utilities.¹⁹ Moreover, our residential bills are a low percent of wallet share,
5 representing only 0.6% of the median household income in California—helping to keep overall
6 energy costs lower for those less able to afford it.²⁰ Although natural gas service is affordable
7 relative to other household expenses, we are not complacent.²¹

8 SoCalGas recognizes that any increase in customer bills is meaningful and remains
9 focused on managing the costs within its control while continuing to meet essential safety,
10 reliability, and compliance requirements. SoCalGas has taken many actions over the last rate
11 case cycle to continuously improve the way we do business in order to optimize efficiency,
12 reduce operating costs, and moderate cost pressures for customers, while maintaining safety and
13 reliability. Through the testimony of our witnesses, we identify and explain some of the most
14 impactful efforts. These efficiency gains were viewed positively in a CPUC-ordered evaluation
15 conducted by Accenture. The study concluded: “Based on interviews and documentation
16 reviewed, SoCalGas has made progress in embedding efficiency as a core operating principle
17 across the strategic areas addressed in this study and in capturing the value of initiatives.

¹⁸ Household energy use based on 6,424 kWh for Southern California Edison and 358 therms for SoCalGas from CEC, “2019 California Residential Appliance Saturation Study (RASS)”. Energy conversion factor based on 29.3 kWh per therm. Natural gas residential rate of \$1.69 per therm provided by CEC staff for SoCalGas residential rates (2023\$), and electric rate of 30.416 cents per kWh based on 2024 IEPR Demand Forecast Southern California Edison residential rate (2023\$). Average bills do not include fixed charges.

¹⁹ AGA, *Annual Report of Volumes, Revenues, and Sales Consumers by Company, (1997-2024)*, available at: <https://www.aga.org/research-policy/resource-library/annual-report-of-volumes-revenues-and-customers-by-company-2002-2020/>. SoCalGas ranked 9th or better for 27 out of 28 years compared to a peer group of 50 largest U.S. gas corporations by number of residential consumers.

²⁰ See n.4, *supra*.

²¹ SoCalGas also offers a suite of programs to provide direct bill relief to customers experiencing financial hardship, and to promote efficient use of energy to help all customers manage bills. Collectively, these programs enhance affordability, advance equity across communities, and help families heat their homes and cook meals with less financial strain.

1 SoCalGas has also successfully responded to regulatory requirements and, in some cases,
2 exceeded requirements to uncover efficiency opportunities[.]”²²

3 SoCalGas’s GRC application considered the economic realities for households and
4 businesses and the need to balance necessary infrastructure investments with affordable rates.
5 Collectively, the investments promote energy affordability, safety and reliability—both today
6 and longer term—creating value for customers.

7 Specifically, a safe and reliable pipeline system gives SoCalGas customers the benefit of
8 access to multiple gas supply basins, promoting access and pricing flexibility. Similarly,
9 California’s underground storage facilities are a vital source of daily energy supply, which is
10 especially critical in times of overall strained energy. This was illustrated during Winter Storm
11 Fern in January 2026. SoCalGas’s storage capacity allowed Southern Californians to mitigate
12 exposure to price volatility caused by high demand in other parts of the country.²³

13 The value created through investments in safe and reliable infrastructure is enduring.
14 Natural gas infrastructure is not expected to need significant expansion to meet future energy
15 needs, with investments primarily tied to safety, reliability, and compliance—the same
16 investments required to maintain the system present day. Moreover, because pipelines are
17 primarily underground, exposure to severe weather and other damaging conditions is mitigated,
18 making these assets useful over a long period of time. Reliable natural gas infrastructure will
19 also continue to support cost-effective, reliable electricity in California. As a dispatchable
20 energy source, natural gas complements intermittent solar and wind, supplying 40% of in-state
21 electric generation in 2024.²⁴

22 In summary, natural gas remains one of the lowest-cost energy options, providing
23 relatively low monthly costs, helping moderate overall energy expenses, and supporting energy
24 reliability during periods of constrained supply or high demand. To keep rates affordable,
25 SoCalGas is focused on managing the costs within its control without compromising safe and

²² See Ex. SCG-30/SDGE-36, Appendix E.

²³ Analyzed based on daily SoCalGas storage withdrawals as reported on ENVOY and a comparison of Henry Hub and SoCal Citygate prices as reported by Natural Gas Intelligence for January 23, 2026, through January 31, 2026.

²⁴ CEC, *2024 Total System Electric Generation*, available at: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2024-total-system-electric-generation>.

1 reliable service. Those efforts, some of which are noted in this policy testimony, are explained
2 in detail in our witness testimony and workpapers.

3 **VI. REVENUE REQUIREMENT, BILL IMPACT, AND KEY DRIVERS**

4 **A. Revenue Requirement and Bill Impact of Rate Case Proposals**

5 To meet the operational needs of SoCalGas presented throughout this application,
6 SoCalGas requests that the Commission authorize a test year revenue requirement of \$5.1 billion
7 to be effective January 1, 2028. If approved, this revenue requirement would be an increase of
8 \$485 million (or 10.5%) over the estimated 2027 revenue requirement.²⁵

9 If the 2028 revenue requirement identified above is approved by the Commission, an
10 average non-CARE²⁶ residential customer (using 35 therms per month) can expect a bill increase
11 of \$5.67 per month (or +7.7%), as compared to estimated 2027 rates. CARE customers can
12 expect a bill increase of \$3.26 per month (or +7.8%), as compared to estimated 2027 rates.
13 These increases are less than half the increase requested in the prior two GRCs.

14 SoCalGas also proposes a post-test year (PTY) ratemaking mechanism for 2029, 2030,
15 and 2031 that differentiates between O&M expenses and capital-related costs through a two-part
16 attrition mechanism. This approach is intended to better align authorized revenues with the
17 distinct underlying cost drivers. As explained in the post-test year witness testimony, under this
18 framework, the PTY O&M revenue requirements would be adjusted by applying a utility
19 inflation-based escalator to the authorized test year O&M levels, including a separate adjustment
20 for medical expenses. This reflects the generally stable and predictable relationship between
21 O&M costs and revenue requirement that makes a simple PTY mechanism based on revenue
22 requirement escalation appropriate for O&M. In contrast, capital-related revenue requirements
23 would be updated by escalating the authorized test year capital additions forecast rather than
24 applying a simple escalation to the revenue requirement. This distinction recognizes that capital
25 costs evolve in a non-linear manner, driven by the timing and cumulative nature of investment
26 placed in service over the rate cycle. By tying PTY adjustments to capital additions, the
27 mechanism more accurately captures the revenue needed during the PTY period. The proposed
28 post-test year revenue requirement increases are \$315 million (6.2%) in 2029, \$312 million

²⁵ Refer to the Summary of Earnings testimony, Ex. SCG-27.

²⁶ California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE).

1 (5.8%) in 2030, and \$314 million (5.5%) in 2031. The proposed approach aligns funding with
2 capital investment needs and ongoing operations and maintenance requirements.

3 It is essential that authorized test year activities continue to be funded in the post-test
4 years, as these efforts predominantly support critical safety and reliability objectives. To aid the
5 assessment of this request, particularly given the complexity associated with capital additions
6 impacts, SoCalGas is providing detailed forecasts for all capital projects planned in the post-test
7 year period in its testimony and supporting workpapers. These forecasts enhance transparency
8 and illustrate the need for the proposed post-test year mechanism to ensure continuity of funding
9 for approved activities during the GRC cycle.

10 VII. KEY COST DRIVERS

11 We recognize that any increase must be well-reasoned, and as such, testimony addressing
12 direct costs includes additional context regarding cost drivers and actions taken to mitigate.²⁷
13 Key cost drivers in this rate case include baseline costs for safety and reliability, technology that
14 improves safety and efficiency, and necessary (but growing) costs like insurance. By way of
15 example:

- 16 • **Targeted Infrastructure Investments.** Risk-informed investments in our
17 infrastructure—including needs identified through our integrity management
18 programs, pipeline safety programs, and compliance obligations—support public
19 safety and system reliability both short and long term. These activities include the
20 inspection, repair, replacement, and modernization of pipelines. For this GRC
21 period, SoCalGas also plans integrity investments on high priority, high pressure
22 distribution pipelines. For distribution pipelines, programs like DIMP and leak
23 abatement aim to prevent and fix leaks, while the locate-and-mark program helps
24 avoid pipeline damage during excavation. Compressor station modernization
25 projects also support reliability and compliance.
- 26 • **Technology-Enabled Operations and Productivity Improvements.** Continued
27 investment in IT, digital tools and system modernization is needed to support

²⁷ This information is also provided in compliance with D.24-12-074, OP 12 (“Southern California Gas Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall utilize the results of their Affordability Metric calculations to continue seeking ways to make their rates more affordable for the customers most impacted by their proposed rate increases. They shall also include information on the actions taken to make rates more affordable in their next general rate case.”).

1 SoCalGas operations, workforce productivity, and customer service. These
2 investments include replacement of aging systems, communication and controls
3 systems for grid and asset management, and customer applications. Examples are
4 tools that support workforce planning and forecasting, scheduling and dispatch
5 optimization, data analytics, and customer tools for self-services and billing.

- 6 • **Cybersecurity.** The significance and frequency of cyber threats facing utilities is
7 escalating. To safeguard the gas system, SoCalGas employs a number of
8 defenses, including perimeter and internal defenses, protection of sensitive data,
9 security for operational technology systems, lifecycle management to address
10 obsolescence risks, and advanced threat mitigation capabilities.
- 11 • **Insurance.** A significant driver of increased operating expense in this application
12 is insurance, as rates for liability coverage have risen over the last rate case cycle
13 driven by a more complex and costly litigation environment, and the need for
14 wildfire coverage triggered by the 2025 Los Angeles wildfires and ensuing
15 litigation. Coverage must be adequate to protect ratepayers.
- 16 • **Medical.** SoCalGas offers medical insurance coverage to employees but has
17 experienced significant upward pressure in medical costs. Industry surveys
18 indicate that medical cost trends will continue to exceed historical norms.
- 19 • **Efficient Field Process.** This rate case will support continued improvements in
20 processes like leak management pursuant to our Natural Gas Leak Abatement
21 Program, reducing the duration that non-hazardous leaks remain open, thereby
22 lowering the long-term cost of managing leak inventory. Further, expanded
23 consolidation and streamlining of required field activities will reduce duplication
24 and lower operating cost, with an approximate \$9.5 million annual labor cost
25 avoidance.

26 SoCalGas will continue to leverage technology and process improvements to manage
27 capital and operating expenses efficiently while sustaining safety, compliance, and reliability
28 through the activities in this GRC.

29 **VIII. PROPOSED EARNINGS SHARE MECHANISM**

30 To further support affordability and allow customers to benefit from strong operational
31 performance, SoCalGas proposes a symmetrical earnings sharing mechanism that maintains

1 incentives for efficient utility operations while providing customers with more timely benefits
2 when performance is strong. The mechanism also includes safeguards to ensure fairness in the
3 case of extraordinary events. As explained in the post-test year ratemaking testimony, this
4 symmetrical sharing framework is designed to balance ratepayer protection with maintaining
5 appropriate incentives for cost discipline and operational performance. The proposed
6 mechanism would apply during each of the post-test years (2029 through 2031). At the end of
7 each post-test year, this sharing mechanism would provide ratepayers a share of productivity
8 benefits while still providing SoCalGas a fair opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return,
9 with a reciprocal structure applying in the event of significant downside.

10 **IX. RESPONSIVENESS TO CPUC INPUT**

11 Following the decision in the last GRC, SoCalGas considered where adjustments in the
12 case presentation could better align with CPUC expectations and made several modifications
13 accordingly.

14 First, the Commission expressed concern with the “proliferation of regulatory accounts.”
15 In this GRC, SoCalGas does not request to create any new balancing accounts. In fact,
16 SoCalGas is proposing to close six balancing accounts. This includes the DIMP and SIMP
17 balancing accounts, which SoCalGas is proposing to convert to base business given the more
18 predictable nature of the costs for those programs. The same is true for SoCalGas’s natural gas
19 leak abatement program, which we propose to move to base business. Any cost pressures that
20 arise associated with the execution of these programs will be managed within SoCalGas’s overall
21 revenue requirement, thus benefiting ratepayers.

22 Second, to highlight where efficiencies and savings are expected to result from certain
23 activities, all cost testimony includes a section on affordability and efficiencies. These sections
24 identify activities within that respective witness area where ratepayers are receiving particular
25 value from SoCalGas’s operation.

26 Third, to streamline the presentation of testimony, SoCalGas has reduced the number of
27 witness areas. In the 2024 GRC, SoCalGas had 44 witness areas for direct testimony. In this
28 GRC, SoCalGas reduced the witness areas to 30. In addition, SoCalGas has identified more
29 witnesses that have broader areas of responsibility than in the past, allowing witnesses to discuss
30 more issues without needing to direct questions to other witnesses. We have also brought

1 business justification and cost information together rather than offering business justification
2 throughout the application while addressing costs in a separate section.

3 We appreciate the lessons learned and hope this presentation makes the CPUC's work
4 simpler and more expedient.

5 **X. CONCLUSION**

6 SoCalGas's general rate case application presents a disciplined, transparent, and
7 reasonable request grounded in our core mission to safely, reliably, and affordably serve 21
8 million consumers. The proposed investments are carefully targeted to sustain system integrity,
9 protect public and worker safety, maintain compliance with federal and state regulations,
10 enhance reliability, and manage evolving risks, while reflecting a continued commitment to cost
11 control, operational efficiency, and affordability. Through workforce optimization and
12 technologies, SoCalGas is delivering measurable value to customers today while preparing
13 responsibly for tomorrow. We respectfully request that the Commission approve this application
14 so that SoCalGas can continue to provide essential energy service in a manner that is prudent,
15 efficient, and fully aligned with the needs of the communities we serve.

16 This concludes my prepared direct testimony.

1 **XI. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Rodger Schwecke. I am employed by Southern California Gas Company
3 (SoCalGas) as the Interim President and Chief Operation Officer. My business address is 555
4 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California, 90013. I have worked in a variety of positions since I
5 began working at SoCalGas and its affiliates in June 1983, including SVP/Chief Infrastructure
6 Officer, Vice President Transmission and Storage, Vice President Customer Solutions, General
7 Manager/Vice President, Vice President Marketing, Senior Pipeline Products Manager, Project
8 Manager, Account Executive Supervisor, Market Planner Analyst, and Energy Systems
9 Engineer. During my employment, I have been responsible for various aspects of utility
10 development and operations, sales and marketing, regulatory matters, and customer relations.

11 I graduated in 1983 from California State University, Long Beach, with a Bachelor of
12 Science in Chemical Engineering. I have previously testified before the California Public
13 Utilities Commission.