BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION



STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES JESSICA T. HECHT and MARCELO POIRIER, co-presiding

)	EVIDENTIARY
)	HEARING
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	Investigation
)	19-06-016
)	
)	
)))))))))))))))

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT
Virtual Proceeding
March 17, 2021
Pages 154 - 290
Volume 2

Reported by: Karly Powers, CSR No. 13991 Jason A. Stacey, CSR No. 14092 Shannon Ross, CSR No. 8916

1	I N D	E X	
2	WITNESSES:		PAGE
3	WIINESSES:		PAGE
4	MARGARET FELTS Cross-Examination I	Resumed By Mr	158
5	Stoddard	tesumed by Mr.	130
6	MARGARET FELTS Cross-Examination I	Resumed By Mr.	215
7	Stoddard		213
8			
9			
10	Exhibits: Iden	n. Evid.	
11		32	
12	SoCalGas-73 28	33 33	
13		33	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	VIRTUAL HEARING
2	MARCH 17, 2021 - 10:01 A.M.
3	* * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE POIRIER: We
5	will be on the record.
6	This is ALJ Marcelo Poirier, and
7	this is day two of the evidentiary hearings
8	for Investigation 19-06-016, the Aliso Canyon
9	Investigation. Again, this is March 17th,
10	2021, day two. We are continuing with
11	evidentiary hearings.
12	Yesterday we left off with SoCalGas
13	cross-examining Ms. Felts, witness for SED.
14	We'll continue with that, but I want to check
15	with everybody if there's any housekeeping
16	matters before we start.
17	(No response.)
18	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. I don't see any.
19	One thing I wanted to close the book
20	on was yesterday, Mr. Gruen, you made a
21	motion to withdraw the motion to strike the
22	motion to compel, I believe, that was on
23	March 1st, 2021, motion to strike; is that
24	correct?
25	MR. GRUEN: Yes, your Honor. That is
26	indeed correct.
27	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. We'll go ahead and
28	grant that motion to withdraw that motion to

```
strike. So that is set.
 1
 2.
              Mr. Stoddard, I saw your hand.
          MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
 3
    One other housekeeping question. Are there
 5
    -- for the court reporters, are there going
 6
    to be daily transcripts available by any
 7
    chance, or is it just going to be at the end
    of the proceeding?
 9
          ALJ POIRIER: Could we get Mr. Stacey
    to weigh in on that, please?
10
11
          THE COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, it's
12
    nearly impossible for me to talk and be on
    the record. Can I request that we go off the
13
    record?
14
15
          ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
16
    Thank you.
17
              (Off the record.)
18
          ALJ POIRIER: We'll be back on the
19
    record.
              While we were off the record, we had
2.0
21
    a clarification on the timing of the daily
22
    transcripts. They will be available in no
    more than five days sometimes before.
23
24
              Do we have any further housekeeping
25
    matters?
26
              (No response.)
27
          ALJ POIRIER: Hearing none,
28
    Mr. Stoddard, are you ready?
```

1	MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor.
2	ALJ POIRIER: Ms. Felts, are you ready?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm ready.
4	ALJ POIRIER: And, Ms. Felts, I want to
5	remind you of the attestation that you did
6	yesterday. Those terms continue to apply.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	Margaret Felts,
9	resumed the stand and testified further as
10	follows:
11	ALJ POIRIER: With that, Mr. Stoddard,
12	you can proceed.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED
14	BY MR. STODDARD:
15	Q Good morning.
16	A Good morning.
17	Q So, again, just to kind of
18	reiterate a few of the questions from
19	yesterday. Is there anybody in the room with
20	you today?
21	A No.
22	
22	Q Okay. I'm asking that question, of
23	Q Okay. I'm asking that question, of course, because we're holding these hearings
23	course, because we're holding these hearings
23 24	course, because we're holding these hearings remotely.
232425	course, because we're holding these hearings remotely. Do you have any materials with you

A No.
Q And, Ms. Felts, we talked yesterday
about the attestation regarding the parties
recording, by video or audio, these
proceedings. And the parties have agreed not
to do that. However, that doesn't apply to
third parties that may be recording these
proceedings or may try to.
Ms. Felts, do you consent to having
these proceedings recorded by audio or video?
A No.
Q Thank you, Ms. Felts.
Ms. Felts, do you know which
agencies are involved with the regulation of
gas storage operations in the state of
California?
A The Department of Oil and Gas. I
A The Department of Oil and Gas. I think they're called CalGEM now. And the
-
think they're called CalGEM now. And the
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Air
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Air Resources Board, The Air Quality Management
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Air Resources Board, The Air Quality Management District. Those are the ones in the state
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Air Resources Board, The Air Quality Management District. Those are the ones in the state that I can think of.
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Air Resources Board, The Air Quality Management District. Those are the ones in the state that I can think of. Q How about the federal level?
think they're called CalGEM now. And the Public Utilities Commission, The Regional Water Quality Control Board, The Air Resources Board, The Air Quality Management District. Those are the ones in the state that I can think of. Q How about the federal level? A PHMSA for aboveground piping and

what's your understanding of the PUC's
jurisdiction relative to DOGGR now CalGEM?
A Well, DOGGR now CalGEM has the
authority to regulate the underground storage
area and wells. Specifically the
construction and maintenance of the wells and
I suppose operation.
The PUC regulates the utility and
all of their activities including the
operations of the underground storage
facility, the aboveground piping, and the use
of the storage facility for storage of gas
injection and withdrawal.
Q To paraphrase DOGGR would be the
agency with primary jurisdiction over
downhole gas storage operations in
California; correct?
MR. GRUEN: Objection, your Honor.
Mischaracterizes testimony.
MR. STODDARD: If we could refer to
Exhibit 35, please. Lines page 234,
line 21. And I ask:
Ms. Felts, do you know
which agencies are involved
willell agelieles are illivorved
with gas storage operations
with gas storage operations

1	is the primary agency. I
2	would expect the California
3	Energy Commission might
4	have some interest of the
5	secondary.
6	And then you refer to:
7	The Public Utilities
8	Commission because they're
9	operated by the utilities.
10	The Air Board probably has
11	an interest because of air
12	emissions and possibly
13	local water boards because
14	of potential water
15	discharge from separators
16	and that sort of thing on
17	the surface and probably
18	also for groundwater
19	contamination.
20	And then down on line 19:
21	"Question: Which agency do you
22	believe has primary jurisdiction over
23	downhole gas storage and gas storage wells?
24	"Answer, Department of Oil and Gas."
25	ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, can you
26	please restate the original question, please?
27	MR. STODDARD: That I just read from?
28	ALJ POIRIER: No. Your original

cross-examination question. 1 2 MR. STODDARD: I'd have to have the court reporter read it back to me. 3 ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record. 4 (Off the record.) 5 ALJ POIRIER: we'll be back on the 6 7 record. I'm going to overrule the objection 8 9 and Ms. Felts can answer the question. 10 THE WITNESS: The Department of Oil and 11 Gas. BY MR. STODDARD: 12 13 Thank you. Would you please refer to Exhibit-73? Ms. Felts, do you recognize 14 15 this document? 16 No. Α ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, can you 17 18 describe the document please? BY MR. STODDARD: 19 Yes. This is a later dated 2.0 January 5th, 2016. And it's to Alan K. 21 22 Mayberry, the deputy and associate 23 administrator for policy and programs with 24 The Pipeline and Hazardous Material Safety 25 Administration. And it is from Elizaveta 26 27 Malashenko. If you can please scroll down to 28 the last page. It is from Elizaveta

Malashenko, Director of Safety Enforcement 1 Division with the California Public Utilities 2. Commission. 3 And if you could go back to the 4 5 first page, please. In the lower right-hand corner, it's marked SoCalGas-73.001 as the 6 7 first page, and it goes through 003. And, Ms. Felts, this is a document 8 9 that's available from the PUC Aliso Canyon 10 web page. Have you not seen this document 11 before? 12 A No. 13 Okav. If we can please scroll down to the bulleted list, and -- thank you. 14 this describes the efforts to stop the leak 15 16 following the Aliso Canyon incident. Do you 17 see that, Ms. Felts? 18 A Yes. 19 Okay. And it describes the 2.0 California Department of Conservation Division of Oil Gas and Geothermal Resources 21 22 as the lead agency on efforts to stop the 2.3 leak, and it's providing technical oversight over the well kill efforts. Do you see that, 24 25 Ms. Felts? 26 Α Yes. 27 And then if you move down to the last bullet, it states: 2.8

1		The PUC is providing
2		overall industry expertise
3		and is particularly focused
4		on working with the CEC to
5		identify and pursue all
6		viable options to maximize
7		gas withdrawal rates at the
8		Aliso Canyon facility.
9		Do you see that,
10		Ms. Felts?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And then finally it says:
13		There are numerous
14		activities going on every
15		day to provide oversight
16		over SoCalGas's efforts to
17		stop the leak. These
18		include daily technical
19		briefings, data requests,
20		site visits, and directives
21		issued by agencies of
22		SoCalGas.
23		Do you see that?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Ms. Felts, were you generally aware
26	of the pro	esence of regulators at the facility
27	and in re	lation to the leak following the
28	incident?	

Was I generally aware at the time? 1 Α 2 0 Yes. Well, the only information I had 3 was whatever was in the news and the little 4 e-mails that I got from signing up with 5 SoCalGas for news. So whatever was reported 6 7 in those things, I would have been generally aware of. But I don't specifically remember 8 9 anything. And in the course of working with 10 11 SED in connection with this proceeding, since the date of the middle of your opening 12 testimony, have you become aware of the 13 regulatory agencies' activities with respect 14 15 to oversight of the leak response and the 16 well-kill operation? I have become aware of some of them 17 18 because I've seen e-mails back and forth and 19 I've seen ARB -- I mean, Air Resources Board -- no, Regional Air Quality Control 20 District data from air monitoring. I haven't 21 really focused on this issue. So if I saw 22 23 something, it was just in passing. 24 Okay. So you didn't specifically, as part of your investigation, consider to 25 what degree regulators or staff from agencies 26 27 like DOGGR or the PUC may have been present in or planned in the participation of the 2.8

1	leak response?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q I wonder if you could please scroll
4	down, and you see here in the last paragraph
5	where it says: "At the conclusion of the
6	CPUC's staff investigation, the Commission
7	will have several enforcement options
8	depending on what violations, if any, are
9	identified.
10	"The options can include issuance
11	of a staff citation or opening a formal
12	Commission proceeding to determine fines and
13	penalties; however, at this point the
14	investigation is still in the very early
15	stages of the final assessment of the cause
16	of the leak. The damage generated will
17	necessarily need to wait until the leak
18	stops. The CPUC will release the results of
19	our staff investigation immediately upon its
20	completion."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Are you aware of the results of the
24	PUC's staff investigation being released?
25	A No.
26	Q Okay. Thank you.
27	Ms. Felts, SED served, as part of
28	the exhibits in advance of these hearings, a

```
corrected or amended version of your
 1
    testimony; is that correct?
 2.
              That's the first one we looked at
 3
    yesterday?
 4
              I don't recall. You know, it's the
 5
    one that includes the redline to various
 6
 7
    portions of the document.
          Α
              Yes.
 8
          MR. STODDARD: Yes.
 9
10
              Mr. Moshfegh, if we could refer to
11
    SoCalGas Exhibit 47, please.
              And, Ms. Felts, those redlines
12
    included amendments related to withdrawal of
13
    certain violations that had been identified
14
15
    in your opening testimony; isn't that
16
    correct?
17
          A
              Yes.
18
          MR. STODDARD: And, Mr. Moshfegh, if we
19
    could please turn to page 38, but before we
2.0
    do that, let me describe the document
21
    briefly. Again, just because we've already
    discussed this one yesterday, but just to
22
    confirm.
23
24
              Ms. Felts, this is a copy of your
          0
    opening testimony. It's marked, "SoCalGas
25
    47.001," and this is the amended version of
26
27
    it: correct?
2.8
          Α
              Yes.
```

```
If we could turn to page 38,
 1
   please. Ms. Felts, do you see here in this
 2
 3
   paragraph that starts with "Section 451"?
              "The Section 451 violation began
 4
    November 13, 2015, the day SoCalGas
 5
 6
    unsuccessfully executed the second well-kill
 7
    attempt without modeling and continued
    through February 11th, 2016, the day of the
 8
 9
    successful relief well kill attempt.
10
              "Because the second through sixth
11
    well-kill attempts should have been
12
    successful with proper modeling, shareholders
    should be required to pay all expenses
13
14
    associated with each one.
15
              "Also, because relief well was
16
    started December 4th, 2016, after the second
    well-kill attempt, the relief well would not
17
18
    have been needed had the second well-kill
    attempt been properly modeled. As such,
19
    shareholders should be required to pay all
20
21
    expenses associated with the relief well."
22
              And then the language that is
    stricken there, "SoCalGas's failure to
2.3
    provide well-kill programs for Relief Well
24
25
    No. 2, Well SS-25A, and Well SS-25B. Each
    constitute one violation of Section 451 for a
26
27
    total of three violations."
2.8
              Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
```

A 1 Yes. 2 And that language there is stricken because it is withdrawn; is that correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 Isn't it the case, 5 Okav. Ms. Felts, that you withdrew this violation 6 7 because they were in your view potentially a good idea, but not feasible in practice? 8 9 MR. GRUEN: Objection, your Honor. I'd 10 like to note this line of cross is moving 11 down the road of asking questions about an 12 issue which is now moot and no longer part of 13 the proceeding. 14 MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, this is a 15 violation that was included in her opening 16 testimony, which she's withdrawn, and the decision-making and the reasons for that 17 18 withdrawal bear on both Ms. Felts' credibility, SED's credibility, and the 19 2.0 process with respect to preparation of their testimony. 21 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, this is Darryl 22 2.3 Gruen for SED. If I may, apparently, SoCalGas's view is that no good deed should 24 25 go unpunished. So, essentially, by 26 withdrawing and trying to streamline the 27 process, now SoCalGas would wish to punish SED and Ms. Felts for its efforts to 2.8

streamline the proceeding. It's a moot 1 2 issue. We should move on. And your Honor should not allow the line of cross. 3 MR. STODDARD: It will not take long. 4 So if streamlining is a concern, I don't 5 think that should be an issue. 6 7 ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Well, I will overrule the objection and allow brief 8 9 questions on this. 10 THE WITNESS: Are you waiting for me? 11 MR. STODDARD: Yes. THE WITNESS: You asked me this 12 yesterday, and we looked at my deposition, I 13 think, where you asked it in a deposition. 14 So I'm not sure what the difference is, but I 15 16 would just refer you to those answers. 17 BY MR. STODDARD: 18 Okay. We can do that. And it wasn't a deposition. We didn't discuss it 19 yesterday, but this, again, is a separate 20 21 record. And so, you know, I apologize that 22 we have to go through this process, but we 23 will refer to your deposition if that's the 24 preference. 25 So if we can please refer to 26 Exhibit 51, 376 -- sorry. That's the 27 wrong -- Exhibit 54, page 376, line 11. 2.8 And, Ms. Felts, this is the

deposition we were discussing yesterday. 1 2. This was your second deposition from February 24th, 2021. 3 Α 4 Okay. Which occurred remotely. And, 5 here, you'll see on line 11: 6 7 "QUESTION: Ms. Felts, moving on, in your -- in your testimonies, since our last 8 9 deposition, you've withdrawn certain violations; is that correct? 10 11 "ANSWER: Yes. "QUESTION: In particular, this 12 includes violations 80 to 82 related to 13 failure to provide well-kill programs to 14 15 Relief Well No. 2, SS-25A, and SS-25B; is 16 that correct? 17 "ANSWER: Yes. 18 "QUESTION: Do you recall the reason 19 for the withdrawal of the violation? 2.0 "ANSWER: Two reasons: One is that 21 violation -- those violations were initially based on statements or 22 23 opinions/recommendations inside the Blade report that I felt were not -- were more good 24 25 practice recommendations, not statements of 26 fact. 27 "And then when I had an opportunity to review data provided by or documents 2.8

provided by SoCalGas, I saw documents that 1 2. would fall into that category, and so I felt like there was -- there was adequate standing 3 in that respect having to do with the 4 relief-well activities after January 2016." 5 6 Do you see that? 7 Α Yes. And to clarify, Ms. Felts, just 8 9 to -- there's two different reasons here, and 10 the part I want to confirm: With respect to 11 the kill plans for SS-25A and SS-25B, your answer there is that having prepared kill 12 plans in advance for those wells, that was an 13 opinion or a recommendation inside the Blade 14 report that you felt was potentially good 15 16 practice, but not feasible; isn't that 17 correct? 18 A Yeah. 19 And with respect to the relief well plan No. 2 -- or rather the plan for Relief 2.0 21 Well No. 2, on that one, your answer was that you ended up finding documents provided by 22 2.3 SoCalGas evidencing that that had been done and that was your basis for the withdrawal of 24 25 that violation; is that correct? 26 Α Yes. 27 And if we can please refer to page 382 of the same document, and this relates to 2.8

```
the feasibility of having -- of having the
 1
    advance kill plans for SS-25A and SS-25B.
 2.
              And, if I may ask, Ms. Felts, can
 3
    you explain briefly why that is not feasible
 4
    in your view?
 5
 6
          MR. GRUEN:
                     I'm sorry, your Honor.
                                               Ιf
 7
    I may - this is Darryl Gruen for SED -
    perhaps, counsel could read to Ms. Felts the
 8
 9
    portion of the deposition transcripts he's
10
    asking about or at least identify the line
11
    number so she can read it.
12
          ALJ POIRIER: Please do so,
    Mr. Stoddard.
13
    BY MR. STODDARD:
14
15
              Ms. Felts, I asked on line 7 --
16
    page 382, line 7: "Can you explain what you
    mean by more good practice rather than
17
18
    statements of fact?"
              And you answered: "I think it's --
19
    I think the idea is good. Everyone would
20
21
    like to have great planning ahead of time,
    but designing well-kill plans that are
22
    specific to the wells prospectively might not
23
    be the best use of time.
24
25
              "QUESTION: Then why is that?
26
              "ANSWER: Well, you can't really --
27
    you can't really forecast all possible
28
   problems that are going to arise. So you can
```

```
have a -- you can have a well-kill plan that
 1
 2.
    says basically the things that are in some of
    the standards that SoCalGas already has, but
 3
    when you start trying to get your arms around
 4
    every possibility of what could happen when
 5
    you're trying to kill a well, I think you
 6
 7
    might run into a problem of never being able
    to cover all possibilities."
 8
 9
              "QUESTION - starting line 2, on
    page 383 - So plans that are generally used
10
11
    would include plans like the routine
    well-kill standard or the emergency well-kill
12
    standard that SoCalGas has in place?
13
14
              "ANSWER: Yes."
              Is there a question?
15
          А
16
              Yeah. I just asked, Did you see
          0
17
    that?
18
          Α
              Yes.
19
              Okay.
                     Thank you.
          Q
2.0
              And, Ms. Felts, in terms of the
21
    reason why -- with respect to your decision
    to withdraw these violations, yesterday you
22
    described, you know, considering the
23
    violations that were identified in the
24
    prepared testimony that you were provided by
25
26
         Did you ask questions about these
27
    violations prior to sponsoring the testimony?
2.8
          A
              No.
```

When do you recall coming to the 1 conclusion that these violations should be 2 withdrawn? 3 Well, I don't remember the exact A 4 date, but sometime right before we withdrew 5 those violations, I had relooked at that 6 after finding the well-kill plan for the 7 relief well, and then I took -- went back and 8 9 looked at the specific wording of that violation and had a discussion with counsel, 10 and we decided pull the violation. 11 Okay. So sometime shortly before 12 service of your sur-reply testimony? 13 14 I don't know. I don't know when communication with -- between SED and 15 16 SoCalGas occurred regarding that. I don't get involved in those communications. 17 18 And, Ms. Felts, in part, your need 19 to withdraw these violations was because you obtained new information that you previously 2.0 weren't aware of; correct? 21 22 That is correct, in part. 23 Okay. And was that due, in part, 0 24 with the difficulties you had accessing data 25 on the Diamond Drive? 26 Α No. 27 What was the difficulty with -- why weren't you able to obtain that information 2.8

```
sooner?
 1
 2.
              I think I came upon this
    information -- it was attachments to e-mails.
 3
   And SoCalGas flooded SED with thousands of
    e-mails. And so, as I was working through
 5
 6
    them, I eventually came across the
 7
    information.
              So those thousands of e-mails were
 8
 9
    produced in response to discovery requests to
10
    SED?
11
          Α
              I think probably in response to DR
    16.
12
13
              And that was the same data request
    that we discussed yesterday as being one
14
    where you were having difficulty accessing
15
16
    the data because of issues with the Diamond
    Drive: correct?
17
18
          A
              It would have been one of the ones
19
    that I needed to have downloaded in order to
2.0
    look at the documents.
21
              Okay. And you would agree -- by
    withdrawing those violations, you would agree
22
    that those violations shouldn't have been
2.3
24
    asserted in the first place?
25
              Well, by withdrawing them, I agree
26
    that they are not good violations. And I
27
    thought they should be withdrawn.
2.8
          Q
              Okay.
                     Thank you.
```

Ms. Felts, if we can turn to 1 2 Exhibit 51, page 129? 3 And while Mr. Moshfegh is loading that, Ms. Felts, you also withdrew violations 4 related to SoCalGas's alleged failure to 5 disclose to the Department of Public Health 6 7 in Los Angeles the natural gas contained crude oil; is that correct? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 And this related to what you 11 initially identified as violation 88 in your 12 opening testimony and reason 16 in your reply testimony to SoCalGas's opening testimony; is 13 14 that correct? 15 A Yes. 16 And what we're showing here is your prepared sur-reply testimony in response --17 18 and this is a combined exhibit of all your sur-reply testimony that was served. And the 19 cover page is showing Chapter 1. 20 21 If you can scroll down briefly for the Bates number, Pejman? 22 2.3 And as you can see here, it's 24 marked SoCalGas Exhibit-51.001. And if we 25 can turn to page 129. 26 And here you state that you're 27 withdrawing violation 88 from your opening 2.8 testimony and reason 16 from your reply

testimony. And the reason that you're 1 2 withdrawing this is because DPH, you found, did in fact have notice of the constituents 3 of the gas coming from the field; isn't that 4 5 correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 Okay. Thank you. Funnily, you also withdrew -- and 8 9 we'll see if we can speed through this one 10 rather than referencing the exhibit. But we 11 can if we need to. You also withdrew violations 89 to 12 92 related to a production of documents to 13 Blade by SoCalGas, which SED and you 14 15 originally asserted in your opening testimony 16 was untimely and late; isn't that correct? 17 A Yes. 18 And you withdrew those violations 19 because you found that the production of 2.0 records to SoCalGas had made -- in an 21 allegedly untimely manner -- didn't impact the Blade RCA Report; isn't that correct? 22 2.3 Α I wasn't directly involved in figuring this out. I think maybe I had a 24 25 conversation with Counsel about it. But my recollection is that we asked Blade if that 26 27 was the case. And Blade said that they were not impacted by the timeliness of the 28

1	responses to their requests, so or data
2	sent to them by SoCalGas. So that was the
3	basis of withdrawing it.
4	Q And you asked Blade after the
5	opening testimony alleged the violation; is
6	that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Ms. Felts, is it possible
9	that there's additional information that you
10	may not have seen, whether it's on the
11	Commission's Diamond Drive or elsewhere,
12	potentially within the position of Blade,
13	that may warrant reconsideration of other
14	violations you've alleged?
15	A I suppose it's always possible.
16	Q Thank you. All right.
17	If we can turn to Exhibit
18	SoCalGas-47, pages 7 and 9?
19	Ms. Felts, do you see where it
20	
	states:
21	states: A root cause for the SS-25
21 22	
	A root cause for the SS-25
22	A root cause for the SS-25 incident was a lack of
22 23	A root cause for the SS-25 incident was a lack of detailed follow-up
22 23 24	A root cause for the SS-25 incident was a lack of detailed follow-up investigation, failure
22232425	A root cause for the SS-25 incident was a lack of detailed follow-up investigation, failure analyses, or RCA of casing

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	These are three different things;
3	right? Fo	ollow-up investigation, failure
4	analyses,	and root cause analysis?
5	A	Well, I mean, they are stated as
6	three item	ns in that sentence.
7	Q	Okay. Can you explain what your
8	understand	ling of follow-up investigation is?
9	A	If you have a leak, then you would
10	investigat	te the cause of it, that being a
11	root cause	e of the leak.
12	Q	Okay. So if you have a leak, you
13	would inve	estigate the root cause through the
14	follow-up	investigation?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. And failure analyses?
16 17		Okay. And failure analyses? Failure analyses would be the
	A	
17 18	A one of man	Failure analyses would be the
17 18	A one of man	Failure analyses would be the
17 18 19	A one of man perform to leak.	Failure analyses would be the
17 18 19 20	A one of man perform to leak.	Failure analyses would be the ry types of analyses that you could ry determine the root cause of the
17 18 19 20 21	A one of man perform to leak. Q A	Failure analyses would be the ny types of analyses that you could determine the root cause of the And root cause analysis?
17 18 19 20 21 22	A one of man perform to leak. Q A all. It's	Failure analyses would be the Ty types of analyses that you could Determine the root cause of the And root cause analysis? Well, I think that just says it
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A one of man perform to leak. Q A all. It's	Failure analyses would be the Ty types of analyses that you could Determine the root cause of the And root cause analysis? Well, I think that just says it So the basic cause of the leak.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A one of man perform to leak. Q A all. It's Q one of man	Failure analyses would be the Ty types of analyses that you could Determine the root cause of the And root cause analysis? Well, I think that just says it So the basic cause of the leak. On failure analysis, you said it's
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A one of man perform to leak. Q A all. It's Q one of man	Failure analyses would be the Ty types of analyses that you could to determine the root cause of the And root cause analysis? Well, I think that just says it to the basic cause of the leak. On failure analysis, you said it's the types analyses that you could do

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

What are the other types? 1 0 2 A Well, you can do lab testing of the seal, if you have access to the casing -- for 3 instance, if you take a piece of the pipe out 4 of the ground. You can also do logs in --5 6 with the piping in place, that could 7 determine the -- whether or not there was corrosion, external or internal, erosion 8 9 internal, whether or not there's water on the 10 outside of the casing, and it's impacting the 11 casing. I don't know. There's probably any 12 number of types of tests or logs that you could do in the well. And --13 14 It doesn't all have to do with, you know, corrosion or water; right? 15 It could 16 have to do with, potentially, a mechanical 17 issue. 18 Would you agree? It could be a mechanical issue. 19 could be, say, a water shutoff, perforation 20 21 that was sealed at the beginning of the well 22

A It could be a mechanical issue. It could be, say, a water shutoff, perforation that was sealed at the beginning of the well that had -- the cement had maybe degraded, so that was a leak that was in the bottom of the well, could be a parted casing, could be various parts of the well casing that just came apart. You know, it could be a bend in the casing that was there all along, that had caused part of the steel to be thin over

I mean, there's just a lot of 1 time. 2. different things that you could be looking for in the well. 3 And it could be an operational 4 issue, as well? 5 6 Α It could be overpressurization, 7 yes. All right. 8 Q 9 In the way that you define these 10 three terms, for follow-up investigation, you 11 described it as if you have a leak, you would 12 investigate the root cause. Failure 13 analyses, you described as one of the many types of analyses to determine root cause. 14 And root cause analysis you describe as 15 16 saying it all. 17 Are these all the different ways of 18 saying "Root cause analysis"? 19 I -- I would say it might be -- I 2.0 think it's depending on how you define "cause analysis," I guess. So I kind of think that 21 22 investigation is the -- has a larger scope 23 than just root causal analysis. The root cause analysis, as Blade used it, included 24 investigation. So I can't really give you 25 26 any more definition than that. 27 Okay. And briefly, Ms. Felts, it 28 might help for the purposes of those that

haven't been living this case for the past 1 2 few years to kind of walk through the configuration of a well, so -- and where --3 how you might look at different pieces of 4 that well. 5 Ms. Felts, can you briefly 6 7 describe, kind of, the relationship of the various casings within -- or casings and 8 tubulars within the wellbore? 9 10 A Okay. 11 First, you start a well by drilling a surface casing and drilling a wire hole and 12 putting in a surface casing and cementing it 13 in. And that is the largest diameter piece 14 of pipe that will go in the ground. And it 15 16 should go to the bottom of groundwater to seal off groundwater from potential 17 18 contamination, and in the future during the operation of a well. 19 Then, you put a smaller 2.0 circumference -- smaller-diameter drill 21 inside of that and drill the next level, 22 23 which will go all the way down to your production zone and install casing as you're 24 25 drilling to the bottom of where you're going to ultimately install a packer and a tube and 26 27 then perforate it. 2.8 The third string in this case is

going to be a tubing that you would install 1 inside of the casing that then goes down to 2. the bottom of the well and goes through the 3 packer and into the storage zone, where 4 you're going to be injecting and withdrawing 5 Then there's all kinds of pieces of 6 7 equipment that you could put inside of the tubing. So, you know, without drawing it...] 8 9 I'm sorry, Ms. Felts. I need to pause for a moment, because I think the court 10 reporter -- no? No issues? 11 I am sorry to interrupt. I saw his 12 hand up, and I thought he needed a moment. 13 14 Α Okay. 15 Continue unless you were finished. 16 Well, I think, you know, without a Α 17 drawing -- so if you're looking at a drawing, 18 you would see the largest circumference -- I mean the largest diameter pipe at the top is 19 the surface casing. Then you would see the 20 21 next pipe casing that goes down to the bottom of the well. And then you would see the 22 23 tubing that goes through the casing. And then at where you want do close off the 24 production zone, there would be a packer, and 25 the tubing extends through the packer down 26 27 into the reservoir. And then you perforate the tubing to be able to inject gas into and 28

withdraw gas out of the storage reservoir. 1 2. What do you mean by "perforate"? A They send a qun down and that 3 literally shoots holes into the tubing so 4 that you can push gas into the surrounding 5 6 sand, which is the gas reservoir. Or if 7 you're withdrawing, then you would pull gas out of that reservoir into the tubing. 9 So you intentionally put holes into the tubing in order to facilitate the flow of 10 11 gas? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 Is there any other reason a gas storage operator might intentionally put 14 holes into either tubing or production 15 16 casing? 17 Α Okay. So as you're drilling a well 18 or nearing the completion of the casing, you might put holes in the casing at a shallower 19 span in order to determine if there's -- put 20 21 holes in the casing at a shallower span in order to determine if there's a viable 22 23 production zone. 24 So in the original construction of a well, you would do that to see if you could 25 26 get gas out of that shallower zone. 27 can't, then you would seal it off. 28 that's to keep water from that zone from

flowing into the well. 1 2. There's -- you might perforate -in the case of Aliso Canyon, you might 3 perforate the tubing above the packer for 4 5 crossover ports. Or you might have a sliding 6 valve there to allow them to open or shut 7 that crossover port. I'm not sure. There's probably other reasons why you would do --9 Q Sure. We can walk --10 (Crosstalk.) 11 Q I'm sorry. I didn't mean to 12 interrupt. 13 Α Go ahead. 14 So you mentioned water shutoff perforations. Can you briefly describe what 15 16 the purpose of a water shutoff hole? 17 To prevent water from coming into Α 18 the well, the casing. That's what the purpose of the 19 2.0 water shutoff hole is? 21 Well, I think so. I think these are perforations that you put in there to 22 determine if there is a viable sand. 23 then you want to shut it off if it's not 24 viable, and you're going to drill deeper to 25 26 cement those. 27 Ms. Felts, can you describe -- you referenced a sliding valve or a sliding 2.8

sleeve; is that correct? I don't want to mix 1 2 up your terminology. 3 Sliding sleeve, yes. What would be the purpose of a 4 0 sliding sleeve? 5 I think they -- at Aliso they were 6 Α 7 installing a sliding sleeve on the tubing in order to allow them to have communication 8 9 between the tubing and the casing or to shut that off. 10 11 0 Okay. So can you describe what a sliding sleeve looks like? 12 It would be pretty hard to 13 No. 14 describe that. But I think it kind of 15 explains itself. You can use a wireline to 16 adjust it to open or close. 17 ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, this is ALJ 18 Poirier. I think it's time for our morning 19 break. 2.0 MR. STODDARD: Okay. ALJ POIRIER: So we will take a break 21 for 15 minutes. So until 11:05. 22 And we will be off the record. 2.3 24 (Off the record.) 25 ALJ POIRIER: We will be back on the record. We just returned from a short 26 27 morning break. And before we left, Mr. Stoddard was cross-examining Ms. Felts. 2.8

And we will continue that. 1 2. Please go ahead Mr. Stoddard. BY MR. STODDARD: 3 Thank you, your Honor. 4 0 Ms. Felts, I think where we left 5 off we were discussing the use of a wireline 6 7 to open or close a sliding sleeve. Can you explain under what sorts of circumstances 8 9 that might be done? 10 I think you asked me that already. 11 But if you want to have communication between 12 the tubing and the casing for instance if you 13 want to inject gas through the casing, it has to ultimately end up in the tubing. So you 14 would want to open the sliding sleeve so the 15 16 gas would go into the tubing and then into the reservoir. 17 18 Or the other way if you wanted to 19 extract through the casing, then you would have to pull it up through the tubing first 20 21 and then have it go into the casing above the 22 packer and then extract the gas from the 23 casing. 24 And would you need to conduct a workover in order to open and close those 25 26 sliding sleeves? 27 I don't think so. A 2.8 Ms. Felts, the sliding sleeve which Q

you've explained was there in order to enable 1 2. communication between the annulus with the production casing and the tubing in order to 3 access the reservoir; correct? 4 5 A Yes. Isn't it the case that the casing 6 0 7 also extends into the reservoir? It can extend into the reservoir, A 8 but there is -- in a tubing packer completion 9 like they had in SS-25, there's a packer in 10 11 there that makes it necessary to inject and 12 remove gas via the tubing. Earlier we were discussing water 13 14 shutoff perforations. And I believe you 15 described them as being there in order to 16 keep water out; is that correct? 17 A Yes. 18 Isn't the purpose of a water shutoff perforation in order to put water 19 2.0 into the annulus in order to pressure test it? 21 I'm not sure. I don't know how you 22 2.3 would do that. The perforations would be the casing between the sand and the casing. So I 24 25 don't know how you would control leaving those open and -- I don't know how you would 26 27 do that. That doesn't make any sense. 2.8 It's possible that it might be

useful in a horizontal well for that purpose. 1 2. But you're not sure? Well, I just -- I can't see how 3 that could be possible. 4 So for holes whether water 5 Okay. 6 shutoff or other types of perforations that 7 an operator would want to plug up in order to keep water out or gas in, they would do that 8 with cement? 9 10 A That's one possibility. They more 11 recently used a gel that they pump into them. 12 Again, I think that's probably more horizontal wells. But maybe a regular 13 production well you could do that. 14 15 And are there circumstances where 16 operators for the -- would leave these holes there? 17 18 Well, they wouldn't be water shutoff valves -- or holes if you leave them 19 2.0 Then they would be allowing whatever's in the sand behind the holes to flow into the 21 22 well. 2.3 Also we discussed the sliding valve. Is that different or the same thing 24 25 as a sliding sleeve? Same thing. I called it a valve. 26 27 I think you corrected me to sliding sleeve. 2.8 I think that's the term that SoCalGas uses.

1	Q But is it a valve?
2	A Not technically. Technically it's
3	not. But anything that opens or closes, a
4	pathway, can be considered a valve.
5	Q Ms. Felts, so these sorts of, you
6	know let's just take an example first.
7	The sliding valve if that were open and an
8	operator ran a log, a temperature log, they
9	might get an indication of a leak; is that
10	correct?
11	A It's possible that that could cause
12	an indication of a leak but not likely I
13	wouldn't think. But because the
14	temperature if it's been open it should
15	the temperature of the gas and the tubing and
16	the casing annulus should be the same.
17	So where you get a temperature
18	anomaly is when you have gas leaking through
19	the hole in the casing not in the tubing.
20	Your sliding sleeve is in the tubing.
21	Q And how about for perforations? If
22	you have perforations, could that result in
23	the indication of a leak?
24	A If you have perforations above the
25	packer, yes. For instance if a water shutoff
26	perforation had degraded and was leaking,
27	then that would show up as a leak.
28	Q And by "degrading," what do you

1 mean? 2. The cement that they've put in there in 1954 or '53 had just degraded over 3 time. So it's no longer completely shutting 4 off those holes. 5 Okay. And what about collars? Can 6 you explain what collars are? 7 Collars are a mechanical part of 8 9 the well construction, the casing. So those could be the source of leaks. Usually it's 10 11 -- I think it's just a matter of tightening 12 them up maybe. I'm not sure. replacing them. 13 14 So it's a mechanical issue? 15 Α Yes. 16 And it wouldn't have anything to do with corrosion; correct? 17 18 A I wouldn't think so. And degradation of cement in 19 2.0 perforations wouldn't have anything to do with corrosion; correct? 21 It could. Because -- it could be 22 23 one of those things where you've lost some of the protection of the casing around the holes 24 from water because the cement has degraded. 25 26 So then the water could potentially cause 27 corrosion on the external part of the -like, even internal -- around the hole. So 2.8

then you could aggravate or hasten the 1 2 leakage problem. Okay. And is it usually apparent 3 if there's an issue with a sliding sleeve 4 about a position, is it usually apparent to 5 6 the operator when they're working on that 7 well if that's an issue? What do you mean by working on the 8 well? 9 Well, if they're inspecting the 10 0 11 well if they are running a log, how would they know if a sliding sleeve is out of 12 13 place? 14 Well, it's either open or closed I And so they either have gas in 15 suppose. 16 tubing only or they have gas coming up through the annulus via that sliding sleeve. 17 18 So, you know, I think they can 19 detect whether it's open or closed. honestly I don't know what their procedures 20 were at SoCalGas, their operating procedures. 21 They could -- when they install the 22 23 tubing and the sliding sleeve, they could annotate that on their operating records so 24 that they know the position of it when they 25 26 put the well back in operation. If they do 27 decide to do a workup from the well, they can pull the tubing and see it. Or they can --28

(Crosstalk.) 1 2 Q Sorry. Continue. No, go ahead. 3 Α Putting aside SoCalGas's 4 0 procedures, I think I'm asking how you -- if 5 6 you need to figure out whether a sliding 7 sleeve were out of position, how you would go about it? 8 9 By looking at data you mean? 10 0 Well, I mean in any manner. I 11 mean, how would you go about figuring out whether a sliding sleeve was out of position 12 13 on a gas storage well? 14 What do you mean by "out of position"? 15 16 Open when you don't intend it to be 17 open. 18 Α Okay. So if it's -- if that's the 19 only way that gas can get into the annulus between the tubing and the casing and you 2.0 21 have gas coming out of the casing that you can assume that that sliding sleeve is open. 22 2.3 And otherwise you consult records and see whether or not it notes it was left 24 25 open or whether it was left closed? 26 That's an option to look back at 27 records. Because if you look at records and it says, "The sliding sleeve was installed 2.8

but closed." But you have gas coming up 1 2. through the casing and there's no other crossover port, which is not true in SS-25. 3 But in a normal well, then you --4 5 you know, you could assume that the gas is 6 coming through a sliding sleeve that was open 7 or some sort of leak in the tubing. And if you ran a temperature log, 8 9 you wouldn't be able to tell from that based 10 on your prior statement whether it was a 11 sliding sleeve or not? I don't think that would show up on 12 13 a temperature log. 14 What about a noise log? 15 Possibly you could get noise. They 16 should note what it is if they're seeing noise at the depth of the sliding sleeve. 17 18 And in order to put it back in place, you simply run a wireline down, hook 19 20 it, and put it back into place; is that 21 correct? That's my understanding of how they 22 23 were doing it on the wells. Okay. Are there other ways that 24 Q you're aware of to do it? 25 26 Α Pull the tubing. 27 Q Okay. 2.8 Α My lights just came on. They've

been off for three days. Somebody fixed 1 2 them. Ms. Felts, your testimony alleges 3 Q 60 violations related to failure to 4 investigate what -- casing failures, prior 5 6 casing failures. And the dates of these 7 violations range from 1969 through the date of the incident; is that correct? 9 Α Yes. And do you review the circumstances 10 of each of these failures? 11 I defer to Blade on those 12 No. I have looked at some of the 13 failures. records and some of the wells -- most of the 14 well files for those failures. But I didn't 15 16 make an effort to check Blade's work. 17 You did make an effort or you 0 18 didn't? I'm sorry. I couldn't hear that. 19 I did not. Α 2.0 You did not. 0 21 I have checked a couple of them, and I didn't really see any real problems 22 2.3 with what they did. Okay. Again, the type of failure 24 notification that we're talking about here 25 26 that you contend SoCalGas should have done is 27 a root cause analysis; that's correct? I think that's a fair statement. 2.8 A

Okay. And, Ms. Felts, would it be 1 0 2. relevant to your assessment of those violations if some of those failures were 3 sliding sleeves that were open when they 4 should have been closed? 5 Well, I say that's a determination 6 7 of a cause. So that would be something to consider. 8 And if SoCalGas's well record 9 indicates that that's the cause, would that 10 11 be a sufficient investigation in your view? Are you talking about the leaks 12 that Blade identified? Or are you just 13 14 talking in general? 15 Hypothetically. Q 16 Hypothetically, if you think you have a leak and you've determined that it's a 17 18 sliding sleeve, then, yes, that should be sufficient. You might want to figure out why 19 it was open if it should have been closed. 20 And you mean in terms of kind of 21 like interviewing people? 22 I don't know. I don't know how you 23 Δ would -- how the investigation would go. But 24 I guess interviewing people, looking at 25 records, looking at well data might be 26 27 involved. 2.8 And would it be relevant to your Q

```
assessment of those violations if some of the
 1
 2
    alleged failures occurred in the course of
    drilling the initial -- of installing the
 3
    initial casing?
 4
              In other words, if it was a
 5
    mechanical issue related to the installation
 6
 7
    of the casing?
          Α
              Yes.
 8
              That would be relevant?
 9
          Q
10
          Δ
              Yes.
11
          Q
              Why?
              Well, if it was shown as a leak and
12
    it was repaired during the installation of
13
    the well, then there's probably some cause
14
15
    that you could determine fairly readily.
16
              But still I think determining the
    cause of any leak is a worthwhile effort so
17
18
    that you don't repeat the problem in the
19
    future.
2.0
              Right. And so a cause could
21
    include here -- the immediate cause, right,
    which would mean a sliding sleeve was out of
22
2.3
    place; correct?
24
          A
              Yes.
25
              And it could also include that
26
    there was a perforation with failing cement;
27
    correct?
2.8
          A
              Yes.
```

1	Q That would be a cause; correct?
2	A That's the immediate cause of why
3	there would be gas leaking or water leaking
4	into the well. But it doesn't necessarily
5	tell you what caused that. So you have to
6	step back further to find out what the root
7	cause is.
8	Q And so what are some potential root
9	causes in your view of degrading cement?
10	A I would say mostly impingement of
11	water on the cement. But possibly any kind
12	of movement jarring or equipment going up and
13	down the casing for say scraping it, erosion
14	from the inside from producing gas that has
15	sand in it. I don't know. There's probably
16	a lot of ways that you could degrade cement
17	and holes in a casing.
18	Q Understood. You mentioned sand.
19	Can you explain a little bit about what sand
20	would do in a well?
21	A Just like sandpaper. If you have
22	gas entrained with sand, it's like a little
23	sand blaster, and it just blasts the inside
24	of the casing.
25	Q And was that an issue at Aliso
26	Canyon?
27	A Yes. At least on some wells it's
28	an issue and SoCalGas was monitoring it. And

```
1
    then --
               (Crosstalk.)
 2.
 3
          Q
              I am sorry. I interrupted you.
    Please finish your statement.
              I show that they had to remove sand
 5
    from the wells.
 6
 7
              So that was a risk that SoCalGas
    was aware of?
 9
          Α
              Yes.
10
              And in your view, did they address
    that risk?
11
              How do you mean?
12
          Α
              Well, did you review records
13
14
    related -- let me ask this differently.
15
              Did you consider SoCalGas's
    assessments and response to sand erosion in
16
    the course of your investigation?
17
18
          A
              Yes.
              And as you said a moment ago, it
19
2.0
    was a risk that SoCalGas was aware of?
21
          Α
              They were monitoring it.
              And what did they do about it?
22
2.3
              Well, it's -- they were monitoring
    the impact of the sand erosion on the inside
24
25
    of the well casing all the way up to, I
26
    believe, the piping at the top of the well.
27
    And I assume if they determined that there
    was significant erosion which would thin the
2.8
```

casing or piping, then they would have to go 1 2. in and either do some sort of patching on the casing or piping -- replace piping. 3 honestly I didn't look beyond their program 4 to monitor it. 5 Okay. So, Ms. Felts, some of these 6 7 examples we've been discussing, which include mechanical issues, right, issues due to 8 corrosion and issues due to erosion, sand 9 erosion, in your view all of these warrant an 10 11 RCA? Well, we're talking about doing an 12 investigation on this when there is a leak. 13 14 And SoCalGas would annotate on their record 15 that they provided to us that the cause of a 16 leak was a leak. Basically it didn't look 17 into what the root cause of it was. It might 18 say "casing" or "cause unknown." 19 But there isn't a record of any 2.0 sort of failure analysis or extensive investigation into why a leak occurred in one 21 well or whether or not it's likely to occur 22 in other wells. 23 24 So if SoCalGas's records indicate 25 degraded cement in a perforation that needed to be replaced, that would be an 26 27 identification or cause; correct? 2.8 But then they would have to Α Yes.

```
determine why it was degraded, whether that
 1
 2
    was unique to that well, or whether or not
    it's something they should consider for all
 3
    the other wells that had cemented
    perforations in them.
 5
              Okay. So take a systematic look at
 6
 7
    how that issue is presented across the field?
 8
          Α
              Yes.
              Ms. Felts, you indicated that you
 9
    reviewed certain of the failures that were
10
11
    alleged failures that were identified by
    Blade, but not all of them; correct?
12
              I have by now probably looked
13
14
    through all of the well files, but I didn't
15
    specifically go through the process of
16
    identifying all of the leaks that were
    identified for the wells in each of the well
17
18
    files because those were already annotated on
19
    tables in the Blade report. So they'd
20
    already been through the well files and
    identified all of that.
21
22
              I think I only found one where I
23
    thought that they may have missed something
    or gotten their facts not exactly right, and
24
25
    I think that was on one of the three wells.
              Do you recall which three wells?
26
27
          A
              Could be three.
2.8
              Do you recall what you thought they
          Q
```

1 qot wrong? 2. I think the dates of the leak may They didn't -- ultimately, they got 3 the fact that it -- there were leaks correct. 4 I thought that there might be some 5 inconsistency as far as the dates of the 6 7 report. Nothing that would change the outcome of their assessment. 8 9 Q Okay. Ms. Felts, what you described as the failure investigation that 10 11 would have been required here for all of these incidents, which include the 12 determination of not just the immediate 13 cause, but the root cause plus an evaluation 14 15 of whether or not is it a systemic condition 16 throughout the field. 17 To confirm, you allege that this 18 should have been done for all 60 of these 19 incidents dating back to beginning, and I 2.0 think it includes 1952; is that correct? 21 Α Yes. And for I believe it's 11 of these 22 23 leaks, they predate either SoCalGas's ownership and operation of the field or at 24 25 least conversion to gas storage. You include those as well and you contend that that same 26 27 level of investigation should be done to 2.8 those leaks as well; is that correct?

Well, here's my reasoning on that, 1 A and that is that SoCalGas I think asked for a 2 CPCN around 1972, but there were records 3 provided by SoCalGas that showed the owners 4 of the reservoir were preparing data to 5 assist in convincing the Commission to allow 6 SoCalGas - then I think it was Pacific 7 Lighting - to acquire the gas reservoir for 8 9 gas storage. So that gives you about -- I 10 11 figured SoCalGas had at least 10 years before they acquired this storage area to look at 12 data for all of the wells that they were 13 about to buy. 14 15 And, of course, I didn't see any 16 records from the proceedings, the CPCN proceeding, or any proceeding thereafter 17 18 involving this, but I'm just assuming that 19 there had to be some due diligence and there 20 had to be -- well, obviously, there were well files that contained all of this early 21 information. 22 So SoCalGas or Pacific Lighting had 2.3 ample opportunity to look into the condition 24 25 of the well casings that were acquiring; and, therefore, they should have looked into leaks 26 that were leaks of record. 27 2.8 And isn't it the case that SoCalGas Q

considered -- addressed the condition of 1 2 those well casings in the course of converting the field to gas storage 3 operations? 4 I have not seen any -- anything, 5 any report, that would indicate that would 6 7 indicate that that's the case. What's your understanding of what 8 9 conversion to gas storage operations entailed? 10 11 A Well, for wells that they acquired, they opened up the wells. I don't know what 12 kind of maintenance they did on the wells, 13 but, hopefully, they did their -- they 14 15 installed the tubing with the proper 16 equipment; they probably cleaned out the well; they made sure that the perforations 17 18 were adequate for their purposes for using the reservoir for gas storage; they would 19 20 have pressure tested the casings at the time 21 that they converted it over. I think that would be a requirement 22 from DOGGR, and if there was a leak, if 23 they -- if it couldn't hold pressure, then 24 25 they would have to remedy that, probably by patching a casing, and then they would put it 26 27 in service. If it didn't have packer and tubing, they'd install packer and tubing. 2.8

So for a prior operator, before 1 0 2 SoCalGas took control of the field, if the prior operator had repaired a leak, how would 3 SoCalGas investigate that leak? 4 They would have had to look at the 5 records that were provided, which appear to 6 7 be in every well file for early production of oil and gas. 8 9 And I think that it would be, 10 again, a matter of using the right tools to 11 evaluate the thickness of the casing, the bonding of cement behind the casing, water 12 impingement on the casing. 13 14 I think they could investigate all of that and come to some conclusions about 15 16 what the condition of the well is and possibly extend that to other wells in the 17 18 area. What sort of tools would be run to 19 20 inspect water impingement on the casing? 21 The log that shows the cement bonding on the -- on the wells should also 22 2.3 show if there's water or liquid behind the casing instead of cement. 24 25 And you're testifying that SoCalGas should have done this as part of a conversion 26 27 of gas storage operations between 1972 and 19- -- and later in the 1970s? 2.8

I'm not saying they should have. 1 2 I'm saying that they had an opportunity for those leaks. You -- I think you said seven 3 or 10 leaks. They could have used various 4 technologies to investigate that, those 5 leaks, including records that would be 6 7 provided with the wells. But you're not saying they should 8 have? 9 10 Well, we are saying they should 11 have. Blades says they should have. And we have picked up that as a violation. 12 are two different questions. You asked me 13 specifically if they should have used this 14 15 technology. And then you asked me if they 16 should have investigated. So they should 17 have investigated. That's the bottom --18 Should have investigated. 19 But then I asked you what that 20 investigation would entail. And you indicated use of certain tools, including 21 something to inspect water impingement; 22 2.3 correct? Well, I was telling you a range of 24 A 25 possibilities that they could have used. 26 It's up to SoCalGas to do the investigation. 27 The violation is for not doing a 2.8 Failure Analysis. So, I mean, if we had even

seen one report of a Failure Analysis of a 1 leak on any well at SoCalGas, I'd have a 2. better idea of what that Failure Analysis 3 might look like, but since there were none, I 4 don't know what SoCalGas had in the way of 5 capabilities or what they would have chosen 6 7 to do. So yesterday you told us that you 8 believe you had information that wasn't in 9 10 Blade's possession; correct? 11 A Yes. 12 And you disagreed with Blade on certain issues, correct? 13 14 A What issues are those? 15 Specifically, the preexistence of a 16 leak within the SS-25 well casing. 17 Do you want me to repeat the 18 question? I'm just -- I don't remember 19 No. 2.0 the context with which I said specifically that. 21 22 Let's speak generally. Q 23 As you sit here today, do you believe that there was a leak in the well 24 25 casing of SS-25 prior to October 23, 2015? 26 Α Yes. 27 And that was an area where you differed from Blade in your view; correct? 2.8

1	A I think I said that my
2	understanding was that Blade only considered
3	leaks that were relevant to their Failure
4	Analysis, and I was looking at all of the
5	leak records for the well SS-25.
6	Q Which you didn't believe Blade may
7	have may have not had access to; correct?
8	A I think they had had access to all
9	of temperature records, the temperature
LO	surveys, and the noise survey.
11	Q So you don't believe that that
12	you had more information than Blade had?
13	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may - this
L4	is Daryl Gruen for SED - this line of
15	questioning is going down a similar road that
16	yesterday's line is in that it is calling for
L7	Ms. Felts to speculate as what information
18	Blade had.
19	I'd note that that objection was
20	sustained yesterday, and then I noted
21	yesterday that Blade will be available next
22	week to testify as to what facts it had and
23	how it came up with its findings and
24	recommendations in its own report.
25	So I'd renew the objection from
26	yesterday.
27	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, I'm
28	following up on testimony that Ms. Felts

provided in terms of her understanding and 1 2 reasons for believing that there was a preexisting leak in SS-25, and this is 3 relevant to my prior line of questioning. 4 I didn't expect -- you know, 5 6 frankly, I think it's a pretty 7 straight-forward question. I won't spend more than another couple questions on it. 8 9 ALJ POIRIER: I'll allow questions, but I do want to remind counsel that there's no 10 11 need for Ms. Felts to speculate on Blade's testimony. They'll be available. So if 12 questions go to that, then you should move 13 on, but if it's not on that, you can ask some 14 15 additional questions. 16 MR. STODDARD: Understood, your Honor. I'll limit these to Ms. Felts' understanding 17 18 and the basis for her opinion. 19 I'm sorry. Since I don't have the 20 transcript, and I will ask the court reporter to read back, and so I don't want to 21 mischaracterize Ms. Felts' testimony, but I 22 23 do believe at the beginning of this line of questioning, she said she believed she had 24 25 access to information that Blade did not have 26 access to. 27 I can go -- we can take a moment for 2.8 the court reporter to read that back if

```
needed, unless, Ms. Felts, you just want to
 1
    answer that question directly.
              The question is, do I have
 3
 4
    access --
               (Crosstalk.)
 5
    BY MR. STODDARD:
 6
 7
              Do you believe that you have access
    to information that Blade did not?
 9
              I think I do. I think I told you
10
    that yesterday.
11
              Thank you.
              In light of that, why wouldn't you
12
    want to go back and review Blade's assessment
13
    of these specific alleged failures to
14
15
    determine whether or not they had sufficient
16
    information to categorize them as failures
    that SoCalGas should have investigated that
17
18
    you're basing your alleged violations on?
              Are you talking about violations 1
19
20
    through 60?
21
          0
              Yes.
              Those violations are related to
22
2.3
    leaks that occurred in the past, and my new
    information that I referred to yesterday is
24
    only related to SS-25 and it's recent.
25
26
              So can you please describe what it
27
         What do you mean by "recent"?
2.8
              Well, it's data that was generated
          Α
```

or became available around or during the time 1 of the well kills. And you don't believe Blade has 3 information that you have related to the 4 well-kill operation? 5 Yeah, I guess we could ask Blade. 6 7 I just have come upon it recently; so I hadn't had an opportunity to do that. 8 9 Can you please describe the information and what it is. 10 There's information about 11 additional perforations at the bottom of the 12 well either in the tubing or the casing or 13 possibly both. 14 And then, of course, I think other 15 16 things were available to Blade before last report, and there was another report that was 17 18 generated by some engineers who were present at the time -- at the time of the -- I think 19 it's the seventh well kill, and then -- I 2.0 21 think Blade had that report. There's another modeling report 22 23 or at least reported in a series of e-mails by Mr. Haghshenas in late December. 24 Taken together, all of those things are just the --25 something was happening in the -- in SS-25 26 27 that Blade did not consider. 2.8 Q Thank you.

```
If we could, please turn to Exhibit
 1
 2
    34, SoCalGas 34.
 3
          ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, let's go
    off the record for a minute.
 5
              (Off the record.)
          ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
              And we'll continue with
 7
    cross-examination of Ms. Felts.
 8
 9
          MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
10
              This is the SoCalGas Exhibit
11
             This is the Reply Testimony of
12
    SoCalGas in this proceeding. It's dated
13
    March 20, 2020. Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
14
              Yes. You know, my exhibit list
15
    starts at Exhibit 35, the ones you sent out.
          MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, do you mind
16
17
    if we go off the record for a second?
18
          ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
19
              (Off the record.)
          ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
20
21
              While we were off the record, we
    discussed the fact that we want to provide
22
23
    Ms. Felts an opportunity to look at the
24
    document prior to the line of questioning.
25
              So we're going to take a lunch break
    now, and we'll reconvene at 1:00 p.m.
26
27
    you, and we'll be off the record.
28
              (Whereupon, at the hour of 11:52
```

```
1
     a.m., a recess was taken until 1:00
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:03 P.M.
* * * *
ALJ POIRIER: We will be back on the
record. We just returned from a lunch break.
Before we were on break, SoCalGas was
cross-examining Witness Felts. Let's go
ahead and continue that.
Mr. Stoddard, please move ahead.
MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
MARGARET FELTS,
resumed the stand and testified further as
follows:
CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED
BY MR. STODDARD:
Q Ms. Felts, before we broke, we were
discussing your alleged violations related to
SoCalGas's alleged failure to investigate
prior casing leaks dating back to well,
the violations date back to 1969. Do you
recall?
A Yes.
Q And in our discussion, you'd
indicated that you reviewed the well files
generally, but did not specifically go back
to check and validate Blade's assessment of
those particular leaks; correct?
A That's correct.
MR. STODDARD: Mr. Moshfegh, if we

could put up Exhibit 34, which we presented 1 as a Direct Exhibit of SoCalGas's. This is 2. the Reply Testimony of SoCalGas, and we took 3 a break during lunch and Ms. Felts had an 4 opportunity to review it. This is dated 5 March 20th, 2020, and this is the combined --6 7 I'm sorry. This is specifically the combined reply testimony. 9 If you could please turn to page 13, and just because it was kind of a technically 10 11 thorny issue, for sake of the discussion, 12 Ms. Felts, I'm not going to question you about MHA's testimony because it's their 13 testimony, but to help kind of ground our 14 15 discussion, I wanted to talk some specifics. 16 And so on page 13 at the bottom, do you see the bullet that reads: "Seven of the 17 18 casing leaks." 19 Α Yes. And then it identifies wells 20 "FF-32F, SS-25A, FF-32E," and then it goes 21 22 on? 23 A Yes. And then it says: "Identified by 24 Blade and forming the basis of seven SED 25 26 violations were actually leaking stage 27 collars. Stage collars are devices used for multi-stage cementing of production casings 28

The stage collar is essentially a in wells. 1 2 sliding valve in the casing that can be opened to allow cement to be pumped outside 3 of the casing into the anulus between the 4 production casing and the wellbore. 5 "It's quite common for stage 6 7 collars not to seal completely upon closing the sliding valve after the cementing 8 9 procedure. This situation is easily remedied 10 with a simple casing repair." 11 Ms. Felts, for a stage collar of this sort where it hasn't sealed completely, 12 once you determined that the stage collar has 13 not sealed completely, would you agree that 14 you've determined the cause? 15 16 Α Yes. I'm -- yeah, I think I'll defer to Blade to discuss why these wells 17 18 were identified with leaks, specific leaks, but if you looked in their table, there are 19 multiple leaks identified over a period of 2.0 time for several -- most of the wells 21 actually. 22 2.3 And so where there may be ones that identified as stage collar leaks, there may 24 25 be another leak that was a casing leak, and 26 that might be the ones that Blade was 27 referring to. So there is a little bit of 2.8 confusion in those tables, and I would

suggest that you talk to Blade about that. 1 2. Because you don't know and you haven't confirmed; correct? 3 Well, I can't -- I mean, I could --4 I could go in and look at that table and show 5 you where there's a casing leak versus a 6 7 stage collar leak, but I think it would be better discussion for you to have with Blade 8 9 so that you're sure of what they did there. 10 That wasn't my question. 0 11 question was: But you haven't confirmed; 12 correct? "Confirmed"? 13 A 14 You haven't confirmed -- earlier you said there might -- it might be because 15 16 there was some other leak in a different period of time. And I was asking -- saying, 17 18 but you haven't confirmed; correct? I did not ask Blade. I did notice 19 2.0 that the leaks that are identified 21 specifically are casing leaks in Blade's report, and those were the ones that were 22 23 picked up as violations, so I think -- I think where there's a casing leak, that's 24 25 what was intended. Ms. Felts, I still don't think I 26 27 have an answer to my question. You didn't go and check SoCalGas's well records to confirm 2.8

whether it was, in fact, a stage collar that 1 was out of place or whether it was, in fact, 2. a casing body leak; correct? 3 I can't actually -- the truth is, I 4 looked at the well files, but I did not 5 specifically look for casing collar leaks. 6 Ι 7 was watching for casing leaks. So where Blade identifies collar leaks, I did not go 8 back and verify those. And you didn't go back and verify 10 11 the other leaks either; did you? Not -- some of them, I did. 12 Some of them I did not. 13 14 Which ones did you verify? 15 I can't tell you. I was just spot 16 checking as I was going through well files, but I'm basically relying on Blade's 17 18 assessment of the well files and their identification of leaks in the identification 19 2.0 of those violations. 21 Hypothetically, if it were the case that the leaks were a misplaced stage collar 22 2.3 or rather -- let's use the exact language. If it were the case that the stage 24 collar had not sealed completely and it was 25 quickly repaired, would that require any 26 27 further investigation? 2.8 Well, let's hypothetically say that Α

that turned out to be the case for multiple 1 2 wells, and it resulted in leaks that had to be remedied in those multiple wells, and they 3 all appeared to be the same problem, then I'd 4 say there might be good reason to look 5 further into why that situation occurred and 6 7 if it's likely to exist on other wells. Ms. Felts, we were also discussing 8 9 the -- if you turn back to page 13. Sorry. If we can refer back to Exhibit 34. 10 If you 11 turn back to page 13 at the top, and you'll see here it says: "Eleven casing leaks 12 identified by Blade were actually discovered 13 in wells before SoCalGas operated the field 14 or during initial conversion of the field to 15 16 underground gas storage." MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, this is Darvl 17 18 If I may, just a clarification for the record, in terms of reference to the page 19 2.0 numbers. I believe Mr. Stoddard is 21 referencing page 13 as shown at the bottom of the page, but not the SoCalGas Bates 22 No. 34.0015. 2.3 And just to clarify that that's the 24 nomenclature that SoCalGas is using for the 25 26 purpose of identify page number in this 27 cross. 2.8 MR. STODDARD: That's correct. Thank

```
you, Daryl. I was using a hard copy of the
 1
 2.
    document, and I'll try to read the Bates
    number.
 3
 4
          MR. GRUEN: Thank you.
 5
          ALJ POIRIER: Please continue,
    Mr. Stoddard.
 6
 7
          MR. STODDARD:
                         Thank you.
              So, again, it says: "Eleven casing
 8
 9
    leaks identified by Blade were actually
    discovered in wells before SoCalGas operated
10
11
    the field or during initial conversion of the
12
    field to underground gas storage. One of the
    leaks happened in 1952 and occurred during
13
    the original drilling of an oil and gas
14
    production well by SoCalGas's predecessor 20
15
16
    years before the conversion of the field to
    qas storage."
17
18
              Do you have that, Ms. Felts?
19
          Α
              Yes.
2.0
          0
              And earlier we were talking about
21
    what sort of investigation might be done by
22
    an operator related to leaks that occurred
23
    before it took control of the field, and you
    referenced review of records as one
24
25
    possibility; is that correct?
26
          Α
              Yes.
27
              Is that the sort of investigation
    that would be appropriate for other leaks
2.8
```

that occur while SoCalGas is controlling and 1 2 operating a field? 3 Looking at records? 0 Yeah. 4 That would be part of an 5 A investigation. 6 7 That would be something more like in the course of -- strike that. 8 9 Review of records prior to acquisition of a field is a form of due 10 11 diligence; is that correct? 12 A Yes. All right. And it doesn't entail 13 0 14 the same steps of investigation necessarily 15 that you described before, which would 16 include inspection of the particular leak, plus assessment more broadly for a root 17 18 cause, and then assessment for whether or not it's a systemic issue throughout the field? 19 Are you asking me if due diligence 2.0 could include all of those things? 21 22 I'm asking whether they're two 2.3 different things. Well, it's possible that if the 24 Α well leaks occurred very early on in the 25 production of, say, an oil well, it's 26 27 possible that the best they could do initially was if, you know, interview the 28

current owner of the wells and look at well 1 2. records because they probably would not have access to the well to do any further 3 investigation until they owned the well.] 4 But, you know, I quess they could look 5 into it as much as they have the 6 documentation available. 7 Q Thank you. 8 9 And earlier you referenced some tools that might be used for purposes of 10 11 evaluating incidents dating back to when SoCalGas first acquired the field. And I 12 think you included in that cement bond 13 logging; is that correct? 14 15 Δ Yes. 16 Do you have any knowledge of when 17 cement bond logging became available? 18 Α No, not specifically as I sit here 19 today. I would have to look it up. 2.0 Is that relevant, in your view, to the determination of whether or not it should 21 have been used? 22 23 Well, yes. If they don't -- if А they don't -- if they didn't have the 24 25 technology in the time when they acquired the field, then they would have had to use some 26 27 other verification technique. 2.8 Like what? O

I don't know. I mean, without 1 A 2 researching it against the dates, I can't answer that. That is a question that I think 3 I could defer to blade, however. 4 Okay. And, again, you are 5 deferring to Blade here. And these 6 violations are based on Blade's 7 identification of what they characterize as 8 9 leaks that require further investigation. Given that you didn't go back and 10 11 independently confirm the records to support these leaks, how did you make a determination 12 that these should be violations Of Public 13 14 Utilities Code Section 451? (Crosstalk.) 15 16 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may, this 17 is walking down the road, again, of calling 18 for legal conclusion here. And I'll note 19 that we had a lengthy discussion at the 2.0 Prehearing Conference that in exchange for of identifying violations in opening testimony, 21 22 that SoCalGas would not ask the legal basis of how they were determined to the witness. 2.3 24 So I note that and strongly urge -- and 25 strongly object to this line of questioning as calling for legal conclusion. 26 MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, the witness 27 is alleging violations of 451. And more than 2.8

that, she is specifically arguing points on 1 451 in her testimony. And if we -- we can 2. bring it back up if we need to. But this 3 issue was brought -- SED brought a motion on 4 this issue and a motion for protective order 5 6 in advance of hearings. It was denied. I 7 don't think that this question gets anywhere close to the line in light of the testimony 8 that Ms. Felts is offering here. 10 ALJ POIRIER: I'm going to overrule the 11 objection. Please continue. THE WITNESS: Can you remind me of the 12 question? 13 MR. STODDARD: Can the court reporter 14 please read back the question? 15 16 ALJ POIRIER: I would prefer it if you could restate, Mr. Stoddard. We can go off 17 18 the record if you need a moment. 19 MR. STODDARD: I do need a moment. 2.0 Thank you. ALJ POIRIER: We are off the record. 21 22 (Off the record.) ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record. 2.3 Mr. Stoddard, please continue. 24 25 BY MR. STODDARD: Ms. Felts, you indicated that you 26 27 were relying on Blade and deferring to Blade for purposes of these violations. And you 2.8

did not independently go back and verify the 1 2 facts and the record supporting these 3 violations. How did you assess whether or not 4 to violate -- to allege these violations of 5 451? 6 The -- if -- I did review volume 4 7 of the Blade report. And in volume 4, there 8 9 are two different tables that include very 10 similar facts. And they are the accounting 11 that Blade recorded of the well -- wells by well name, and the dates and types of issues 12 identified in the well files for each of 13 14 those wells. So what I did was, I looked at those tables to understand what Blade was 15 16 counting as a leak -- or casing leak, specifically. And so it's those records that 17 I was utilizing. 18 So you -- and, again, you were 19 relying on those tables, you didn't check 20 SoCalGas's records? 21 Well, so let's go back to opening 22 testimony, which is where the violations are 23 identified. And in that opening testimony, 24 25 there's an accounting of leaks based on the 26 Blade Report. And, at the time, I did not have access to well files. So if you're 27 asking me if I verified that before the 28

report was filed, the answer is no. Because 1 2. I didn't have access to those well files. 3 But based on your prior testimony, you haven't verified it prior to today 4 either; correct? 5 I had. And I believe I cited to 6 A 7 one, Frew 3, this morning. And there are probably others. I would have to go back and 8 9 look in my notes and my -- not my notes, but my file folder -- to see which ones I had 10 11 looked at and cross-referenced. And, Frew 3, you recall identifying 12 an issue where you actually disagreed with 13 Blade as to the date of the leak; correct? 14 I think there was a difference 15 Δ 16 between 1984 and 1986. 17 But you didn't consider whether you 18 might have other differences with Blade if you went back and checked the well records? 19 MR. GRUEN: Objection, your Honor. 2.0 21 Calls for speculation. 22 ALJ POIRIER: Sustained. 23 THE WITNESS: After looking at the Blade Report, especially volume 4 on casing 24 25 leaks, I determined that they had the capabilities and the documentation all under 26 27 control, and there was no need for me to fact-check all of their work. 2.8

2.0

2.3

2.8

1 BY MR. STODDARD:
2 Q Even though they are not alleging
3 violations of 451?

A It really wasn't in Blade's contract to identify violations of 451.

Q I understand that. I -- I'm asking whether -- what additional work did you do other than consult the Blade Report to determine that you were comfortable alleging violation of 451 against SoCalGas related to these failures?

A I think I just answered that.

Q I'm not sure -- I mean, I've asked you, and I've heard both you checked the Blade Report and -- specifically Volume 4 and some tables. And then I heard after that, "But maybe I went back and checked some well records," including on Frew 3, but there may be some others as well. I'm just trying to figure out, specifically, whether you did or you didn't. Because I feel like I've gotten two different answers here.

A I didn't do any fact-checking in order to discount any of the leaks that Blade identified. And if I found discrepancies, then they weren't significant. They weren't even worth a data request. And I am relying entirely for those violations on Blade's

```
identification of leaks.
 1
 2.
              And, just to confirm, you didn't
    personally check the well records at any time
 3
    prior, aside from Frew 3, to confirm the
 4
    existence of a leak?
 5
          MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I must object.
 6
 7
    This has been asked and answered several
    times.
 8
 9
          MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, I'm not --
    it's a very simple question. And the witness
10
11
    is not answering it.
          ALJ POIRIER: I think we've covered it
12
    to some degree. But I'll allow one
13
    clarification, yes or no, if possible. And
14
15
    then we can move on.
16
              Please, Mr. Stoddard, go ahead and
    ask one more time.
17
18
    BY MR. STODDARD:
              Ms. Felts, aside from checking
19
2.0
    volume 4 of the Blade Report, and putting
    aside well Frew 3, did you otherwise check
21
    any well records to validate the particular
22
    leaks that are the basis of violations 1
2.3
    through 60?
24
25
              I think I told you I looked at
    other well files and cross-referenced them.
26
27
    But I just can't, off the top of my head,
28
    tell you which wells.
```

```
MR. STODDARD:
                         Can we request that SED
 1
 2
    provide a list of those wells if Ms. Felts
    can identify them, please?
 3
          ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Gruen, do you have
 4
 5
    any response to that?
          MR. GRUEN: I'm sorry. Your Honor, I
 6
 7
   had to go off of mute for a second.
              Your Honor, I think this is going to
 8
 9
   be a -- an unduly burdensome request. We're
    right in the middle of hearings. SoCalGas
10
11
    has had ample opportunity to ask Ms. Felts
    during a year and half of discovery for this
12
    information. And they are choosing to do it
13
    now. So I -- at this point, we'd object to
14
    that request as unduly burdensome.
15
16
          ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard?
          MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, the witness
17
18
    is specifically telling us on the stand that
    she reviewed some certain, but not clear,
19
    number of well files to validate the leaks
2.0
    that she's basing her violations on. You
21
    know, if she's not able to answer the
22
23
    question today, I think we're entitled to an
    answer very quickly. Otherwise, I just think
24
    that that testimony should be stricken.
25
          MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may.
26
27
    have to strenuously object to that.
2.8
              Your Honor, this is tantamount to
```

additional discovery. The witness, Ms. 1 2. Felts, has testified she's relying on Blade. SoCalGas is going to have ample opportunity 3 to conduct robust cross-examination on Blade 4 for the factual basis for those violations. 5 There simply is no basis to move to strike. 6 7 And the request is unduly burdensome. We were given permission to focus on 8 9 hearings. That's what we would propose to 10 Ms. Felts is here to answer questions to 11 the best of her ability. She's testified and 12 provided one example. What SoCalGas is doing is placing an 13 immense burden on her to go through multiple 14 well files on top of hearings and basically 15 16 require her to extensive work when she's not in hearings during the evenings, I suppose. 17 18 And that is an unduly burdensome request, and we object. 19 2.0 (Crosstalk.) 21 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor --22 ALJ POIRIER: Go ahead, Mr. Stoddard; 23 briefly, please. I just -- briefly, 24 MR. STODDARD: 25 again, to respond to Mr. Gruen, we're not 26 asking her to go back and confirm the leaks 27 right now, definitely not. We just wanted to understand whether -- as far as she recalls 2.8

and understands, whether she did that. 1 it sounds like the answer to that is, "no." And if that's the case, I'm fine with it. 3 And we can move on. MR. GRUEN: And I object to that 5 characterization. Mr. Stoddard has heard the 6 7 witness testify multiple times there were several well files, and that she's testified 8 9 she's given one example. So I object to that 10 last point as mischaracterization of her 11 testimony. ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Here's what we're 12 going to do, I'm going to sustain the 13 objection. Mr. Stoddard can re-ask the 14 question. And the witness can answer to the 15 16 best of her ability. 17 Okay? 18 Please, Mr. Stoddard, move ahead. 19 BY MR. STODDARD: 2.0 Ms. Felts, prior to today, which wells have you reviewed in order to validate 21 Blade's assessment of leaks that are the 22 2.3 basis for violations 1 through 60? As I sit here today, without my 24 Α records in front of me, I can only tell you 25 that Frew 3 is one of the wells and that I 26 27 looked at others. 2.8 At approximately how many?

1	A Where I hesitate is, the number of
2	well files I looked at versus the ones that I
3	was able to cross-reference to something that
4	was in Blade Report Volume 4, the leaks. And
5	I can't differentiate between the two without
6	more information. I can tell you that I have
7	looked at, my guess is, around 80 to
8	90 percent of all of the documents and all of
9	the well files that SoCalGas provided.
10	Q Yeah. I wasn't asking that. I was
11	just asking if you can recall approximately
12	how many wells you specifically went back to
13	check to validate the particular facts
14	related to the leak?
15	A I can't answer that. The answer
15 16	A I can't answer that. The answer is, "no."
16	is, "no."
16 17	is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on.
16 17 18	is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any
16 17 18 19	is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure
16 17 18 19 20	is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure investigations of leaks, are there?
16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure investigations of leaks, are there? A Not that I'm aware of.</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure investigations of leaks, are there? A Not that I'm aware of. Q There weren't any at the time of</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure investigations of leaks, are there? A Not that I'm aware of. Q There weren't any at the time of the leak either; correct?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure investigations of leaks, are there? A Not that I'm aware of. Q There weren't any at the time of the leak either; correct? A At the time of what leak?</pre>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	is, "no." Q Thank you. We'll move on. Ms. Felts, there aren't any regulations that require failure investigations of leaks, are there? A Not that I'm aware of. Q There weren't any at the time of the leak either; correct? A At the time of what leak? Q The October 23rd, 2015 leak.

```
SED; correct?
 1
              No, not extensively. My focus was
 2.
    to look at documents that were provided by
 3
    SoCalGas, records in response to data
 4
    requests.
 5
 6
              Do you recall the scope of the
 7
    contract we were discussing yesterday, Ms.
    Felts, that you engaged in?
 9
              I know the scope of the contract.
10
    I'm telling you what I did and didn't do.
11
              Okay. Are you aware of, like,
12
    pending rulemakings related to gas storage
    regulations?
13
14
          A
              Current pending rulemakings?
15
          Q
              Yes, current.
16
          MR. GRUEN: Objection, your Honor.
17
    Overly broad.
18
          THE WITNESS:
                       I'm not really up on
    that. I would have to go to the websites to
19
2.0
    see what the status of pending legislation
21
    and pending regulations are.
    BY MR. STODDARD:
22
23
              So you're not aware of whether
24
    there's any currently, you know, pending
25
    regulations or rulemakings related to the
26
    well failure investigations?
27
          Α
              No.
2.8
          Q
              Okay.
                     Thank you.
```

```
ALJ POIRIER:
                        This is ALJ Poirier.
 1
              Ms. Felts, just, if you can hesitate
 2
    a little bit before -- so I can rule on the
 3
    objection, that would be appreciated.
 4
 5
              Thank you.
 6
          THE WITNESS:
                         Sure.
 7
          ALJ POIRIER: Please continue, Mr.
    Stoddard.
 8
    BY MR. STODDARD:
 9
10
              Thank you, your Honor.
11
              Ms. Felts, for a leak that is
    mechanical in nature -- we've discussed leaks
12
    that are mechanical in nature.
13
              Are you okay with that term to
14
    refer to, a sliding sleeve or a collar?
15
16
          Α
              Yes.
17
          0
              Okay.
18
              Would you agree that those leaks
    have -- would you agree that those leaks are
19
    different in nature from leaks that are due
2.0
21
    to corrosion in terms of the type of
22
    assessment that would be necessary to
    determine cause?
2.3
              It would be different -- a
24
          A
25
    different analysis, yes.
              Would you run a casing inspection
26
27
    tool to determine the cause of the sliding
    sleeve that was out of place?
2.8
```

1	A Probably not, unless you didn't
2	know that's what it was and you were looking
3	for something else.
4	Q If you didn't know that there was a
5	sliding sleeve out of place you mean?
6	A Yes. If you just have an
7	indication that there is a leak or something
8	wrong with the well, you may be doing some
9	other sort of assessment until you figure out
10	what's wrong.
11	Q But if you have a hole, is it in
12	your view, if you have a hole and you don't
13	know what the cause is, then you might run a
14	sort of casing inspection tool that is either
15	a temperature log, a noise log, or a or
16	can otherwise assess the condition of the
17	well
18	A Yeah.
19	Q is that correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And would running that sort
22	of log be a sufficient investigation?
23	A For
23 24	A For Q Would that satisfy the requirement
24	Q Would that satisfy the requirement
24 25	Q Would that satisfy the requirement that you seem to be alleging that a failure

1	A No.
2	Q Why not?
3	A Because that doesn't get at the
4	actual cause of the leak. That only tells
5	you there's a leak.
6	Q You mean to determine the root
7	cause?
8	A If that's the term you want to use,
9	"root cause," yes.
10	Q I'm this is the term that you
11	used in the beginning and is in your
12	testimony.
13	A Well, it's the title that's given
14	to Blade's report. So that's why we're using
15	it.
16	Q And it's also used in your
17	testimony; correct?
18	A Yes, but
19	Q And earlier you indicated that the
20	types of failure investigations we were
21	discussing could generally be described as
22	root cause analysis; correct?
23	A Yes. I think this is just a matter
24	of semantics. We are generally calling it a
25	"root cause"; could be a failure analysis,
26	could be an analysis or an investigation.
27	Q And okay. We can move on.
28	If we can turn to exhibit

```
1
    SoCalGas-51, please?
 2
              Chapter 5, page 2.
          ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record
 3
    until we've got the spot.
 4
               (Off the record.)
 5
          ALJ POIRIER: We'll be back on the
 6
 7
    record.
    BY MR. STODDARD:
              This is identified as SoCalGas
 9
10
    Exhibit-51. This is page SoCalGas-51.0095.
11
              Ms. Felts, this is your -- if we
12
                  This is your sur-reply
    can move up.
13
    testimony. And this chapter is your response
    to the testimony of Mr. Travis Sera.
14
15
          Α
              Yes.
16
              Do you recognize this document?
          Q
17
          Α
              Yes.
18
              Okay. And if we can please scroll
          0
    back down to page 2.
19
2.0
              Ms. Felts, do you see there --
21
    there's a block quote of Mr. Sera's testimony
    discussing wall-loss anomalies and -- and in
22
23
    the context of risk assessment. And he's
24
    talking about leaks versus ruptures --
25
          Α
              Yes.
26
              And you character -- and below the
27
    block quote, your first sentence says:
2.8
              This elaborate explanation
```

1	of leak versus rupture,
2	quoted in italics, goes
3	well beyond standard
4	definition in the industry
5	as illustrated by PHMSA's
6	glossary definitions.
7	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
8	A Yes.
9	Q But initially you had a more
10	favorable impression of Mr. Sera's testimony;
11	isn't that correct?
12	A What do you mean?
13	MR. STODDARD: Can we please introduce
14	Exhibit 55, Mr. Moshfegh?
15	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record
16	until the exhibit is ready.
17	(Off the record.)
18	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
19	Go ahead, Mr. Stoddard.
20	BY MR. STODDARD:
21	Q Ms. Felts, can you see the
22	document?
23	A No, barely. But I have it open on
24	my computer.
25	Q Okay. This is an e-mail the
26	bottom chain e-mail is an e-mail from you to
27	Mr. Gruen. And the Bates number is
28	SoCalGas-55.001.

1	A	Yeah.
2	Q	
3	2020.	And it is dated illudy, March 27th,
4	2020.	Do you goo that?
	7	Do you see that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And the response is:
7		Darryl, Sera does a good
8		job of presenting basics on
9		pressure MAOP, leaks versus
10		ruptures, and assessing
11		risks. I guess his
12		testimony is primarily
13		refuting PAO. He is a SCG
14		metallurgist. A division
15		of subjects for testimony
16		between him and Carnahan is
17		really odd.
18		Now, there when you refer to MAOP,
19	can you p	lease explain what you mean?
20	A	Max Allowable Operating Pressure.
21	Q	And "SCG" there refers to SoCalGas;
22	correct?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	So you indicate that Mr. Sera did a
25	good job	of presenting the basics on leaks
26	versus ruj	ptures. And it's the same issue
27	that you a	appear to be dismissive of in your
28	testimony	•

```
Would you agree with that?
 1
          Α
              Two different things.
              Two different things? Leaks versus
 3
          Q
 4
    ruptures.
              How is that different?
 5
              No -- the -- his presentation of
 6
 7
    pressure MAOP leaks versus rupture and
    assessing risk comes directly from
 8
 9
    regulations of pipelines -- surface lines,
10
    not wells. And, you know, his recitation of
11
    regulations is good. I mean, he knows what
            It comes out of CFR 192.
12
    it is.
              So, you know, here I -- this is
13
    probably based on initial read. I felt like
14
    he's a person who understood that. They --
15
16
    okay --
              (Crosstalk.)
17
          THE WITNESS: The other -- in my
18
    testimony, I would have to go back to look at
19
2.0
    that one.
          MR. STODDARD: Ms. Felts --
21
22
          MR. GRUEN: I'm sorry, your Honor.
23
    don't think Ms. Felts is done answering the
24
    question. She's looking back to the other
    exhibit that's not currently shown on the
25
26
    screen. If she can be given a moment so she
27
    can complete her answer.
2.8
          THE WITNESS: Can you remind me --
```

```
ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
 1
 2
              (Off the record.)
          ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
 3
              Ms. Felts, please go ahead.
 4
 5
          THE WITNESS: Okay.
 6
              So looking back at my testimony, I
 7
    quoted the paragraph out of Mr. Sera's
    testimony. And then I added some italics to
 8
    call attention to the last half of that
 9
10
    paragraph. And then I said that that's an
11
    elaborate explanation of leak versus rupture
    that goes beyond the glossary definition that
12
    PHMSA has on their website. So I think that
13
    I was just pointing that out that there --
14
    these are related to 49 CFR.
15
16
    BY MR. STODDARD:
17
          0
              I -- sorry.
18
              -- I don't see that there's one
    more favorable discussion of point than the
19
2.0
    other. I think the one in the e-mail that
21
    you're showing on the shared screen was just
    an initial assessment of Mr. Sera's
22
23
    presentation in terms of the basics. ]
              Sounds like you didn't mean
24
          Q
    "elaborate" necessarily to mean -- to be used
25
26
    in a negative sense in your testimony?
27
              No. I don't think I meant it to be
2.8
    a negative term.
```

Okay. So not inconsistent was 1 0 2 qood? 3 Well, these are two different things. One is a really short statement 4 about the basics in 49 CFR pressure MAOP leak 5 6 versus ruptures and assessing risk. 7 And the discussion in my testimony is a more extensive assessment of Mr. Sera's 8 9 statement. 10 Do you consider yourself to be --11 to have more expertise in the area of risk assessment than Mr. Sera? 12 Actually, I don't know --13 MR. GRUEN: Objection. If I may. 14 sorry, Ms. Felts. And I realize I'm speaking 15 16 -- I've picked up the pace of my speech so I'll try to slow down. 17 18 Your Honor, if I may object to that. That question calls for speculation from 19 2.0 Ms. Felts as to Mr. Sera's knowledge. 21 object to it for that reason. ALJ POIRIER: Sustained. Please move 22 23 on. BY MR. STODDARD: 24 25 Ms. Felts, what expertise do you 26 have in the area of risk assessment for gas 27 storage operations? Well, I would say I have minimal 2.8 A

1	experience as risk assessment for gas
2	storage. But this particular discussion is
3	about risk assessment for pipelines, and I
4	have extensive experience in that.
5	Q And do you think that because it's
6	risk assessment in the context of pipelines
7	here, that it should be that Mr. Sera's
8	testimony should be discounted on that basis?
9	A Yes. Because these regulations
10	don't apply to downhole wells.
11	Q If we can turn to page sorry.
12	If we can turn back to Exhibit-51 and turn to
13	page 4 of this Chapter.
14	And I should read the bates number,
15	SoCalGas-510097. Scan up to where it says:
16	Mr. Sera has failed to
17	consider the age of the
18	Aliso wells despite the
19	fact that SoCalGas's recent
20	general rate case testimony
21	recognizes the possibility
22	of a well-related incident
23	given the age of the wells
24	and their heavy
25	utilization.
26	And above that do you see there:
27	Mr. Sera failed to consider
28	the age of the Aliso wells,

1	the lack of inspection, the
2	absence of corrosion
3	control on many of the
4	wells, and the increasing
5	frequency of leaks
6	identified by SoCalGas
7	engineers who are familiar
8	with the Aliso wells.
9	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And if we look down to footnote 15,
12	do you see footnote 15 there?
13	A Yes.
14	Q You can see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you recall what that document
17	is?
18	A Not without looking at it.
19	Q Okay. Let's pull it up. It's a
20	1985 memo, but we're going to switch back to
21	this document after we look at it just so
22	we're prepared.
23	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record
24	while we bring that document up.
25	(Off the record.)
26	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
27	record.
28	This is ALJ Jessica Hecht. I am

1	going to be leading for the next little
2	while. And while we were off the record, we
3	were finding exhibits for the
4	cross-examination of Witness Felts.
5	Mr. Stoddard, if you would like to
6	continue.
7	MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. Thank
8	you.
9	Q Ms. Felts, we were discussing, just
10	for context again, in your sur-reply
11	testimony that states that:
12	Mr. Sera failed to consider
13	the age of the Aliso well,
14	the lack of inspection, the
15	absence of corrosion
16	control on many of the
17	wells, and the increasing
18	frequency of leaks
19	identified by SoCalGas
20	engineers who were familiar
21	with the Aliso wells.
22	And you cited in Footnote 15 to a
23	document that you didn't recognize. We
24	pulled it up, and if we could please present
25	that.
26	MR. GRUEN: I am sorry, your Honor.
27	I'm going to object to the statement that
28	Ms. Felts didn't recognize the document when

```
she hasn't been shown it yet.
 1
 2.
          MR. STODDARD:
                         The footnote.
                      I'll reserve judgement on
 3
          MR. GRUEN:
 4
    the objection.
          ALJ HECHT: Objection sustained.
 5
              Let's continue.
 6
 7
          MR. GRUEN:
                      Thank you.
    BY MR. STODDARD:
 8
 9
          Q
              Do you see the document, Ms. Felts?
10
          Δ
              Yes, I have it up on my computer.
11
          Q
              All right. This is identified --
    if you can see here, this is page 1,852 of a
12
    2,746 page PDF. It's SED sur-reply 001850.
13
    And if you can scroll up, Mr. Moshfegh. This
14
    is an interoffice memo to a Mr. Stevenson
15
16
    from a Mr. Mansdorfer dated April 2nd, 1985.
    And the subject line is "1985 Aliso Canyon
17
18
    Well Repair Activity."
19
              Ms. Felts, this is the only
2.0
    document that you cited in support of the
21
    statement I read back earlier. Can you
    identify in here where it discusses the
22
    absence of corrosion control?
2.3
24
              This document only goes to the last
          A
25
    point in that sentence.
26
              So this goes to the increasing
27
    frequency of leaks identified by SoCalGas
    engineers who were familiar with the Aliso
28
```

1	wells?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And can you explain what
4	why you believe it supports that statement?
5	A There's initially there's listed
6	four wells that were taken out of service and
7	are waiting for repair.
8	Specifically this interoffice
9	correspondence says that it these were
10	deferred to 1985. In fact at least three was
11	eventually deferred to 1986.
12	Then if you go down, the next I
13	think it's a continuation of paragraph 1.
14	But the four other wells had been are
15	permanently out of service.
16	But then the next paragraph says:
17	The number of well leak
18	well leakage problems in
19	the storage field during a
20	given year seems to be
21	somewhat proportional to
22	the magnitude of the
23	pressure reversal of that
24	year. Reserve reservoir
25	pressure in Aliso Canyon is
26	at the lowest it's been in
27	12 years. If inventory
28	goes up to 50 billion cubic

feet or higher, I would
expect to find a number of
leaks this year. If we
lose three good wells or
five mediocre wells, we
will be down to 80 percent
capacity.
So my sense is that this statement
shows that even though they've had leaks
occurring, those leaks had been occurring
over 12 years of low pressure in the
reservoir. And if they increased the
inventory, which would increase the pressure
in the reservoir, they're going to have even
more leaks.
Q Because of the increase in the
pressure in the reservoir; correct?
A Yes.
Q Not because of an increasing rate
of corrosion or any other issue; correct?
A Well, the increase in the reservoir
does not cause the leaks. It's a factor in
causing wells that have leaks to show their
leaks, or they may have thin walls in places
which then will blow out as a result of the
higher pressure.
Q But this didn't say this doesn't
say anything about an increasing frequency of

```
leaks over time, Ms. Felts, does it?
 1
 2.
              Well, it -- that's what it
    indicates to me. That's how I read it. You
 3
    may read it differently.
 4
              And this dates from 1985; correct?
 5
 6
          Α
              Yes.
 7
              And so in citing this in support of
    your statement, is it your contention that
 8
 9
    SoCalGas should have been doing some form of
10
    a risk assessment based on an increasing
11
    frequency of leaks in 1985?
              I think they should have been on
12
    top of what was causing wells to leak.
13
14
              Well, you say, "Mr. Sera failed to
    consider."
15
16
              And, again, Mr. Sera's testimony
    relates to risk assessment; correct? And
17
18
    foreseeability of a leak; correct?
19
          Α
              Right.
2.0
              And you're saying that he failed to
21
    consider the age of the Aliso wells, the lack
    of inspections, absence of corrosion control
22
2.3
    on many of the wells, and the increasing
    frequency of leaks identified by SoCalGas
24
25
    engineers who are familiar with the Aliso
    wells; right?
26
27
          Α
              Right.
2.8
          Q
              And that suggests that the
```

```
increasing frequency of leaks that's
 1
 2
    identified in this memo is relevant to the
   prediction of SS-25?
 3
              I didn't say that.
 4
              Okay. It doesn't have to do with
 5
    foreseeability of SS-25 then?
 6
 7
              I would say that if there had been
    a history of risk assessment at Aliso Canyon
 8
    similar to -- for instance the SIMP Program
 9
10
    that was implemented in 2016. They probably
11
    would have found and averted the leak in
    SS-25. Or at least they would have found
12
    some conditions in SS-25 that may have caused
13
14
    them to look further at the condition of the
15
    casing. So in that sense, it's possible that
16
    if they had good risk assessment, they would
    have not had a failure on SS-25.
17
18
              And are you contending then that
    SoCalGas should have implemented a SIMP-like
19
2.0
    program in 1985?
21
              I think they should have had some
22
    sort of program to inspect their casings.
23
    You can call it a SIMP-like program or give
    it another name. But from when they first
24
    acquired an old field full of old wells until
25
26
    present, they should have been doing
27
    something like that.
2.8
              And because, in your view, of the
          Q
```

```
increasing frequency of leaks?
 1
 2
              Well, that's -- this is one
 3
    component.
              Does it matter whether -- so let's
 4
    talk about the other components then.
 5
 6
    you're referring there to the lack of
 7
    inspections, that's also -- there you're
    referring to wall thickness inspections or
 8
 9
    all inspections?
10
              All inspections. So what SoCalGas
11
    was doing, which I believe Baker even
12
    referred to in his testimony in the rate
    case, is they were just waiting for wells to
13
    fail before they looked at them. And even
14
    when they did fail, and then they went in to
15
16
    look for the leak and repair the leak.
    That's all they did.
17
18
              They never did look into what's the
    -- what's the real cause of why the leak
19
2.0
    occurred? Or what does this say about other
21
    wells in Aliso Canyon.
22
              So, again, we're referring there to
23
    failure of investigations? Is that what
    you're talking about?
24
25
          Α
              Yes.
26
          Q
              The root cause analysis?
27
          A
              Yes.
2.8
          Q
              And then the first part where you
```

```
1
    say:
 2.
              Necessarily failed to
 3
              consider the age of the
              Aliso wells.
 4
              That might be actually -- it might
 5
 6
   be helpful since I'm asking about your
 7
    testimony to bring it back up.
              Ms. Felts, if you want to go back to
 8
 9
    Exhibit-51, page 4. So you see there,
   Ms. Felts, where you say:
10
11
              Mr. Sera failed to consider
              the age of Aliso wells.
12
13
              In your view, the age of wells
14
    correlates with risk?
15
              I think it does in my view.
16
              And an older well is more likely to
17
    have a leak?
18
              I quess you can't say that as a
19
    straight-line argument. But I think there's
20
    -- you have to consider that an older well
21
    casing has been in the ground for a longer
22
   period of time. It's just older, and so it
23
    has earlier technology. Maybe a different
    type of seal in the casing. So there's a
24
25
    number of reasons why you would want to be on
26
    top of the condition of your older wells.
27
              In fact, didn't Blade find,
    however, that older wells -- there was no
2.8
```

1	necessary correlation between risk and age?
2	A I do believe that in there when
3	they looked at the failures across Aliso
4	Canyon, that was a conclusion that they came
5	to was they didn't see a correlation between
6	the age of the wells and the leaks.
7	However, that was one of the
8	arguments for in the rate case for getting
9	money to do investigations, SIMP
10	investigations, of the wells at Aliso.
11	Q If we could please turn to Exhibit
12	No. 75. We will be introducing an exhibit if
13	your Honor would prefer to go off the record.
14	A Is this your exhibit?
T-T	A 15 cills your callible.
1 5	AILT HECHT: Vec Well he off the
15 16	ALJ HECHT: Yes. We'll be off the
16	record.
16 17	record. (Off the record.)
16 17 18	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
16 17 18 19	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record.
16 17 18 19 20	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD:
16 17 18 19 20 21	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But I'm guessing you're still there.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But I'm guessing you're still there. A I'm here.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But I'm guessing you're still there. A I'm here. Q Okay. Do you see that this says
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But I'm guessing you're still there. A I'm here. Q Okay. Do you see that this says the cover page of the Blade Root Cause
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But I'm guessing you're still there. A I'm here. Q Okay. Do you see that this says the cover page of the Blade Root Cause Analysis Manual Report dated May 16th, 2019?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. BY MR. STODDARD: Q Ms. Felts, I can't see you. But I'm guessing you're still there. A I'm here. Q Okay. Do you see that this says the cover page of the Blade Root Cause

```
Uncontrolled Hydrocarbon release at Aliso
 1
 2
    Canyon --
 3
              (Reporter clarification.)
          ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
 4
              (Off the record.)
 5
 6
          ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
 7
    record.
          MR. STODDARD:
                         Okay. I'm going to
 8
 9
    start over with identification of the
10
    document for the court reporter since I was
11
    speaking too quickly.
12
              This is the cover page of the Blade
13
    Root Cause Analysis Main Report. In the
    lower right-hand corner, it has SoCalGas
14
15
    750001 as the Bates Number. It's dated May
16
    16th, 2019.
17
              And if we can turn down, this is an
18
    excerpt that's from page 167. And you'll see
19
    that this is a chart that shows, kind of, a
20
    graphical analysis of Blade's identification
21
    of what they've identified as casing leaks.
22
          Q
              Ms. Felts, can you see that?
2.3
              Yes, I see it.
          Α
24
          Q
              Do you recognize this document?
25
          Α
              Yes, I recognize it.
26
              Okay.
          Q
27
          MR. GRUEN: And, your Honor, if I may,
    this is Darryl Gruen. Just for the record,
2.8
```

if we could identify the Bates Number on this 1 page as well if that's acceptable to SoCalGas. 3 ALJ HECHT: Yes. Please do so. 4 MR. STODDARD: If we can scroll down, 5 please. It's SoCalGas 750004. 6 7 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. BY MR. STODDARD: 8 9 And, again, this shows the Aliso Canyon gas storage wells leaks, as 10 11 characterized by Blade, from 1973 to 2015. 12 And you'll see here at the bottom, Ms. Felts, the lowest occurrence of leaks is from 1998 13 to 2008. Do you see that? 14 15 Yes, I see that. 16 Okay. And so this shows a decrease Q in frequency of leaks doesn't it? 17 18 Α From 1972 to 2016? 19 Q Yes. 20 I'm going to look at my copy. ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record for 21 22 a moment. (Off the record.) 2.3 ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the 24 25 record. Please continue. 26 27 THE WITNESS: Okay. So this shows a lower number of leaks between 1998 and 2008. 2.8

And then an increase again between -- looks 1 like 2008 and 2016. Relative to the lowest occurrence 3 of leaks, which is again 1998 to 2008; 4 5 correct? That's correct based on this chart, 6 Α 7 yes. Right. And you viewed the 8 Q 9 increasing frequency of leaks in 1985 as 10 relevant to risk assessment although perhaps 11 not relevant to the prediction of the SS-25 12 leak. Would you also agree that a decreasing frequency of leaks should be considered in 13 14 risk assessment as well? 15 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'm going to 16 object to that as a misstatement of testimony. Counsel is starting to testify 17 18 rather than asking questions again. ALJ HECHT: Let's rephrase the question 19 20 and continue from there, please. BY MR. STODDARD: 21 Ms. Felts, in your testimony you 22 23 state that: 24 Mr. Sera failed to consider 25 the increasing frequency of 26 leaks. 27 Which based on your exhibit was as of 1985; correct? 2.8

1 Α Yes. 2 Would it be fair to say that you failed to consider the lowest period of leaks 3 between 1990 -- I am sorry. We've taken the 4 exhibit down. But 1998 and 2008? 5 Well, I wasn't considering this 6 7 particular graph when I wrote my testimony. I was considering other documents that I had 8 9 looked at including the one that I attached. 10 So I -- you mean -- I quess you're 11 right. The 1985 document predates the 1998 12 to 2008 period of time. But I don't think this line of questions that you're asking has 13 really any impact on the need to do risk 14 15 assessment over the life of the Aliso Canyon 16 well fields. 17 Thank you for your answer. All 18 right. Ms. Felts, would you agree that risk assessment generally -- you said you're very 19 20 familiar with the concept of risk assessment 21 in other contexts; correct? 22 Α Yes. 2.3 And generally it considers the 24 likelihood of a failure, kind of, by the 25 consequences of failure; correct? 26 Let's see. Underlying concepts at 27 PHMSA. But, yes. 2.8 And can you explain a little bit of Q

what that means? 1 Well, you look at the -- you look 2. at the likelihood of a failure. And then you 3 look at the risk to basically draw a circle 4 around a certain area and see what the scale 5 of the risk is within that circle of impact. 6 7 And then you weigh the likelihood of failure and the likelihood of risk and basically the 8 9 results of failure. How it will impact the 10 area around where it's likely to fail and end 11 up with a factor risk. And SoCalGas has historically had 12 to setup in addressing any repairing issues 13 as they arose; correct? 14 15 They delayed some. But, yes. They 16 did seem to be able to repair leaks. 17 Thank you. And the consequences of 0 18 a larger leak or a near surface casing rupture were not understood until the SS-25 19 2.0 event; correct? 21 I think the consequences of a well that blows out is fairly well understood in 22 23 I mean, it happened before. the industry. Maybe not at a storage area, but, certainly, 24 25 in well fields all across the United States 26 and probably around the world. 27 MR. STODDARD: If we could, please, turn to Exhibit 47. 2.8

```
ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
 1
 2
              (Off the record.)
          ALJ HECHT: We'll be on the record.
 3
              Please, continue, Mr. Stoddard.
 4
    BY MR. STODDARD:
 5
 6
              Ms. Felts, is this your opening
 7
    testimony?
          A
              Yes.
 8
 9
              Okay. If we could, please, turn to
10
    page 8 of this document and scroll down and
11
    see the Bates number. It's marked
    SoCalGas-47.0012. Scroll back up, please, to
12
13
    the second full paragraph.
14
              Ms. Felts, you see there where it
    says: "The consequences of a larger leak or
15
16
    a near-surface casing rupture were not
    encountered until the SS-25 event."
17
18
              Do you see that?
19
          Α
              I see that. Yes.
2.0
          MR. STODDARD:
                         Thank you. We can move
21
         All right. Turning back to Ms. Felts'
    opening testimony, but if we can turn to
22
23
    page -- the table at the front, Mr. Moshfegh,
24
    with the violation summary. Thank you.
25
              If you can go up to 1 through 6.
26
              Ms. Felts, we were discussing the
27
    failure, what we were calling "the failure to
    investigate violations," and these were the
2.8
```

```
ones that start with "no investigation of";
 1
 2.
    correct?
          A
              Yeah.
 3
              (Crosstalk.)
 4
          ALJ HECHT: Please don't speak over one
 5
 6
    another.
              Ms. Felts, you go ahead, please.
          THE WITNESS: One through 60; right?
 7
    BY MR. STODDARD:
 8
 9
          Q
              Yes.
10
          A
              Okay.
11
          Q
              Okay.
                     And, again, these are the --
12
    the violations we were discussing earlier was
    the failure to investigate violations. And
13
    these violations have a begin date and an end
14
15
    date there; do you see that?
16
          A
              Yes.
              And the begin dates are associated
17
18
    with some particular incident or at least
19
    Blade assessment of an incident; is that
2.0
    correct?
21
          A
              I believe so.
22
              But you're not sure because you
    didn't confirm it?
2.3
              Actually, I'm probably the one that
24
    picked off the dates. I'm just not sure that
25
26
    your representation is exactly correct.
27
              So you're saying you're the one
2.8
    that picked off the dates; is that what you
```

```
said?
 1
              Well, I found the begin date, and
 2
    then the end date is the same for all of
 3
    them. So I would have gone into either the
 4
 5
    Blade report or well records to find the
 6
    start date for these.
            Okay. If we could, please, turn to
 7
    Exhibit 35.
 8
          ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
 9
              (Off the record.)
10
11
              (Exhibit 35, page 218, line 12.)
12
          ALJ HECHT: All right.
                                 We'll be back
    on the record, Mr. Stoddard.
13
14
    BY MR. STODDARD:
15
              Ms. Felts, this is Exhibit No. 35,
16
    SoCalGas. The Bates stamp on the lower,
17
    right-hand corner says, SoCalGas 35.0218, and
18
    this is our deposition transcript from
19
    February 2020. If you could please go to
2.0
    line 12 -- 11.
21
              Ms. Felts, do you see where the
22
    question states: "Do you see the columns in
    this table titled, 'Begin Date' and 'End
23
24
   Date'"?
25
          Α
              Yes.
              And the answer is "Yes."
26
          0
27
              "QUESTION: Did you validate the
28
    begin date and end date for each of these
```

1	categories of violation?			
2	"ANSWER: No. I can tell you right			
3	off the bat that I just resist doing the			
4	violation calculations. So I usually say to			
5	the PUC, Unless you need my help figuring it			
6	out, figuring out a start and end date, go			
7	ahead and fill it in."			
8	Do you see that?			
9	A Yes. Yeah. Then that's probably a			
10	better memory than I have today.			
11	Q Okay. So, Ms. Felts, do you recall			
12	doing any particular due diligence with			
13	respect to those start and end dates?			
14	A No.			
15	Q Okay. You're aware that those are			
16	continuing violations as alleged?			
17	A Yes. I'm aware of that.			
18	Q And that those continuing			
19	violations effectively operate as though you			
20	were violating a law every day; correct?			
21	A That is it how it works at the PUC.			
22	That's my understanding.			
23	Q Do you know who did identify those			
24	or select those dates?			
25	A The person who wrote the report I			
26	suppose. They must have been in there when I			
27	agreed to author it or to sponsor it.			
28	Q And in light of the continuing			

nature of these violations, the length of 1 these dates, you understand, is one of most 2 significant factors in this termination of 3 any penalty; would you agree? 4 I understand that. The same thing 5 occurred in the San Bruno case. 6 7 And in the San Bruno case, did you also not identify or substantiate the begin 8 date and end date of your violations? I don't remember if I was involved 10 Δ 11 in that or not. Have you had any other cases where 12 you have alleged violations, continuing 13 14 violations? I think in other cases that I 15 16 worked on, they were reasonableness cases and the issue was different. The issue was 17 18 whether or not money was going to be covered 19 by the ratepayers. 2.0 So it didn't involve continuing violations? 21 I don't remember. Just as I sit 22 2.3 here today, I don't remember that. Okay. When you said you resist --24 in your deposition, when you said that you 25 resist doing the violation calculations, "So 26 27 I usually say to the PUC, unless you need my 28 help figuring out a start date and end date,

```
go ahead and fill it in."
 1
 2
              What you do mean by "resist" -- why
    do you resist doing the violation
 3
    calculation?
 4
              Well, I kind of see the -- the
 5
 6
    violations as falling in the legal camp, and
 7
    I was just doing technical consultation here.
    So this is something that other people at the
 8
    PUC are capable of doing.
10
              But you're the person who is
          0
11
    providing the testimony here - correct -
    Ms. Felts?
12
13
              I have agreed to sponsor this
    testimony, and, you know, primarily because
14
    it's usually a technical document and relies
15
16
    on underlying highly technical documents. So
    I assume that that was -- my purpose was to
17
18
    assist with the technical aspects of it.
19
              Would you agree that one of the
20
    technical aspects of it includes assessment
21
    of whether or not there were leaks in wells?
22
          Α
              That's pretty much the point of the
2.3
    Blade testimony.
24
              The Blade report?
          Q
25
          Α
              Yes.
26
              Right.
                     But you're indicating that
27
    you're providing, as an expert, technical
28
    expertise; correct?
```

1	A Typically, when I'm hired by the		
2	legal office at the PUC, it's because they		
3	need somebody to assist in understanding		
4	technical jargon, technical aspects, that		
5	have come up in a case and to review		
6	technical documents.		
7	So that's the role that I play in		
8	the in the case. In past cases, I have		
9	also hired, located and hired, experts or		
10	recommend that they be brought on contract		
11	separately so that we had everyone. And I'm		
12	sort of the interpreter between the experts		
13	and the attorneys at the PUC.		
14	And in this case, the opening		
15	testimony came with experts so I didn't have		
16	to find experts.		
17	Q I'm confused by your answer,		
18	Ms. Felts. "The opening testimony came with		
19	experts"; who are you referring to there?		
20	A Blade.		
	n biade.		
21	Q Blade is the Independent Root Cause		
21 22			
	Q Blade is the Independent Root Cause		
22	Q Blade is the Independent Root Cause Analysis Investigator in this case; correct?		
22 23	Q Blade is the Independent Root Cause Analysis Investigator in this case; correct? A Yes.		
22 23 24	Q Blade is the Independent Root Cause Analysis Investigator in this case; correct? A Yes. Q And they've alleged specific facts		
22232425	Q Blade is the Independent Root Cause Analysis Investigator in this case; correct? A Yes. Q And they've alleged specific facts that includes some analysis, but it doesn't		

```
doesn't require -- that your testimony as to
 1
 2.
    violations of 451 doesn't require anything
    more than what's in the Blade report?
 3
          MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'm going to
 4
    note an objection as calling for legal
 5
    conclusion. We've been over Ms. Felts' views
 6
 7
    of 451, and SoCalGas is belaboring the point
    and this is turning into quite a robust cross
 8
 9
    on the 451 question.
10
              And, again, I've got to reiterate,
11
    SoCalGas -- we had talked about SoCalGas not
    asking the basis for the violations in the
12
    testimony in the prehearing conference; so
13
14
    I'd object to calling for legal conclusion.
          ALJ HECHT: Mr. Stoddard.
15
16
          MR. STODDARD:
                         Thank you, your Honor.
17
              Again, Ms. Felts' testimony doesn't
18
    just simply have 451 slapped on it. It
    arques 451 in certain places.
19
              If it would help, we should pull up
2.0
    her opening testimony and I can point to an
21
    example of that, but I believe in one place,
22
23
    she specifically says in response to MHA:
    "The table presented by Hower and Stinson
24
25
    shows no information that could be useful in
    relieving SoCalGas of its obligations toward
26
27
    safety under Section 451."
2.8
              That's Ms. Felts' testimony and
```

```
we're trying to understand what that means.
 1
    And what we've just heard is that she
 2.
   believes that Blade, the Blade report is
 3
    sufficient, it sounds like, and that she is
 4
    there to interpret the technical aspects of
 5
    documents, not supply independent testimony
 6
 7
    as to any sort of fact, expert or otherwise,
    to support a 451 violation.
                                 That's what
 8
 9
    we're trying to understand.
          ALJ HECHT: I think it is reasonable to
10
11
    ask the reasoning that led to a determination
    that something is a violation. I think that
12
    that is a specific, not a broader legal
13
    interpretation, and I am going to -- I am not
14
15
    sustaining the objection. Please, continue
16
    the cross.
17
          MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, would it be
18
    okay to have the last question read back?
          MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may as
19
2.0
    well, I appreciate the court reporter doing
21
    her diligence. Would it be a good time to
    take a break? I know we've been doing this
22
    since about 1:00 or so with admittedly some
2.3
    breaks just to gather information, but,
24
    perhaps, we could give everybody a chance to
25
    catch their breath.
26
27
              Would that be acceptable?
2.8
          MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, if we can
```

let the witness answer the question first and 1 2. then take a break, it would be appreciated. ALJ HECHT: We have only been going for 3 about 40 minutes and I would like to go a 4 little bit longer before we take a break. 5 Understood, your Honor. 6 MR. GRUEN: 7 THE REPORTER: "QUESTION: Is it your view that your testimony doesn't require --8 9 that your testimony as to violations of 451 10 doesn't require anything more than what's in 11 the Blade report?" 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. The short answer is yes, but the 13 Blade report did not identify violations; so 14 15 there has to be someone, I assume in legal, 16 who could draw the line between the findings in the Blade report and a violation of 451. 17 18 BY MR. STODDARD: Ms. Felts, to be clear, you're not 19 2.0 adding anything through your testimony to this proceeding beyond providing a conduit 21 for somebody - you don't know who - in the 22 23 legal department to attach violations of 451 to the Blade report? 24 25 MR. GRUEN: Objection, your Honor. 26 That's assuming facts not in evidence if 27 there's anyone in the legal department who had a role in the preparation of the 2.8

```
1
    testimony.
          ALJ HECHT:
 2.
                     Do you have a response to
    that, Mr. Stoddard?
 3
          MR. STODDARD:
 4
                         Again, I can rephrase
    the question if it would help.
 5
 6
          ALJ HECHT: Why don't you try that and
 7
    we'll go from there.
    BY MR. STODDARD:
 9
              Ms. Felts, again, and I think one
    of the reasons I'm pushing on this is because
10
11
    there's certain places in your testimony
12
    where you do -- at least yesterday, what you
13
    said was you offer your own opinion in
    certain places; correct?
14
15
              That's correct.
          A
16
              All right. And so the fact that
    you said there's nothing -- nothing needed
17
18
    besides the Blade report for purposes of 451,
    seems inconsistent with that statement.
19
2.0
              As to the calculation of the
21
    violations, you have stated that someone in
22
    legal, I believe is what you said, but you
23
    don't know who, selected those dates;
24
    correct?
25
              I don't know who selected the
          A
26
    dates, but, you know, I can assume that it's
27
    somebody at the PUC. And I can't tell you
    because I don't have those facts.
2.8
```

And I would say that -- also point 1 2. out that we're only in my mind talking about the opening testimony here. And where I've 3 rendered opinions or further comments is in 4 reply or sur-reply testimony that I wrote. 5 So when we're talking just strictly 6 7 about the summary of violations in the opening testimony, that was all prepared 8 9 before I was seriously involved in this case, and it's my understanding that all of the 10 11 violations are based on findings by Blade and that Blade did not engage in any legal 12 assessment of determining whether or not the 13 violations as they're represented on the 14 summary of violations or in the violations of 15 16 451. So someone else had to have made that determination. 17 18 Okav. It's a mystery. We will 19 move on. 20 Ms. Felts, I don't want to waste 21 time on this, and so I'm just going to ask it categorically, and if we need to go back and 22 23 do it piece by piece, we can. 24 Does your testimony today regarding 25 the date calculation for violation apply to all violations 1 through 330 or actually --26 27 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may object, that's a question that's beyond the 2.8

scope of hearings. The scope of hearings, I 1 2 believe, is - correct me - violations one through 92, I believe, and 3/27 to 3/31. 3 ALJ HECHT: Sustained. We should stick 4 to the violations that we are having hearings 5 about here. 6 7 MR. STODDARD: Okay. ALJ HECHT: Thank you, Mr. Stoddard. 8 BY MR. STODDARD: 9 Ms. Felts, do the -- your testimony 10 0 11 regarding the calculation of violations apply to all of the violations that are within the 12 scope of these evidentiary hearings? 13 14 Α The testimony I just stated? 15 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to Q 16 interrupt you. Can you --17 A So as far as the statement that I 18 just made about the division between Blade 19 and 451 violations, that applies to all of 2.0 them. And your lack of knowledge as to 21 the basis for the date calculation applies to 22 all of them as well? 2.3 24 I'm just looking at the records violations, and I may have participated in 25 the start date of the records violation 3/27 26 27 through 3/30. I don't have any specific 28 memory of that, but I wrote that testimony;

so it's possible that I was asked when a 1 2 start date would be for those. 3 Okay. Thank you. This is ALJ Hecht. If we ALJ HECHT: 4 are at a pausing point, this might be a good 5 6 time to take a break. I am going to say we 7 are going to take a 15-minute break and we'll come back at 3:05. We'll be off the record. 8 9 (Recess taken.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the 10 record. We took a 15-minute afternoon break 11 and now we'll continue with cross-examination 12 of Witness Felts. 13 14 Mr. Stoddard. 15 MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor. 16 Before we proceed with cross-examination, SoCalGas would like to make an oral motion to 17 18 strike the testimony of Ms. Felts in her opening testimony as to the start dates and 19 2.0 end dates of the violations that are 21 specifically within the scope of these hearings. May I do so? 22 2.3 ALJ HECHT: You may make a motion, yes. 24 Thank you, your Honor. MR. STODDARD: 25 Pursuant to Rule 11.1(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 26 27 SoCalGas is moving to strike portions of Ms. Felts' testimony that provide the start 2.8

date and end dates for violations, and these 1 2 are on pages 3 -- actually, they're throughout because they're also in the 3 testimony as well. 4 Before we went on break, we heard 5 testimony from Ms. Felts indicating she did 6 7 not write, verify or substantiate the start date and end dates for the violations. 8 further testified that she did not know who 9 10 prepared them. She simply accepted them, and 11 she speculated that these dates were based on information received from Blade or, 12 potentially, SED, but took no steps to 13 14 substantiate them. 15 On that basis her testimony as to 16 start and end dates do not constitute 17 testimony of the witness and should be 18 stricken. 19 ALJ HECHT: And I expect we'll have a 20 response from Mr. Gruen. MR. GRUEN: Yes, your Honor. Thank 21 Daryl Gruen for Safety and Enforcement 22 2.3 Division. We oppose the motion to strike. 24 Ms. Felts has testified that the 25 testimony is based on the Blade report. The dates rely on information from the Blade 26 report. Blade will be available for 27 cross-examination next week. 2.8

1	SoCalGas has incorrectly stated
2	several things in its motion. First of all,
3	your Honor clarified that the hearings are
4	not focusing on the entirety of all
5	violations in testimony. It is only limited
6	to violations 1 through 92 and 3/27 to 3/31.
7	ALJ HECHT: I'm going to ask you to
8	slow down slightly for the benefit of the
9	court reporter.]
10	MR. GRUEN: Yes, your Honor. I
11	appreciate that. Okay.
12	I'm seeing the court reporter saying
13	to go ahead. Shall I restate anything?
14	THE REPORTER: We're good. Thank you.
15	MR. GRUEN: Okay.
16	The second inaccuracy is with
17	regards to Ms. Felts' statement regarding
18	violations 327 through 330. She did talk
19	about stating the dates there.
20	The third thing is with regards to
21	the point about speculation. Your Honor,
22	throughout this line of cross throughout the
23	day, Ms. Felts has deferred to Blade
24	regularly. And now SoCalGas would have us
25	believe that this testimony is speculation.
26	She's been clear from the outset
27	that the facts that are the basis for finding

```
record-keeping ones come from Blade.
 1
    will be an opportunity for SoCalGas to
 2
    cross-examination Blade to look at the basis
 3
    for those dates. I would suggest the motion
    to strike should be patently denied.
 5
 6
              The other thing that I'd say is, you
 7
    know, your Honor, this is what I would
    consider -- I would submit that your Honor's
 8
 9
    consider this is an eleventh-and-a-half hour,
    another line of motions in a series of motion
10
11
    practices that SoCalGas has blitzed us with,
    one motion after the next. This is clearly
12
    based on information that they have had in
13
    the deposition from -- I believe it was
14
    January. They could have raised this at the
15
16
    outset about Ms. Felts' knowledge of the
    Blade Report and given us a meaningful chance
17
18
    to digest their arguments.
              Instead, they would have us respond
19
2.0
    on the record with no chance to digest what
21
    they are proposing, very little chance for
    your Honors to digest it. And this is, I
22
23
    think, frankly -- it's just -- there are
    multiple reasons why it should be denied.
24
    But if your Honors are going to entertain the
25
26
    motion, what we would request is that we at
27
    least have a chance to brief it.
          ALJ HECHT: I am going to not rule
2.8
```

So I want to say we're going to take 1 today. it under advisement. 3 If you would like to make another statement, Mr. Stoddard, and then we can have 4 a brief response from Mr. Gruen. And my 5 co-presiding Judge and I will confer and 6 7 consider this motion. MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor. 8 There were a number of statements in 9 Mr. Gruen's response that either, you know --10 11 I wouldn't say mischaracterized my motion, 12 but it may have been that he didn't understand the points I was making. I wasn't 13 suggesting that the date ranges were based on 14 Ms. Felts' speculation. They are not based 15 16 on her knowledge at all. 17 Frankly, I think that this is a --18 you know, this is an issue that is concerning from a legal perspective that we have a 19 2.0 witness offering testimony that she has no 21 knowledge of. Reliance on the Blade Report 22 here is misplaced. 23 The Blade Report may identify leaks that Blade says should have been 24 investigated. They don't include date 25 26 They don't say when the 27 investigation should have occurred relative 2.8 to the -- you know, October 23rd, 2015. And

they don't allege violations of 451. SED and 1 2. the witness sitting here today are the ones alleging violations of 451. 3 Now, I don't have any objection with 4 SED's proposal of briefing this issue. 5 it may be the best way to handle it. But I 6 do take issue with SED's characterization of 7 the motion that we made and the suggestion 8 9 that we have been sitting on this. 10 Under Commission Rule 11.1(b), you 11 are allowed to bring motions at any time in 12 the course of a proceeding. There's no requirement that this be made at an earlier 13 date. And, frankly, the testimony we heard 14 today went beyond anything we have heard in a 15 16 deposition. 17 ALJ HECHT: Mr. Gruen, a brief 18 response? Thank you, your Honor. 19 MR. GRUEN: And I think this is one reason why 2.0 21 it may be appropriate to brief this. Without turning this into anything -- into 22 23 allegations of what's accurate, I think giving parties a chance to digest the record 24 -- I'll make a couple of points though, if I 25 26 may, on the substance. 27 One is, if memory serves -- and I studied the Scoping Memo last night, I 2.8

believe. The Scoping Memo instructed SED's 1 2 testimony to cite to violations and to reference the Blade Report in support of 3 them. The testimony has done exactly as the 4 Scoping Memo instructed. It's referenced the 5 6 Blade Report thoroughly. The dates are 7 identified -- the basis for the dates are identified in the testimony, as well. They 8 9 are provided, and they are referenced. Ms. Felts has been asked about 10 11 several of the bases for them. And she's provided the bases for the 451 violations. 12 This has turned into a robust set of 13 testimony, not just on the dates, but of the 14 451 violations. And she's given answers to 15 16 that. 17 So I would suggest, just in all of 18 this, that SED -- the testimony follows what 19 the Scoping Memo does. And now SoCalGas -they may not like it, but to move to strike 20 it when we've done -- the testimony's done 21 exactly what the Scoping Memo has required 22 23 seems to me to be inappropriate. As to the merits of the dates, you 24 25 know, we can all look at that. It may well be that that's a briefable issue. 26 27 SoCalGas wants to argue about that dates in briefs, rather than moving to strike and make 28

```
it -- another option here is to look at the
 1
 2
    evidentiary value of them, based on the
    testimony and what's in the record as to the
 3
    merits of the dates, and just leave this for
 4
    briefing. And in the spirit of what your
 5
    Honor instructed us to do the other day, let
 6
 7
   us focus on hearings. And leave the briefing
    for when that's -- when that's scheduled to
 8
 9
    be due. And we would be happy to follow your
    Honor's instructions --
10
11
          ALJ HECHT: For a last statement, Mr.
    Stoddard, briefly.
12
13
          MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, again, I
14
    think it would make the most sense to brief
15
    this expeditiously, not wait for briefing at
16
    the end of the proceeding. This is -- it's a
    significant -- as I pointed out in my
17
18
    questioning of Ms. Felts, this is extremely
    significant in terms of the scope of
19
    penalties relative to the potential for even
20
21
    settling this case, to the degree settlement
    were possible. These scopes of violations
22
23
    probably would be the biggest impact.
24
              And so I think this needs to be
25
    resolved expeditiously in order to be able to
    move forward in this proceeding, whether it's
26
    through evidentiary hearings, settlement, or
27
    something else. But I do think that we
28
```

```
should decide the issue soon. And I leave it
 1
 2
    to your Honors to decide how that will be
    done.
 3
          ALJ HECHT: Thank you.
 4
              I will say that I think it's likely
 5
    we will end up briefing this issue. I don't
 6
 7
    yet know on what schedule. I will note that
    we do not have the transcripts immediately.
 8
 9
    And I think the transcripts might be relevant
    to considering this, if it is briefed.
10
              I will take this under advisement.
11
    And my co-assigned presiding officer and I
12
    will provide more instructions on how to
13
14
    address this in the coming days.
15
          MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
16
          ALJ HECHT:
                     Thank you.
17
          MR. GRUEN: Understood.
                                   Thank you,
    your Honor.
18
          ALJ HECHT: I hate to end on that note,
19
20
    but I think we're going to end on that note.
              I would like to do some of the
21
    housekeeping stuff. I was going to go for
22
2.3
    another 10 or 15 minutes, but I'm not
    convinced that it's worth actually doing that
24
25
    right now. I don't know that we'll get
26
    through enough. So I would like to do some
27
    housekeeping and then take up the
    cross-examination again tomorrow.
2.8
```

```
1
              So, the first thing we need to do is
 2
    to identify the cross exhibits that were used
 3
    today.
          MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor.
                                            Ιf
 5
    you'll give me a couple minutes.
          ALJ HECHT: Of course. We'll be off
 6
    the record.
 7
              (Off the record.)
 8
          ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
 9
10
    record.
              Mr. Stoddard, we're going to
11
12
    identify the exhibits.
          MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
13
14
              The exhibit numbers are 34, 55 --
15
    actually, hold on. I have to read the
    descriptions, as well. I apologize.
16
17
          ALJ HECHT: Just a brief description is
    fine so that we can match it to the document.
18
19
          MR. STODDARD: Okay. I'm going to
20
    start with 55.
2.1
              So SoCalGas-55, e-mail chain between
    Margaret Felts and Darryl Gruen March 27,
22
23
    2020. Re: Sera (Chapter 5) on Leaks, MAOP,
24
    et cetera.
25
              (Exhibit No. SoCalGas-55 was marked
              for identification.)
26
          MR. STODDARD: Next is 73, letter from
27
28
    Elizaveta Malashenko to Alan Mayberry,
```

```
January 5th, 2016, Re: CPUC response to
2
    natural gas leak at Aliso Canyon Storage
3
    Facility.
              (Exhibit No. SoCalGas-73 was marked
              for identification.)
 5
              (Reporter clarification.)
 6
7
          ALJ HECHT: Could you please spell
    those names, Mr. Stoddard?
8
 9
          MR. STODDARD: Yes. This was a letter
    from E-l-i-z-a-v-e-t-a, last name,
10
11
    M-a-l-a-s-h-e-n-k-o, to Alan Mayberry,
12
    A-1-a-n, M-a-y-b-e-r-r-y.
              And 75, SoCalGas-75, excerpt of
13
14
    Blade Energy Partners root cause analysis of
15
    uncontrolled hydrocarbon release from Aliso
16
    Canyon SS-25.
17
              (Exhibit No. SoCalGas-75 was marked
              for identification.)
18
19
          MR. STODDARD: And Exhibit 34, prepared
20
    reply testimony of Tim Hower and Charlie
2.1
    Stinson of MHA Petroleum Consultants, March
    20th, 2020, redline version.
22
              (Exhibit No. SoCalGas-34 was marked
23
              for identification.)
24
25
          ALJ HECHT:
                     All right. Let me check
    and make sure that we have those identified
26
27
    and see whether there's anything else we
28
   need.
```

In the meantime, I would like to ask 1 2 about how much additional cross-examination time Mr. Stoddard expects SoCalGas to have of 3 this witness. I would like to be planning for the hearings tomorrow and the next day, 5 and to know when we can expect other 6 7 witnesses to be needed. MR. STODDARD: I'll need to review my 8 9 outline to provide a specific -- a more specific -- but I think we can probably 10 11 conclude by the end of the day tomorrow. ALJ HECHT: Thank you. That's all I 12 need right now. I just wanted to get a sense 13 14 of that. (Crosstalk.) 15 16 ALJ POIRIER: This is ALJ Poirier. just wanted to make sure to note too that Cal 17 18 Advocates' witnesses would be coming after that on Friday. So just so Ms. Bone is aware 19 20 of that so that they're ready, potentially, 21 at the end of the day tomorrow. 22 MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, I have a question. 23 ALJ HECHT: Yes. 24 25 MR. STODDARD: If tomorrow -- are we 26 going to have a hard stop? Or if we need to, 27 can we go closer to 4:30? ALJ HECHT: Tomorrow, I believe we have 2.8

a hard stop at around 3:45. I will consult, 1 2. but do not expect to go past then. 3 MR. STODDARD: Okay. ALJ POIRIER: It looks like Ms. Bone 4 has a comment. 5 Traci Bone for Cal 6 MS. BONE: Advocates. And our witnesses would be 7 prepared to go on on Friday, unless cross 8 9 exhibits can be made available to them today. 10 They wouldn't be able to go on tomorrow. 11 ALJ POIRIER: This is ALJ Poirier. One thing I note is, I assume SED 12 13 will have some redirect. So it's probably safe to assume Cal Advocates is going to be 14 15 on Friday. 16 I -- Mr. Gruen, I make that expectation on your behalf. But if you could 17 18 comment on that? MR. GRUEN: Your Honor is -- I can 19 2.0 confirm the accuracy of that. Your Honor is 21 -- I believe that is correct. Thank you, 22 your Honor. 2.3 ALJ HECHT: Yes, Ms. Bone. MS. BONE: Yeah. I will also note that 24 25 the cross-examination exhibits have been arriving not on or before 1:00 o'clock, but 26 27 more like 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon, and that we've had quite a bit of difficulty 2.8

```
accessing them and downloading them. Today
 1
 2
    was a good day in that I could quickly
    download them. Other days it takes half an
 3
    hour sometimes to download them.
 4
              So I just want to flag those as
 5
 6
    continuing issues. It seems to be a little
   better today. But I just wanted the Court to
 7
    be aware of those concerns and how that could
 8
 9
    also impact our ability to be cross-examined.
          ALJ HECHT: Thank you for raising that,
10
11
    Ms. Bone. We have had a little trouble with
    access as well. We are able to access
12
    things, but it can take a little bit of
13
14
    doing.
15
              I will say that everybody should
    please try to serve things by 1:00 p.m.
16
17
    There's a reason that I set that time. And
18
    that's so that upcoming witnesses will have a
    chance to look at it, and so that our
19
    proceeding support people can make sure to
20
    have accurate notes and information and can
21
    get us the things that we need. So that is
22
    my request to you all.
23
24
              All right. Are there any other
25
    housekeeping issues that we should address
    before we adjourn for the day?
26
27
              (No response.)
2.8
          ALJ HECHT: Okay. I have us starting
```

```
tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. But my IT people have
 2
    us starting tomorrow at 9:00 a.m.
 3
              Does anybody have any thoughts on
    that?
 5
          ALJ POIRIER: It might be a result of
    the daylight savings that the time is
 6
    different.
 7
          ALJ HECHT: Okay. That makes a lot of
 8
    sense how that could have occurred. Sorry to
 9
    put that on the record.
10
11
              All right. We are going to finish
12
    today. And we'll be back tomorrow at 10:00
    a.m. We'll be off the record.
13
14
              (Whereupon, at the hour of 3:28 p.m.
          this matter having been continued to
15
          10:00 a.m., March 18, 2021, the
          Commission then adjourned.)
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, JASON STACEY, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 14092, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 17, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 22, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	JASON A. STACEY CSR NO. 14092
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, KARLY POWERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 13991, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 17, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 22, 2021.
16	
17	
18	. 1
19	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$
20	Athinery
21	KARLY POWERS CSR NO.#13991
22	05(1)3.1119331
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, SHANNON ROSS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 8916, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 17, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 22, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Sh-V2
21	SHANNON ROSS CSR NO. 8916
22	OSIC NO. OJIO
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	1972 204:3 206:27	27th 240:2	
0	256:18	2nd 247:16	5
001850 247:13	1973 256:11		5 238:2 282:23
003 163:7	1984 227:16		50 248:28
	1985 245:20 247:16,17 248:10 250:5,11 251:20	3 227:7,12 228:18	51 170:26 177:2
1	257:9,28 258:11	229:4,21 232:26 274:2	53 192:3
1 177:20 211:19 229:23	1986 227:16 248:11	3/27 272:3,26 275:6	54 170:27
232:23 248:13 260:25	1990 258:4	3/30 272:27	55 239:14 282:14,20
271:26 275:6 1,852 247:12	1998 256:13,28 257:4 258:5,11	3/31 272:3 275:6 327 275:18	5th 162:21 283:1
10 204:11 207:4 281:23	1:00 213:26 214:1	330 271:26 275:18	6
10:01 156:2	268:23	34 213:2,11 216:1	
11 170:27 171:6 203:22	1:03 215:1	220:10 282:14 283:19	6 260:25
262:20	1st 156:23	34.0015 220:23	60 196:4 203:18 211:20
11.1(b) 273:25 278:10	2	35 160:21 213:15 262:8, 11,15	229:24 232:23 261:7
11:05 187:22 11:52 213:28		35.0218 262:17	7
	2 168:25 171:15 172:20, 21 174:9 238:2,19	376 170:26,27	7 173:15,16 179:18
11th 168:8	2,746 247:13	38 167:19 168:1	73 282:27
12 248:27 249:11 262:11,20	20 213:13 221:15	382 172:28 173:16	75 254:12 283:13
129 177:2,25	2008 256:14,28 257:2,4	383 174:10	750001 255:15
13 168:5 216:9,16	258:5,12	3:05 273:8	750004 256:6
220:9,11,21	2015 168:5 208:25		
15 187:22 245:11,12 246:22 281:23	233:25 256:11 277:28	4	8
15-minute 273:7,11	2016 162:21 168:8,16 172:5 251:10 256:18	4 226:7,8 227:24 228:15	8 260:10
16 176:12 177:12,28	257:2 283:1	229:20 233:4 244:13	80 171:13 233:7 249:6
167 255:18	2019 254:26 255:16	253:9	82 171:13
16th 254:26 255:16	2020 213:13 216:6	40 269:4	88 177:11,27
17 156:2	240:3 262:19 282:23 283:22	451 168:3,4,26 224:14, 28 225:2 226:6 228:3,5,	89 178:12
17th 156:9	2021 156:2,10,23 171:3	10 267:2,7,9,18,19,27	
19 161:20	20th 216:6 283:22	268:8 269:9,17,23 270:18 271:16 272:19	9
19- 206:28	21 160:22	278:1,3 279:12,15	Q 470:49
19-06-016 156:8	218 262:11	47 167:11 259:28	9 179:18
192 241:12	23 208:25	47.001 167:26	90 233:8
1952 203:20 221:13	234 160:21	49 242:15 243:5	92 178:13 272:3 275:6
1954 192:3	23rd 233:25 277:28	4:30 284:27	Α
1969 196:7 215:19	24th 171:3	4th 168:16	A.I. A.N. 600 / 0
1970s 206:28	27 282:22		A-L-A-N 283:12
10103 200.20			

Index: 001850..A-L-A-N

a.m. 156:2 214:1 ability 231:11 232:16 aboveground 159:25 160:11 **absence** 245:2 246:15 247:23 250:22 acceptable 256:2 268:27 accepted 274:10 **access** 158:26 181:3 189:4 209:7,8 210:25, 26 211:4.7 223:3 226:27 227:2 accessing 175:24 176:15 accounting 226:10,25 accurate 278:23 acquire 204:8 acquired 204:12 205:11 223:12.25 251:25 acquiring 204:25 acquisition 222:10 activities 160:9 164:14 165:14 172:5 Activity 247:18 actual 237:4 added 242:8 adding 269:20 additional 179:9 210:15 212:12 228:7 231:1 284:2 address 200:10 281:14 addressed 205:1 addressing 259:13 adequate 172:3 205:18 adjust 187:16 Administration 162:25 **ADMINISTRATIVE** 156:4

administrator 162:23 admittedly 268:23 advance 166:28 172:13 173:2 225:6 advisement 277:2 281:11 Advocates' 284:18 afternoon 215:1 273:11 age 244:17,23,28 246:13 250:21 253:3, 12,13 254:1,6 agencies 159:14 160:24 164:21 165:26 agencies' 165:14 agency 160:15 161:1, 21 163:22 aggravate 193:1 agree 176:21,22,25 181:18 217:14 235:18. 19 241:1 257:12 258:18 264:4 265:19 agreed 159:5 263:27 265:13 ahead 156:27 173:21 186:13 188:2 194:3 215:7,8 229:16 231:22 232:18 239:19 242:4 261:6 263:7 265:1 275:13 air 159:20,21 161:10,11 165:19.20.21 **Alan** 162:21 282:28 283:11 **Aliso** 156:8 163:9,16 164:8 186:3 187:6 199:25 244:18,28 245:8 246:13,21 247:17,28 248:25 250:21.25 251:8 252:21 253:4,12 254:3, 10 255:1 256:9 258:15 283:2,15 **ALJ** 156:6,18,27 157:9, 15,18,27 158:2,4,11 161:25,28 162:4,6,17

20 215:3 221:5 225:10, 16,21,23 227:22 229:12 230:4,16 231:22 232:12 235:1,7 238:3,6 239:15, 18 242:1,3 243:22 245:23,26,28 247:5 254:15,18 255:4,6 256:4,7,21,24 257:19 260:1,3 261:5 262:9,12 267:15 268:10 269:3 270:2,6 272:4,8 273:4, 10,23 274:19 275:7 276:28 278:17 280:11 281:4,16,19 282:6,9,17 283:7,25 284:12,16,24, allegations 278:23 allege 203:17 226:5 278:1 alleged 177:5 179:5,14 198:2 202:11 211:14,18 215:16,17 263:16 264:13 266:24 allegedly 178:21 alleges 196:3 alleging 224:28 228:2, 9 236:25 278:3 Allowable 240:20 allowed 278:11 allowing 190:20 amended 167:1,26 amendments 167:13 ample 204:24 230:11 231:3 analyses 179:25 180:4, 16,17,18,25 182:13,14 analysis 180:4,21,24, 26 182:15,18,21,23,24 196:27 201:20 207:28 208:1,3 209:4 235:25 237:22,25,26 252:26 254:26,28 255:13,20 266:22,25 283:14 Angeles 177:7 annotate 193:24 201:14 annotated 202:18

annulus 189:2.20 191:16 193:17 194:19 anomalies 238:22 **anomaly** 191:18 answering 229:11 241:23 **answers** 170:16 228:22 279:15 anulus 217:4 apologize 170:21 282:16 **apparent** 193:3,5 apparently 169:23 appeared 220:4 applies 272:19,22 **apply** 158:6 159:6 244:10 271:25 272:11 appreciated 235:4 269:2 approximately 232:28 233:11 **April** 247:16 **ARB** 165:19 area 160:5 204:12 206:18 208:27 243:11, 26 259:5,10,24 argue 279:27 argues 267:19 arguing 225:1 argument 253:19 arguments 254:8 276:18 arise 173:28 arms 174:4 arose 259:14 aspects 265:18,20 266:4 268:5 asserted 176:24 178:15 assess 226:4 236:16 assessing 240:10

170:7 173:12 187:17,

21,25 210:9 213:3,6,18,

241:8 243:6 210:21,28 211:13 believes 268:3 **bond** 223:13,17 213:6,20 215:3,18,19, assessment 166:15 believing 210:2 **bonding** 206:12,22 24 219:9,10 220:9,10, 197:2 198:1 203:8 11 223:11 224:10 bend 181:26 Bone 284:19 211:13 215:25 219:18 225:3,15,23 226:1,22 benefit 275:8 **book** 156:19 222:17,18 232:22 227:8,19 228:17 231:26 235:22 236:9 238:23 233:12 238:6,19 239:18 biggest 280:23 **bottom** 181:23 183:16, 242:22 243:8,12,26 241:19,24 242:3,6 25 184:3,21 207:17 244:1,3,6 250:10,17 **billion** 248:28 244:12 245:20,26 212:12 216:16 220:21 251:8,16 257:10,14 247:21 253:7,8 254:18 239:26 256:12 bit 199:19 217:27 235:3 258:15,19,20 261:19 255:6 256:24 260:12,21 258:28 269:5 265:20 271:13 break 187:19,21,27 262:12 268:18 271:22 **blade** 171:23 172:14 213:25 215:4,5 216:4 273:8,10 282:9 assessments 200:16 178:14,22,26,27 179:4, 268:22 269:2,5 273:6,7, assist 204:6 265:18 **Baker** 252:11 11 274:5 12 182:24 196:12 266:3 197:13 202:12,19 barely 239:23 breaks 268:24 208:12,28 209:2,6,12, associate 162:22 **based** 171:22 195:9 breath 268:26 18,21 210:25 211:8 assume 194:22 195:5 224:7 226:25 227:3 212:3,6,16,21,27 briefable 279:26 200:27 265:17 269:15 241:14 250:10 257:6,27 216:25 217:17,26 270:26 271:11 274:11,25 218:1,8,19 219:8 **briefed** 281:10 276:13 277:14,15 280:2 220:13 221:9 224:4,6 assuming 204:18 **briefing** 278:5 280:5,7, 225:27 226:8,11,15,26 269:26 **bases** 279:11,12 15 281:6 227:14,18,24 228:8,15, attach 269:23 **basic** 180:23 24 229:20 231:2,4 **briefings** 164:19 233:4 253:27 254:25 attached 258:9 basically 174:2 201:16 **briefly** 167:21 173:4 255:12 256:11 261:19 219:17 231:15 259:4,8 177:21 182:27 183:6 attachments 176:3 262:5 265:23,24 186:15 231:23.24 basics 240:8,25 242:23 266:20,21 267:3 268:3 attempt 168:7,9,17,19 280:12 243:5 269:11,14,17,24 270:18 271:11,12 272:18 **attempts** 168:11 briefs 279:28 basing 211:18 230:21 274:12,25,26,27 275:23 attention 242:9 bring 225:3 245:24 basis 172:24 179:3 276:1,3,17 277:21,23, 253:7 278:11 24 279:3,6 283:14 attestation 158:5 210:18 216:25 224:22 229:23 231:5,6 232:23 159:3 broad 234:17 Blade's 196:16 208:10 244:8 267:12 272:22 210:11 211:13 215:25 attorneys 266:13 **broader** 268:13 274:15 275:27 276:3 218:21 219:17 224:7 279:7 **audio** 159:4,10 **broadly** 222:17 228:4,28 232:22 237:14 255:20 **bat** 263:3 author 263:27 **broke** 215:15 **Blades** 207:11 bates 177:22 220:22 authority 160:4 brought 225:4 266:10 221:2 239:27 244:14 **blaster** 199:23 **averted** 251:11 **Bruno** 264:6,7 255:15 256:1 260:11 262:16 **blasts** 199:23 aware 164:25 165:1,8, **bullet** 163:28 216:17 13,17 166:23 175:21 bear 169:18 **blitzed** 276:11 **bulleted** 163:14 195:25 200:8,20 233:21 began 168:4 block 238:21,27 234:11,23 263:15,17 **burden** 231:14 284:19 begin 261:14,17 262:2, blow 249:25 burdensome 230:9.15 23,28 264:8 231:7,18 **blows** 259:22 В beginning 181:21 **buy** 204:14 **Board** 159:20,21 203:19 210:23 237:11 161:10 165:20 back 157:18 162:3,6 belaboring 267:7 C 163:4 165:18 175:8 **boards** 161:13 187:25 193:26 194:26 believed 210:24 **body** 219:3 195:18,20 199:6 203:19 Cal 159:26 284:17

Index: assessment..Cal

5,10,25 218:6,21,24

calculation 265:4

270:20 271:25 272:11, 219:3,6,7 220:12 221:8 215:25 218:28 226:20 combined 177:18 22 229:3,21 233:13 283:25 226:16 227:24 235:26 216:6,7 236:14,27 251:15 calculations 263:4 **checked** 196:21 253:21,24 255:21 comfortable 228:9 264:26 227:19 228:14,17 259:18 260:16 comments 271:4 Calgem 159:18 160:2,3 **checking** 219:16 casings 179:26 183:8 Commission 159:19 229:19 California 159:16 204:25 205:2,20 216:28 161:3,8 163:3 166:6,12 160:17,26 161:2 163:2, 251:22 choosing 230:13 204:6 278:10 20 catch 268:26 **chosen** 208:6 Commission's 179:11 call 242:9 251:23 categorically 271:22 circle 259:4,6 273:26 called 159:18 190:26 categories 263:1 circumference 183:21 **common** 217:6 calling 209:16 224:17, 184:18 categorize 211:16 communication 26 237:24 260:27 circumstances 188:8 175:15 187:8 188:11 267:5,14 category 172:2 190:15 196:10 189:2 calls 227:21 243:19 **causal** 182:23 **citation** 166:11 communications camp 265:6 caused 181:28 199:5 175:17 cite 279:2 251:13 **compel** 156:22 **Canyon** 156:8 163:9,16 cited 227:6 246:22 164:8 186:3 199:26 causing 249:23 250:13 247:20 complete 241:27 247:17 248:25 251:8 **CEC** 164:4 252:21 254:4 255:2 **citing** 250:7 completely 192:4 256:10 258:15 283:2.16 cement 181:22 186:26 217:7,12,14 219:25 clarification 157:21 190:9 192:2,19,25 capabilities 208:6 220:18 229:14 255:3 completion 166:20 198:26 199:9,11,16 227:26 283:6 185:18 189:9 201:25 206:12,21,24 capable 265:9 clarified 275:3 217:3 223:13,17 component 252:3 capacity 249:7 cemented 202:4 clarify 172:8 220:24 components 252:5 Carnahan 240:16 cementing 183:13 cleaned 205:16 computer 239:24 216:28 217:8 247:10 case 169:5 178:27 clear 230:19 269:19 cetera 282:24 183:1,28 186:3 189:6 concept 258:20 275:26 204:28 205:7 219:21,24 CFR 241:12 242:15 close 156:19 184:24 concepts 258:26 220:1 232:3 244:20 243:5 187:16 188:7,25 225:8 252:13 254:8 264:6,7 concern 170:5 266:5,8,14,22 271:9 chain 239:26 282:21 closed 193:14,19 conclude 284:11 280:21 194:25 195:1 197:5,20 chance 157:7 268:25 conclusion 166:5 cases 264:12,15,16 276:17,20,21,27 278:24 closer 284:27 175:2 224:18,26 254:4 266:8 change 203:7 **closes** 191:3 267:6,14 casing 179:25 181:3, chapter 177:20 238:2, closing 217:7 conclusions 206:15 10,11,24,25,27 183:12, 13 244:13 282:23 13,24 184:2,20,21,23 co-assigned 281:12 condition 203:15 185:16.18.19.21 186:18 character 238:26 204:24 205:1 206:16 co-presiding 277:6 187:9 188:12,13,19,21, 236:16 251:14 253:26 characterization 23 189:3,6,24 191:16, Code 224:14 232:6 278:7 conditions 251:13 19 192:9,24 194:20,21 collar 217:1,11,13,24 195:2 196:5,6 198:4,7 characterize 224:8 conduct 188:24 231:4 218:7 219:1,6,8,22,25 199:13,17,24 200:25 characterized 256:11 conducted 236:26 235:15 201:1,3,18 205:26 206:11,12,13,20,24 **Charlie** 283:20 collars 192:6,7,8 conduit 269:21 208:16,25 212:13 216:27 217:7 **chart** 255:19 257:6 215:18 216:18 217:2,4,

Index: calculation..conduit

columns 262:22

check 156:14 196:16

confer 277:6 conference 224:20 267:13 configuration 183:3 confirm 167:23 172:10 203:17 218:28 224:11 229:2,4 231:26 261:23 confirmed 218:3,11, 13,14,18 confused 266:17 confusion 217:28 connection 165:11 consent 159:9 consequences 258:25 259:17,21 260:15 Conservation 163:20 considered 191:4 205:1 209:2 257:13 considers 258:23 constituents 178:3 constitute 168:26 274:16 construction 160:6 185:24 192:9 consult 194:23 228:8 Consultants 283:21 consultation 265:7 contained 177:7 204:21 contamination 161:19 183:18 contend 196:26 203:26 contending 251:18 contention 250:8 context 208:20 238:23 244:6 246:10 **contexts** 258:21 continuation 248:13 continue 156:14 158:6 184:15 188:1 194:2

213:7 215:7 221:5

225:11,24 235:7 246:6

247:6 256:26 257:20 260:4 268:15 273:12 continued 168:7 continuing 156:10 263:16,18,28 264:13,20 contract 228:5 234:7,9 266:10 control 159:20 165:20 189:25 206:2 221:23 227:27 245:3 246:16 247:23 250:22 controlling 222:1 conversation 178:25 conversion 203:25 205:9 206:26 220:15 221:11,16 converted 205:21 converting 205:3 convinced 281:24 convincing 204:6 copy 167:24 221:1 256:20 262:17

248:9

corner 163:6 255:14 **correct** 156:24,26 160:17 166:2 167:2,16, 27 169:3 171:10,16 172:17,25 175:21,22 176:17 177:8,14 178:5, 16,22 179:6 180:27 187:1 189:4,16 191:10 192:17,21 195:21 196:8,27 198:23,27 199:1 201:27 202:12 203:4,20,28 207:23 208:10,13,28 209:7 215:26,27 218:3,12,18 219:3 220:28 221:25 222:11 223:14 227:5,14 233:23 234:1 236:19 237:17,22 239:11 240:22 249:17,20 250:5,17,18 257:5,6,28 258:21,25 259:14,20 261:2,20,26 263:20 265:11,28 266:22,26,27 270:14,15,24 272:2

corrected 167:1

D

 corrosion 181:8,15

 192:17,21,27 201:9
 daily 157:6,21 164:18

 235:21 245:2 246:15
 damage 166:16

 247:23 249:20 250:22
 damage 166:16

 Counsel 173:8 175:10
 Darryl 169:22 173:7

 178:25 210:10 257:17
 240:7 255:28 282:22

 Counting 226:16
 Daryl 209:14 220:17

 couple 196:21 210:8
 221:1 274:22

> date 165:12 175:5 196:7 215:19 227:14 261:14,15 262:2,3,6,23, 28 263:6 264:9,28 271:25 272:22,26 273:2 274:1,8 277:14,25 278:14

CPUC's 166:6 **Date'** 262:24 **credibility** 169:19 **dated** 162:20

cross 169:10 170:3 216:5 240 254:26 25 275:22 282:2 **dates** 196

cross-examination 158:13 162:1 213:8 215:13 231:4 246:4 273:12,16 274:28 276:3 281:28 284:2

cover 174:8 177:20

covered 229:12 264:18

254:25 255:12

CPCN 204:3,16

CPUC 166:18 283:1

cross-examining 156:13 187:28 215:6

233:3 **cross-referenced** 227:11 229:26

cross-reference

crossover 186:5,7 195:3

Crosstalk 186:10 194:1 200:2 211:5 224:15 231:20 241:17 261:4 284:15 **dated** 162:20 213:12 216:5 240:2 247:16 254:26 255:15

dates 196:6 203:2,6 224:2 226:12 250:5 261:17,25,28 263:13,24 264:2 270:23,26 273:19,20 274:1,8,11, 16,26 275:19 276:4 279:6,7,14,24,27 280:4

dating 203:19 215:18 223:11

day 156:7,10 164:15 168:5,8 263:20 275:23 280:6 284:5,11,21

days 157:23 196:1 281:14

December 168:16 212:24

decide 193:27 281:1,2 **decided** 175:11

decision 174:21	description 282:17	disagreed 208:12	downloaded 176:19
decision-making	descriptions 282:16	227:13	DPH 178:2
169:17	designing 173:22	discharge 161:15	draw 259:4 269:16
decrease 256:16	detailed 179:23	disclose 177:6	drawing 184:8,17
decreasing 257:12	detect 193:19	discount 228:24	drill 183:21,22 186:25
deed 169:24	determination 197:6	discounted 244:8	drilling 183:11,12,25
deeper 186:25	203:13 223:21 224:12 268:11 271:17	discovered 220:13 221:10	185:17 198:3 221:14
defer 196:12 217:17 224:4	determine 166:12	discovery 176:9	Drive 175:25 176:17 179:11
deferred 248:10,11 275:23	180:19,26 181:7 182:14 185:20,22 186:23 198:15 202:1 211:15	230:12 231:1 discrepancies 228:25	due 175:23 201:8,9 204:19 222:10,20
deferring 224:6 225:27	228:9 235:23,27 237:6	discuss 170:19 217:17	235:20 263:12 280:9
define 182:9,20	determined 197:17	discussed 167:22	E
definition 182:26 239:4 242:12	200:27 217:13,15 224:23 227:25	176:14 190:23 213:22 235:12	E-L-I-Z-A-V-E-T-A
definitions 239:6	determining 198:16 271:13	discusses 247:22	283:10
degradation 192:19	devices 216:27	discussing 158:28 171:1 188:6 189:13	e-mail 239:25,26 242:20 282:21
degrade 199:16	diameter 183:14	201:7 215:16 220:8	e-mails 165:5,18 176:3,
degraded 181:22	184:19	234:7 237:21 238:22 246:9 260:26 261:12	5,8 212:23
191:26 192:3,25 201:25 202:1	Diamond 175:25 176:16 179:11	discussion 175:10 215:22 216:11,15 218:8	earlier 189:13 218:14 221:20 223:9 237:19
degrading 191:28 199:9	differed 208:28	224:19 242:19 243:7 244:2	247:21 253:23 261:12 278:13
degree 165:26 229:13 280:21	difference 170:15 227:15	dismissive 240:27	early 166:14 204:21 206:7 222:25
delayed 259:15	differences 227:18	District 159:22 165:21	easily 217:9
denied 225:6 276:5,24	differentiate 233:5	division 163:2,21	effectively 263:19
department 159:17	differently 200:14	240:14 272:18 274:23	-
160:28 161:24 162:10	250:4	document 162:15,18 163:8,10 167:7,20	effort 196:16,17 198:17
163:20 177:6 269:23,27	difficulties 175:24	172:28 213:24 221:2	efforts 163:15,22,24 164:16 169:28
depending 166:8 182:20	difficulty 175:27 176:15	238:16 239:22 245:16, 21,24 246:23,28 247:9,	elaborate 238:28 242:11,25
deposition 170:13,14, 19,23 171:1,2,9 173:9	digest 276:18,20,22 278:24	20,24 255:10,24 258:11 260:10 265:15 282:18	Eleven 220:12 221:8
262:18 264:25 276:14 278:16	diligence 204:19 222:11,20 263:12	documentation 223:7 227:26	eleventh-and-a-half 276:9
depth 195:17	268:21	documents 171:28	Elizaveta 162:26,28
deputy 162:22	Direct 216:2	172:1,22 176:20 178:13 233:8 234:3 258:8	282:28
describe 162:18	directives 164:20	265:16 266:6 268:6	emergency 174:12
167:20 182:15 183:7	directly 178:23 211:2 241:8	DOGGR 160:2,3,14 165:27 205:23	emissions 161:12
186:15,27 187:11,14 211:26 212:9			enable 189:1
describes 163:15,19	Director 163:1	downhole 160:16 161:23 244:10	encountered 260:17

Index: decision..encountered

end 157:7 188:14 259:10 261:14 262:3, 23,28 263:6,13 264:9, 28 273:20 274:1,8,16 280:16 281:6,19,20 284:11,21 ended 172:22 Energy 161:3 283:14 enforcement 163:1 166:7 274:22 engage 271:12 engaged 234:8 engineers 212:18 245:7 246:20 247:28 250:25 entail 207:20 222:13 entailed 205:10 entertain 276:25 entirety 275:4 entitled 230:23 entrained 199:22 **EPA** 159:26 equipment 184:7 199:12 205:16 erosion 181:8 199:13 200:16,24,28 201:9,10 essentially 169:25 217:1 evaluate 206:11 evaluating 223:11 evaluation 203:14 **evenings** 231:17 event 259:20 260:17 **events** 179:27 eventually 176:6 248:11 evidence 269:26 evidencing 172:23 evidentiary 156:7,11 272:13 280:2.27

exact 175:4 219:23

examples 201:7 exception 275:28 excerpt 255:18 283:13 exchange 224:20 executed 168:6 exhibit 160:21 167:11 170:26,27 177:2,18 178:10 179:17 213:1, 10,14,15 216:1,2 220:10 237:28 239:14, 16 241:25 254:11,12,14 257:27 258:5 259:28 262:8,11,15 282:14,25 283:4,17,19,23 **Exhibit-51** 238:10 244:12 253:9 Exhibit-51.001. 177:24 Exhibit-73 162:14 exhibits 158:27 166:28 246:3 282:2.12 exist 220:7 existence 229:5 **expect** 161:2 210:5 249:2 274:19 284:6 expects 284:3 expeditiously 280:15, 25 expenses 168:13,21 experience 244:1,4 expert 265:27 268:7

expeditiously 280:15, 25 f
expenses 168:13,21 experience 244:1,4 expert 265:27 268:7 f
expertise 164:2 243:11,25 265:28 experts 266:9,12,15, 16,19 explain 173:4,16 180:7 188:8 192:7 199:19 240:19 248:3 258:28 explained 189:1 explains 187:15 f
explanation 238:28 242:11 extend 189:8 206:17 f

extends 184:26 189:7
extensive 201:20
231:16 243:8 244:4
extensively 234:2
external 181:8 192:27
extract 188:19,22
extremely 280:18

facilitate 185:10 facility 160:11,12 164:8,26 283:3 fact 171:26 173:18 178:3 203:4 213:22

178:3 203:4 213:22 219:1,2 244:19 248:10 253:27 268:7 270:16

fact-check 227:28

fact-checking 228:23 factor 249:22 259:11 factors 264:3

facts 202:24 209:22 226:2,10 233:13 266:24 269:26 270:28 275:27

factual 231:5 **fail** 252:14,15 259:10

failed 244:16,27 246:12 250:14,20 253:2,11 257:24 258:3

failing 198:26

failure 168:23 171:14 177:5 179:24,27 180:3, 16,17,24 182:12 196:4, 24 201:20 203:10 207:28 208:1,3 209:3 215:17 233:19 234:26 236:25 237:20,25 251:17 252:23 258:24, 25 259:3,7,9 260:27 261:13

failures 196:5,6,11,13, 15 197:3 198:2 202:10, 11 211:14,16 228:11 254:3

fair 196:28 258:2

fairly 198:15 259:22

Index: end..Felts

fall 172:2

falling 265:6

familiar 245:7 246:20 247:28 250:25 258:20

favorable 239:10 242:19

feasibility 173:1

feasible 169:8 172:16 173:4

February 168:8 171:2 262:19

federal 159:24

feel 228:21

feet 249:1

felt 171:24 172:2,15 241:14

Felts 156:13 158:2,4,8 159:2,9,12,13,28 160:23 162:9,14 163:8, 17,25 164:10,25 166:27 167:12,24 168:2,28 169:6,28 170:28 171:7 172:8 173:3,8,15 174:20 175:18 177:1,4 179:8,19 182:27 183:6 184:9 186:27 187:28 188:5,28 191:5 196:3 197:1 201:6 202:9 203:9 209:17,28 210:11 211:1 213:8,13,23 215:6,10,15 216:4,12 217:11 218:26 220:8 221:18 225:9,26 229:19 230:2,11 231:2,10 232:20 233:18 234:8 235:2,11 238:11,20 239:7,21 241:21,23 242:4 243:15,20,25 245:9 246:4,9,28 247:9, 19 250:1 253:8,10 254:21 255:22 256:12 257:22 258:18 260:6, 14,26 261:6 262:15,21 263:11 265:12 266:18 269:19 270:9 271:20 272:10 273:13,18 274:6,24 275:23 279:10 280:18 282:22

Felts' 169:18 210:17,22 260:21 267:6.17.28 273:28 275:17 276:16 277:15 FF-32E 216:21 FF-32F 216:21 field 178:4 179:28 202:7 203:16,24 205:3 206:2 220:14,15 221:11,12,16,23 222:2, 10,19 223:12,26 248:19 251:25 fields 258:16 259:25 figure 194:6 197:19 228:20 236:9 figured 204:11 **figuring** 178:24 194:11 263:5,6 264:28 file 206:7 227:10 filed 227:1 **files** 196:15 202:14,18, 20 204:21 215:23 219:5,16,18 226:13,27 227:2 229:26 230:20 231:15 232:8 233:2,9 fill 263:7 265:1 final 166:15 **finally** 164:12 find 199:6 249:2 253:27 262:5 266:16 **finding** 172:22 175:7 246:3 275:27 findings 209:23 269:16 271:11 fine 232:3 282:18

fines 166:12 finish 200:4 finished 184:15 fixed 196:1 flooded 176:4 flow 185:10 190:21 flowing 186:1 focus 231:8 234:2 280:7 focused 164:3 165:22 focusing 275:4 folder 227:10

follow-up 179:23 180:3,8,14 182:10 footnote 245:11,12

follow 280:9

footnote 245:11,12 246:22 247:2

forecast 173:27

foreseeability 250:18 251:6

form 222:10 250:9

formal 166:11 **forming** 216:25

forward 280:26

found 178:2,19 202:22 228:25 251:11,12 262:2

frankly 210:6 276:23 277:17 278:14

frequency 245:5 246:18 247:27 249:28 250:11,24 251:1 252:1 256:17 257:9,13,25

Frew 227:7,12 228:18 229:4,21 232:26

Friday 240:2 284:19

front 232:25 260:23

full 251:25 260:13

Funnily 178:8

future 183:18 198:19

G

gas 159:15,17 160:12, 16,25,28 161:23,24 162:11 163:21 164:7 177:7 178:4 184:6,28 185:1,5,6,7,11,13,26 188:13,16,22 189:12 190:8 191:15,18 193:15,16 194:13,19,21 195:1,5 199:3,14,22 203:25 204:8,9 205:3,9, 19 206:8,27 220:16 221:12,14,17 234:12 243:26 244:1 256:10 283:2

gather 268:24

gel 190:11

general 197:14 244:20

generally 164:25 165:1,7 174:10 208:22 215:24 237:21,24 258:19,23

generated 166:16 211:28 212:18

Geothermal 163:21

give 182:25 251:23 268:25 282:5

giving 278:24

glossary 239:6 242:12

good 158:15,16 169:8, 24 171:24 172:15 173:17,20 176:26 220:5 240:7,25 241:11 243:2 249:4 251:16 268:21 273:5 275:14

grant 156:28

graph 258:7

graphical 255:20

great 173:21

ground 181:5 183:15 216:14 253:21

groundwater 161:18 183:16,17

Gruen 156:20,25 160:18 169:9,22,23 173:6,7 209:13,14 220:17,18 221:4 224:16 227:20 229:6 230:4,6, 26 231:21,25 232:5 234:16 239:27 241:22 243:14 246:26 247:3,7 255:27,28 257:15 267:4 268:19 269:6,25 271:27 274:20,21,22 275:10,15 277:5 278:17,19 281:17 282:22

Gruen's 277:10

guess 182:21 197:25 212:6 223:5 233:7 240:11 253:18 258:10

Index: Felts'..Hecht

guessing 254:22

gun 185:3

Н

Haghshenas 212:24

half 230:12 242:9

hand 157:2 184:13

handle 278:6

happen 174:5

happened 221:13 259:23

happening 212:26

happy 280:9

hard 187:13 221:1 284:26

hasten 193:1

hate 281:19

Hazardous 162:24

head 229:27

Health 177:6

hear 196:18

heard 228:14,16 232:6 268:2 274:5 278:14,15

Hearing 156:1 157:27

hearings 156:7,11 158:23 166:28 225:6 230:10 231:9,15,17 272:1,5,13 273:22 275:3 280:7,27 284:5

heavy 244:24

Hecht 245:26,28 247:5 254:15,18 255:4,6 256:4,7,21,24 257:19 260:1,3 261:5 262:9,12 267:15 268:10 269:3 270:2,6 272:4,8 273:4, 10,23 274:19 275:7 276:28 278:17 280:11 281:4,16,19 282:6,9,17 283:7,25 284:12,24,28

helpful 253:6	16 219:21,28	incidents 203:12,19	189:11
hesitate 233:1 235:2		223:11	injecting 184:5
higher 249:1,26		include 164:18 166:10 174:11 198:21,25 201:7	injection 160:13
highly 265:16	idea 169:8 173:20 208:3	203:12,25 222:16,21 226:9 277:25	inside 171:23 172:14
hired 266:1,9			183:22 184:2,7 199:14, 23 200:24
historically 259:12	identification 201:27 219:19 224:8 229:1	included 167:13 169:15 182:24 223:13	inspect 206:20 207:22
history 251:8	255:9,20 282:25 283:4,	includes 167:6 171:13	251:22
hold 205:24 282:15	17,23	203:20 265:20 266:25	inspecting 193:10
holding 158:23	identified 166:9 167:14 174:24 177:11 197:13	including 160:9 207:6, 21 228:18 258:9	inspection 222:16 235:26 236:14,27 245:1
hole 183:12 186:16,20 191:19 192:28 236:11,	202:11,17,21 216:24 217:18,20,24 218:20	inconsistency 203:6	246:14
12 holes 185:4,9,15,19,21	220:13 221:9 226:13,24 228:25 238:9 245:6 246:19 247:11,27 250:24 251:2 255:21	inconsistent 243:1 270:19	inspections 250:22 252:7,8,9,10
190:5,16,19,21 192:5,		incorrectly 275:1	install 183:24,26 184:1
24 199:17	279:7,8 283:26	increase 249:13,16,21	193:22 205:28
honestly 193:20 201:4	identifies 216:20 219:8	257:1	installation 198:6,13
Honor 156:25 157:3,11 158:1 160:18 169:9,14,	identify 164:5 173:10	increased 249:12	installed 194:28 205:15
22 170:2 173:6 188:4 209:13,27 210:16	220:26 228:5 230:3 247:22 256:1 263:23	increasing 245:4 246:17 247:26 249:19, 28 250:10,23 251:1 252:1 257:9,25	installing 187:7 198:3
209.13,27 210.16 213:9,16 215:9 220:17 224:16,27 227:20	264:8 266:26 269:14 277:23 282:2,12		instance 181:4 188:12 191:25 251:9
229:6,9 230:6,8,17,26, 28 231:21 234:16	identifying 202:16 224:21 227:12	independent 266:21 268:6	instructed 279:1,5 280:6
235:10 241:22 243:18 246:7,26 254:13 255:27	illustrated 239:5	independently 224:11	instructions 280:10
257:15 267:4,16 268:17,19,28 269:6,25	immediately 166:19 281:8	226:1	281:13
271:27 273:15,24	immense 231:14	indicating 265:26 274:6	intend 194:16
274:21 275:3,10,21 276:7 277:8 278:19	impact 178:21 200:24	indication 191:9,12,23	intended 218:25
280:6,13 281:15,18	258:14 259:6,9 280:23	236:7	intentionally 185:9,14
282:4,13 284:22	impacted 178:28	industry 164:2 239:4	interest 161:4,11
Honor's 276:8 280:10	impacting 181:10	259:23	internal 181:8,9 192:28
Honors 276:22,25 281:2	impingement 199:10 206:13,20 207:22	information 165:3 175:20,28 176:3,7	interoffice 247:15 248:8
hook 195:19	implemented 251:10,	179:9 204:22 208:9 209:12,17 210:25 211:8,16,24 212:4,10,	interpret 268:5
horizontal 190:1,13	19		interpretation 268:14
hour 213:28 276:9	impossible 157:12	11 230:13 233:6 267:25 268:24 274:12,26	interpreter 266:12
housekeeping 156:15 157:4,24 281:22,27	impression 239:10	276:13	interrupt 184:12 186:12 272:16
Hower 267:24 283:20	inaccuracy 275:16	initial 198:3,4 220:15 221:11 241:14 242:22	interrupted 200:3
hydrocarbon 255:1	inappropriate 279:23	initially 171:21 177:11	interview 222:28
283:15	incident 163:16 164:28 179:22 196:8 244:22	222:28 239:9 248:5	interviewing 197:22,
hypothetically 197:15,	261:18,19	inject 184:28 188:13	25

Index: helpful..interviewing

introduce 239:13 introducing 254:12 inventory 248:27 249:13 investigate 180:10,13 182:12 196:5 206:4,14 207:5 215:17 260:28 261:13 investigated 207:16, 17,18 211:17 277:25 investigation 156:8,9 165:25 166:6,14,19,24 179:24 180:3,8,14 182:10,22,25 197:11,24 200:17 201:13,21 203:10,27 207:20,26 219:27 221:21,27 222:6,14 223:4 224:9 236:22,26 237:26 261:1 277:27 investigations 233:20 234:26 237:20 252:23 254:9,10 Investigator 266:22 **involve** 264:20 involved 159:14 160:24 175:17 178:23 197:27 264:10 271:9 involving 204:18 **issuance** 166:10

issue 165:22 169:12 170:2,6 181:17,19 182:5 192:14 193:4,7 198:6 199:25,28 202:7 216:11 222:19 225:4,5 227:13 240:26 249:20 264:17 277:18 278:5,7 279:26 281:1,6 **issued** 164:21

issues 176:16 184:11 201:8,9 208:13,14 226:12 259:13 italics 239:2 242:8

it's 177:23 179:15

items 180:6

180:24 181:10 226:17 229:10 237:13,16

240:2,26 276:23 279:5 280:16,26 281:5,24

January 162:21 172:5 276:15 283:1

jargon 266:4 **jarring** 199:12

Jessica 245:28

job 240:8,25

Judge 156:4 277:6

judgement 247:3

jurisdiction 160:2,15 161:22

Κ

kill 163:24 168:9 172:11,12 173:2 174:6 212:20

kills 212:2

kind 158:17 182:21 183:2,7 187:14 197:21 199:11 205:13 216:10, 14 255:19 258:24 265:5

kinds 184:6

lab 181:2

260:15

knowledge 223:16 243:20 272:21 276:16 277:16,21

L

lack 179:22 245:1 246:14 250:21 252:6

272:21 language 168:22 169:2

219:23 larger 182:22 259:18

largest 183:14 184:18, 19

late 178:16 212:24

law 156:4 263:20 266:26

lead 163:22

leading 246:1

leak 163:15.23 164:17. 27 165:15 166:1,16,17 180:9,11,12,20,23 181:23 182:11 191:9, 12,23,27 195:7 197:17 198:12,17 201:13,16,21 203:2 205:23 206:3,4 208:2,16,24 209:5 210:3 217:25 218:6,7, 16,24 219:3 222:16 226:16 227:14 229:5 233:14,23,24,25 235:11 236:7 237:4.5 239:1 242:11 243:5 248:17 250:13,18 251:11 252:16.19 253:17 257:12 259:18 260:15 283:2

leakage 193:2 248:18

leaking 191:18,26 199:3 216:26

leaks 179:26 192:10 197:12 202:16 203:4, 23,28 204:26,27 207:3, 4,6 209:3 211:23 215:18,26 216:18 217:18,20,24 218:20,21 219:6,7,8,11,19,22 220:2,12 221:9,13,22, 28 222:25 224:9,12 226:25 227:25 228:24 229:1,23 230:20 231:26 232:22 233:4,20 235:12,18,19,20 238:24 240:9,25 241:3,7 245:5 246:18 247:27 249:3,9, 10,15,22,23,24 250:1, 11,24 251:1 252:1 254:6 255:21 256:10, 13,17,28 257:4,9,13,26 258:3 259:16 265:21 277:23 282:23

leave 190:16,19 280:4, 7 281:1

leaving 189:25

led 268:11

left 156:12 187:27 188:5 194:24,25

Index: introduce..looked

legal 224:18,22,26 265:6 266:2 267:5,14 268:13 269:15,23,27 270:22 271:12 277:19

legislation 234:20

length 264:1

lengthy 224:19

letter 282:27 283:9

let's 226:22 242:1

level 159:24 183:22 203:27

life 258:15

light 211:12 225:8 263:28

Lighting 204:8,23

lights 195:28

likelihood 258:24 259:3,7,8

limit 210:17

limited 275:5

lines 160:21 241:9

liquid 206:23

list 163:14 213:14 230:2

listed 248:5

literally 185:4

living 183:1

loading 177:3

local 161:13

located 266:9

log 191:8 193:11 195:8, 13,14 206:21 236:15,22

logging 223:14,17

logs 181:5,12

long 170:4

longer 169:12 192:4 253:21 269:5

looked 167:3 170:13 175:9 196:13 202:13 204:26 217:19 219:5

226:14 227:11 229:25

Marcelo 156:6

232:27 233:2.7 252:14 213:13 216:6 240:2 281:23 282:5 multiple 217:20 220:1, 254:3 258:9 282:22 283:21 mischaracterization 3 231:14 232:7 276:24 **Los** 177:7 Margaret 158:8 215:10 232:10 mute 230:7 282:22 lose 249:4 mischaracterize **mystery** 271:18 marked 163:6 167:25 210:22 lost 192:23 177:24 260:11 282:25 mischaracterized lot 182:1 199:16 283:4,17,23 277:11 match 282:18 **low** 249:11 **Mischaracterizes** names 283:8 Material 162:24 160:19 lower 163:5 255:14 **natural** 177:7 283:2 256:28 262:16 materials 158:25 misplaced 219:22 nature 235:12,13,20 lowest 248:26 256:13 277:22 matter 192:11 206:10 264:1 257:3 258:3 missed 202:23 237:23 252:4 near-surface 260:16 lunch 213:25 215:4 matters 156:16 157:25 misstatement 257:16 216:4 nearing 185:18 **Max** 240:20 **mix** 187:1 necessarily 166:17 М maximize 164:6 modeled 168:19 199:4 222:14 242:25 253:2 Mayberry 162:22 modeling 168:7,12 M-A-L-A-S-H-E-N-K-O 282:28 283:11 212:22 needed 168:18 176:19 283:11 184:13 201:25 211:1 meaningful 276:17 moment 184:10,13 M-A-Y-B-E-R-R-Y 270:17 284:7 200:19 210:27 225:18. means 259:1 268:1 283:12 19 241:26 256:22 negative 242:26,28 meant 242:27 made 156:20 178:20 money 254:9 264:18 news 165:4,6 205:17 271:16 272:18 meantime 284:1 monitor 201:5 278:8,13 night 278:28 mechanical 181:16,19 monitoring 165:21 magnitude 248:22 noise 195:14,15,17 192:8,14 198:6 201:8 199:28 200:21,23 209:10 236:15 235:12,13 Main 255:13 moot 169:12 170:1 nomenclature 220:25 mediocre 249:5 maintenance 160:6 morning 158:15,16 205:13 **normal** 195:4 memo 245:20 247:15 187:18,27 227:7 251:2 278:28 279:1,5, **make** 189:27 196:16,17 note 169:10 195:16 19,22 Moshfegh 167:10,18 224:12 273:17,23 277:3 209:19 224:18,24 267:5 177:3 215:28 239:14 278:25 279:28 280:14 281:7,19,20 284:17 memory 263:10 272:28 247:14 260:23 283:26 284:17 278:27 noted 209:20 motion 156:21,22,23, makes 189:11 mentioned 186:14 notes 194:24 227:9 28 225:4,5 273:17,23 199:18 **making** 277:13 274:23 275:2 276:4,10, notice 178:3 218:19 merits 279:24 280:4 12,26 277:7,11 278:8 Malashenko 162:27 notification 196:25 163:1 282:28 metallurgist 240:14 motions 276:10 278:11 November 168:5 Management 159:21 **MHA** 267:23 283:21 **move** 163:27 170:2 **number** 173:11 177:22 210:13 215:8 229:15 manner 178:21 194:10 **MHA's** 216:13 181:12 220:26 221:3 231:6 232:4.18 233:17 Mansdorfer 247:16 230:20 233:1 239:27 237:27 238:12 243:22 middle 165:12 230:10 244:14 248:17 249:2 260:20 271:19 279:20 Manual 254:26 mind 213:16 271:2 253:25 255:15 256:1,28 280:26 **MAOP** 240:9,18 241:7 260:11 277:9 minimal 243:28 **movement** 199:12 243:5 282:23 **numbers** 220:20 minute 213:4 moving 169:10 171:7

March 156:2.9.23

Index: Los..numbers

multi-stage 216:28

Ν

282:14

minutes 187:22 269:4

273:27 279:28

numerous 164:13

O object 224:25 229:6 230:14,27 231:19 232:5,9 243:18,21	opening 165:12 166:11 167:15,25 169:15 177:12,13,27 178:15 179:5 224:21 226:22,24 260:6,22 266:14,18 267:21 271:3,8 273:19	outcome 203:8	passing 165:23
		outline 284:9	past 179:28 183:1 211:23 266:8
		outset 275:26 276:16	patching 201:2 205:26
		Overly 234:17	patently 276:5
246:27 257:16 267:14	opens 191:3	overpressurization 182:6	pathway 191:4
271:28	operate 263:19	overrule 162:8 170:8	•
objection 160:18 162:8 169:9 170:8 209:19,25 225:11 227:20 232:14 234:16 235:4 243:14 247:4,5 267:5 268:15	operated 161:9 220:14 221:10 operating 193:21,24 222:2 240:20	225:10	pause 184:10
		oversight 163:23 164:15 165:15	pausing 273:5
			pay 168:13,20
269:25 278:4		owned 223:4	PDF 247:13
obligations 267:26	operation 160:7 165:16 183:19 193:26	owner 223:1	Pejman 177:22
obtain 175:28	203:24 212:5	owners 204:4	penalties 166:13 280:20
obtained 175:20	operational 182:4	ownership 203:24	penalty 264:4
occur 201:22 222:1 occurred 171:5 175:16	operations 159:15 160:10,16,25 205:4,9 206:27 243:27	P	pending 234:12,14,20, 21,24
198:2 201:21 211:23 220:6 221:13,22 222:25	operator 185:14 190:7	p.m. 213:26 214:1	people 197:22,25 265:8
252:20 264:6 277:27	191:8 193:6 206:1,3	215:1	percent 233:8 249:6
occurrence 256:13	221:22 operators 190:16	pace 243:16	perforate 183:27
257:3	-	Pacific 204:7,23	184:27 185:2 186:2,4
occurring 249:10	opinion 172:14 210:18 270:13	packer 183:26 184:4, 25,26 186:4 188:22	perforation 181:20 189:19 191:26 198:26
October 208:25 233:25 277:28	opinions 271:4	189:9,10 191:25	201:25
odd 240:17	opinions/	205:27,28	perforations 186:15,
offer 270:13	recommendations	pages 179:18 274:2	22 189:14,23 190:6 191:21,22,24 192:20
offering 225:9 277:20	171:23	PAO 240:13	202:5 205:17 212:12
office 266:2	opportunity 171:27 204:24 207:2 212:8	paragraph 166:4 168:3 242:7,10 248:13,16	perform 180:19
officer 281:12	213:23 216:5 230:11 231:3 276:2	260:13	period 217:20 218:17
oil 159:17 160:28 161:24 162:10 163:21	oppose 274:23	paraphrase 160:14	253:22 258:3,12
		part 165:25 166:27	permanently 248:15
177:8 206:8 221:14 222:26	option 194:26 280:1	169:12 172:10 175:18, 22,23 181:28 192:8,27	permission 231:8
older 253:16,20,22,26,	options 164:6 166:7,10	206:26 222:5 252:28	person 241:15 263:25 265:10
28	oral 273:17	parted 179:26 181:24	personally 229:3
open 186:6 187:16 188:7,15,25 189:26 190:20 191:7,14 193:14,19 194:16,17, 22,25 195:6 197:4,20 239:23	order 176:19 185:10, 20,22 187:8 188:25 189:1,3,15,19,20 190:7 195:18 225:5 228:24 232:21 280:25	participated 272:25	perspective 277:19
		participation 165:28	Petroleum 283:21
		parties 159:3,5,7 278:24	PHMSA 159:25 242:13 258:27
	original 161:26,28 185:24 221:14	Partners 283:14	PHMSA's 239:5

opened 205:12 217:3 **originally** 178:15 **parts** 181:25

Index: numerous..Phmsa's

picked 207:12 218:23	284:16	presence 164:26	producing 199:14
243:16 261:25,28	policy 162:23	present 165:27 212:18	production 178:13,19
piece 181:4 183:14 271:23	port 186:7 195:3	246:24 251:26	183:24 184:25 185:15, 23 189:3 190:14 206:7
pieces 183:4 184:6	portion 173:9	presentation 241:6 242:23	216:28 217:5 221:15 222:26
pipe 181:4 183:15	portions 167:7 273:27	presented 202:7 216:1	program 201:4 251:9,
184:19,21	ports 186:5	267:24	20,22,23
Pipeline 162:24 pipelines 241:9 244:3,	position 179:12 193:5, 25 194:7,12,15	presenting 240:8,25 presiding 281:12	programs 162:23 168:24 171:14
6	possession 208:10	pressure 189:20	proper 168:12 205:15
piping 159:25 160:11	possibilities 174:8	205:20,24 240:9,20	properly 168:19
181:6 200:26 201:1,3	207:25	241:7 243:5 248:23,25 249:11,13,17,26	proportional 248:21
place 174:13 176:24 181:6 193:13 195:19,20	possibility 174:5 190:10 221:25 244:21 possibly 161:12	pretty 187:13 210:6 265:22	proposal 278:5
198:23 219:2 235:28			propose 231:9
236:5 267:22	195:15 199:11 206:17	prevent 186:17	proposing 276:21
places 249:24 267:19 270:11,14	212:14	previously 175:20	prospectively 173:23
placing 231:13	potential 161:14 183:17 199:8 280:20	primarily 240:12 265:14	protection 192:24
plan 172:20 174:1	potentially 169:7	primary 160:15 161:1,	protective 225:5
175:7	172:15 179:12 181:16 192:26 274:13 284:20	22	provide 164:15 168:24 171:14 213:22 230:2 273:28 281:13 284:9
planned 165:28	practice 169:8 171:25	prior 174:27 195:10	
planning 173:21 284:4	172:16 173:17 273:26	196:5 206:1,3 208:25 210:4 213:24 215:18	provided 171:28 172:1,
plans 172:11,13 173:2, 22 174:10,11	practices 276:11	222:9 227:3,4 229:4	22 174:25 201:15 204:4
play 266:7	predate 203:23	232:20	206:6 207:7 210:1 231:12 233:9 234:3
plug 190:7	predates 258:11	problem 174:7 193:2 198:18 220:4	279:9,12
point 166:13 230:14	predecessor 221:15	problems 173:28 196:22 248:18	providing 163:23 164:1 265:11,27 269:21 Public 159:19 161:7 163:2 177:6 224:13
232:10 242:19 247:25 265:22 267:7,21 271:1	prediction 251:3		
273:5 275:21	257:11	procedure 217:9 273:26	
pointed 280:17	preexistence 208:15		PUC 160:8 163:9 164:1
pointing 242:14	preexisting 210:3	procedures 193:20,21 194:5	165:27 263:5,21 264:27 265:9 266:2,13 270:27
points 225:1 277:13	prefer 225:16 254:13	proceed 158:12 273:16	PUC's 160:1 166:24
278:25	preference 170:24	proceeding 157:8	pull 175:11 185:7
Poirier 156:4,6,18,27 157:9,15,18,27 158:2,4, 11 161:25,28 162:4,6, 17 170:7 173:12	prehearing 224:20 267:13	165:11 166:12 169:13 170:1 204:17 213:12 269:21 278:12 280:16, 26	188:20 193:28 195:26 245:19 267:20
	preparation 169:20 269:28		pulled 246:24
187:17,18,21,25 210:9	prepared 172:12	proceedings 159:5,8,	pump 190:11
213:3,6,18,20 215:3 221:5 225:10,16,21,23	174:25 177:17 245:22	10 204:16	pumped 217:3
227:22 229:12 230:4,16	271:8 274:10 283:19	process 169:20,27	punish 169:27
231:22 232:12 235:1,7 238:3,6 239:15,18	preparing 204:5	170:22 202:15	purpose 186:16,19
242:1,3 243:22 245:23		produced 176:9	187:4 189:18 190:1

Index: picked..purpose

Index: purposes..relief referenced 186:28 221:24 223:9 279:5,9 referencing 178:10 220:21 recollection 178:26 referred 211:24 252:12 referring 217:27 252:6, 8,22 266:19 172:14 refers 240:21 171:25 209:24 refuting 240:13 Regional 159:19 165:20 regular 190:13 reconvene 213:26 regularly 275:24 regulate 160:4 regulates 160:8 regulation 159:14 regulations 233:19,27 234:13,21,25 241:9,11 244:9 regulators 164:26 165:26 regulatory 165:14 reiterate 158:18 267:10 related 167:13 171:13 record-keeping 276:1 177:5,10 178:13 196:4 212:4 215:16 221:22 228:10 233:14 234:12. 25 242:15 records 178:20 193:24 relates 172:28 250:17 relation 164:27 relationship 183:7 relative 160:2 257:3 277:27 280:20 release 166:18 255:1

220:26 265:17

purposes 182:28 205:18 223:10 225:28 270:18

Pursuant 273:25

pursue 164:5

push 185:5

pushing 270:10

put 183:20 184:7 185:9, 14,19,20 186:22 189:19 192:2 193:26 195:18,20 205:26 216:1

putting 183:13 194:4 229:20

Q

Quality 159:20,21 165:20

question 157:4 158:22 161:21,26 162:1,9 171:7,12,18 173:25 174:9,15 208:18 210:7 211:2,3 216:12 218:10, 11,27 224:3 225:7,13, 15 229:10 230:23 232:15 241:24 243:19 257:19 262:22,27 267:9 268:18 269:1,7 270:5 271:28 284:23

questioning 209:15 210:4,24 213:24 224:25 280:18

questions 158:18 169:11 170:9 174:26 207:13 210:8,9,13,15 231:10 257:18 258:13

quickly 219:26 230:24 255:11

quote 238:21,27

quoted 239:2 242:7

R

raised 276:15 ran 191:8 195:8 range 196:7 207:24

ranges 277:14,26

rate 244:20 249:19 252:12 254:8

ratepayers 264:19

rates 164:7

RCA 178:22 179:25 201:11

re-ask 232:14

read 161:27 162:3 173:8,11 210:21,28 221:2 225:15 241:14 244:14 247:21 250:3,4 268:18 282:15

readily 198:15

reads 216:17

ready 157:28 158:2,3 239:16 284:20

real 196:22 252:19

realize 243:15

reason 171:18 174:21 177:12,28 178:1 185:13 220:5 243:21 278:20

reasonable 268:10

reasonableness 264:16

reasoning 204:1 268:11

reasons 169:17 171:20 172:9 186:8 210:2 253:25 270:10 276:24

recall 167:5 171:18 175:1 202:26,28 215:20 227:12 233:11 234:6 245:16 263:11

recalls 231:28

received 274:12

recent 211:25,27 244:19

recently 190:11 212:7

recess 214:1 273:9

recitation 241:10

recognize 162:14

238:16 246:23,28 255:24,25

recognizes 244:21

recommend 266:10

recommendation

recommendations

reconsideration 179:13

record 156:5 157:13. 14,15,17,19,20 162:4,5, 7 170:21 187:23,24,26 197:9 201:14,19 204:27 213:4,5,6,17,18,19,20, 21,27 215:4 220:19 225:18,21,22,23 226:2 238:3,5,7 239:15,17,18 242:1,2,3 245:23,25,27 246:2 254:13,16,17,19 255:4,5,7,28 256:21,23, 25 260:1,2,3 262:9,10, 13 273:8,11 276:20 278:24 280:3 282:7,8,

recorded 159:10 226:11

recording 159:4,7

194:23,27 196:14 197:26 200:13 201:24 204:3,16 206:6 207:6 209:5,9 218:28 221:24 222:3,9 223:2 224:11 226:17,21 227:19 228:18 229:3,22 232:25 234:4 262:5 272:24,26

redline 167:6 283:22

redlines 167:12

refer 160:20 161:6 162:13 167:10 170:16, 23,25 172:27 220:10 235:15 240:18

reference 220:19 279:3

198:6 200:14 211:22,25

283:15

released 166:24

relevant 197:2,28 198:9 209:3 210:4 223:20 251:2 257:10,11 281:9

Reliance 277:21

relief 168:9,15,17,21,24

171:15 172:19.20 175:8 reporter 157:11 162:3 result 191:22 249:25 27 184:11 210:20.28 relief-well 172:5 resulted 220:2 rupture 239:1 241:7 225:14 255:3,10 268:20 242:11 259:19 260:16 relies 265:15 269:7 275:9,12,14 results 166:18,23 283:6 **ruptures** 238:24 259:9 relieving 267:26 240:10,26 241:4 243:6 reporters 157:5 resumed 158:9.13 relooked 175:6 215:11,13 representation 261:26 S rely 274:26 returned 187:26 215:4 represented 271:14 relying 219:17 225:27 reversal 248:23 **safety** 162:24 163:1 226:20 228:27 231:2 request 157:13 176:13 267:27 274:22 228:27 230:1,9,15 review 171:28 196:10 remedied 217:9 220:3 231:7,18 276:26 200:13 211:13 216:5 sake 216:11 remedy 205:25 221:24 222:9 226:7 requests 164:19 176:9 San 264:6,7 233:27 266:5 284:8 179:1 234:5 remember 165:8 175:4 sand 185:6 186:23 reviewed 202:10 208:19 264:10,22,23 **require** 219:26 224:9 189:24 190:21 199:15, 215:23 230:19 232:21 remind 158:5 210:10 231:16 233:19 267:1,2 18,19,22,23 200:5,16, 225:12 241:28 269:8.10 right-hand 163:5 24 201:9 255:14 262:17 required 168:13,20 remotely 158:24 171:5 sandpaper 199:21 203:11 279:22 risk 200:7,11,20 238:23 remove 189:12 200:5 satisfy 236:24,28 241:8 243:6,11,26 requirement 205:22 rendered 271:4 244:1,3,6 250:10,17 **scale** 259:5 236:24,28 278:13 251:8,16 253:14 254:1 renew 209:25 **Scan** 244:15 requirements 233:28 257:10,14 258:14,18,20 259:4,6,8,11 repair 217:10 247:18 SCG 240:13,21 researching 224:2 248:7 252:16 259:16 risks 240:11 schedule 281:7 reserve 247:3 248:24 repaired 198:13 206:3 road 169:11 209:15 scheduled 280:8 219:26 reservoir 184:27 224:17 185:1,6,8 188:17 189:4, **scope** 182:22 234:6,9 repairing 259:13 7,8 204:5,8 205:19 robust 231:4 267:8 272:1,13 273:21 280:19 248:24 249:12,14,17,21 279:13 repeat 198:18 208:17 scopes 280:22 role 266:7 269:28 resist 263:3 264:24,26 rephrase 257:19 270:4 Scoping 278:28 279:1, 265:2,3 room 158:19 replace 201:3 5,19,22 resolved 280:25 replaced 201:26 **root** 179:21 180:4,11, scraping 199:13 Resources 159:21 13,19,21,26 182:12,14, replacing 192:13 screen 241:26 242:21 163:21 165:19 15,18,23 196:27 199:6, 8 201:17 203:14 222:17 reply 177:12,28 213:11 scroll 162:27 163:13 respect 165:14 169:20 237:6,9,22,25 252:26 216:3,8 271:5 283:20 166:3 177:21 238:18 172:4,10,19 174:21 254:25,28 255:13 247:14 256:5 260:10,12 263:13 report 171:24 172:15 266:21 283:14 178:22 202:19 203:7 seal 181:3 183:17 respond 231:25 276:19 **routine** 174:11 205:6 208:1 209:24 185:27 217:7 253:24 **response** 156:17 212:17,21,22 218:22 rule 235:3 273:25 sealed 181:21 217:12, 157:26 165:15 166:1 226:8,26 227:1,24 276:28 278:10 14 219:25 176:9,11 177:17 200:16 228:8,15 229:20 233:4 rulemakings 234:12, 230:5 234:4 238:13 237:14 254:26 255:13 secondary 161:5 240:6 267:23 270:2 14,25 262:5 263:25 265:24 **Section** 168:3,4,26 274:20 277:5,10 278:18 267:3 268:3 269:11,14, **Rules** 273:26 224:14 267:27 17,24 270:18 274:25,27 **run** 174:7 195:19 276:17 277:21,23 **SED** 156:13 165:11 responses 179:1 279:3,6 206:19 235:26 236:13 166:27 169:23,28 173:7 restate 161:26 225:17 174:26 175:15 176:4,10 running 193:11 236:21, reported 165:6 212:23 275:13

Index: relief-well..SED

178:14 209:14 216:25 225:4 230:1 234:1 247:13 274:13 278:1 279:18 SED's 169:19 278:5.7 279:1 select 263:24 selected 270:23.25 semantics 237:24 **send** 185:3 sense 189:27 242:26 249:8 251:15 280:14 284:13 sentence 180:6 238:27 247:25 separate 170:20 separately 266:11 separators 161:15 **Sera** 238:14 240:7,24 243:12 244:16.27 246:12 250:14 253:11 257:24 282:23 **Sera's** 238:21 239:10 242:7,22 243:8,20 244:7 250:16 series 212:23 276:10 served 166:27 177:19 serves 278:27 **service** 175:13 205:27 248:6,15 **SESSION** 215:1 set 157:1 279:13 **settlement** 280:21,27 settling 280:21 setup 259:13 **seventh** 212:20 shallower 185:19,21, 26 **shared** 242:21 shareholders 168:12,

shoots 185:4

short 187:26 243:4 269:13 **shortly** 175:12 **show** 191:27 195:12 200:5 206:23 218:5 249:23 showed 204:4 **showing** 177:16,20 242:21 shown 198:12 220:21 241:25 247:1 **shows** 206:21 249:9 255:19 256:9,16,27 267:25 **shut** 186:6,24 187:9 **shutoff** 181:20 186:14, 16,20 189:14,19 190:6, 19 191:25 shutting 192:4 significant 200:28 signing 165:5 251:9 **SIMP** 251:9 254:9 **simply** 195:19 231:6 267:18 274:10 sit 208:23 223:18 232:24 264:22 site 164:20 **sitting** 278:2,9 sixth 168:10 **slapped** 267:18 **sleeve** 187:1,3,5,7,12

sliding 186:5,28 187:3, Socalgas-55 282:21, 5,7,12 188:7,15,26,28 25 190:23,25,27 191:7,20 Socalgas-55.001. 193:4,12,17,23 194:6, 239:28 12,22,28 195:6,11,17 197:4,18 198:22 217:2, **Socalgas-73** 283:4 8 235:15,27 236:5 Socalgas-73.001 slightly 275:8 163:6 slow 243:17 275:8 Socalgas-75 283:13, 17 **smaller** 183:20 sooner 176:1 smaller-diameter 183:21 sort 161:16 195:7 201:2.20 206:19 217:12 Socalgas 156:12 221:21,27 236:9,14,21 164:22 165:6 167:11,25 251:22 266:12 268:7 168:5 169:27 172:1,23 174:3,13 175:16 176:4 sorts 188:8 191:5 177:24 178:14,20 179:2 sounds 232:2 242:24 190:28 193:21 196:26 268:4 199:28 200:7,20 201:14 204:2,4,7,11,23,28 source 192:10 206:2,4,25 207:26 208:2,5 211:17 213:2, 10,12 215:5 216:3 220:14,22,25 221:10 222:1 223:12 224:22 255:11 228:10 230:10 231:3,13 233:9 234:4 238:9 240:21 245:6 246:19 247:27 250:9,24 251:19 252:10 255:14 256:3,6 259:12 262:16,17 267:7,11,26 273:17,27 275:1,24 276:2,11 279:19,27 284:3 168:23 169:24 177:5,13 194:4 197:9 200:15 201:24 203:23 215:17 216:2 218:28 221:15 226:21 244:19 210:11 277:15 260:12

Index: Sed's..spirit

221:12,17 234:12

243:27 244:2 248:19

272:17 275:17 277:4

280:11

Index: sponsor..telling 256:10 259:24 283:2 **sur-reply** 175:13 177:17,19 238:12 246:10 247:13 271:5 surface 161:17 183:12, straight-line 253:19 13 184:20 241:9 259:18 surrounding 185:5 **survey** 209:10 streamlining 170:5 **surveys** 209:10 strenuously 230:27 **sustain** 232:13 stricken 168:23 169:2 sustained 209:20 227:22 243:22 247:5 272:4 strike 156:21,23 157:1 sustaining 268:15 222:8 231:6 273:18.27 274:23 276:5 279:20,28 switch 245:20 systematic 202:6 systemic 203:15 222:19 Т table 217:19 218:5 260:23 262:23 267:24 tables 202:19 217:28 226:9,15,20 228:16 substantiate 264:8 **talk** 157:12 216:15 218:1 252:5 275:18 **successful** 168:9,12 talked 159:2 267:11 **sufficient** 197:11,19 talking 196:25 197:12, 211:15 236:22 268:4 14 201:12 211:19 221:20 238:24 252:24 suggest 218:1 276:4 271:2,6 tantamount 230:28 technical 163:23 164:18 265:7,15,16,18, 20,27 266:4,6 268:5 technically 191:2 216:10 technique 223:27 support 224:11 247:20 technologies 207:5 technology 207:15

223:25 253:23

234:10

telling 207:24 230:18

suppose 160:7 179:15

193:15 231:17 263:26

tells 237:4 tests 181:12 total 168:27 understanding 160:1 180:8 195:22 205:8 transcript 210:20 temperature 191:8,14, thickness 206:11 209:2 210:1,17 263:22 15,17 195:8,13 209:9 252:8 262:18 266:3 271:10 236:15 thin 181:28 200:28 transcripts 157:6,22 understands 232:1 term 190:28 235:14 249:24 173:9 281:8.9 237:8,10 242:28 understood 199:18 **Travis** 238:14 thing 156:19 161:16 210:16 241:15 259:19, termination 264:3 190:24,26 264:5 275:20 true 195:3 22 269:6 281:17 276:6 282:1 terminology 187:2 truth 219:4 unduly 230:9,15 231:7, things 165:7 174:2 terms 158:6 174:20 18 180:2 182:2 192:23 tube 183:26 182:10 197:21 210:1 212:16,25 222:21,23 **unique** 202:2 220:19 235:21 242:23 tubing 184:1,8,23,26, 241:2,3 243:4 275:2 280:19 United 259:25 28 185:4,8,10,15 186:4 thorny 216:11 187:7,9 188:12,14,16, test 189:20 **unknown** 201:18 20 189:3,9,12 191:15, thought 176:27 184:13 tested 205:20 19,20 193:16,23,28 unpunished 169:25 202:23,28 203:5 194:20 195:7,26 testified 158:9 215:11 unsuccessfully 168:6 **thousands** 176:4,8 205:15,28 212:13 231:2,11 232:8 274:9, untimely 178:16,21 tightening 192:11 tubulars 183:9 urge 224:24 testify 209:22 232:7 Tim 283:20 turn 167:19 168:1 257:17 177:1,25 179:17 213:1 utilities 159:19 161:7,9 time 165:1 173:21,24 216:9 220:9,11 237:28 163:2 224:14 testifying 206:25 182:1 187:18 192:4 244:11,12 254:11 205:20 212:1,19 217:21 **utility** 160:8 255:17 259:28 260:9,22 testimonies 171:8 218:17 223:25 226:26 262:7 utilization 244:25 testimony 158:27 229:3,17 233:22,24 turned 220:1 279:13 160:19 165:13 167:2, 250:1 253:22 258:12 utilizing 226:18 15,25 169:16,21 268:21 271:21 273:6 turning 260:21 267:8 174:25,27 175:13 278:11 284:3 278:22 177:12,13,17,19,28 timeliness 178:28 178:1,15 179:5 196:3 **type** 196:24 235:21 validate 215:25 229:22 209:28 210:12,22 times 229:8 232:7 253:24 230:20 232:21 233:13 213:11 216:3,8,13,14 timing 157:21 types 180:18,25 181:1, 262:27 224:21 225:2,8 226:23, 12 182:14 190:6 226:12 24 227:3 230:25 232:11 title 237:13 valve 186:6,28 190:24, 237:20 237:12,17 238:13,14,21 26 191:1,4,7 217:2,8 titled 254:28 262:23 Typically 266:1 239:10 240:12,15,28 valves 190:19 241:19 242:6,8,26 today 158:20,26 208:23 243:7 244:8,20 246:11 223:19 227:4 230:23 verification 223:27 U 250:16 252:12 253:7 232:20,24 263:10 verified 226:28 227:4 257:17,22 258:7 260:7, 264:23 271:24 277:1 ultimately 183:26 22 265:11,14,23 278:2,15 282:3 verify 219:9,10,14 188:14 203:3 266:15,18,28 267:1,13, 226:1 274:7 told 208:8 211:9 229:25 17,21,28 268:6 269:8,9, uncontrolled 255:1 version 167:1,26 20 270:1,11 271:3,5,8, **tomorrow** 281:28 283:15 24 272:10,14,28 283:22 284:5,11,21,25,28 underground 160:4,10 273:18,19,28 274:4,6, versus 218:6 233:2 **tool** 235:27 236:14,28 220:16 221:12 15,17,25 275:5,25 238:24 239:1 240:9,26 277:20 278:14 279:2,4, tools 206:10,19 207:21 underlying 258:26 241:3,7 242:11 243:6 8,14,18 280:3 283:20 223:10 265:16 viable 164:6 185:22 testimony's 279:21

Index: tells..video

V

186:23,25

video 159:4,10

understand 226:15

268:1,9 277:13

228:6 231:28 264:2,5

top 184:19 200:26

250:13 253:26

testing 181:2

220:11 229:27 231:15

view 169:7,24 173:5 197:11 199:9 200:10 201:10 208:28 223:20 236:12 251:28 253:13, 15 266:28 269:8

viewed 257:8

views 267:6

violate 226:5

violating 263:20

violation 168:4,26 169:6,15 171:19,21 172:25 175:10,11 177:11,27 179:5 207:12,27 228:10 260:24 263:1,4 264:26 265:3 268:8,12 269:17 271:25 272:26

violations 166:8 167:14 168:27 171:10, 13,21 174:22,24,27 175:2,6,19 176:22,23, 26 177:4 178:12,18 179:14 196:4,7 197:3 198:1 211:18,19,22 215:16,19 216:26 218:23 219:20 224:7, 13,21,28 225:28 226:3, 5,23 228:3,5,28 229:23 230:21 231:5 232:23 260:28 261:12,13,14 263:16,19 264:1,9,13, 14,21 265:6 266:26 267:2,12 269:9,14,23 270:21 271:7,11,14,15, 26 272:2,5,11,12,19,25 273:20 274:1,8 275:5,6, 18,28 278:1,3 279:2,12, 15 280:22

VIRTUAL 156:1

visits 164:20

volume 226:7,8 227:24 228:15 229:20 233:4

W

wait 166:17 280:15

waiting 170:10 248:7 252:13

walk 183:2 186:9

walking 224:17

wall 252:8

wall-loss 238:22

walls 249:24

wanted 156:19 188:18 216:15 231:27 284:13,

warrant 179:13 201:10

waste 271:20

watching 219:7

water 159:20 161:13,14 181:9,15,20 185:28 186:14,16,17,20 189:13,16,18,19 190:5, 8,18 191:25 192:25,26 199:3,11 206:12,20,23 207:22

ways 182:17 195:24 199:16

web 163:10

website 242:13

websites 234:19

week 209:22 274:28

weigh 157:10 259:7

well-kill 165:16 168:6, 11,17,18,24 171:14 173:22 174:1,12 175:7 212:5

well-related 244:22

wellbore 183:9 217:5

wells 160:5,6 161:23 172:13 173:23 190:13 195:23 196:14 199:27 200:6 201:23 202:4,17, 25,26 204:13 205:11, 12,13 206:17,22 207:7 216:20 217:1,17,21 220:2,3,7,14 221:10 223:1 226:11,14 229:28 230:2 232:21,26 233:12 241:10 244:10,18,23,28 245:4,8 246:17,21 248:1,6,14 249:4,5,23 250:13,21,23,26 251:25 252:13,21 253:4,12,13, 26,28 254:6,10 256:10 265:21

whatever's 190:20

wire 183:12

wireline 187:15 188:6 195:19

withdraw 156:21,28 174:22 175:19 185:1

withdrawal 160:13 164:7 167:13 169:18 171:19 172:24

withdrawing 169:26 176:22,25 177:27 178:2 179:3 184:5 185:7

withdrawn 169:3,16 171:9 175:3 176:27

withdrew 169:6 175:5 177:4 178:8,12,18

witnesses 284:7,18

wording 175:9

words 198:5

work 196:16 227:28 228:7 231:16 233:28

worked 264:16

working 164:4 165:10 176:5 193:6,8

workover 188:25

works 263:21

workup 193:27

world 259:26

worth 228:27 281:24

worthwhile 198:17

write 274:7

wrong 170:27 203:1 236:8,10

wrote 258:7 263:25 271:5 272:28

Υ

year 230:12 248:20,24 249:3

years 183:2 204:11 221:16 248:27 249:11

yesterday 156:12,20 158:6,19 159:2 167:4, 22 170:13,20 171:1 174:22 176:14 208:8 209:20,21,26 211:10,24 234:7 270:12

Index: view..zone

yesterday's 209:16

Ζ

zone 183:24 184:4,25 185:23,26,28