BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

FILED 03/23/21 11:37 AM

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES JESSICA T. HECHT and MARCELO POIRIER, co-presiding

Order Instituting Investigation on) EVIDENTIARY the Commission's Own Motion into the) HEARING Operations and Practices of Southern) California Gas Company with Respect) to the Aliso Canyon storage facility and the release of natural gas, and Order to Show Cause Why Southern California Gas Company Should Not Be) Sanctioned for Allowing the) Investigation Uncontrolled Release of Natural Gas 19-06-016) from its Aliso Canyon Storage Facility. (U904G))

> REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT Virtual Proceeding March 18, 2021 Pages 291 - 456 Volume 3

Reported by: Carol Ann Mendez, CSR No. 4330 Andrea L. Ross, CSR No. 7896 Rebekah L. DeRosa, CSR No. 8708

1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESSES:			PAGE
4	MARGARET FELTS			2.0.4
5	Cross-Examination Mr. Stoddard	Resumed By		294
6	AFTERNOON SESSION	:		
7	MARGARET FELTS Cross-Examination	Pogumod Pre		366
8	Mr. Stoddard	Resulled by		200
9				
10				
11	Exhibits:	Iden.	Fwid	
12	SoCalGas-126	449	Evia.	
13	SoCalGas-127 SoCalGas-58	449 449		
14	SoCalGas-59 SoCalGas-60	449 450		
15	SoCalGas 135 CAL PA-401	450 450		
16	SoCalGas-145 SoCalGas-146	450 450		
17	SoCalGas-143 SoCalGas-124	450 450		
18	SoCalGas-125 SoCalGas-61	450 450		
19	SoCalGas-128 SoCalGas-147	450 450		
20	SoCalGas-144	450		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

292

Evider	ntia	ry	Hearing
March	18,	20	021

March 18, 2021 293
VIRTUAL PROCEEDING
MARCH 18, 2021 - 10:00 A.M.
* * * * *
MARGARET FELTS,
resumed the stand and testified further as
follows:
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE HECHT: On the
record.
The Commission will please come to
order. This is the third day of the
evidentiary hearings in Investigation
19-06-016. We have spent the last two days
doing housekeeping things and doing
cross-examination for the SED witness,
Margaret Felts, and we're going to continue
that cross-examination today.
Ms. Felts, do you remember the
attestations, and understand that you are
still subject to them?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
ALJ HECHT: All right. Thank you very
much.
Are there any housekeeping items on
the record before we begin?
(No response.)
ALJ HECHT: And seeing none, I think
we'll begin.

1	Court reporter, are you ready?
2	Great.
3	Mr. Stoddard, you may start.
4	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED
6	BY MR. STODDARD:
7	Q Good morning, Ms. Felts.
8	A Good morning.
9	Q Again, we've had a an
10	attestation here in this proceeding where the
11	parties have agreed not to record these
12	hearings by video or audio. It doesn't,
13	however, apply to third parties that may be
14	observing these proceedings. As such, I'm
15	going to ask whether or not you consent to
16	being recorded.
17	Ms. Felts, do you consent to being
18	recorded by audio or video during these
19	proceedings?
20	A No.
21	Q Thank you. And Ms. Felts, do you
22	have anybody there in in the room with you
23	today?
24	A No.
25	Q And Ms. Felts, do you have a phone
26	with you today?
27	A Yes.
28	Q Is your phone is your phone on?

Evider	ntian	ry	Hearing
March	18,	20	021

	March 18, 2021 295
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Will you agree not to
3	consult your phone in the course of the
4	examination today?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Thank you. Yesterday, we were
7	discussing your alleged violations related to
8	SoCalGas's investigation of past well
9	failures and leaks. Do you recall?
10	A Yes.
11	MR. STODDARD: I'm going to introduce
12	Exhibit SoCalGas-126, and this is a data
13	request; if you could please put that up.
14	Q And you'll see here, Ms. Felts,
15	that this is titled "Southern California Gas
16	Company's Ninth Set of Data Requests to the
17	Safety and Enforcement Division." Do you see
18	that?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And you see, also, that this was an
21	exhibit that was used in the course of the
22	deposition that we had last month. It's
23	marked Exhibit 228. Do you see that?
24	A Yes.
25	MR. STODDARD: And then if we can
26	scroll down, I'll read the Bates number, and
27	it says, "SoCalGas-126.0001" on the first
28	page. And if you could scroll down to

	March 18, 2021 296
1	questions 2 and 3 sorry, question 1, and
2	this is on page Bates number
3	SoCalGas-126.0004.
4	Q Can you see, Ms. Felts, where it
5	says:
6	"Are you aware of the policies or
7	practices of other gas storage operators
8	prior to or at the time of the leak with
9	respect to well integrity management of
10	underground gas storage facilities?"
11	A I see that.
12	Q Okay. And then it has some
13	sub-questions. Do you see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then if we could please turn to
16	question number 5, and it I'd like to read
17	the Bates number first on this page. And
18	this is SoCalGas-126.0005.
19	The question 5 is: Are you aware
20	of the policies or practices of other gas
21	storage operators prior to or at the time of
22	the leak, with respect to gas storage well
23	failure investigations, and then it includes
24	sub-questions. Do you see that?
25	A Yes.
26	Q Okay. If we can now move to
27	Exhibit Number SoCal Exhibit SoCalGas-127,
28	which is SED's response to this request.

296

	Evidentiary Hearing March 18, 2021 297
1	Ms. Felts, do you see here this is
2	marked SoCalGas-127.0001?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And this is SED's response to that
5	data request?
6	A Can you make it a little bigger?
7	Q Yes.
8	A Thank you.
9	MR. STODDARD: And again, if we can
10	scroll down to question 5, please.
11	Q And you'll see here that the
12	question again was:
13	Are you aware of the policies or
14	practices of other gas storage operators
15	prior to or at the time of the leak, with
16	respect to gas storage well failure
17	investigations.
18	And the were you involved in the
19	preparation of this response, Ms. Felts?
20	A I expect counsel may have called me
21	and asked.
22	Q Do you recall seeing this response?
23	A I I'm sure I've seen it.
24	Q But, you don't recall preparing any
25	part of it?
26	A No.
27	Q Okay. And you see SED's answer
28	there, which is:

1	SED objects to this question as
2	irrelevant. California Public Utilities Code
3	Section 451 requires SoCalGas to operate its
4	natural gas storage system safely for the
5	public, its employees and patrons. To have
6	safely operated its system in compliance with
7	California Public Utilities Code Section 451
8	prior to or at the time of the leak, it was
9	SoCalGas's responsibility, not that of SED,
10	to be aware of the policies and practices of
11	other gas storage operators with respect to
12	gas storage well failure investigations. Do
13	you see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then it goes on to object to
16	the term gas storage other gas storage
17	operators as overly broad, and then it refers
18	to gas storage operators worldwide rather
19	than those regulated in California, which is
20	SED's jurisdiction. SED objects to the term
21	other gas storage operators as vague. SED
22	also objects to this request as unduly
23	burdensome asking for SED's to ask all of
24	its staff might be aware of such policies or
25	practices of this undefined universe of gas
26	storage operators. Do you see that?
27	A Yes.
28	Q And then the answer is:

	March 18, 2021 299
1	Not withstanding these objections,
2	SED responds as follows: No.
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that apparently is a "No"
6	across the board. It's not providing an
7	answer as to gas storage gas storage
8	operators globally or those in California
9	within SED's jurisdiction. Correct?
10	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'm going to
11	I'm going to object to that line of
12	questioning. It seems counsel's
13	cross-examining the the witness,
14	Ms. Felts, about SED's objections and using
15	those to then suggest that the answer is
16	something other than what it is. The the
17	data response stands for itself. If they've
18	got direct questions following up to what
19	what it is, they should ask.
20	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, this is our
21	cross-examination. This is a data response
22	provided by SED in connection with questions
23	we asked related to the witness's testimony,
24	and the basis for SED's case, and I am
25	clarifying what SED said here and confirming
26	the witness's knowledge of it and involvement
27	in preparation of the response.
28	ALJ HECHT: The objection is overruled.

March 18, 2021 300
You can continue to clarify that. You should
be getting to some specific relevance
shortly, I hope.
MR. STODDARD: That was actually all I
had on this, so we can move on, anyway.
ALJ HECHT: All right. Go ahead.
MR. STODDARD: Although, actually,
sorry. I didn't get an answer to the
question.
THE WITNESS: Did you just ask me if I
see that?
BY MR. STODDARD:
Q Yeah, just confirming that this
this answer, "No," is as to both gas storage
operators globally as well as and it also
answers "No" as to gas storage operators in
California, which is within SED's
jurisdiction.
A I see the response on there. I
don't remember what my response to a similar
question in the deposition might have been.
So the only other operator that I could have
ever possibly have had viewed any type of
policy would be PG&E, and I don't recall
that, as I sit here now.
Q Okay. Thank you. Ms. Felts, are
you familiar with API Recommended Practice
585?

	501 Sol
1	A I have read it.
2	Q And API refers to the American
3	Petroleum Institute. Is that correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the root cause analysis
6	investigation process that you described
7	yesterday which involved a determination of
8	immediate cause, contributing causes, root
9	cause and a systemic assessment for similar
10	or related occurrences, that's based on the
11	failure investigation procedures in API RP
12	585. Correct?
13	A I've got API 585. Maybe I'm not
14	remembering properly, but I thought that
15	was that had to do with pressure vessels.
16	Q So you're saying API 585 doesn't
17	apply to gas storage operations?
18	A I think there was a recommended
19	recommendation by Blade that SoCalGas might
20	consider that API 585 as a model if SoCalGas
21	wanted to design its own investigations or
22	policies or having to do with casings
23	around that. I think it was part of one of
24	their recommendations as saying that API 585
25	might be a good model.
26	Q And it's part of of your
27	recommendations, as well, isn't it?
28	A Well, to the extent that I adopted

	March 18, 2021 302
1	Blade's report, yes.
2	Q Okay. And you said you reviewed
3	API 585. Correct?
4	A Well, I did review it when it
5	when I first saw the reference in the report,
6	but I can't I can't tell you what's in it
7	today, unless I look at it.
8	Q Okay. In terms of your general
9	recollection and understanding, the process
10	that you described for failure
11	investigations, do you recall whether that's
12	informed by or based on API 585?
13	A I remember 585 as having to do with
14	pressure vessels, which would be like a
15	boiler, and and so, other than unless I
16	looked at it, I couldn't tell you. I didn't
17	spend a whole lot of time evaluating that, as
18	it was a forward-looking rec
19	recommendation.
20	Q Okay. And are you aware of any
21	similar recommended practice or standard that
22	applies to gas storage facilities?
23	A In the in the Blade report, or
24	just generally?
25	Q Generally.
26	A No. I right now, I don't I'm
27	not familiar with any. There could be some
28	that are being written as we speak.

	March 18, 2021 303
1	MR. STODDARD: If we could please
2	introduce Exhibit 58.
3	ALJ HECHT: Please describe the exhibit
4	briefly.
5	MR. STODDARD: And this is SoCalGas
6	Southern California Gas Company's Fifteenth
7	Set of Data Requests to the Safety and
8	Enforcement Division.
9	Q Ms. Felts, do you see this
10	document?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you see it says, "Southern
13	California Gas Company's Fifteenth Set of
14	Data Requests to the Safety and Enforcement
15	Division. And do you see that?
16	A Yes.]
17	Q And that is Bates stamped
18	SoCalGas-580001 on the bottom right-hand
19	corner?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And you see that this was marked as
22	an exhibit in the deposition we had last
23	month?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
26	Question 2. And Question 2 says, "Do you
27	contend that API RP 585 applies to gas
28	storage facilities prior to the incident?"

Evider	ntia	ry Hearing	ſ
March	18,	2021	

	March 18, 2021 304
1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And Question 3, "Do you contend
4	that API RP 585 applies to gas storage
5	facilities as of the date of this data
6	request?"
7	A Yes.
8	Q And if we could please turn to
9	SoCalGas' response. This will be Exhibit
10	SoCalGas-59.
11	Ms. Felts, do you see this
12	document?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Did you assist in the preparation
15	of this document?
16	A Probably.
17	Q It's titled it has the
18	proceeding caption or proceeding number
19	I.19-06-016 Safety and Enforcement Division
20	Response to SoCalGas Data Request 15. It is
21	marked SoCalGas-59.0001.
22	This was also, you can see
23	Ms. Felts, an exhibit in your recent
24	deposition last month. Do you see that?
25	A Yes.
26	Q Okay. If we can please turn down
27	to the responses in numbers two and three.
28	Ms. Felts, do you see on the page

	March 18, 2021 305
1	we can turn down, just so I can read the
2	Bates number on the bottom of the page,
3	SoCalGas-59.0002.
4	Question 2, "Do you contend that
5	API RP 585 applies to gas storage facilities
6	prior to the incident?"
7	And the answer, "SED objects to
8	this question as vague, ambiguous and unduly
9	burdensome asking a question without first
10	identifying which piece of SED's testimony
11	that SoCalGas is asking about. SED further
12	objects as mischaracterizing SED's testimony
13	because SED's reference to API RP 585
14	provided Blade Energy Partners' opinion on it
15	pursuant to the Administrative Law Judge's
16	ruling.
17	And then Question 3, "Do you
18	contend that API RP 585 applies to gas
19	storage facilities as of the date of this
20	data request?"
21	Again, SED states objection and
22	then refers to SED's testimony and then
23	provides the following answer:
24	Having said that, SED
25	refers SoCalGas to
26	Ms. Felts' testimony
27	Chapter One, page 5, which
28	states: In response to

1	SED's data request, Blade's
2	provided its basis for
3	including it, referring to
4	API 585 as follows:
5	Although API 585 was no
6	specifically for gas
7	storage projects, Blade
8	identified it as a solution
9	as part of their root cause
10	analysis. Blade then
11	explained why it believed
12	that API RP 585 could be
13	applied to gas storage
14	projects. Bladed added its
15	professional opinion that
16	it would be a safe practice
17	for SoCalGas to apply API
18	RP 585 to gas storage well
19	integrity management and
20	the response for doing so.
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yeah.
23	Q Okay. Then, again, do you now
24	recall being involved in the preparation of
25	the data response?
26	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may. This
27	is Darryl Gruen for Safety and Enforcement
28	Division. I would like to note an objection

13

1	to this line of questioning, this actual
2	tactic, as highly prejudicial. This is a
3	tactic that SoCalGas has been employing now
4	for more than two days. And the record will
5	show that they are continuously asking
6	Ms. Felts to recall something and then
7	withholding information from her and then
8	asking, "Gee. Did you get something wrong or
9	did you say something slightly differently
10	than what you're telling us now?"
11	Your Honor, I would make a motion
12	that from here on, SoCalGas be required to

Ms. Felts to answer questions about and they can then ask her if she agrees or disagrees with the responses, deposition transcripts, what have you.

show upfront any information that it wants

18 MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, this is a 19 cross-examination, and we provided all these 20 exhibits in advance of the cross-examination. 21 I don't understand exactly what the basis for 22 SED's objection is, but I also haven't asked 23 any of the questions that Mr. Gruen suggests 2.4 that I asked here. I am simply establishing 25 -- because he had insisted that we refer to documents throughout this, I am referencing 26 27 documents as he has requested as we have been 2.8 instructed to do so, but I haven't yet asked

	March 18, 2021 308
1	any question that Mr. Gruen described in his
2	objection.
3	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, Mr. Stoddard is
4	referencing exhibits, and SoCalGas I will
5	note has provided more than a hundred
6	exhibits in reference.
7	What we're asking, to avoid the
8	prejudice, is that Ms. Felts be shown the
9	documents upfront and be asked questions
10	about them, not questions and then ask
11	whether she is recalling or if she said
12	something slightly different than how she has
13	put it now being required to reconcile what's
14	been provided.
15	They should provide the documents
16	upfront and ask her her basis or ask
17	questions about her being forthright and
18	upfront with the exhibits.
19	MR. STODDARD: Again, your Honor, this
20	is cross-examination and we are entitled to
21	ask the witness questions based on the
22	documents that are in front of her. The
23	Administrative Law Judges can accord it the
24	appropriate weight based on the testimony
25	it's provided on the record.
26	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, no one has
27	disputed that it's a cross-examination. That
28	much is obvious to all.

308

1	The point is exactly as Mr. Stoddard
2	said. The documents aren't always in front
3	of her. They should be put there.
4	ALJ HECHT: All right. I am going to
5	sustain the objection only in part to the
6	extent possible. I believe that the
7	documents should be identified in advance.
8	That was the purpose of asking that they be
9	served a day in advance and everybody has
10	complied with that, which I appreciate.
11	I don't think it's unreasonable to
12	ask for a list of the documents that are
13	going to be consulted on a given day or that
14	you think are likely to be consulted on a
15	given day.
16	Having said that, I think asking
17	whether somebody recalls the testimony or was
18	involved in it, I don't find the questions
19	themselves objectionable.
20	What I am trying to get to is to
21	ensure that the witness has sufficient
22	information to formulate an answer.
23	MR. STODDARD: Understood, your Honor.
24	And if the witness, you know, and again we
25	will I think that it will become apparent
26	where our line of cross-examination is going
27	here in a few moments.
28	ALJ HECHT: Are you waiting on me?

1	MR. STODDARD: No. We can move on.
2	So, if we can introduce Safety and
3	Enforcement Division's Supplemental Response,
4	Southern California Gas Company Data Request
5	No. 15, which is Exhibit Number 60, and if we
6	can turn to Question 2. Let me identify this
7	document first.
8	This is Safety and Enforcement
9	Division Supplemental Response to Southern
10	California Gas Company's Data Request No. 15,
11	Questions 1a, 1e-f, 2, 3, 4a, 5a-b, 11a-b and
12	12a-c. Scroll down. This is marked
13	SoCalGas-60.001.
14	And, Ms. Felts, you will see this
15	was an exhibit in your deposition last month
16	as well. Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. If we could scroll down to
19	Question 2. Question 2 again is whether you
20	contend that API RP 585 applied to gas
21	storage facilities prior to the incident. Do
22	you see that, Ms. Felts?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And in response SED's response
25	to Question 2, I won't restate all the
26	objections, but they are there.
27	And then in the second paragraph
28	you will see it says:

	March 18, 2021 311
1	Subject to and without
2	waiver of these objections,
3	SED reiterates that it is
4	the opinion of Blade Energy
5	Partners that API RP 585
6	applied to gas storage
7	facilities prior to the
8	incident.
9	Do you see that?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And then it says, "To understand
12	the basis of Blade's opinion" and it refers
13	back to a data response from Blade Energy
14	Partners to SED which we discussed a few
15	moments ago. Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
18	the response to Question 3, again, Question 3
19	was whether you contend that API RP 585
20	applies to gas storage facilities as of the
21	date of this data request.
22	And, again, in response to Question
23	3, SED stated objections which I will not
24	reiterate.
25	And in the second paragraph, SED
26	stated:
27	Subject to and without
28	waiver of these objections,

	March 18, 2021 312
1	SED reiterates that it is
2	the opinion of Blade Energy
3	Partners that API RP 585
4	applied to gas storage
5	facilities prior as of the
6	date of this data request.
7	Do you see that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Ms. Felts, do you understand that
10	sentence to be saying SED reiterates that it
11	is the opinion of Blade Energy Partners and
12	API RP 585 applied to gas storage facilities
13	as of the date of the data request?
14	A That's what it says.
15	Q Were you involved in the
16	preparation of this data response?
17	A I probably discussed it with
18	counsel
19	Q Do you recall sorry. You
20	weren't done.
21	A Well, if you asked me the same
22	question in my deposition, I would like to
23	see the question and how it was couched so I
24	could remember it, but I don't.
25	And so, I mean, if you're asking me
26	if I actually prepared this response, the
27	answer is no, I don't I did not actually
28	prepare it, but I am sure that we discussed

1	it.
2	Q I am not planning to refer to your
3	deposition but I will refer to your testimony
4	a few moments ago.
5	Ms. Felts, a few moments ago I
6	believe you said the API RP 585 was a
7	forward-looking recommendation and it doesn't
8	apply to gas storage facilities, correct?
9	A I think I was saying it was a
10	forward-looking recommendation of Blade as I
11	recall it. And apparently Blade responded to
12	a data request and said that they think it
13	does apply, although, you know, that's
14	something you should take up with Blade when
15	they're here.
16	Q Well, we have Blade's data request
17	below which we can read.
18	Do you recall any discussion of
19	interpretation of that data response with
20	SED?
21	A No.
22	MR. GRUEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, just
23	clarification. This is an objection as to
24	vague.
25	Is counsel referring to the Blade
26	data request? And if so, could we see it, so
27	that Ms. Felts can make an informed answer?
28	BY MR. STODDARD:

1	Q	Okay. On the screen, let's read
2	below:	
3		To understand the basis of
4		Blade's opinion, SED issued
5		a data request to Blade
6		Energy Partners. As noted
7		in Ms. Felts' Sur-Reply
8		Testimony, Chapter One,
9		page 5, lines 1
10		through 7
11		Do you see that?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	(Continuing reading.)
14		In response to SED's data
15		request, Blade provided the
16		basis for including it as
17		follows: Although API 585
18		was not specifically for
19		gas storage projects, Blade
20		identified it as a solution
21		as part of their root cause
22		analysis. Blade then
23		explained why it believes
24		that API RP 585 could be
25		applied to gas storage.
26		Blade added its
27		professional opinion that
28		it would be a safe practice
	1	

314

	Malcii 16, 2021 515
1	for SoCalGas to apply it
2	API RP 585 to apply API
3	I'm sorry. Strike that.
4	Blade added its
5	professional opinion that
6	it would be a safe practice
7	for SoCalGas to apply API
8	RP 585 to gas storage well
9	integrity storage
10	management and the reasons
11	for doing so. To show the
12	detail of these points,
13	Blade's data response is
14	attached to this testimony.
15	And that's quoting back to your
16	sur-reply testimony. Do you see that,
17	Ms. Felts?]
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you think that that quote
20	supports the statement above that API that
21	Blade Energy Partners' opinion was that
22	API RP 585 applied to gas storage facilities?
23	A I think it says what it says, that
24	Blade says that its professional opinion is
25	that it would be a safe practice for SoCalGas
26	to apply API RP 585 to gas storage well
27	integrity management, and I think their
28	recommendation was because there isn't any

1	direct API RP for well integrity management,
2	so they were reaching for the most applicable
3	one.
4	Q That wasn't my question, Ms. Felts.
5	My question was do you think that the
6	Blade Blade's response that we just reread
7	supports the response above from SED
8	characterizing the opinion of Blade as being
9	the API RP 585 applied to gas storage
10	facilities?
11	A Are you talking about the paragraph
12	just above that quote?
13	Q Yes.
14	A Okay. I think
15	Q You can take a moment to read it if
16	you like.
17	A I think the paragraph above
18	characterizes Blade's response incorrectly.
19	Q Thank you. And it's actually
20	consistent with the testimony you provided a
21	few moments ago, isn't it, which is that
22	API RP 585 applies to pressure vessels which
23	would be like a boiler; correct?
24	A I don't understand the first part
25	of your statement. I don't know what we're
26	agreeing to.
27	Q Before we started looking at these
28	data requests, I asked you whether API RP 585

	March 18, 2021 317
1	applied to gas storage facilities; correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And you recall saying at that time
4	that it didn't. It was a forward-looking
5	recommendation and that it applied to
6	pressure vessels like a boiler.
7	Do you recall saying that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And what I'm saying what
10	I'm asking is isn't it the case that Blade's
11	response that or that your sur-reply
12	testimony based on Blade's response that is
13	quoted here is more consistent with what
14	you've testified to today than SED's data
15	response above, which states that API RP 585
16	applied to gas storage facilities?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Thank you. You see there where it
19	states, "Ms. Felts is aware of no reason to
20	doubt Blade Energy Partners' opinion on this
21	matter at this time"?
22	A Yes.
23	Q So this response is not just
24	generally SED's response. This is also your
25	response at the time that it was given;
26	correct?
27	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'm going to
28	object to that question as a misstatement of

317

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

2.8

17 objection. I think that the question -- I 18 think it cannot necessarily be said that that 19 mischaracterizes. I will say it was a very 20 complicated question and I would appreciate 21 it if you could state it more clearly.

> MR. STODDARD: Okay.

23 The last sentence there, Ms. Felts, Ο 24 says "Ms. Felts is aware of no reason to 25 doubt Blade Energy Partners' opinion on this matter at this time." 26 27 Do you see that?

Α Yes.

1	Q And again above, it characterized
2	Blade's opinion, if we can scroll back up a
3	little bit, as "The opinion of Blade Energy
4	Partners is that API RP 585 applied to gas
5	storage facilities prior as of the date of
6	this data request."
7	Do you see that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Again, Ms. Felts, do you recall
10	being consulted with respect to the content
11	of this data response?
12	A I'm sure that
13	MR. GRUEN: Objection, asked and
14	answered.
15	ALJ HECHT: I agree. Objection
16	sustained. That has been asked and answered.
17	Please continue.
18	BY MR. STODDARD:
19	Q Ms. Felts, in the last sentence
20	where it states that you are aware, you
21	personally, Ms. Felts, are "aware of no
22	reason to doubt Blade Energy Partners'
23	opinion on this matter," that's referring to
24	the opinion that is described in the
25	paragraph that starts "Subject to and without
26	waiver of these objections"; correct?
27	A That applies to the quote that's
28	inset in the middle of this paragraph.

	March 18, 2021 32
1	Q I see. So, you were not consulted
2	then on SED's response which was that
3	API RP 585 did apply in Blade's view to gas
4	storage operations?
5	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, this has been
6	asked and answered multiple times at this
7	point. I would renew my objection.
8	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, counsel for
9	SED has been objecting in the middle of
10	questions and I am simply trying to get to
11	the end of a line of questioning to confirm
12	with two contradictory opinions on the page
13	which one Ms. Felts agrees with and whether
14	or not SED consulted her before providing
15	contradictory answers.
16	ALJ HECHT: This is the last
17	opportunity. Please complete the line of
18	questioning and move on.
19	BY MR. STODDARD:
20	Q Ms. Felts, can you answer the
21	question?
22	A I think I told you yesterday in
23	response to a more generic question about who
24	prepared these data responses to SED data
25	requests, and I told you that counsel in
26	general prepared the responses and consulted
27	me on technical issues. I'm sure I was I
28	discussed this with them. As far as the

1	consent of this response, my response would
2	be exactly what is inset on this page, not
3	the paragraph above it. There's possibly a
4	misunderstanding between counsel and I when
5	we had that discussion.
6	Q Thank you. Ms. Felts, again, with
7	respect to API RP 585, which you have
8	testified did not apply to gas storage
9	operations and you are not aware of any
10	similar standard that does apply, but to
11	confirm, you believe an investigation should
12	have been done that's consistent with what is
13	described in API RP 585 for the prior
14	failures of the Aliso Canyon facility; is
15	that correct?
16	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'm going to
17	object to that question as compound.
18	ALJ HECHT: I don't think that's stated
19	correctly so I don't agree with it.
20	BY MR. STODDARD:
21	Q Okay. Ms. Felts, yesterday you
22	testified that the sort of investigation that
23	you believe would have been appropriate for
24	the prior failures would have included a
25	determination of the immediate cause, the
26	root cause, and the systemic assessment for
27	similar or related occurrences.
28	Do you agree with that?

1	A I don't think I used the word
2	"systemic," but maybe you used it and I might
3	have agreed to it. So in general, yes, we
4	discussed investigations thoroughly
5	yesterday.
6	Q Okay. And so if you would use a
7	word different from systemic, what would you
8	use?
9	A I don't know. It just doesn't
10	sound like a word that I would have said.
11	Q Okay. Ms. Felts, are you aware
12	that API RP 585 was published in the first
13	edition in April 2014?
14	A No.
15	Q Can we please introduce
16	Exhibit 135, API Recommended Practice 585.
17	Ms. Felts, do you see here this is titled
18	"Pressure Equipment Integrity Incident
19	Investigation"?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And "API Recommended Practice 585,
22	First Edition, April 2014."
23	Do you see that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you indicated you reviewed this
26	document at some time during the course of
27	your work for SED; correct?
28	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And it's Bates stamped
2	SoCalGas-135.0001. So, for the failure
3	investigation that you believe should have
4	been done, again, what was the basis for the
5	component of that investigation?
6	A It's my experience as an engineer
7	and my past experience of doing
8	investigations. I don't think there's
9	anything special about investigating wells.
10	Obviously, the technical aspects of it may
11	vary, but the steps to do an investigation
12	are pretty similar for any event that you
13	want to find the cause of.
14	Q Was it common knowledge?
15	A At least in the engineering
16	community.
17	Q If so, what's the point of
18	publishing a recommended practice sorry,
19	strike that.
20	What's the point of the American
21	Petroleum Institute publishing a recommended
22	practice on integrity incident investigations
23	that is 47 pages long?
24	A I expect that there were probably
25	some boiler explosions and that the industry
26	itself, since it is the American petroleum
27	industry, felt like there was a good reason
28	to draft and produce this particular

	March 18, 2021 324
1	recommended practice so that everybody would
2	have guidelines to use on their facilities.
3	Q So they would be developing kind of
4	a standard practice in response to an
5	incident; is that correct?
6	A Probably more than one incident.
7	And so the I mean the American petroleum
8	industry probably has good reason to create
9	standards among their members so that
10	everybody is doing things consistently and
11	has the latest thought along this process or
12	about this process.
13	Q And it's correct that the Blade
14	report references the API 585 as the basis
15	for the type of investigation that they
16	recommend; correct?
17	A Well, I think they just recommended
18	that it's a safe practice that SoCalGas could
19	apply, so basically it points SoCalGas to a
20	document that could be a jumping off point to
21	create your own, or SoCalGas' own, incident
22	investigation policies or procedures.
23	ALJ HECHT: Before you continue, I am
24	going to ask that everybody remember to speak
25	slowly and clearly, especially when reading
26	from documents because one tends to speed up
27	at that time. In particular, Mr. Stoddard, I
28	think the court reporters would appreciate it

	March 18, 2021 325
1	if you would slow down a little bit.
2	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
3	I apologize for speaking too quickly.
4	ALJ HECHT: Thank you.
5	MR. STODDARD: If we could please turn
6	to Ms. Felts' opening testimony, the
7	corrected version, Exhibit Number
8	SoCalGas-47.]
9	Do you see that this is Ms. Felts'
10	opening testimony in the instant proceeding,
11	and it is Bates marked SoCalGas-47.0001? If
12	we could please turn to page 79.
13	MR. GRUEN: And for the record, your
14	Honor, just a clarification, is is that
15	referring to the page 79 in the Bates numbers
16	or at the bottom of the testimony page?
17	MR. STODDARD: I was referring to the
18	page numbers, because I'm working off of a
19	hard copy, but I will read the Bates number,
20	as swell.
21	MR. GRUEN: Understood. Thank you.
22	BY MR. STODDARD:
23	Q So this is page 79 of the testimony
24	in PDF Bates number SoCalGas-47.0083. Do you
25	see that?
26	A Yes.
27	Q And if we can scroll up to solution
28	number seven, Ms. Felts, do you see there

1	where it says, "American Petroleum" sorry.
2	Solution number seven, SoCalGas
3	should be required to do a Level 1 analysis
4	of all failures, and this is again in your
5	testimony. American Petroleum Institute,
6	API, Recommended Practice 585, pressure
7	equipment integrity incident investigation,
8	discusses failure investigation of pressure
9	equipment. The Aliso Canyon wells are a form
10	of complex pressure vessels. A Level 1 type
11	of an analysis of failures as a minimum
12	requirement will identify the immediate
13	causes of the failures or near misses, and
14	allow operators to understand the
15	implications, if any. Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And so this and it cites to the
18	Blade report. Correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And this is the sort of
21	investigation that Blade contends should have
22	been done. Correct?
23	A No.
24	Q No?
25	A I don't think so. I think this is
26	in their solutions, and this is a
27	recommendation. It says that it cites API
28	Recommended Practice 585 for pressure

1	equipment, then says that Aliso Canyon wells
2	are complex pressure vessels, and therefore,
3	you know, it's applicable. Level 1 type
4	analysis of failures will identify immediate
5	causes. So I think this is just one of
6	several solutions that were at the end of the
7	Blade report for going forward. I don't
8	think it's looking back.
9	Q Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Felts.
10	For what you are contending should
11	have been done, which includes
12	identification, immediate cause, root cause,
13	and an assessment of and I won't use the
14	word systemic similar occurrences
15	throughout the facility, is that consistent
16	with a Level 1 type analysis, or is it more?
17	A Well, I think Level 1 is a part of
18	the requirement. It's just stating that this
19	should be a Level 1 analysis of all failures.
20	So I mean, as far as the investigation
21	process that we discussed yesterday, I think
22	that's pretty much a generic description of
23	doing an investigation, and I would say that
24	that applies going forward, as well, as
25	looking at API Recommended Practice 585.
26	Q I was asking whether the the
27	elements of the investigation that you
28	contend should have been done in

	March 18, 2021 328
1	previously exceeds the description of a
2	Level 1 type analysis of failures described
3	in the last sentence here. And I can read
4	reread it, if helpful.
5	A Level 1 type of analysis of
6	failures as a minimum requirement will
7	identify the immediate causes of the failures
8	or near misses, and allow operators to
9	understand the implications, if any.
10	ALJ HECHT: Another reminder to please
11	slow down, especially when you're reading.
12	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
13	I apologize.
14	THE WITNESS: All right. I guess I
15	don't understand the difference I don't
16	understand what you're asking me. Everything
17	seems to be written right there in that
18	paragraph.
19	BY MR. STODDARD:
20	Q Okay. I'll I'll move on.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Finally, one more question on this:
23	Ms. Felts, yesterday for your description of
24	the failure analysis that should have been
25	done, which again included identification of
26	immediate cause, root cause and an assessment
27	of similar failures and implications
28	throughout the field that were I believe,

	March 18, 2021 329
1	if that's a fair characterization, you
2	indicated that that should have been done for
3	all of the prior leaks that occurred.
4	Correct?
5	A Yes, the ones that are identified
6	in the opening testimony. That's not all of
7	the leaks that have occurred.
8	Q No distinction you didn't
9	identify and you don't believe there's any
10	distinction in the failure analysis that
11	should have been performed for leaks that
12	occurred in 2001 versus 2014. Correct?
13	MR. GRUEN: I'm sorry, your Honor. I'm
14	going to object to that question as vague.
15	If Ms. Felts could be shown the testimony
16	that's being asked about.
17	MR. STODDARD: I don't have a
18	transcript from these proceedings over the
19	past few days, unfortunately, so I'm not
20	going to be able to to accurately show her
21	testimony.
22	ALJ HECHT: For clarity, please restate
23	your question
24	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
25	ALJ HECHT: and we'll go from there.
26	BY MR. STODDARD:
27	Q Ms. Felts, you described, you know,
28	your description of a failure investigation

that was required was as you stated in your
testimony yesterday, and I won't characterize
it. Okay?
In your view, that type of
investigation should have been performed for
failures that occurred in 1980, 1970 or 1969
or 1952. Correct?
MR. GRUEN: And again, your Honor, I'm
going to object as vague. There are points
in Ms. Felts's opening testimony that can be
referenced to. If counsel could point her to
opening testimony that that he's asking
questions about.
MR. STODDARD: I think my question's
fairly straightforward, and doesn't require
consultation of her testimony, unless and
I'm I'm you know, the witness is
sitting here. This is her testimony. She
should be able to speak to it. I'm not
referencing her opening testimony right now
beyond the solutions identified in the back
of her testimony.
MR. GRUEN: Well, that's exactly the
concern in the objection, that counsel should
be referencing the testimony.
ALJ HECHT: I think this is a
straightforward question, and the over the
objection is overruled.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	March 18, 2021 331
1	We'll continue for another five
2	minutes or so, and then we will take a
3	morning break.
4	Mr. Stoddard, continue.
5	BY MR. STODDARD:
6	Q Ms. Felts, did you understand the
7	question?
8	A Well, you've asked me two different
9	questions, so if you're asking me does the
10	process of investigating a leak as I
11	described in our discussions yesterday apply
12	to every leak that SoCalGas has seen or that
13	has occurred at Aliso Canyon, then I would
14	say, "Yes."
15	Q Okay. We can move on.
16	If you can refer back to
17	Exhibit 47, the corrected testimony, opening
18	testimony of SED in this proceeding, page 27,
19	and you'll see here this is SoCalGas-47.0031,
20	do you see that, Ms. Felts?
21	A Just a minute. Let me yes.
22	Q And the second full paragraph
23	halfway down and I apologize we don't have
24	line numbering in here, but that's how it was
25	produced.
26	Do you see where the sentence
27	that starts "Further"?
28	A Yes.

	Malchi 16, 2021 552
1	Q "Further, since no formal risk
2	risk assessment was conducted regarding well
3	integrity, wall thickness inspection was not
4	identified as a monitoring technique."
5	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
6	A Yes.
7	Q To clarify, do you contend that
8	SoCalGas was unaware of casing inspection
9	tools?
10	A No.
11	Q No. In fact, SoCalGas had been
12	experimenting with casing inspection tools
13	prior to the incident, and prior even to
14	1990. Correct?
15	A In 2014, as a precursor to the SIMP
16	program, they were experimenting with
17	technologies. I don't know about your
18	additional statement about 1990.
19	Q Well, you you've identified,
20	which we'll get to in a moment, the 1988 memo
21	which relates to the use of Vertilog for
22	inspection of some wells. Correct?
23	A I don't know if that was actually
24	experimentation with a technology; but, okay.
25	Q So Ms. Felts, your statement here,
26	which is no since no formal risk
27	assessment was conducted regarding well
28	integrity, wall thickness inspection was not

	March 18, 2021 333
1	identified as a monitoring technique, doesn't
2	have to do with SoCalGas's awareness of the
3	tools. Correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Could it also be the case that wall
6	thickness inspection was not identified as a
7	monitoring technique prior to some some
8	specific point in time, because the
9	technology was unreliable?
10	A I'm not sure which technology
11	you're talking about, but measuring wall
12	thickness is very old, and reliable
13	technique. I mean USIT technology has been
14	around for a long time.
15	Q Since when?
16	A I I don't know a date.
17	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
18	page 22. This is SoCalGas-47.0026, and
19	this the top sentence there.
20	Do you see the catastrophic SS-25
21	casing leak showed that using temperature
22	surveys to confirm mechanical integrity of
23	casing was a flawed concept? Do you see
24	that?
25	A Yes.
26	Q So the importance of measuring
27	wall well wall thickness was not fully
28	appreciated until the SS-25 incident. That's

1	what that says, isn't it?
2	A I don't see that in that sentence.
3	Q It says, "The catastrophic SS-25
4	casing which showed that using temperature
5	surveys to confirm mechanical integrity of
6	casing was a flawed concept." Do you see
7	that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q This suggests that the incident
10	itself was what indicated that the use of
11	well integrity monitoring tools on casing
12	inspection logs was important for purposes of
13	well integrity. Correct?
14	A What I see in that sentence, it
15	just says that the leak that occurred there
16	shows that temperature surveys alone to
17	confirm mechanical integrity of a casing is a
18	flawed concept. I don't see what else you're
19	reading into that. Perhaps that's somewhere
20	else in that.
21	Q As shown by the catastrophic SS-25
22	casing leak, the first part of the sentence
23	that you didn't read.
24	A Uh-huh. Yes.
25	Q Okay.
26	A I I'm sorry. I just don't
27	understand what you're doing here.
28	Q I'm asking anyways, we can move

1 on. 2 If we can move to page 25, SoCalGas-47.0029, if we can scroll up. 3 Sorry. I think we need to scroll down a 4 5 little bit, please. 6 One second. We need to go off the One moment. I need to find my 7 record. place. 8 ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record. 9 10 (Off the record.) 11 ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the 12 record. While we were off the record, the 13 14 cross-examining attorney found the 15 information that he needed in testimony -- in 16 the exhibit, excuse me, and I found that 17 there won't be very many questions left in 18 this line of cross, so after that, we will 19 take a break. 20 Go ahead, Mr. Stoddard. MR. STODDARD: If we can please bring 21 back up Exhibit Number 47, and this is the 22 23 page identified prior to the break. And 24 the -- the sentence under header four reads: 25 SoCalGas had no internal policies on 26 wall thickness inspections because the 27 company assumed that regulatory compliance was being adhered to by running annual 2.8

1	temperature surveys in accordance with the
2	Aliso Canyon monitoring plan and the project
3	approval letter dated 1989 requiring an
4	annual mechanical integrity test.
5	Q Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So use of the word "assumed" above,
8	are you contending that SoCalGas did not
9	comply with regulatory requirements?
10	A No.
11	Q No. In fact, SoCalGas did comply
12	with applicable regulatory requirements.
13	Isn't that correct?
14	A SoCalGas convinced DOGGR that
15	temperature surveys were appropriate and
16	would suffice, and so DOGGR, in my
17	understanding, went along with that, and
18	created that as the requirement.
19	Q And what's the basis for your
20	your your opinion that that SoCalGas
21	convinced DOGGR?
22	A Well, seems like I saw that in a
23	in a letter or a memo, probably some kind of
24	communication between SoCalGas and DOGGR.
25	But
26	Q Are you are you referring to
27	the to the rulemaking proceeding in
28	which the public rulemaking proceeding in

r	
1	which DOGGR officially said that noise and
2	temperature logging was sufficient for
3	compliance in mechanical integrity testing?
4	Is that what you're referring to, Ms. Felts?
5	A I have not seen that public
6	proceeding, if that's what you're referring
7	to. If I saw something, it would have been
8	correspondence between DOGGR and SoCalGas.
9	Q Are you aware of any reason at that
10	time for SoCalGas or DOGGR to believe that
11	noise and temperature logging were not
12	sufficient and compliant with regulations?
13	A I would say that there is probably
14	evidence in SoCalGas's history that it wasn't
15	sufficient, but it doesn't mean that DOGGR
16	was aware of that. I just you know, I
17	don't have enough information to know what
18	they were thinking.
19	Q Okay. Thank you.
20	That's all, your Honor.
21	ALJ HECHT: All right. We're going to
22	take a 15-minute break. We'll be back at
23	11:20, and we'll be off the record.
24	(Off the record.)
25	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
26	record.
27	We were off the record just for a
28	15-minute morning break. We are going to

,	
1	resume the cross-examination. I will note
2	that I've rearranged my schedule, so I no
3	longer have a hard stop this afternoon, which
4	does not mean that we can go as late as we
5	want, or until 4:30, but it does give a
6	little bit more flexibility.
7	With that, we can resume the
8	cross-examination. Mr. Stoddard.
9	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
10	If we could turn back, please, to
11	Exhibit Number 47, corrected version of SED's
12	opening testimony, and turn to page pages
13	10 to 11, and this is Bates number
14	SoCalGas-47.0014. And if we move up stop
15	there, please.
16	Q Can you see there, in the second
17	paragraph, the first sentence that says:
18	SoCalGas's failure to follow its
19	own 1988 plan to check the casing in 12 wells
20	for metal loss violates Section 451"? Do you
21	see that, Ms. Felts?
22	A Yeah. Yes.
23	Q To explain a little bit, for
24	purposes of of those in attendance today,
25	including the administrative law judges,
26	discuss a little bit of the background on
27	Vertilog briefly. Vertilog is a is a
28	magnetic flex flux leakage tool. Correct?

1 А Yes. 2 0 And it's designed to detect the potential wall loss in steel casings. 3 Correct? 4 Yes, it -- it does do that. 5 А 6 0 It does do that. Okay. And it could be wall loss due to any number of 7 factors. Correct? 8 9 Α Yes. It doesn't care what the factor is. 10 11 0 So it could be due to corrosion, 12 mechanical damage or erosion. Correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. And -- and in this instance, 0 15 based on your understanding from what you've 16 read in the Blade report, there was -- there 17 was wall loss in the SS-25 casing prior to 18 the failure. Correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 And that wall loss was due to \bigcirc 21 corrosion. Correct? 22 Α Yes. 2.3 And when do you believe that Ο 24 corro- -- the corrosion in SS-25 began? 25 Α I -- I don't have personal opinion I don't think -- I don't think you 26 on that. 27 can tell when that started. 2.8 Okay. Would you agree that the Q

1	allegation that it was a violation of 451 in
2	connection with your testimony related to the
3	Aliso Canyon incident assumed that there was
4	detectable corrosion back in 1988 at the time
5	that there was the internal memorandum
6	recommending performance of Vertilog
7	inspections on SS-25, among other wells?
8	A I think that Blade said that it was
9	possible that it could have been detected in
10	1988.
11	Q But, we don't know. Right?
12	A If you didn't look in 1988, then
13	you don't know.
14	Q And we can't tell necessarily based
15	on the corrosion and wall loss that was
16	present when the casing was extracted,
17	either. Correct?
18	A No.
19	Q And what is your understanding
20	regarding the reliability of the Vertilog
21	tool?
22	A My understanding is that, in 1988,
23	SoCalGas considered it reliable enough to
24	invest in to investigate wall loss in well
25	casings.
26	MR. STODDARD: If you could please turn
27	to Exhibit Number 35, page 308, line 18. And
28	this is the deposition of Margaret Felts in

1	Los Angeles, California of February 5th,
2	2020, morning session, SoCalGas sorry,
3	that's that's incorrect. This was a
4	this was a deposition in San Francisco. It
5	was transcribed in Los and it's
6	SoCalGas-35.0001.
7	Q Do you see that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. If we could please go
10	to page 308, line 18, and the questioning
11	began sorry. I started a little bit above
12	that.
13	I was asking you about your
14	experience with Vertilog, and at line 16 the
15	question was: How about interpreting
16	Vertilogs?
17	And you said, "Yes."
18	And the question the next
19	question was: Vertilogs specifically?
20	And the answer was: Yes, I've read
21	those.
22	And the question was: In in
23	what context?
24	Answer: Well, beginning in school,
25	I had a whole course on that, and then over a
26	period of time, I have had occasion in
27	private consulting cases to look at well
28	logs.

Do you see that? 1 2 Α Yes. And then the question is: Again, 3 Ο we're talking specifically about Vertilogs 4 here? 5 And the answer is: Well, I think a 6 7 Vertilog is one of many different kinds of logs, so I'm sure I've looked at them. 8 Τ 9 just can't tell you exactly which case, what 10 date. 11 ALJ HECHT: Once again, I'm going to 12 ask Mr. Stoddard to slow down in the reading. 13 I know it is very hard to do that, 14 particularly when you're reading, but it is 15 helpful for our court reporters. 16 MR. STODDARD: I'm sorry, your Honor. 17 I'm -- I apologize to the court reporters, as 18 well. I will slow down. 19 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. 20 BY MR. STODDARD: 21 Ο Next question: And what is your 22 understanding regarding the reliability of 23 Vertilog, the Vertilog tool? 24 And your answer is: There were 25 probably some issues with them over time. 26 They've probably gotten a lot better 27 recently. 28 Do you see that?

	March 18, 2021 343
1	A Yes.
2	Q And this is based on your this
3	isn't based on the Blade report. Right?
4	A Right.
5	Q This appears to be based on your
6	personal recollection and experience.
7	Correct?
8	A And what I've read, yes.
9	Q And this was this deposition
10	occurred before SoCalGas served its
11	testimony. Correct?
12	A I don't really know that.
13	Q SoCalGas's reply testimony was
14	served March 20th, 2020, and this deposition
15	occurred on February 5th, 2020?
16	A Okay. I believe you.
17	Q Okay. Thank you.
18	If you turn, please, to Ms. Felts'
19	sur-reply testimony in Exhibit Number 51, and
20	it's going to be Chapter 2, page 11. This is
21	marked SoCalGas-51.0001. It's the sur the
22	sur-reply testimony of Ms. Felts. And and
23	this is from Chapter 2, the prepared
24	sur-reply testimony of Margaret Felts in
25	response to reply testimony of Robert A.
26	Carnahan, SoCalGas-51.0040. And we're
27	turning to page 11 of this chapter of
28	Ms. Felts' certified testimony, and here,

1	this is page SoCalGas-51.0052.
2	And if we can scroll up a little
3	bit, please, you'll see here that the format
4	of this testimony is that Mr. Carnahan
5	provided testimony on behalf of SoCalGas.
6	SED asked Blade data requests about
7	Mr. Carnahan's statement, and then took
8	Blade's responses and cut and pasted them
9	into their reply testimony.
10	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?]
11	A Can you make it a little bit bigger
12	so I can see it?
13	Q Yes, of course. If you could
14	please scroll down. Do you see here,
15	Ms. Felts, where is says, "When SED asked
16	Blade's data request" I'm sorry. I will
17	read Mr. Carnahan's statement first.
18	Carnahan Statement 5 down below,
19	Mr. Moshfegh.
20	Additional flaws of
21	Vertilog were its inability
22	to distinguish between
23	defects and hardware, such
24	as centralizers and
25	scratchers and its
26	difficulty interpreting
27	corrosion located near the
28	surface casing shoe.

1	That was Mr. Carnahan's testimony.
2	SED then asked Blade a data request about it.
3	And your testimony then states:
4	When SED asked Blade a data
5	request about this
6	statement, Blade stated
7	that it disagreed with it.
8	When asked to explain,
9	Blade stated as follows:
10	Blade would agree that the
11	tool will have difficulty
12	interpreting corrosion
13	above but not below the
14	shoe. Blade agrees with,
15	quote, 'flaws of Vertilog
16	were its inability to
17	distinguish between defects
18	and hardware, such as
19	centralizers and
20	scratchers.'"
21	The next sentence, which is part of
22	this blocked quote is:
23	However, there is a key
24	omission in Mr. Carnahan's
25	testimony regarding the
26	method in which the tool
27	designers had envisioned
28	solving this issue.

	March 18, 2021 346
1	References described the
2	use of accurate casing
3	records to address the
4	interpretation of
5	centralizers and
6	scratchers.
7	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
8	A Yes. Yes.
9	Q What are centralizers and
10	scratchers?
11	A A centralizer I think holds
12	something in the middle of the casing. I
13	don't know what a scratcher is without
14	looking it up.
15	Q Okay. And do you know where
16	they're located?
17	A In general or
18	Q In general or specifically?
19	A I wouldn't even know how to answer
20	that.
21	Q Okay. Did you review SoCalGas'
22	records for the location of centralizers and
23	scratchers?
24	A No. This is strictly an issue
25	between Carnahan and Blade. So I would
26	suggest maybe asking Blade about this.
27	Q So you did ask Blade about it. So
28	why don't we turn to their response. If we

	March 18, 2021 347
1	could refer to Exhibit 70 please, page 13.
2	ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record
3	while we find the place in the exhibit.
4	(Off the record.)
5	ALJ HECHT: Okay. We'll be back on the
6	record.
7	BY MR. STODDARD:
8	Q This is the Blade's Data Response
9	to CPUC Data Request 50 Data Request
10	SED-58 related to Vertilog technology and the
11	casing failure in SS-25 from reply testimony
12	of Mr. Robert Carnahan on behalf of SoCalGas.
13	And the page number on the front page of this
14	document is SoCalGas-70.001.
15	If we could please scroll down to
16	page 13.
17	Ms. Felts, you see here where it
18	says let me read. It restates the quote
19	that you that you included in your
20	testimony under Item 2 there. Do you see
21	that?
22	A I am going to have to look on my
23	copy. I just can't
24	Q Okay. Please do. If we can go
25	back off the record for a moment so Ms. Felts
26	can grab her copy.
27	ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
28	(Off the record.)

	Malchi 16, 2021 546
1	ALJ HECHT: All right. I will go back
2	on the record. We'll be on the record.
3	BY MR. STODDARD:
4	Q Ms. Felts, do you see Statement 5?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And, again, it's:
7	Additional flaws of
8	Vertilog were its inability
9	to distinguish between
10	defects and hardware, such
11	as centralizers and
12	scratchers and its
13	difficulty interpreting
14	corrosion located near the
15	surface casing shoe."
16	Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And those are two different points,
19	are they not?
20	A Do you mean that centralizers and
21	scratchers are two different points or that
22	centralizers and scratchers and the
23	difficulty interpreting corrosion are two
24	different points?
25	Q The two different points I'm
26	asking whether and let me rephrase. I
27	apologize for being unclear.
28	The point that Mr. Carnahan is

1	making here it appears is Vertilog's
2	inability to distinguish between defects and
3	hardware is one. And the second point is its
4	difficulty interpreting corrosion located
5	near the surface casing shoe is two.
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. And in Blade's response,
8	they state, "Disagree." And then they
9	explain under Item 2.5.1 number 2 as to why.
10	And the first sentence there is, "Blade would
11	agree that the tool will have difficulty
12	interpreting corrosion above but not below
13	the shoe."
14	Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And that appears to answer
17	the second part of Mr. Carnahan's point as to
18	difficulty interpreting corrosions located
19	near the surface casing shoe. Would you
20	agree?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And then the next paragraph
23	addresses Mr. Carnahan's first point, which
24	is that Blade agrees with the flaws of the
25	Vertilog were its inability to distinguish
26	between defects and hardware. And it goes on
27	to explain.
28	Would you agree this addresses

	March 18, 2021 350
1	Mr. Carnahan's first point?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And then he explains that
4	that's because of the fact, or rather then he
5	goes on to address the issue about the
6	location of centralizers and scratchers and
7	records, correct?
8	A Just a minute. Let me read it.
9	ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
10	(Off the record.)
11	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
12	record. Go ahead.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you restate
14	the question?
15	BY MR. STODDARD:
16	Q I will just ask a different
17	question, since I have now forgotten what I
18	have said and I can't read back the
19	transcript. I apologize.
20	If we can scroll down to Question
21	3, the next question is, "Is there any
22	context either in or outside of
23	Mr. Carnahan's testimony that Blade wishes to
24	add in order to explain its answers? If so,
25	please provide it and explain."
26	Do you see that?
27	A Yes.
28	Q And the answer is, "In Blade's

1	opinion, the Vertilog may overstate metal
2	loss in multi-string casing configurations
3	where an outer casing exists over part of the
4	casing being inspected."
5	Do you see that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What Blade is saying here is that
8	Vertilog overstates corrosion if it's
9	inspecting an issue within the production
10	casing where it is within the surface casing
11	shoe; isn't that correct, Ms. Felts?
12	A That would apply, yes.
13	Q So you would agree that that's what
14	they're saying, Ms. Felts?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Thank you. If we can scroll back
17	up, please.
18	And, Ms. Felts, do you recall where
19	the leak occurred in SS-25?
20	A Below the surface casing. I don't
21	know the exact depth without looking it up.
22	Q Below the surface casing shoe?
23	A Yes.
24	Q If we could refer back to
25	Ms. Felts' testimony, Exhibit 51, page 11.
26	Ms. Felts, you quote the entirety of
27	Mr. Carnahan's statement there, correct, both
28	its inability to distinguish between defects

	March 18, 2021 352
1	in hardware and its difficulty interpreting
2	corrosion located near the surface casing
3	shoe, correct?
4	A Right.
5	Q But you only provide part of
6	Blade's answer; isn't that correct?
7	A Which part is missing?
8	Q Well, you didn't include I guess
9	the part that explains that "Vertilog may
10	overstate metal loss in multi-string casing
11	configurations where an outer casing exists
12	over part of the casing being inspected,"
13	unless I am mistaken.
14	A Well, yes. That's not there. I
15	agree.
16	Q Is there a reason you left it out?
17	A I don't recall exactly. My guess
18	is it's probably because I didn't think it
19	was relevant.
20	Q Okay. And, Ms. Felts, did you
21	assist with preparation of that data request
22	that we were just reviewing to Blade?
23	A I discussed it with counsel. I did
24	not prepare the data request.
25	Q Okay. If we could please refer to
26	Exhibit 51 again the Sur-Reply Testimony of
27	Ms. Felts at Chapter One, page 9, PDF
28	page 11. I can read the there we go.

1	This is Bates marked SoCalGas-51.0011. And
2	if we could please scroll back up where it
3	says I'm sorry. Can we go off the record
4	for one second? We're in the wrong spot
5	here.
6	ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record to
7	find the place in the exhibit.
8	(Off the record.)
9	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record
10	now that the exhibit is found.
11	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
12	Q At the bottom here where it says:
13	Violations 61 to 72 were
14	for failure to follow the
15	company's internal 1988
16	plan to check casings of 12
17	wells, other than SS-25,
18	for metal loss as
19	recommended by its own
20	engineers. The 58 holes
21	are examples of locations
22	in Well SS-25 that
23	experienced corrosion
24	before the failure.
25	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
26	MR. GRUEN: I'm sorry. This is Darryl
27	Gruen of SED. I am just not tracking what
28	page we are on.

r	
1	MR. STODDARD: It's page 9 of
2	Ms. Felts' Sur-Reply Testimony, Chapter One.
3	MR. GRUEN: Thank you.
4	MR. STODDARD: And it's marked the
5	Bates number is marked page 51.0011.
6	MR. GRUEN: Understood. Thank you.
7	BY MR. STODDARD:
8	Q Ms. Felts, the testimony that I
9	just read into the record, you can see that
10	highlighted text there?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Can you explain the relationship
13	between the 58 holes described in the second
14	sentence and the company's and the alleged
15	failure of the company to follow the internal
16	1988 plan to check casings of 12 wells?
17	A I think I mean, I would have to
18	look at this separately to see the whole
19	document. But it looks like this should be a
20	reference to Violation 73, which was for
21	SS-25 not following the 1988 plan, if I
22	recall correctly. Because I in the second
23	sentence, I am talking about 58 holes in
24	SS-25. So these two don't match up. I'm
25	sorry. That's probably my mistake.
26	Q I'm not sure I follow. Are you
27	saying that the first sentence is incorrect
28	because it should reference

	March 18, 2021 355
1	A Yes.
2	Q it should reference Violation
3	73?
4	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I could
5	object to this line. This is vague.
6	Ms. Felts isn't being given a chance to see
7	the entire document.
8	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, this is
9	literally the witness' testimony. And if she
10	wants to take a moment to review it, I'm
11	happy to let her do that.
12	ALJ HECHT: She can have a moment to
13	review it. The questions are referring to
14	testimony and to specific portions of
15	testimony. So we can take a couple of
16	minutes for the witness to refresh herself.
17	We'll be off the record.
18	(Off the record.)
19	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record
20	now that the witness has had a chance to
21	review the testimony. Please go ahead.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. To clarify, the
23	sentence that begins on line 15 should say
24	Violation 73 was the violation for failure to
25	follow the company's internal 1980 plan to
26	check casing of SS-25 for metal loss.
27	So, on line 15, 61-72 should be
28	replaced with 73. And that's confirmed by

	March 10, 2021 550
1	the end of the paragraph on the next page
2	where I state that it's the basis of
3	Violation 73.
4	ALJ HECHT: This is Judge Hecht. It
5	sounds like there needs to be revisions to
6	this testimony which should be prepared and
7	served on all parties, if what you are doing
8	is modifying your testimony. That's how it
9	appeared, but.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes. I am correcting an
11	error.
12	ALJ HECHT: I think your counsel then
13	knows how to make that correction and make
14	sure that everybody has the corrected
15	testimony. That also means that this copy in
16	the Southern California Gas Company exhibits
17	will no longer quite match that testimony.
18	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, with the
19	timing, is there any specific intentions to
20	the timing of that correction or can we just
21	continue to rely on this version?
22	ALJ HECHT: I think we can continue to
23	rely on this version knowing that that
24	correction has been made, but I do want a
25	corrected copy. And the corrected copy is
26	what I would prefer to identify and mark when
27	we put things in the record.
28	MR. STODDARD: Understood.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	MR. GRUEN: Understood, your Honor.
2	BY MR. STODDARD:
3	Q Ms. Felts, so you made a correction
4	there. That wasn't actually what my question
5	was about. My question was about the
6	relationship between not the number of the
7	violation so much as the allegation regarding
8	the failure to follow the company's internal
9	1988 plan to check the casing of 12 wells for
10	metal loss and the 58 holes which you
11	identified as "examples of locations in Well
12	SS-25 that experienced corrosion before the
13	failure."
14	A Yes.
15	Q Is that your answer, yes?
16	A Is there a question? You just read
17	it.
18	Q I was asking you to explain the
19	relationship between those two sentences. Is
20	that second sentence related to Violation 73?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Can you please explain how?
23	A Because there was metal loss in the
24	surface casing that could have been detected,
25	if they had followed their internal 1988 plan
26	to check the casings.
27	Q Okay. So, in your view, Vertilog
28	in 1988 was capable of detecting corrosion on

	March 18, 2021 358
1	the surface casing?
2	A Didn't we just read that in Blade's
3	statement?
4	Q No.
5	A Well, if you're looking at multiple
6	casings, then you would be looking at both
7	the production casing and the surface casing.
8	So, theoretically, they should have been able
9	to see corrosion. They may not have been
10	able to quantify it perfectly, but they
11	should have been able to see it.
12	Q Using the Vertilog tool that
13	existed in 1988?
14	A I think that is what Blade was
15	suggesting and what I adopted.
16	Q And you in the deposition we
17	were looking at a few moments ago, you were
18	testifying as to your own personal experience
19	with the Vertilog tool, correct?
20	A Actually, mostly what I was
21	testifying to was my personal experience with
22	logs. And I believe I said in my deposition
23	that I have read a Vertilog at some point,
24	maybe more than once. I don't remember the
25	exact wording, but mostly in my deposition I
26	was talking about my experience with logs.
27	Q And what is the purpose of reading
28	a log?

	Evidentiary Hearing March 18, 2021 359
1	A What's the purpose?
2	Q Yeah. What do you read a log to
3	do?
4	A To determine what the data reveals
5	from logging the well.
6	Q And by that you mean wall loss,
7	correct?
8	A Well, that would be the case in
9	USIT or Vertilog or possibly other
10	technologies that are around now. There's
11	lots of other logs that you can run that have
12	nothing to do with wall loss or metal loss.
13	Q Okay. But for any log I suppose in
14	order to interpret a log, you have to have
15	some understanding of how it works, how it's
16	calibrated and, you know, its degree of
17	reliability; would you agree with that?
18	A I think so.
19	Q Okay. We can move on. And bear
20	with me. I apologize, your Honor, and
21	Ms. Felts. I am trying to make sure that we
22	move along as quickly as possible today. So
23	I am going to jump forward and every now and
24	then cut some stuff out. So if I pause for a
25	moment, I may just be cutting things out of
26	my outline.
27	ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
28	(Off the record.)

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the 1 record. Please resume. 2 MR. STODDARD: Thank you. If we could 3 please turn to Ms. Felts' opening testimony, 4 Exhibit 47, the corrected version. 5 6 This reads -- again, this is the 7 Opening Testimony of Margaret Felts dated November 22, 2019, cover page number 8 SoCalGas-47.0001. And in the interest of 9 10 speed if you could search for 892, 11 Mr. Moshfegh, number 892. ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record. 12 (Off the record.) 13 14 ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the 15 record.] 16 BY MR. STODDARD: 17 Ms. Felts, you see here where it 0 18 states -- and actually let's get the Bates 19 number, of course, before we read it. 20 SoCalGas -47.0004(sic)? 21 Do you see that? 22 Α 44? Two 4s? 23 47.0044. Q 24 Α Okay, yes. 25 If we could scroll up. And you'll Ο 26 see here it's a description of the corrosion 27 and the failure location at SS-25. And then in the last sentence you say "See here," and 2.8

	March 18, 2021	361
1	then it says:	
2	The SS-25 casing corrosion	
3	area discovered 892 feet	
4	down the well by the RCA	
5	was 9.25 inches in length	
6	and contains grooves from	
7	tunnels created by the	
8	microbes that coalesced	
9	over a period	
10	And it continues, which we don't	
11	need to read the rest oh, sorry.	
12	Continues over a period of	
13	time.	
14	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q So you see here that this is	
17	describing the failure location; correct?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And it's at 892 feet; correct?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Do you know the depth of the	
22	surface casing shoe?	
23	A No, not without looking at a	
24	drawing.	
25	Q Okay. I believe there is a drawin	ıg
26	in your opening testimony. If we can go off	-
27	the record briefly while we just locate a	
28	drawing.	

	March 18, 2021 362
1	ALJ HECHT: We'll be off the record.
2	(Off the record.)
3	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
4	record. While we were off the record, we
5	found the drawing to which the
6	cross-examining attorney wants to refer, and
7	we determined that we will probably be taking
8	a lunch break pretty soon.
9	MR. STODDARD: If we could scroll down,
10	please, to the Bates number. This is
11	SoCalGas-47.0047.
12	Q Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
13	A Yes.
14	Q If we could please scroll up.
15	Do you recognize this graphical
16	image?
17	A I think it came from a Blade
18	report.
19	Q And this is in your testimony;
20	correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Can you locate the surface casing
23	shoe here? Let us know if you need us to
24	make it bigger or if you need to consult your
25	own copy, please.
26	A I can't it's kind of blurry, but
27	it looks like it says that the shoe is at 990
28	feet or 930.

March 18, 2021 363
MR. STODDARD: I think it might be
clearer on the one to the left, Mr. Moshfegh.
THE WITNESS: Just a minute. Let me
just look on my computer. Maybe it's
clearer. 900 and (inaudible) feet it looks
like.
BY MR. STODDARD:
Q I'm sorry, Ms. Felts, it sounded
like you'd moved away from your phone to
review it. I wasn't able to hear.
A I'm sorry. 990 feet.
Q Thank you. So the leak I don't
know if you recall the depth of the leak we
were reviewing from your opening testimony,
but if you do, isn't it correct that the leak
was above this depth? It was above the
surface casing shoe; correct?
A And we're talking about the well
casing failure?
Q That's correct.
A That's what it looks like on here.
Q What's what it looks like?
A That it was above the well casing
shoe.
Q The surface casing shoe; correct?
A Surface casing shoe, sorry.
Q Thank you. Do you recall a few
moments ago when we're discussing the Blade

	March 18, 2021 364
1	data response regarding the ability of
2	Vertilog to detect corrosion above the
3	surface casing shoe?
4	Do you recall that discussion,
5	Ms. Felts?
6	A I recall the discussion. I don't
7	remember if that applied to the surface
8	casing or the production casing.
9	Q Do you recall how we reviewed
10	and we can go back and redo it if we need to,
11	but if you'll bear with me and allow me to
12	describe it for a moment and, if we need to,
13	we can go back and review it.
14	Blade's data response stated that
15	Vertilog would overstate the degree of
16	corrosion within multiple layer casing
17	strings. In other words, that where a
18	production casing was within a surface
19	casing, which would include above the surface
20	casing shoe.
21	Do you recall that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And at the time your answer was
24	that the leak had occurred below the shoe;
25	correct?
26	A Correct, that was my memory.
27	Q That was your memory. Right. Fair
28	enough. And we discussed how you didn't

	March 18, 2021	36
1	include that portion of Blade's explanation	
2	in your testimony because you did not believe	
3	it was relevant; correct?	
4	A Right.	
5	Q And you may not have believed it	
6	was relevant because you were mistaken as to	
7	the location of the failure; correct?	
8	A That's possible.	
9	Q Thank you.	
10	No further questions, your Honor,	
11	at this time.	
12	ALJ HECHT: All right. And I will ask,	
13	do we plan to continue with cross-examination	
14	of this witness after lunch?	
15	MR. STODDARD: Yes.	
16	ALJ HECHT: All right.	
17	Then we are going to take our lunch	
18	break. We'll be back at 1:10. With that,	
19	we'll be off the record.	
20	(Off the record.)	
21	(Whereupon, at the hour of 12:07 p.m., a recess was taken until 1:10	
22	p.m.)]	
23	* * * * *	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA	

	March 18, 2021 366
1	AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:10 P.M.
2	* * * * *
3	
4	MARGARET FELTS,
5	resumed the stand and testified further as
6	follows:
7	
8	ALJ POIRIER: We will be back on the
9	record. This is evidentiary hearings for
.0	Investigation 19-06-016. It's March 18th.
1	We're moving into the afternoon session.
.2	Before we broke for lunch, SoCalGas counsel
3	was cross-examining SED witness Felts. We
.4	will continue with that cross-examination.
5	Please go ahead, Mr. Stoddard.
6	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
.7	CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED
8	BY MR. STODDARD:
.9	Q Ms. Felts, the other day,
0	yesterday, I believe, we were talking about
1	the kind of regulatory framework for gas
2	storage operations in California. We were
3	discussing how DOGGR is the primary regulator
4	for down-hole operations.
5	Do you recall?
6	A Yes.
27	Q Do you know what the DOGGR
8	mechanical testing requirements were prior to

1	the incident?
2	A I'm not sure what you mean. I
3	think their initial requirements may have
4	included a USIT, the USIT technology, but
5	then at some point they changed to
6	temperature surveys and then noise surveys.
7	The temperature surveys indicated a leak.
8	Q Do you recall what your basis is
9	for believing that DOGGR required the use of
10	USIT?
11	A Probably some very early document.
12	I can't tell you just sitting here.
13	Q Do you recall when you believe that
14	DOGGR required USIT?
15	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'm going to
16	object to this line as vague. If counsel has
17	something he wants to show the witness, I'd
18	ask that he do so.
19	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, I'm asking a
20	question about the witness' recollection
21	regarding a statement she just made on the
22	record that USIT was required by DOGGR
23	regulations. I'm not aware of that being in
24	any of her testimony or elsewhere, so I can't
25	point her to a document.
26	MR. GRUEN: Well, then if it's not in
27	her testimony, why is it being asked?
28	MR. STODDARD: Because she just said

	March 18, 2021 368
1	it. It's in her testimony that she said
2	today.
3	ALJ POIRIER: I'm going to overrule it.
4	Continue.
5	THE WITNESS: What I remember is, I
6	think, a 1989 and possibly a 1991, letters
7	from DOGGR about temperature surveys being
8	required on an annual basis. I can't tell
9	you where I might have seen something that
10	had an earlier requirement. It was then
11	changed. That's the best I can do for right
12	now.
13	BY MR. STODDARD:
14	Q So you're not sure that such a
15	document exists or such a requirement exists?
16	A Well, I don't think I dreamed it.
17	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
18	Exhibit SoCalGas-145, which is the Agenda for
19	the Department of Oil and Gas Annual
20	Meeting Annual Review Meeting for Aliso
21	Canyon, May 26, 1988.
22	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
23	When you're ready to proceed,
24	counsel, in the right location, let me know.
25	(Off the record.)
26	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
27	Please continue, Mr. Stoddard.
28	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.

	March 10, 2021 509
1	Q Ms. Felts, do you see here this
2	document entitled Agenda for DOG Annual
3	Review Meeting for Aliso Canyon, May 26,
4	1988?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And for purposes of the record,
7	this is Bates stamped SoCalGas-145.0001, and
8	you'll note above that a production Bates
9	number for a production to the PUC SED in
10	connection with Data Response 17.
11	If you could please scroll up.
12	Ms. Felts, do you recognize this
13	document?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
16	page two. The Bates number is the same as
17	the previous one except that it's .0002.
18	This is the table of contents for this
19	document and it includes the categories of
20	Geology, Project Performance, Engineering,
21	Conservation, Pollution Prevention, and
22	Operations.
23	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
24	A Yes.
25	Q If we could please turn to page 19
26	of this document. And rotate. Thank you.
27	This is titled Table 5, Summary of
28	the Aliso Canyon Monitoring Program Storage

	Malch 18, 2021 570
1	Zone Wells. It's Bates stamped the same
2	number as before, Exhibit 145.0019.
3	Ms. Felts, do you see here in the
4	item column where it describes various
5	practices undertaken by SoCalGas?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And this includes slow tests,
8	wellhead pressures, plot of surface casing
9	annular pressures, wellhead inspections,
10	temperature surveys, noise logs, tracer
11	surveys.
12	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
13	A Yes.
14	Q If we could turn now to I
15	apologize. Off the record for one second. I
16	just lost my page.
17	ALJ POIRIER: Off the record.
18	(Off the record.)
19	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
20	MR. STODDARD: Let's turn to page 0039
21	in Exhibit 145. Please rotate to landscape
22	view.
23	Q Thank you for bearing with us.
24	Ms. Felts, do you see here that this says
25	the header of this document is Operations,
26	April 1987 through April 1988. It reports on
27	the new wells drilled, well work-overs
28	conducted, wells that were converted to

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	March 10, 2021 571
1	observation wells, and wells that were
2	abandoned.
3	Do you see that there?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. Can you briefly explain what
6	an observation well is.
7	A I think SoCalGas had observation
8	wells to monitor potential gas movement and
9	then in below the ground, and then also to
10	measure two wells were used to measure the
11	reservoir pressure. I'm not sure if they
12	were observation wells or they just selected
13	wells. I don't know. I they're just not
14	completed in the gas zone for the purpose of
15	producing gas.
16	Q Thank you. And at the bottom
17	you'll note that SoCalGas reported also to
18	DOGGR, "No unusual incidents or problems have
19	occurred since the last DOGGR annual review
20	meeting."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q So these meetings were annual and
24	it included these reports on activities. And
25	you reviewed this document, you indicated.
26	Do you recall when you reviewed it?
27	A I've seen it within the last few
28	months.

	Malch 16, 2021 572
1	Q Since you submitted the final
2	testimony?
3	A No. It would have been since
4	probably since December.
5	Q So after your last round of
6	testimony or since December of which year?
7	A This would be 2020. It was either
8	December 2020 or January 2021 when I looked
9	at these annual reports.
10	Q Okay. So after your sur-reply
11	testimony in this proceeding?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
14	Exhibit 147, Agenda for DOGGR Annual Review
15	Meeting for Aliso Canyon, June 13, 1989.
16	This is Bates stamped SoCalGas-147.0001.
17	You'll note above that this was produced in
18	response to SED Data Request 27. If we could
19	please turn to page I'll skip the table of
20	contents since well, I guess it's just
21	to confirm we can turn to page two and three.
22	Ms. Felts, do you see this is Bates
23	stamped 147.0003. And similar to the last
24	document, it has a table of contents that
25	includes Geology, Project Performance,
26	Conservation, Pollution Prevention, and
27	Operations.
28	If we could please turn to page 20.

372

	Malch 16, 2021 575
1	And I won't belabor the point, but
2	you'll notice that this includes the same
3	description of procedures that SoCalGas had
4	in the last annual review; correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And then if we can turn to page 23.
7	Ms. Felts, you see here this is a
8	report of leaks to DOGGR.
9	Do you see that?
10	A It's a report, yeah, for this
11	meeting, the annual report, yeah.
12	Q Right, for the period May 1988
13	through 1989?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And you'll note that it identifies
16	four shoe leaks, including some that had been
17	going on for a little while; correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And this is being reported to DOGGR
20	in an annual meeting in this report. This
21	suggests, wouldn't you agree, that SoCalGas
22	didn't necessarily wasn't concerned that
23	these would be viewed as an emergency, would
24	you agree?
25	A What I see here is that they had an
26	indication on a temperature survey of a shoe
27	leak that they may have confirmed with a
28	noise log or a survey and that they decided

	March 18, 2021 374
1	that they could afford to just let the gas
2	leak without investigating further.
3	Q Where does it say anything about
4	investigation?
5	A Well, it doesn't, but it says "not
6	killed," which means that you're not going to
7	look further than you've already looked
8	because you would have to kill the well in
9	order to do that. And that says "not killed"
10	because rate of leakage is low.
11	Q And they're reporting this to
12	DOGGR; is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q This doesn't suggest that SoCalGas
15	is viewing this as a secret, would you agree?
16	A No, I don't think it's a secret.
17	Q No. And this also doesn't indicate
18	that it's a violation of law, would you
19	agree?
20	A I don't think there were any laws
21	as far as leakage.
22	Q Can you explain.
23	A Well, if they if SoCalGas
24	chooses to allow the gas to leak out of their
25	well at the bottom of the well, I don't think
26	there's a law that says they can't do that.
27	Q Okay. Thank you. And it also
28	suggests, given the timeline, that DOGGR

1	would have been aware of the fact that these
2	leaks that occurred and that SoCalGas was
3	allowed, you know and that SoCalGas was
4	reporting on the fact that the rate of
5	leakage was low and, therefore, they weren't
6	going to kill the well and conduct a
7	work-over; correct?
8	A I think that's correct.
9	Q Okay. If we can turn back to
10	page 0020 for a moment through 0022. If you
11	need to take a moment, Ms. Felts, you can.
12	But can you please and you may know since
13	you reviewed this document is USIT
14	identified anywhere on this document?
15	A No. This is pretty much the
16	standard format for all of those annual
17	reports.
18	Q Thank you. If we could please turn
19	to the last page, 0049. And, Ms. Felts, here
20	on the Operations, May 1988 through May 1989,
21	you'll note new wells drilled again, well
22	work-overs, well converted to observation,
23	and wells abandoned.
24	Do you see that?
25	A Yes.
26	Q And under "Well work-overs," this
27	identifies casing inspections that were
28	performed on wells P-46, SS-8, SS-9, and

Evider	ntia	ry Hearing
March	18,	2021

	March 18, 2021 370
1	P-37.
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q These are the same wells that were
5	identified in the 1988 memo; correct?
6	A I think so.
7	Q And the casing inspection being
8	referred to here is probably the Vertilog
9	inspection.
10	Would you agree?
11	A That was my understanding, that
12	they were running Vertilog, yes.
13	Q And, Ms. Felts, again, you reviewed
14	this document, you saw this page sometime in
15	the past few months?
16	A Sometime, yes, around the first st
17	of the year or since then.
18	Q And this data response this was
19	provided in response to SED DR 27, which was
20	a very long time ago. I think we're now on
21	150 something. Is there any reason why you
22	didn't look at it until the past couple
23	months?
24	A I don't think that I've had these
25	files until well, I don't I can't tell
26	you exactly when I had them, but I didn't
27	have them before I wrote my testimony. I
28	think we had asked might have asked

	March 10, 2021 577
1	SoCalGas to reproduce them, but I'm not sure.
2	Q Okay. Reproduced them because they
3	couldn't be located after they had been
4	produced in response to Data Requests 17 and
5	27?
6	A I think that's the case. I
7	remember asking for, I think, both of those.
8	I'm just not sure how we ended up getting the
9	well the files eventually.
10	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
11	exhibit oh, just before I move on from
12	this one.
13	Ms. Felts, the date of that one
14	again was June 13, 1989. And you'll recall
15	that the date of the memo that you are
16	asserting violations on in your testimony is
17	August of 1988; correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
20	CalPA-401. This is the DOGGR project
21	approval letter for Aliso Canyon dated
22	I'll wait until you get there. There we go.
23	Again, this is the DOGGR project approval
24	letter for Aliso Canyon dated April 18, 1989,
25	revised July 26, 1989.
26	Do you see that?
27	A Yes.
28	Q Okay. If we could scroll down to

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	
1	the Bates number. This is Bates Number
2	I1906016_SED_CalAdvocates_000001. If we can
3	scroll now to the second page of this
4	document.
5	Ms. Felts, have you seen this
6	document?
7	A I think I've seen it, oh, a long
8	time ago.
9	Q Okay. And you see there in
10	paragraph 9 paragraph 7 first actually, it
11	describes:
12	A mechanical integrity test
13	was made in
14	Sorry, I'm reading too fast.
15	A mechanical integrity test
16	was made and filed with
17	this division for each
18	injection withdrawal well
19	within three months after
20	injection and/or withdrawal
21	has commenced, at least
22	once every year thereafter
23	after any significant
24	anomalous rate or pressure
25	change or as requested by
26	this office.
27	Do you see that?
28	A Yes.

	March 18, 2021 379
1	Q And then in paragraph 8, it refers
2	to a division-approved monitoring program.
3	A Yes.
4	Q And in paragraph 9, it includes the
5	statement:
6	The following data are
7	maintained for surveillance
8	and evaluation of the
9	project and are made
10	available for periodic
11	inspection by personnel
12	from this division.
13	Paragraph A required:
14	A graph of oil, water, and
15	gas production rates versus
16	time for each zone.
17	Paragraph B requires:
18	A graph of reservoir
19	pressures, inventory
20	fluctuations, and injection
21	pressures.
22	Paragraph C requires:
23	Observation well data,
24	reservoir fluid
25	distribution, temperature,
26	radioactive tracer and
27	noise surveys.
28	Do you see that?

3	8	0

	March 18, 2021 380
1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you see any reference to USIT or
3	Vertilog in this document, Ms. Felts?
4	A No.
5	Q If we could please turn to Exhibit
6	Number 146. This is the 1990 DOGGR Annual
7	Review Meeting for Aliso Canyon. You'll see
8	here that this is Bates stamped
9	SoCalGas-146.0001. Again, this was produced
10	in response to SED Data Request 17.
11	Ms. Felts, do you recognize this
12	document?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you recall when you reviewed
15	this document?
16	A I'm pretty sure I reviewed all of
17	these annual reports around the same time.
18	Q Okay. If we could please scroll
19	down to page 146.0002. Again, briefly this
20	is a table of contents similar to the others
21	that we described. If we could please
22	proceed to SoCalGas 146.0022.
23	Ms. Felts, you'll see here that
24	this is, again, a list of, or a summary of
25	the Aliso Canyon Monitoring Plan which lists
26	SoCalGas' practices related to monitoring of
27	wells and reservoir; correct?
28	A Yes.

	March 18, 2021 381
1	Q Do you see any reference to USIT or
2	Vertilog anywhere on this list?
3	A No.]
4	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
5	page 146.0025.
6	And again, Ms. Felts, this includes
7	some of the the shoe leaks that we
8	discussed previously and and SoCalGas's
9	reporting existence of these leaks to DOGGR
10	and the status of these leaks to DOGGR. Do
11	you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	MR. STODDARD: Okay. And then if we
14	could please turn to the last page, .0049.
15	Not just to clarify for the record, that's
16	not the last page of the document. It's the
17	last page I'm going to talk about.
18	Q And Ms. Felts, you'll see that this
19	describes the summary of operations from
20	May 1989 through May 1990, and this includes
21	new wells drilled, well workovers, well
22	converted to observation, and wells
23	abandoned. Do you see that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And under "well workovers," it
26	includes casing inspections, regravel pack,
27	plug-back lower zone, and repairing a casing
28	leak on FF 35C. Do you see that?

	March 18, 2021 382
1	A Yes.
2	Q And for clarity of the record, I
3	apologize, that those items I just listed
4	were for several different wells, not for
5	all for FF 35C.
6	So again, Ms. Felts, here it
7	appears that SoCalGas is reporting to DOGGR
8	the use of casing inspection tools in these
9	instances, but also that they aren't part of
10	a standard monitoring program. Would you
11	agree?
12	A Yes. I don't I don't know if
13	repair casing leak, regravel pack on FF 35C
14	indicates what you just said.
15	Q I was referring to the inspect
16	casing on Mission Adrian 5A
17	A Oh, okay.
18	Q and inspect casings sorry.
19	A Okay.
20	Q Okay. So you'd agree there?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And again, Ms. Felts, you
23	indicated that this is another document that
24	you reviewed in the past couple of months,
25	and you're not sure why you weren't able to
26	review it earlier, but you didn't have access
27	to it?
28	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And reviewing these now and
2	seeing you know, so to kind of recap, go
3	over the timeline, let me know if you need to
4	bring any of the documents back up, but we
5	have you know, this is just a a
6	three examples of these annual meetings with
7	DOGGR, in the middle, issuance of a project
8	approval letter, all of them detailing
9	specific practices, both DOGGR is aware of
10	the use of casing inspection logs; in fact,
11	one of these issued two months after the
12	meeting with DOGGR sorry. One of these
13	issued the project approval letter issued
14	two week two months after the annual
15	meeting with DOGGR, but there's no reference
16	to requiring USIT or Vertilog or any other
17	casing inspection tool. Would you agree?
18	A Well, I don't know what project
19	approval letter you're talking about.
20	MR. STODDARD: Will you please bring
21	back up the project approval letter briefly
22	so I can clarify that point?
23	ALJ POIRIER: What exhibit is this, for
24	the record?
25	MR. STODDARD: This is Exhibit Number
26	Cal Advocates-401.
27	Q This is the project approval letter
28	I'm referring to, Ms. Felts. Do you see

	March 18, 2021 384
1	this?
2	A Yes. Can you make it a little bit
3	larger, and put it on the first page?
4	Q Of course. And if you need to
5	access it separately, it's also available on
6	the the exhibit share site. Can you take
7	a moment to review?
8	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
9	(Off the record.)
10	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
11	Ms. Felts or Mr. Stoddard, why
12	don't you ask the question again?
13	MR. STODDARD: Sure.
14	Q Ms. Felts, you see there that the
15	date is July 26th, 1989?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And again, the Exhibit 147 that we
18	were discussing earlier, which was the 1989
19	DOGGR annual review meeting, that date was
20	June 13th, 1989?
21	A Okay.
22	Q So this issued, you know,
23	approximately the project approval letter
24	issued approximately a month, I suppose,
25	after the prior DOGGR annual meeting?
26	A Right. So this letter from DOGGR
27	is what you're calling a project approval
28	letter?

	Evidentiary Hearing March 18, 2021 385
1	Q That's correct.
2	A Okay.
3	Q Do you do you have any reason to
4	dispute that characterization?
5	A I just don't see it anywhere on the
6	document.
7	Q So if we move if we go to the
8	first paragraph where it states
9	A Right.
10	Q at the bottom, "Therefore,
11	continued operation of the project is
12	approved, provided that," and then it lists 1
13	through 6 on the first page, and items 7
14	through 13 on the second
15	A Okay. I see that.
16	Q And you did review this document.
17	Correct?
18	A I have seen it before, yes.
19	Q Okay. But, it's been a while?
20	A Yeah.
21	Q Ms. Felts, seeing these documents,
22	and with the the degree of involvement and
23	oversight from DOGGR, including the detailed
24	annual meeting report which you didn't have
25	access to prior to preparing your testimony,
26	does this change any of the conclusions in
27	your testimony?
28	A I don't think so.

	March 10, 2021 500
1	Q Okay. Move on.
2	If we could bring up Exhibit 47,
3	the corrected opening testimony of Ms. Felts.
4	Please turn to page 25. Can you zoom in a
5	little bit, please, for the witness?
6	Ms. Felts
7	MR. GRUEN: Sorry. Just for
8	clarification, that is that referring
9	is that SoCalGas Bates number 47.0029?
10	MR. STODDARD: Yes. Thank you.
11	MR. GRUEN: Okay.
12	ALJ POIRIER: And this is ALJ Poirier.
13	Just in as we move forward, zooming in a
14	little bit would be helpful for me, as well.
15	So I think if we can make that kind of
16	standard practice, not so much as that
17	what we have now, but more than just that
18	really small print. Thanks.
19	MR. STODDARD: Okay. We will we
20	will do that.
21	Q Do you see there, Ms. Felts
22	sorry. We we need to scroll down to
23	page 25. (Inaudible) or scroll up. Sorry.
24	This is see where it says, "SED
25	finds that SoCalGas violated Section 451 by
26	operating well SS-25 without a backup
27	mechanical barrier to the seven-inch
28	production casing"?

	March 18, 2021 387
1	A Yes.
2	Q And backup mechanical barrier
3	refers to again again, wells have a
4	surface casing, a production casing and an
5	internal tubing, which is another layer of
6	metal. Correct?
7	MR. GRUEN: I'm going to object to that
8	question, your Honor, as overly broad
9	describing all wells that way.
10	MR. STODDARD: Well
11	ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, can you
12	restate?
13	MR. STODDARD: Yeah.
14	Q Well SS-25, let's take that one,
15	includes a surface casing or included a
16	surface casing, a production casing and
17	tubing within the within the production
18	casing. Correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And generally, that's also how
21	wells at Aliso Canyon were configured.
22	Correct?
23	A There's yes. They're all
24	constructed like that.
25	Q Right. And if if we start if
26	we use the phrase tubing in packer
27	configuration, is that would you agree
28	that that's the configuration that we are

	March 18, 2021 388
1	describing?
2	A That's the internal casing and
3	tubing configuration?
4	Q Yes.
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. And the term backup
7	mechanical barrier would refer to whether you
8	are flowing the gas through the tubing, but
9	not through the casing. Is that correct?
10	A For a backup mechanical barrier
11	is just an additional layer of casing that's
12	not under the same pressure, a high pressure
13	that the well that you're using to the
14	casing or tubing you're using to produce or
15	inject gas is is subject to. So the
16	second barrier is there in case there's a
17	leak in the first barrier that's under
18	pressure.
19	Q Just to clarify, does the backup
20	mechanical barrier include a surface casing?
21	A No, it would not.
22	Q Thank you. And Ms. Felts,
23	backup the use of backup mechanical
24	barrier was not required by regulation prior
25	to the incident. Correct?
26	A Not required by DOGGR in
27	California. They seemed to approve the use
28	of the casing for transport of injected and

withdraw of gas.
Q Was it you said it you
clarified not was it by DOGGR or was it
was it was the use of dual mechanical
barrier required by any other state or
federal authority in California?
A Not in California. I think it was
required in other states.
Q Which other states?
A I don't know. I've just think
that I saw that. It may have actually been
in Hower and Stinson's testimony.
MR. STODDARD: If we could please refer
to sur-reply exhibit, her Ms. Felts'
sur-reply testimony, Exhibit 51, and turn to
page 28 of the PDF. I will read the Bates
number when we get there. This is stamped
sorry. This is marked SoCalGas-51, and page
.2.0028. I'll repeat that, since I messed it
up a bit.
SoCalGas-51.0028. And if we could
please scroll up. Stop there.
Q Ms. Felts, here it says: SoCalGas
operated wells without dual barriers, knowing
that this was an unsafe practice for Aliso
gas storage wells.
Do you see that?
A Yes.

	Malch 18, 2021 590
1	Q Can you please point me to where in
2	your testimony there's evidence that SoCalGas
3	knew it was an unfit practice?
4	A I don't think there's anything in
5	my testimony. Is that what you're saying
6	in
7	Q Yes.
8	A It's just stated right there. You
9	just read it.
10	Q Okay. So the statement is there,
11	but there's no support evidence. Is that
12	correct?
13	A Well, the unsafe practice relates
14	to "S" the violation of 451, and then the
15	evidence or the and discussion is below
16	that heading.
17	Q Is there anything in there,
18	Ms. Felts, that you can point to that goes to
19	SoCalGas's knowledge that operating wells
20	without dual barrier was an unsafe practice?
21	A I don't think it's in here,
22	although I haven't haven't looked at the
23	next page, so I'm not sure
24	Q Please please do.
25	A what else is stated there.
26	Q Ms. Felts, do you want us to go off
27	the record for a moment?
28	A Yes, that would be a good idea.

	March 18, 2021 391
1	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
2	(Off the record.)
3	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
4	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
5	Q Ms. Felts, we took a break for a
6	moment for you to review the certified
7	testimony related to the dual mechanical
8	barrier-related violations, and I believe I'd
9	asked you what evidence there was in your
10	testimony that SoCalGas knew that dual
11	mechanical barrier was an unsafe practice.
12	A Okay.
13	Q I'm sorry, knew that sorry. I
14	apologize. Let me restate that, because I
15	I I said something that was incorrect
16	there.
17	It was the question was: What
18	is the basis for your contention and
19	testimony that SoCalGas knew that dual flow
20	was an unsafe practice?
21	A Okay. And that wouldn't be in this
22	section, if it were here at all, because this
23	section refutes Hower and Stinson's claim
24	about some sort of industry standard having
25	to do with dual barriers. So this is a
26	reply.
27	Q This is testimony. Correct?
28	A Yes. But, I mean it's testimony in

	March 18, 2021 392
1	reply that a claim that Hower and Stinson
2	made in their testimony.
3	Q And you would agree that the
4	statement that SoCalGas knew that dual flow
5	was an unsafe practice is a factual
6	statement, wouldn't you?
7	A That's the heading on the section.
8	Q Should that heading be corrected?
9	A I don't see any reason to correct
10	it. It reflects the violation, Violation 77.
11	Q Well, okay. Then, can you point
12	me, please, to where the evidence is that
13	SoCal in your testimony that SoCalGas
14	op that SoCalGas's operation of wells
15	without dual barriers, knowing that this was
16	an unsafe practice, and specifically the part
17	about SoCalGas knowing that the use of dual
18	flow was an unsafe practice?
19	I believe you've indicated it's not
20	in this section. Sitting here today, do you
21	recall whether it's somewhere else in this
22	testimony?
23	A It's it would not, as far as I
24	recall, be in this reply testimony.
25	Q Ms. Felts, did you
26	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, this is Darryl
27	Gruen. If I may, I'm going to note an
28	objection. This is an immensely broad

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	question, and counsel's asking Ms. Felts to
2	go through recount all of her testimony.
3	If if counsel would like to give Ms. Felts
4	a chance to review her opening testimony,
5	she's referred several times to it, we can do
6	that; but, I'd request a break at this point
7	so we can go off the record to do that.
8	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, I'd like the
9	witness to answer the question. She
10	presumably reviewed her testimony in
11	preparation for this cross-examination. This
12	is a an entire violation that she's
13	alleging here, and the heading specifically
14	asserts a fact which she's indicated isn't in
15	this testimony. And counsel that he's
16	asking that she be given an opportunity to go
17	back and research to see if she can find it
18	elsewhere.
19	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor
20	(Crosstalk.)
21	MR. STODDARD: I'll let him handle that
22	on redirect, if he would like.
23	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, for clarity of
24	the record, she would be entitled to review.
25	She's referred Mr. Stoddard to other pieces
26	of testimony, and it relating to the
27	violation; yet, he's unwilling to pull it up
28	for her. So she should have a chance to

,	
1	review it. It's for
2	ALJ POIRIER: I've heard
3	MR. GRUEN: clarity of the record.
4	ALJ POIRIER: I've heard enough. What
5	we're going to do I'm going to allow the
6	question. But, we're going to take a break
7	now, an afternoon break, ten minutes,
8	12 minutes, to 2:10, and Ms. Felts should be
9	prepared to answer the question at that
10	point. Thank you.
11	We'll be off the record.
12	(Off the record.)
13	ALJ POIRIER: We will be back on the
14	record.
15	We just are returning from an
16	afternoon break, and also an opportunity for
17	Ms. Felts to review her testimony.
18	Now that we're back, Ms. Felts,
19	please, can you go ahead and proceed with
20	your answer? Ms. Felts, you're on mute.
21	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you repeat
22	the question, please?
23	BY MR. STODDARD:
24	Q Oh. Ms. Felts, I believe the
25	question was asking you to identify where in
26	your testimony there's evidence that SoCalGas
27	had knowledge that dual flow was an unsafe
28	practice.

1	A Okay. Looking over my testimony
2	and reading reviewing this section, I find
3	that we should just modify the heading,
4	because it includes words that aren't
5	supported in this section.
6	And so, I would propose that we
7	replace "knowing that this was" with a comma;
8	so deleting "knowing that this was," and then
9	adding a comma.
10	And then it would read: SoCalGas
11	operated wells without dual barriers, an
12	unsafe practice for Aliso gas storage wells.
13	Q I'm I'm not sure I follow.
14	ALJ POIRIER: Can we put up the
15	exhibit, please? I think that would be
16	helpful.
17	MR. STODDARD: If we could please put
18	up Exhibit Number 51.
19	Q Ms. Felts, you're you're
20	you're suggesting adding a comma?
21	A On the second line, delete "knowing
22	that this was," delete those four words, and
23	then
24	Q Okay.
25	A add a comma after "barriers."
26	MR. STODDARD: We if we can go off
27	the record for a second, I just need to make
28	a revision in my testimony.

	Evidentiary Hearing March 18, 2021 396
L	ALJ POIRIER: Off the record.
2	(Off the record.)]
5	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Back on the
Ł	record.
	Please continue, Mr. Stoddard.
	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
	Q If we can turn to Exhibit 51, pages
	26 to 27, of Mrs. Felts' Sur-Reply Testimony,
	Chapter One, and this is Bates number page
	SoCalGas-51.0028. And do you see where it
	says:
	Hower & Stinson title their
	Section 4 sorry, Section
	6, "Dual Mechanical
	Barriers are not Industry
	Standard and Single-Barrier
	Well Completions are
	Industry Standard." Of
	course this title has no
	bearing on Violation 77
	which is a 451 safety
	violation.
	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
	A Yes.
	Q So in your view, industry standards
	and prevailing practices are irrelevant to
	consideration of whether SoCalGas
	SoCalGas' conduct violates Section 451?

	March 18, 2021 397
1	A Are you asking me if industry
2	standards are irrelevant? Is that the
3	question?
4	Q Yes. I'm asking whether in your
5	view compliance with industry standards and
6	prevailing practices are irrelevant to
7	assessing violations of 451.
8	MR. GRUEN: I am going to note
9	objection calling for legal conclusion in a
10	very broad way. It's an overly-broad
11	question. This is running down the lines of
12	what SoCalGas agreed not to do in the
13	prehearing conference.
14	MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, Ms. Felts'
15	testimony, the highlighted piece here, of
16	course this title has no bearing on Violation
17	77 which is a 451 safety violation in
18	Ms. Felts' testimony and I am trying to
19	understand the basis for that.
20	ALJ POIRIER: The question relates to
21	testimony of Ms. Felts. I am going to allow
22	the question but with the reminder that let's
23	let the document speak for themselves, but
24	this is a relevant question. Please move
25	ahead.
26	THE WITNESS: Okay. So the discussion
27	here of industry standards, of which there
28	are none, has no bearing on the violation,

1	which is a 451 safety violation. And just to
2	reiterate, the public utility is supposed to
3	furnish and maintain equipment in facilities
4	necessary to promote the safety of its
5	patrons, employees and the public. It
6	doesn't say anything about complying with
7	industry standards, whether they exist or
8	don't. I think the utility has a
9	responsibility to operate their equipment
10	safely.
11	BY MR. STODDARD:
12	Q Ms. Felts, would it be fair to say
13	that then again that the reasonableness of
14	SoCalGas' conduct with respect to operation
15	of wells in a dual-flow configuration has no
16	bearing on whether or not it's a violation of
17	451?
18	MR. GRUEN: Now we're going to a
19	question that's been asked and answered on
20	the first day of hearings, your Honor.
21	ALJ POIRIER: Sustained.
22	MR. GRUEN: There was robust
23	cross-examination.
24	MR. STODDARD: I didn't mean to speak
25	over you, your Honor.
26	ALJ POIRIER: Objection is sustained.
27	Let's move on.
28	(Crosstalk.)

1	BY MR. STODDARD:
2	Q Ms. Felts, if I may ask a few other
3	questions that just assess whether you
4	believe they are relevant in terms of your
5	assessment of 451 and I will move on from
6	industry standards and prevailing practices.
7	What about operational
8	considerations? Are operational
9	considerations, such as delivered impact
10	on deliverability and energy reliability
11	relevant to an assessment of 451?
12	A I think those are two different
13	things. It would be relevant if the issues
14	related to deliverability involved safety of
15	some sort; safety of its patrons, employees
16	and the public. So I can't really answer
17	that without set up that.
18	Q Okay. Thank you. We can establish
19	some foundation here.
20	So, Ms. Felts, again, to briefly
21	describe what dual barrier entails, it would
22	mean in the instance in the case of wells
23	like SS-25, Aliso Canyon, flowing gas solely
24	through the tubing and not the casing annulus
25	as well, correct?
26	A Yes.
27	Q And the tubing is a smaller pipe
28	than the casing, correct?

	March 18, 2021 400
1	A Yes.
2	Q And so if you do tubing flow only,
3	it reduces the deliverability of the gas
4	coming out of the well, correct?
5	A If you use the same tubing, I think
6	it's possible you could install slightly
7	larger-type tubing to alleviate some of that
8	impact.
9	Q But nonetheless, if it's smaller
10	than the casing, it will reduce the capacity
11	of deliverability of the gas, correct?
12	A Somewhat, yes.
13	Q Okay. And if you do that across
14	the field, that means that the field will put
15	out less gas at any given moment, correct?
16	A Excuse me. Since the entire field
17	has gone to that configuration, I am assuming
18	DOGGR contemplated that in making that
19	requirement. And, I mean, the quick answer
20	to your question is: Yes, it will reduce the
21	amount that you can produce or extract from,
22	if you're using the casing I mean, if
23	you're using the tubing only.
24	Q Okay. Thank you. If we could
25	please turn to Exhibit Number 143 and we can
26	take a break while we quickly bring this up,
27	since it's relevant to a statement that
28	Ms. Felts just made. So we may need a second

	March 18, 2021 401
1	to locate it.
2	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
3	(Off the record.)
4	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
5	Please continue, Mr. Stoddard.
6	MR. STODDARD: Thank you.
7	Q Ms. Felts, do you see this
8	document? This is a letter to Executive
9	Director Timothy Sullivan, dated March 30,
10	2017, from SoCalGas Senior Vice President
11	Rodger Schwecke. Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q This is SoCalGas Exhibit
14	Number 143, if we can go down to Bates number
15	SoCalGas-143.0001. If we can go up to the
16	first paragraph, please, this letter states:
17	This letter responds to
18	your March 16, 2017 letter
19	regarding SoCalGas' plans
20	to implement additional
21	safety enhancements and
22	integrity assessments at
23	La Goleta, Playa del Rey
24	and Honor Rancho storage
25	fields. In your March 16th
26	letter, you direct SoCalGas
27	to revise its storage safe
28	enhancement plan to

1	maintain a system-wide
2	withdrawal capacity level
3	of 2.065 Bcf per day
4	beginning June 1, 2017 and
5	throughout the balance of
6	the safety enhancement
7	project. Further, you
8	direct that the amount
9	should increase as quickly
10	as possible to 2.420 Bcf
11	per day using improvements
12	to withdrawal capacity at
13	each of the fields,
14	including the management of
15	inventory levels and
16	increases to wells in
17	service at all fields.
18	It is SoCalGas'
19	understanding that this
20	directive to maintain
21	elevated levels of
22	withdrawal capacity through
23	the summer season is
24	intended to address
25	reliability concerns for
26	electric-generating
27	facilities operating in the
28	Los Angeles Basin and

Evider	ntia	ry Hearing
March	18,	2021

	March 18, 2021 403
1	prepare for the coming
2	winter season.
3	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
4	A Yeah. I'm not familiar with this
5	letter, though.
6	Q You haven't seen this letter?
7	A No. It wasn't produced to us, I
8	don't think.
9	Q Have you accessed the Commission
.0	the California Public Utilities Commission's
.1	Aliso Canyon Incident webpage?
.2	A Not recently.
.3	Q If we could turn to page 2 of that
4	document. I will keep it brief.
5	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I will object.
6	Lack of foundation. The witness has said she
.7	doesn't recognize the document.
.8	ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard.
9	MR. STODDARD: I'm going to ask her a
0	question related to reliability and tubing
1	flow here in a minute.
2	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. I will allow it.
3	What I do want is clarification on
24	the top part of the letter. There's some, I
25	think there was La Goleta. There was a list
6	of I want to clarify. Are those included
7	in the Aliso Canyon? I just want a
8	clarification.

1	MR. STODDARD: They are other storage
2	fields that Southern California Gas Company
3	operates that were aware that withdrawal
4	issues for purposes of reliability were
5	impacted by the reduction and use of Aliso
6	Canyon at the time.
7	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Please proceed,
8	Mr. Stoddard.
9	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
10	Q If you could turn to the second
11	full paragraph on page 2, the one that starts
12	"with this mandated modification." It's
13	Bates number SoCalGas-143.0002. Down there
14	where it says, "with this mandated
15	modification." And the second sentence
16	there, it says:
17	For the withdrawal capacity
18	to be at the directed level
19	on June 1, 2017, it will
20	require inventory
21	management at both La
22	Goleta and Honor Rancho to
23	increase inventory levels
24	and returning inspected
25	wells to service with a
26	tubing flow only
27	configuration as now
28	determined in the revised

	March 18, 202		40
1		plan. Without the	
2		anticipated inventory	
3		management and wells coming	
4		into service as planned,	
5		SoCalGas may be required to	
6		operate additional wells in	
7		a casing flow	
8		configuration, further	
9		delaying the integrity	
10		assessment of existing	
11		wells.	
12		Do you see that?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	One more sentence on page three	
15	referring	to building inventory at these	
16	other fiel	lds for purposes of energy	
17	reliabili	ty, the sentence after the bolded	
18	one:		
19		If we are unable to build	
20		the inventory levels at	
21		Honor Rancho and La Goleta	
22		to maintain the required	
23		system-wide delivery	
24		capacity, we may be	
25		required to selectively	
26		open sleeves on wells and	
27		place them on casing flow	
28		to increase withdrawal	

	March 18, 2021 406
1	capacity until the
2	inventory levels can be
3	built up. Likewise, we
4	would reevaluate planned
5	workovers to convert wells
6	to tubing flow only flow
7	tubing-only flow at Honor
8	Rancho, if inventory
9	build-up does not take
10	place as needed.
11	Do you see that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And, Ms. Felts, the concern here is
14	it's being reported to the California Public
15	Utilities Commission, not DOGGER, correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And SoCalGas is describing,
18	wouldn't you agree, the need to maintain
19	certain wells in a casing flow configuration
20	in order to support energy reliability; would
21	you agree?
22	A I think that's a very shorthand
23	statement. It doesn't really cover
24	everything that was going on. And also this
25	doesn't say that they are going to maintain
26	operation using casing, but that they would
27	open sleeves if they had to increase their
28	casing flow to increase withdrawal capacity.

1	So I am assuming they would not they
2	weren't anticipating using casing flow
3	consistently into the future, but only as a
4	temporary condition based on demand.
5	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
6	Exhibit Number 61. This is going to be a
7	letter to Rodger Schwecke from Executive
8	Director Sullivan of the California Public
9	Utilities Commission and it preceded the
10	prior letter, but I want to read one sentence
11	from this letter to inform the discussion
12	with Ms. Felts.
13	In the first full paragraph, the
14	first paragraph, this is Bates stamped
15	SoCalGas-61.0001, Ms. Felts do you see there,
16	second sentence:
17	After review of this plan
18	and consultation with the
19	California Energy
20	Commission, the California
21	Independent System Operator
22	and the Los Angeles
23	Department of Water and
24	Power, the Commission has
25	determined that the plan,
26	as presented, will limit
27	the withdrawal capacity of
28	SoCalGas gas storage

1	facilities to a level that
2	is demonstrably
3	insufficient to meet the
4	expected energy needs of
5	SoCalGas customers this
6	summer and fails to
7	minimize energy reliability
8	risks and, in turn, the
9	safety-related risks
10	associated with the
11	curtailment of electricity
12	supply.
13	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
14	A I see it.
15	Q And the safety concern, just to
16	point out here, the safety concern here is
17	electric reliability, correct?
18	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, that's
19	mischaracterizing the letter. We are now
20	interchanging "reliability" with "safety."
21	MR. STODDARD: Okay. I can restate it,
22	if that will help.
23	ALJ POIRIER: Can you restate, please?
24	BY MR. STODDARD:
25	Q Yeah, Ms. Felts, the last sentence
26	again says that:
27	SoCalGas' plan for gas
28	withdrawals is insufficient

	Malchi 16, 2021 409
1	to meet the expected energy
2	needs of SoCalGas'
3	customers this summer and
4	failed to minimize energy
5	reliability risks and, in
6	turn, the safety-related
7	risks associated with
8	curtailment of electricity
9	supply.
10	Do you see that?
11	A I see it.
12	Q Do you know what "curtailment of
13	electricity supply" means?
14	A I understand the statement.
15	Q Okay. What do you understand the
16	statement to be saying?
17	A I understand that there was a move
18	afoot and still is to close Aliso Canyon
19	after SS-25 failure and that this is a
20	statement by SoCalGas to turn over every
21	stone to encourage that that doesn't happen.
22	So I kind of see this as more of a
23	lobbying statement than anything else. And I
24	have not read the latest report that came out
25	about from the people who modeled the
26	SoCalGas Aliso Canyon, which would include
27	supply and demand, I assume. So I can't
28	really state to what the recommendation

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	March 18, 2021 410
1	ultimately is, but I mean if I were SoCalGas
2	and I would see in that situation with Aliso
3	Canyon, I would probably write something
4	similar to this.
5	Q But you view this as a lobbying
6	statement from SoCalGas. This is a letter
7	from the Public Utilities Commission,
8	Ms. Felts.
9	A Oh. I thought you were just
10	showing me something from SoCalGas.
11	Q That was the prior letter. This is
12	a letter from the Commission Executive
13	Director to SoCalGas.
14	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I am going to
15	object to lack of foundation here. It
16	clearly doesn't re the witness has stated
17	one time she didn't recognize the letter and
18	SoCalGas has gone from reading one more
19	statement to a robust level of
20	cross-examination on documents the witness
21	has already stated she doesn't recognize.
22	MR. STODDARD: I can move on, your
23	Honor.
24	ALJ POIRIER: Yes. Please move on.
25	BY MR. STODDARD:
26	Q If you can turn back to Ms. Felts'
27	corrected Sur-Reply Exhibit 47, page 20,
28	Footnote 103. Please move up a little bit.

1	Actually, sorry. Go up to further up on
2	page 20. This again is SoCalGas Exhibit 47
3	and the Bates number is SoCalGas-47.0024.
4	Please scroll up to where it says, "If it
5	turns out that SoCalGas," which I believe is
6	in, if you scroll down, the footnote again,
7	Footnote 103.
8	The last sentence in the footnote
9	says and this relates to subsurface safety
10	valves, this section, Ms. Felts which and
11	the subsurface safety valve issue was in the
12	discussion of dual flow in your opening
13	testimony. Can you explain what the
14	relationship between the subsurface safety
15	valves are and the dual barrier issue?
16	A Can you show me where that is?
17	Q Sure. And it would help if we also
18	zoomed out since we are zoomed in right now.
19	If we can scroll up all the way to above
20	here you will see the beginning of the
21	discussion on page 19, 18. Can you give us a
22	second while we locate the beginning of the
23	SSSV discussion?
24	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
25	Let me know when you're ready.
26	(Off the record.)
27	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
28	THE WITNESS: Okay.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

	Haith 10, 2021 412
1	BY MR. STODDARD:
2	Q Ms. Felts, do you see here where it
3	says, "with regards to whether subsurface
4	safety valves could work on both tubing and
5	casing at Aliso Canyon?"
6	A Yes. I see that.
7	Q And this again is in the section
8	with the header, if we turn to page 18,
9	related to dual mechanical barrier system in
10	the wellbore of SS-25?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Can you briefly explain the
13	relationship between the SSSV issue and the
14	dual mechanical barrier issue?
15	A I think the issue is whether or not
16	they could install a subsurface safety valve,
17	an SSSV in a dual barrier I mean in a
18	single barrier, sorry, dual-flow casing or
19	well.
20	Q Okay. And if we can turn back to
21	Footnote 103, the last sentence here says
22	correction. Actually, if we can read the
23	sentence starting "in particular." Here it
24	says:
25	In particular, SED may
26	propound further discovery
27	to inform whether SoCalGas
28	could have successfully

	March 18, 2021 413
1	used subsurface safety
2	valves on both the tubing
3	and the casing on wells in
4	the Aliso Canyon natural
5	gas storage facility prior
6	to October 23, 2015. If it
7	turns out that SoCalGas
8	could have done so, SED
9	reserves the right to
10	assert additional
11	violations of California
12	Public Utilities Code
13	Section 451 related to this
14	matter.
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Ms. Felts, given that no additional
18	violations on SSSVs have been alleged, is it
19	safe to assume that SED has not concluded
20	that subsurface safety valves could have been
21	used to avoid the incident?
22	A I think I have only seen where they
23	have installed subsurface safety valves on
24	tubing and I am not aware of any additional
25	information that we have had since the
26	publication of this testimony that would
27	state that they could use a subsurface safety
28	valve in both tubing and casing, but I

haven't done any additional research on that 1 subject. 2 Okay. Thank you. If we could 3 Q please turn to pages 39-45. I will read the 4 Bates number here. SoCalGas-47.0043. 5 And 6 above it says: 7 By allowing groundwater to cause corrosion on the 7 8 9 inch and 11-3/4 inch casing 10 on SS-25, SoCalGas -- I'm 11 sorry. 12 You're not in the right place. Ι 13 apologize. Strike that. Please turn to 14 page 44. 15 ALJ POIRIER: Let's qo off the record. 16 Please let me know when you're ready to 17 proceed. 18 (Off the record.) 19 ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record. 20 BY MR. STODDARD: 21 Ο This is page SoCalGas-47.0048. And 22 you see there, Ms. Felts, where it says: 23 By allowing groundwater to 24 cause corrosion on the 7" 25 and 11-3/4 inch casings on SS-25, SoCalGas violated 26 27 Section 451. 28 Do you see that, Ms. Felts?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Ms. Felts, in your experience,
3	generally is water is exposure to
4	groundwater necessary to cause corrosion on
5	steel casings installed in the ground?
6	A Well, exposure to groundwater is
7	not necessarily required, but certainly if
8	there is exposure to groundwater, it causes
9	latency corrosion.
10	Q In fact, steel casings in the
11	ground, you know, typically and necessarily
12	corrode when they're in that environment,
13	don't they?
14	A When they're in what environment?
15	Q When they're buried in the ground.
16	A Well, unless some efforts have been
17	made to seal them against the rock or soil or
18	sand that's against that would otherwise
19	be in direct juxtaposition to the plate,
20	that's why you would cement the piping used
21	to provide a certain level of protection.
22	Q Are there any other measures that
23	you're aware of other than cementing the pipe
24	and the casing?
25	A I am sure that there's things that
26	they have tried, probably inject a gel behind
27	the casing that might provide some sort of
28	protection. I'm not sure what else they

28

interrupt.

1	would do.
2	Q Okay. And, Ms. Felts, this
3	statement is in connection with your alleged
4	violations regarding failure to assess the
5	relationship between groundwater and well
6	casings; isn't that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q What would you suggest SoCalGas
9	have done with regard to assessment of
10	groundwater in connection in relation to
11	the well casings?
12	A Well, in the case of this shallow
13	corrosion, on this SS-25, I think they could
14	have monitored groundwater that would
15	basically be drain water coming down through
16	the through an exposed formation, so, or
17	groundwater that is moving from somewhere
18	else. So I think there should have been some
19	knowledge SoCalGas has done some geological
20	studies and has a pretty good idea of the
21	cross-sections for the Aliso Canyon. And
22	they should know where the sources of water
23	are. So given that, I think it would have
24	been pretty obvious that there is a potential
25	for water to impact the well casing and the
26	surface casing. To that
27	Q Do you know how sorry to
~ ~	

]

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	A To that end, they did attempt to
2	cement the surface casing when the well was
3	originally drilled by Getty, I think, and
4	they left mud behind the casing which would
5	provide some level of protection, but then
6	when you had the well in the ground for
7	60 years, there's always the potential that
8	neither the cement or the mud would still be
9	there.
10	Q Do you know how Blade assessed the
11	groundwater relationship in the course of
12	their investigation?
13	A I don't specifically, but I know
14	that Blade asked SoCalGas to drill a water
15	well or maybe they did it. I'm not sure what
16	the contract arrangement was but a water well
17	was drilled.
18	Q That's correct. Are you suggesting
19	that a water well in order to assess the
20	relationship between groundwater and the
21	casing, as Blade did here, that a water well
22	would need to be drilled for each well at
23	Aliso Canyon?
24	A Absolutely not. That's not
25	required. But I think that some monitoring
26	of groundwater would have been very
27	informative for SoCalGas for all of their
28	wells.

	March 18, 2021 418
1	Q Okay. I'll move on to the next
2	topic.
3	ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, would this
4	be a good time for a short break?
5	MR. STODDARD: Sure.
6	ALJ POIRIER: And just for planning for
7	the rest of the afternoon actually let's
8	go off the record.
9	(Recess taken.)
10	ALJ POIRIER: Let's be back on the
11	record. We just took our afternoon break.
12	SoCalGas indicated they have approximately
13	two hours of cross and that may be adjusted.
14	I asked SoCalGas to compile a list of
15	exhibits that they used today for that to be
16	read on the record at the end of the day and
17	we will move forward.
18	Go ahead, Mr. Stoddard.
19	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
20	And just to clarify, I think we have a little
21	bit more than two hours, but we will endeavor
22	to get it down to two by cutting some tonight
23	after we break today.
24	ALJ POIRIER: Understood.
25	MR. STODDARD: Mr. Moshfegh, if we
26	could please turn to Exhibit SoCalGas-124.
27	It's an e-mail from Darryl Gruen to Margaret
28	Felts dated Friday, April 24, 2020. If you

can put that up, then I'll describe the 1 2 document. ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record 3 until the document is ready to go. 4 (Off the record.) 5 Back on the record. 6 ALJ POIRIER: 7 MR. STODDARD: Referring to the Bates number in the lower right hand corner of this 8 document. This document is Bates stamped 9 SoCalGas-124.0001. 10 11 0 Ms. Felts, you'll see that this was 12 used as an exhibit in your deposition of 13 February 25, 2021. 14 Do you see that? 15 А Yes. 16 Can you please scroll up. 0 17 This is an e-mail from Mr. Gruen to 18 Margaret. At the top line it says "Thanks," 19 and below that an e-mail from Ms. Felts to 20 Mr. Gruen with her e-mail address redacted. 21 It's dated Friday, April 24, 2020, 10:10 a.m. 22 The subject line says "Gas Safety Plans." 23 Do you see that? 24 Α Yes. 25 The content of the e-mail reads: Ο 26 Darryl, see attached. Both 27 found with a simple search 2.8 on the CPUC website. For

1	CPUC Gas Safety Plan, see
2	pages 22 and 28. For 2013
3	SoCalGas Gas Safety Plan,
4	see e-pages 102, 116, 124,
5	and can also search on
6	storage, but some storage
7	refers to underground
8	storage tanks and possibly
9	storage in pipelines.
10	Do you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you recognize this document?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you recall writing this e-mail?
15	A Yes.
16	Q If we could please turn to
17	Exhibit 125. This was an attachment to the
18	e-mail, we believe, although SED does not
19	Bates stamp their sorry.
20	ALJ POIRIER: The document is not up
21	yet. Let's wait until we get the document
22	up.
23	Mr. Stoddard, if you can scroll down
24	just a little bit, it would be helpful.
25	MR. STODDARD: Sorry.
26	Q You'll see this is marked
27	SoCalGas-125.0001 and this is the CPUC Gas
28	Safety Plan is what it says here in the

1	title. This was in the production of
2	documents from SED along with that e-mail
3	from Ms. Felts, but it wasn't Bates stamped
4	and so we're not able to necessarily confirm
5	association, but, Ms. Felts, do you recognize
6	this document?
7	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, I'd object just
8	to same that it hasn't been Bates stamped.
9	This was provided as a data response. I'll
10	withdraw the objection just to see where he
11	goes generally.
12	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go with if
13	Ms. Felts is familiar with the document
14	first, and then let's go from there.
15	THE WITNESS: I am familiar with the
16	document. I think this, the gas safety plan
17	e-mails, were mistakenly included in a
18	production to SoCalGas discovery.
19	BY MR. STODDARD:
20	Q Why mistakenly?
21	A Well, I think the way the e-mails
22	were found was through some sort of a search
23	of e-mails or in part through a search of
24	e-mails at the Public Utilities Commission.
25	I'm not sure how that happens, but this was
26	an e-mail between me and Darryl, and I
27	researched this after the deposition to see
28	why this was in the mix.

1	My best well, let me say that
2	what I found is that apparently a report from
3	PG&E regarding San Bruno's annual filing had
4	come out and I had asked Darryl if he could
5	send me that information, the report, or a
6	link to it. And so one thing led to the
7	other and I ended up looking at PG&E's Gas
8	Safety Plan. So, I don't think this really
9	had anything to do with the SoCalGas
10	proceeding. I think I was thinking on a
11	different level about a different proceeding.
12	Q So what's your understanding of the
13	page references in your e-mail, pages 22 and
14	28? We can turn to them if it would help,
15	but do you recall what the purpose of it is
16	based on the description in your e-mail?
17	A I was just looking at I looked
18	at the index and found the pages that
19	referred to storage out of just curiosity.
20	What in the world would be storage in a gas
21	safety plan that's about pipelines?
22	Q So, were you working on another
23	case related to PG&E's Gas Safety Plan?
24	A Well, at the conclusion of San
25	Bruno, there were a number of requirements
26	going forward that PG&E had to comply with.
27	One of them was an annual report that
28	included a lot of different items. Without

	March 18, 2021 423
1	actually calling up a report, I couldn't tell
2	you specifically what the content was, but it
3	was when I received an e-mail related to San
4	Bruno, which I think was just some kind of
5	generic service list that I was on. That
6	prompted this.
7	So that's the best I can figure out
8	because really these gas safety plans are
9	about pipelines and they don't really have
10	anything to do with the failure at SS-25.
11	Q Okay. If we could please pull up
12	data sorry, Exhibit 128.
13	You'll see here it says it says:
14	Subject: Safety and
15	Enforcement Division's
16	Response to Southern
17	California Gas Company's
18	Ninth Set of Data Requests,
19	Questions 10 through 12.
20	It's dated May 15, 2020, which is a
21	couple weeks after the e-mail we were just
22	looking at?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you see that? All right. And
25	then, "Question 10. Produce all documents
26	related to SED's review of SoCalGas' 2012 Gas
27	Safety Plan."
28	Do you see that?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And so SED objects here and stating
3	that SED's we can read the response:
4	SED also objects that the
5	data request seeks to
6	elicit information that is
7	not permitted under
8	Commission Rules of
9	Practice and Procedure
10	Rule 10.1. Namely, the
11	question is neither
12	admissible in evidence and
13	does not appear
14	reasonably
15	I'm sorry, I'm going too fast
16	Does not appear reasonably
17	calculated to lead to the
18	discovery of admissible
19	evidence. Namely, SED's
20	review of the 2012, 2013,
21	and 2014 Gas Safety Plan
22	does not relate to matters
23	below the wellhead (down
24	well) in Aliso Canyon.
25	Do you see that in the first
26	paragraph, Ms. Felts?
27	A Yes. Somebody is highlighting the
28	next paragraph

Evidentiary Hearing			
March	18,	20)21

March 18, 2021 425
Q Yeah, sorry
ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
(Off the record.)
ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Back on the
record.
Please continue, Mr. Stoddard, and
remember to identify the Bates number.
MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
Are we back on the record?
ALJ POIRIER: Yes.
MR. STODDARD: This is document
SoCalGas-128.0001. If we can scroll back up,
please, to the first paragraph there, which I
read into the record before we took a break
to correct highlighting on the document.
Q Ms. Felts, did you assist with
preparation of this response?
A I probably discussed it with
counsel.
Q Do you recall those discussions
with counsel?
A No.
Q Again, the date here is a couple
weeks after that e-mail we were just looking
at. Now that you're seeing the document, do
you think that that e-mail had to do with
potential work related to responding to this
data request?

1	A I think it's possible. I don't
2	know.
3	Q Okay. Earlier you indicated that
4	you thought that had absolutely nothing to do
5	with work related to Aliso Canyon. I just
6	want to confirm that you're confident in that
7	statement given that you were preparing with
8	SED a data response on this very issue that
9	was objecting and not producing any documents
10	at the same time.
11	A Well, now that you're showing me
12	these dates, I really don't know which was
13	which, which came first.
14	Q You don't know whether the data
15	requests came first or the e-mail where you
16	were describing the gas safety plans briefly?
17	A Well, my looking into the gas
18	safety plan. So, I know I sent the e-mail
19	and you showed me the dates, but I
20	just don't I don't remember this chain of
21	events at all other than what I've told you.
22	Q Okay. If we could turn to Exhibit
23	Number 144?
24	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
25	(Off the record.)
26	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
27	Please continue, Mr. Stoddard.
28	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.

1	I'm going to read the Bates number. In the
2	lower right-hand corner there it says
3	SoCalGas-144.0001. Please scroll back up.
4	Q This is titled Natural Gas System
5	Operator Safety Plan, SoCalGas. It includes
6	a table of contents below with references to
7	applicable statutory requirements.
8	Do you see that Ms. Felts?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Then scroll down to the bottom of
11	this page. So you see there it says I
12	don't know if you can see this. We might
13	have to blow it up a little bit. But it says
14	"2012-2013."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Thank you.
18	If we could please turn to page
19	102 actually 124 of this document, which
20	is the last page that you referenced in your
21	e-mail.
22	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
23	A I see the page, yes.
24	Q Okay. This is a table and it says
25	"Appendix, Safety Policy Documents, SoCalGas,
26	Appendix A." And then if you scroll down, do
27	you see where it says "Policy Number
28	223.0375"?

Evider	ntia	ry Hearing
March	18,	2021

	March 18, 2021 428
1	A Yes.
2	Q "MAXIMO Transmission and Storage
3	Operations"?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you know what that refers to?
6	A MAXIMO is a database that SoCalGas
7	maintains.
8	Q And do you know what the numbers
9	refer to?
10	A No.
11	Q Would it be correct to say that
12	these are SoCalGas gas standards, Ms. Felts,
13	these numbers?
14	A I would believe you. I don't know.
15	Q Okay. I'll see if you recognize
16	another one. Down below where it says
17	"224.0030, Well Operations-Well Kill."
18	A Uh-huh.
19	Q Do you recognize that, Ms. Felts?
20	A There is a standard that SoCalGas
21	produced that has that title. I just don't
22	know the numbers.
23	Q Do you recall recognizing the
24	number format as a gas standard number
25	format?
26	A Not really. If you want to show me
27	a standard, I could verify that, but I
28	believe you.

1	Q Have you reviewed SoCalGas' gas
2	safety standards?
3	A I have. I just don't remember
4	the I've reviewed a lot of standards for
5	PG&E. And so, you know, if I tell you
6	something about a number, it could be coming
7	from PG&E's standards, so I had it committed
8	to memory, the standard numbers for the
9	standards that SoCalGas produced. They
10	produced a lot of them and a lot of versions
11	of them.
12	Q Understood. I think what I'm
13	asking isn't for you to recite from memory
14	these numbers, it's more whether or not you
15	recognize them, but I can move to the next
16	question.
17	Do you see there where it says
18	"224.02, Operation of Underground Storage
19	Wells"?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And then on the next page
22	one more which you may recognize is 224.070,
23	"Gas Inventory Monitoring Verification or
24	Reporting."
25	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
26	A Yes.
27	Q And do you recall that standard?
28	A No. I don't I mean I'm sure it

1	was probably among all the ones that were
2	provided, but I didn't I don't remember
3	looking at that one.
4	Q This is the standard, Ms. Felts,
5	that describes SoCalGas' well integrity
6	monitoring practices. This plan did
7	you did you research on the gas safety
8	plans after you received that data request or
9	in the course of writing that e-mail whether
10	the Commission approved these plans?
11	A No.
12	Q Did you ask SED or Darryl for any
13	other information apologies Mr. Gruen
14	for any other information regarding the
15	Commission's review and approval of these
16	safety plan documents?
17	A No, I don't remember having a
18	discussion about these documents,
19	specifically this one at all.
20	Q So you noted in your e-mail that it
21	included standards related to gas storage
22	operations, but you didn't inquire as to what
23	the significance of that might be?
24	A There may have been a conversation.
25	I just don't remember it.
26	Q Okay.
27	If we can switch back to
28	Exhibit 51, please. If we can turn, please,

1	to Chapter 7 at page 7, reference to the
2	13,000 pages. I can't see it very well
3	because it's very small. Oh, there we go.
4	Thank you. The Bates number here is
5	SoCalGas-51.0120. You state:
6	Thus, in addition to being
7	disorganized in containing
8	a mix of records from three
9	wells, SS-25, SS-25A, and
10	SS-25B, the initial SS-25
11	file I reviewed was also
12	incomplete, estimated to be
13	short by about
14	13,490 pages, although that
15	number probably includes
16	many duplicates.
17	Do you see that, Ms. Felts?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And what was the basis for the
20	calculation there that it was short by
21	13,490 pages?
22	A I think it's in the footnote on
23	that same page.
24	Q Is there a footnote on this
25	sentence?
26	A Well, it may not be on that
27	sentence, but it's in the I know it's on
28	the page.

1 0 Okay. 2 (Crosstalk.) 3 THE WITNESS: It's probably Footnote 26 4 maybe. MR. STODDARD: Okay. I think this is 5 6 another item that might need to be corrected, 7 but I won't bring up the data response, but I believe you guys did change this number. 8 I 9 don't need to bring up the number right now 10 unless you want me to. 11 MR. GRUEN: Sorry, is there a question 12 for the witness? 13 MR. STODDARD: I'm just flagging as 14 long as we're correcting testimony that I 15 believe -- I quess I can do it with the data 16 request if you'd prefer and the data 17 response. 18 But we can turn to Footnote 26. 19 Is that the footnote you were Ο 20 referring to, Ms. Felts? 21 Α Yes. 22 That has a lot of Bates numbers. Ο Ι 23 was asking for kind of the reasoning as to 24 how you reached the conclusion that the well 25 file was short by 14 -- 13-some, 26 14-some-thousand pages? 27 Well, the original well file was Α 2.8 1,587, so in parens behind each of those well

	March 18, 2021 433
1	files is the number of pages. I do admit
2	that all of those 1,587 pages also included
3	pages from SS-25A and B, so I probably
4	undercounted here.
5	So then the next one you can see
6	has 2,688 pages, and the next one, DR-27,
7	224, and so on. So I added up all of the
8	pages and subtracted what we received in the
9	initial well file for SS-25.]
10	Q Okay. So you added all the pages
11	of of electronic production records that
12	referenced SS-25, and subtracted the number
13	of documents in the well file. Is that
14	right?
15	A Not just referenced, but I believe
16	these were the ones that were in response to
17	requests for well file records for SS-25 or
18	supplements provided to the another data
19	request for SS-25 files.
20	Q Okay. And and Ms. Felts, in the
21	course of of doing this calculation, were
22	you referencing some kind of a a
23	regulation or a rule or a requirement
24	regarding what should be in a well file?
25	A No. I was I've always just gone
26	by what SoCalGas has represented as being in
27	their well file.
28	Q Can you please explain what that

433

1	means?
2	A Well, I think, after a few maybe
3	initial hiccups, we we received a
4	definition of four well folders that are
5	supposed to be in a well file, and related
6	documents would be in those well folders,
7	theoretically. They would be invoices for
8	work on the well, well logs, well surveys,
9	and one other that I can't just remember
10	right now.
11	Q And so you reviewed those
12	13,000-some pages to confirm that each page
13	fit into one of those categories?
14	A I can't I'm sure that all of
15	that there are documents in these sets that
16	don't necessarily fit into those categories,
17	but then there are also documents in all of
18	the well files that don't fit into those four
19	categories. So there's not clear not a
20	clear definition of what SoCalGas is putting
21	in well files. For instance, interoffice
22	correspondence and interoffice memos
23	doesn't don't really fit into any of the
24	folders, but they appear in various folders.
25	Q Ms. Felts, the purpose of a well
26	file is again, I mean it's a hard copy
27	document. Correct? There's a hard copy
28	version of a well file. Correct?

I	
1	A Yes.
2	Q And the purpose of a well file is
3	for the gas storage engineers and others to
4	reference in the course of of well
5	operations, working on the well, or any other
6	need they have to consult the well file.
7	Correct?
8	A That is a purpose of the well file,
9	to provide a history of what's happened with
10	the well.
11	Q And it actually fits it's a
12	physical but, again, this is a physical
13	file. Correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q How useful would a doc would a
16	file be if it included 22,000-some pages of
17	documents related to the entire you know,
18	the entirety of the well's history?
19	A Well, probably not real useful to a
20	person that has to page through it all.
21	Q Especially if they had to page
22	through it all in the course of performing
23	their job working on the well, wouldn't you
24	agree?
25	A You know, my point here is exactly
26	that, that it couldn't possibly have that
27	many pages, and yet, SoCalGas sent us that
28	many pages.

	March 18, 2021 436
1	Q Well, I don't think that's exactly
2	what your testimony says, though.
3	A Well, let's go back and look at
4	what my testimony
5	ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, can you
6	restate the question? If you if you have
7	a question about her testimony, can you refer
8	back to that?
9	MR. STODDARD: Okay.
10	Q Is that what your testimony says,
11	Ms. Felts?
12	A I'm looking.
13	MR. STODDARD: Okay. To refer back to
14	it, can we can we please scroll up,
15	Mr. Moshfegh?
16	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
17	(Off the record.)
18	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.
19	Please go ahead, Ms. Felts.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you ask me
21	the question again?
22	BY MR. STODDARD:
23	Q Yeah. I believe what you said
24	was I think what I understood you to say,
25	and you can correct me if you're wrong, was
26	that when I asked whether a well file that
27	had, you know, 14,000 pages or more would be
28	useful operationally to engineers working on

1	the well during the course of their
2	operations, you indicated that, I think,
3	that's my point. SoCalGas produced all these
4	documents to us related to well files, and
5	that couldn't I think your you were
6	you were you were indicating you were
7	making the same point. Do you agree?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And I then asked: Is that what
10	your testimony says?
11	A My testimony says goes through a
12	statement about how many pages including
13	the footnote, how many pages were produced to
14	us and represented as well file records for
15	SS-25. I do say that those include a lot of
16	duplicates. I'd say probably that I can tell
17	you that there are a lot of duplicates in
18	the those documents, and then I just make
19	a quick statement that the well files that
20	are shown in the cabinet drawers by
21	Mr. Neville in his testimony don't aren't
22	that big. So I think my point is that
23	something doesn't match up here. You can't
24	have 14,000 pages in a well file.
25	Q Ms. Felts, isn't it also possible
26	that SED was asking SoCalGas for the same
27	overlapping records over and over again?
28	A I don't think we did, but I suppose

1	you earlier earlier data requests may
2	have been overlapping. I I haven't
3	noticed that; but, that could possibly
4	explain some of this.
5	Q Is it also possible that when
6	you're referring to well file records, it
7	actually meant well-related records that may
8	not be stored in the well file?
9	MR. GRUEN: Objection, your Honor,
10	calls for speculation.
11	THE WITNESS: I don't think we asked
12	for that, but
13	ALJ POIRIER: Ms Ms. Felts, let
14	me let me respond first.
15	I'll allow the question, but I think
16	if, Mr. Stoddard, you can wrap this up, I
17	think we've had a lot of questions on this.
18	So Ms. Felts, please, go ahead and
19	answer the question.
20	THE WITNESS: I was trying to be very
21	careful when I filed these into a folder for
22	SS-25 well files that I was truly putting
23	into that folder files that were represented
24	as well files. And if I misunderstood the
25	response from SoCalGas, then perhaps I put
26	something else into a well file folder of
27	mine that didn't belong there. But, I have
28	no way of knowing if, in subsequent emails

1	I mean I mean data responses if SoCalGas
2	provided a bunch of PDF pages, and there's no
3	folder or identification of where they came
4	from, but they're in a response to a request
5	for well files then I had to assume that they
6	were part of a well file production.
7	MR. STODDARD: Okay. We can move on.
8	If we could turn to Exhibit 47
9	ALJ POIRIER: Just for notice, I think
10	we're going to go around ten more minutes.
11	MR. STODDARD: Yeah. I think I can
12	conclude this line of questioning in ten
13	minutes
14	ALJ POIRIER: Great.
15	MR. STODDARD: your Honor.
16	ALJ POIRIER: Go ahead.
17	MR. STODDARD: Thank you.
18	If we could please put up Exhibit
19	Number 47, this is the amended opening
20	testimony of Ms. Felts, and we're going to
21	turn to pages 47 through 50 actually,
22	we'll start with page 50. And this is marked
23	SoCalGas-47.0054, and this is if you'd
24	scroll up, please from the section related
25	to realtime pressure monitoring. And please
26	go to where it states SoCalGas violated
27	Section 451 by not having SoCalGas
28	violated Section 451 by not having a

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	continuous pressure monitoring system for
2	well surveillance because it prevented an
3	immediate identification of the SS-25 leak
4	and accurate estimation of the gas flow rate.
5	Q Do you see that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And Ms. Felts, are you aware of any
8	requirements that mandated the use of
9	realtime pressure monitoring prior to the
10	leak?
11	A No, there's no requirement, but
12	SoCalGas obviously recognized the need,
13	because they were already planning to install
14	it.
15	Q They were trying they were
16	they were in the course of installing it.
17	Correct?
18	A I think my understanding is they
19	had already identified it as part of their
20	future SIMP program, possibly a need
21	something they needed for that program, and
22	had installed it at one of the other
23	underground storage facilities, and were
24	moving to install it at Aliso.
25	Q And Ms. Felts, where you say,
26	"SoCalGas violated Section 451 by not having
27	a continuous pressure monitoring system
28	because it prevented an immediate

1	identification of the SS-25 leak," are you
2	contending that SoCalGas did not respond
3	quickly enough to the leak?
4	A No, that's not what that says. I
5	think the point of this testimony is that had
6	they had they installed that equipment
7	previously to the failure of SS-25, I think
8	Blade's position is that there may have been
9	an indication of or a warning that the leak
10	was about to occur or some leak had already
11	occurred, and it would have given a little
12	bit of extra time to respond.
13	Q Okay. If we could please turn to
14	the sentence that says, on the same page
15	above, "Industry technology has evolved for
16	realtime pressure, temperature flow and
17	vibration (noise) monitoring, but
18	surprisingly, there were no significant
19	differences in the monitoring plan from 1989
20	compared to the 2014 SoCalGas 224.070
21	operation standard." Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Isn't that the standard that we
24	were just looking at in the gas safety the
25	2012 SoCalGas Gas Safety Plan, Ms. Felts?
26	A Yeah, I think we were looking at a
27	224-something.
28	Q .070?

	March 18, 2021 442
1	A Did it say, "operation standard" on
2	that list?
3	Q That's your language.
4	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may, the
5	witness is is in need of the document to
6	be shown again.
7	ALJ POIRIER: Let's bring up the prior
8	document, Mr. Stoddard, if we can.
9	MR. STODDARD: I can I can move on,
10	your Honor. I can my question my
11	primary question on this is a different
12	issue. So I'll just move on, and I can
13	circle back on that in a moment.
14	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Go ahead.
15	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
16	Q Ms. Felts, can you describe exactly
17	which, you know what the basis is for your
18	statements or rather, the basis for the
19	statement that where you're saying that
20	industry technology has evolved for realtime
21	pressure, temperature, flow and vibration
22	monitoring is the simply the Blade report.
23	Is that correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you don't have any independent
26	knowledge regarding the evolution of
27	technology on realtime pressure monitoring,
28	do you?

1	A Well well, I in the past, way
2	past, I designed a system for realtime
3	pressure monitoring on a a set of reactors
4	for Celanese Company, and since then, I have
5	installed or I haven't personally
6	installed it, but written contracts that have
7	realtime pressure monitoring installed on
8	equipment for "D" the Department of
9	Defense, and used that technology, some
10	contracts that we that I handled for
11	environmental work. So it's not foreign to
12	me, and certainly, it has evolved over time.
13	Q Okay. How has it evolved?
14	A Just the well, I mean the the
15	original pressure monitoring system that I
16	designed a replacement for had a drum timer,
17	no electronics. So then it evolved into
18	electronics that are wired, and then it
19	evolved into probably, in some instances,
20	wireless technology; so it's just followed
21	the evolution of technology, in general.
22	Q And Ms. Felts, when you were
23	referring to reactors earlier, can you
24	explain what you meant there?
25	A When I worked for Celanese, we were
26	making a guar product for fracking wells, and
27	the way to make that have the kind of
28	properties that are necessary to be able to

1	pump it down the well with and carry, you
2	know, walnut shells or something with it, and
3	then have it liquefy and come back up, be
4	able to pump it back up, you had to react it
5	with another a catalyst and another
6	chemical, which I don't need to disclose
7	here, and so we did that in giant reactors,
8	huge huge drums, that you'd put the guar
9	and the chemicals and the catalyst in, and
10	then it would actually right the
11	temperature in the drum would increase, and
12	therefore, the pressure would increase during
13	that process, and you had to monitor it.
14	Q Thank you. Not not related to
15	gas storage operations?
16	A No.
17	Q Okay. And and in general, your
18	prior experience with with realtime
19	pressure monitoring isn't related to the gas
20	storage industry. Correct?
21	A It's all the same; doesn't have to
22	be related to gas storage to be relevant.
23	Q You mean with with regards to
24	the technological advancements?
25	A Or the technology itself, yes.
26	Q Okay. If we could refer back
27	quickly and do you recall that the that
28	number, the the gas standard 224.070?

1 А Yes. MR. STODDARD: And then let's refer 2 back to Exhibit Number 144 quickly, please. 3 ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record. 4 (Off the record.) 5 ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record. 6 7 Go ahead. BY MR. STODDARD: 8 9 Ο I'm referring to Exhibit 144, 10 page -- this is SoCalGas-144.0127. And do 11 you see that, Ms. Felts? And again, going 12 back up to -- I'm sorry. I read the wrong page into the record. Strike that. 13 14 We're looking at page 15 SoCalGas-144.0125, and it's policy number 16 224.070. Do you see that, Ms. Felts? 17 А Yes, titled --18 (Crosstalk.) 19 THE WITNESS: "-- Gas Inventory." 2.0 BY MR. STODDARD: 21 0 Yes. That's the same gas standard 22 related to, again, monitoring, verification, 23 and -- and it includes well integrity 24 monitoring that you referred to in your 25 opening testimony. Correct? 26 Α Yes. 27 Q Okay. Yeah. 2.8 Α

	March 18, 2021 446
1	Q And this was included in SoCalGas's
2	2012/2013 safety plan, which was approved by
3	the Public Utilities Commission. Correct?
4	A It was filed with the Commission.
5	I I don't know about the approval part.
6	Q Okay. All right. Thank you.
7	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record.
8	(Off the record.)
9	ALJ POIRIER: I'm going to go back on
10	the record right now.
11	While we were off the record, we
12	handled some housekeeping matters. One of
13	them was the identification and delivery of
14	cross-examination estimates, and some
15	instructions were given there. We were also
16	given instructions of the witnesses to have
17	copies of their testimony readily available
18	when they are being cross-examined.
19	Mr. Stoddard?
20	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.
21	There was one other question I just had on
22	that probably was a housekeeping item, but I
23	may not have been seen, which had to do
24	which is relevant to kind of the timing and
25	service of exhibits for Cal Advocates. I
26	don't believe we know exactly which order the
27	witnesses are being presented in. It would
28	probably make the most sense for them to be

	March 18, 2021 447
1	presented in the order of testimony, but I
2	just wanted to confirm.
3	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go off the record
4	for a second.
5	(Off the record.)
6	ALJ POIRIER: Let's go back on the
7	record.
8	While we were off the record, we
9	clarified the order of Cal Advocates'
10	witnesses.
11	Right now, I'd like SoCalGas to
12	detail the cross exhibits that it was
13	used today that used today. Please go
14	so please do so.
15	MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor.
16	Exhibits Number SoCalGas-126 I'm
17	giving a brief description which is
18	SoCalGas's Ninth Set of Data Requests to SED;
19	SoCalGas-127, SED's Response to SoCalGas's
20	Data Request Number Nine; SoCalGas-58, which
21	is SoCalGas's Fifteenth Set of Data Requests
22	to SED; SoCalGas-59, which is SED's Response
23	to Data Request 15; SoCalGas-60, SED's
24	Supplemental Response to Data Request 15,
25	Questions 1-A, 1-E through "F," 2, 3, 4-A,
26	5-A through "B," 11-A through "B," and 12-A
27	through "C," SoCalGas-135, which is American
28	Petroleum Institute Recommended Practice 585

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	dated April 2014; Cal PA-401, which is				
2	Interoffice Correspondence from R.M. Hejazi				
3	to M.E. Melton, September 28th, 1988, for a				
4	Workover Recommendation for SS-9 Aliso				
5	Canyon I'm sorry. That was the wrong				
6	no, that was the right description. There				
7	might be an error in our exhibit list. There				
8	appears to be a Cal two Cal PA-401s, or				
9	several Cal PA-401s. I just want to make				
10	sure I have the right one. Hold on one				
11	moment, please.				
12	ALJ POIRIER: Off the record.				
13	(Off the record.)				
14	ALJ POIRIER: Back on the record.				
15	Continue.				
16	MR. STODDARD: We have a a pincite				
17	in our exhibit list for for exhibits that				
18	have where the exhibit's broken out. So				
19	to replace the the if we can strike my				
20	prior description of Cal PA-401, it's Cal				
21	PA-401, Project Approval Letter, pincite 486,				
22	page 486 to page 487, and then Exhibit 145,				
23	Agenda for DOG Annual Review Meeting for				
24	Aliso Canyon, May 26th, 1988; Exhibit				
25	SoCalGas-146, SoCalGas Annual Review Meeting				
26	with the Division of Oil & Gas, 1990; Exhibit				
27	Number SoCalGas-143, Letter to Timothy				
28	Sullivan from Rodger Schwecke, March 30th,				

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

1	2017 Re: Storage Enhancement Plan;
2	Exhibit 124, Email from Darryl Gruen to
3	Margaret Felts, April 24th, 2020 Re: Gas
4	Safety Plan; SoCalGas-125, CPUC Gas Safety
5	Plan; Exhibit SoCalGas-61, Letter from
6	Timothy Sullivan to Rodger Schwecke Re:
7	Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Facility,
8	March 16th, 2017.]
9	SoCalGas-128, SED's Response to
10	SoCalGas' Data Request 9, Questions 10
11	through 12, May 15, 2020.
12	And SoCalGas-147, Agenda for DOG
13	Annual Review Meeting for Aliso Canyon,
14	June 13, 1989.
15	SoCalGas-144, SoCalGas' Natural Gas
16	Operator Safety Plan, 2012.
17	And then there are other exhibits
18	we used today, but they were identified
19	before. I am assuming I don't have to
20	identify those again.
21	ALJ POIRIER: No.
22	MR. STODDARD: Thank you.
23	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-126 was marked for identification.)
24	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-127 was marked
25	for identification.)
26	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-58 was marked for identification.)
27	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-59 was marked
28	for identification.)

1	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-60 was marked for identification.)
2	
3	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas 135 was marked for identification.)
4	(Exhibit No. CAL PA-401 was marked for identification.)
5	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-145 was marked
6	for identification.)
7	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-146 was marked for identification.)
8	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-143 was marked
9	for identification.)
10	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-124 was marked for identification.)
11	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-125 was marked
12	for identification.)
13	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-61 was marked for identification.)
14	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-128 was marked
15	for identification.)
16	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-147 was marked for identification.)
17	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-144 was marked
18	for identification.)
19	ALJ HECHT: I just want to break in for
20	a moment to say if you saw me leap a couple
21	of minutes ago, it was due to a noise in my
22	home and it has nothing to do with this case,
23	but I didn't want you to see anything and
24	think I was reacting to anything here. It
25	was a dog barking. Sorry.
26	MR. STODDARD: Thank you.
27	ALJ POIRIER: One thing more I want to
28	handle before the end of the day.

1	I think SoCalGas had a motion to
2	strike yesterday portions of Ms. Felts'
3	testimony. I think we're going to deny that
4	without prejudice at this point. I think it
5	sounds like we want to hear more about from
6	Blade on some of those topics and that can be
7	revisited at a later time.
8	So that motion to strike is denied
9	without prejudice at this time.
10	So I think that concludes what I
11	want to handle.
12	Are there any other housekeeping
13	matters that any of the parties want to
14	raise?
15	Mr. Gruen.
16	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, just I think
17	I just want to be clear your Honor's
18	instruction that we should be ready for
19	redirect is loud and clear. And if I am
20	tracking right, I just want to be sure
21	Mr. Stoddard had indicated that we've got
22	about an hour of cross left for Ms. Felts I
23	think earlier in the day, and I am just
24	wondering if he has a calibration for his
25	cross-estimate starting tomorrow morning.
26	MR. STODDARD: I think, as I said
27	earlier, I need to go back and cut I need
28	to kind of see what I can cut out just to get

1	done with this tomorrow morning. A lot of it				
2	depends on the pace of answers and other				
3	things like objections as well. So it's hard				
4	exactly to pin down of course, but I am				
5	anticipating for sure that we will be able to				
6	wrap it up in the morning and I am hoping to				
7	get it in around an hour, maybe a little bit				
8	more.				
9	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. And I encourage				
10	you to oh, Ms. Patel, please go ahead.				
11	MS. PATEL: Oh. My comment is on a				
12	different topic.				
13	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. It makes sense.				
14	If possible, I encourage you guys to				
15	communicate to the parties if there is news				
16	to provide us an e-mail for notice tomorrow				
17	morning.				
18	Ms. Patel.				
19	MS. PATEL: Thank you, your Honor.				
20	Avisha Patel on behalf of SoCalGas.				
21	I just wanted to clarify, I think				
22	your Honor was reading from the Cal				
23	Advocates' cross-estimate list when you				
24	identified the order in which they would				
25	appear. But our scheduled order of				
26	appearance is actually for the panel to go				
27	first and then for Mr. Bach, Mr. Taul and				
28	Mr. Holzchuh.				

	March 18, 2021	45
1	ALJ POIRIER: That's fine.	
2	MS. PATEL: Is that correct?	
3	ALJ HECHT: Yes, it is.	
4	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Thank you for the	
5	clarification.	
6	Mr. Stoddard, do you have anything	
7	any housekeeping before we go?	
8	MR. STODDARD: No, your Honor. Thank	
9	you.	
10	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. We are going to	
11	start tomorrow at 10:00 a.m., again.	
12	Again, everybody please get on a	
13	little bit early, so we can make sure all the	
14	AV is set. I want to thank everybody again	
15	and have a good evening and take care. Thank	
16	you. We will be off the record.	
17	(Off the record.)	
18	(Whereupon, at the hour of 4:03	
19	p.m., this matter having been continued to 10:00 a.m., March 19, 2021, the	
20	Commission then adjourned.)]	
21	* * * * *	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION				
2	OF THE				
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
4					
5					
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING				
7	I, ANDREA L. ROSS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER				
8	NO. 7896, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO				
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT				
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT				
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN				
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 18, 2021.				
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE				
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.				
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 23, 2021.				
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
21	Andrea L. ROSS				
22	CSR NO. 7896				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA				

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, CAROL ANN MENDEZ, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 4330, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 18, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 23, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	$\rho \rho (\rho \rho)$
20	Cara Am Wendes
21	CAROL ANN MENDEZ CSR NO. 4330
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4	5	6
---	---	---

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION				
2	OF THE				
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
4					
5					
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING				
7	I, REBEKAH L. DE ROSA, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND				
8	REPORTER NO. 8708, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,				
9	DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT				
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT				
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN				
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 18, 2021.				
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE				
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.				
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 23, 2021.				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	Rebekal L. Defasa REBEKAH L. DE ROSA				
21	REBEKAH L. DE ROSA CSR NO. 8708				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

March 18, 2021			
	128 423:12	1952 330:7	448:1
-	12:07 365:21	1969 330:6	2015 413:6
-47.0004(sic) 360:20	12a-c 310:12	1970 330:6	2017 401:10,18 402:4
··	13 347:1,16 372:15	1980 330:6	404:19 449:1,8
0	377:14 385:14 449:14	1987 370:26	2019 360:8
0002 369:17	13,000 431:2	1988 332:20 338:19	2020 341:2 343:14,15 372:7,8 418:28 419:21
0020 375:10	13,000-some 434:12	340:4,10,12,22 353:15 354:16,21 357:9,25,28	423:20 449:3,11
0022 375:10	13,490 431:14,21	358:13 368:21 369:4	2021 372:8 419:13
0039 370:20	13-some 432:25	370:26 373:12 375:20 376:5 377:17 448:3,24	20th 343:14
0049 375:19 381:14	135 322:16 450:2	1989 336:3 368:6	22 333:18 360:8 420:2
070 441:28	13th 384:20	372:15 373:13 375:20	422:13
	14 432:25	377:14,24,25 381:20 384:15,18,20 441:19	22,000-some 435:16
1	14,000 436:27 437:24	449:14	223.0375 427:28
1 296:1 314:9 326:3,10	14-some-thousand 432:26	1990 332:14,18 380:6 381:20 448:26	224 433:7
327:3,16,17,19 328:2,5	143 400:25 401:14	1991 368:6	224-something 441:27
385:12 402:4 404:19	144 426:23 445:3,9	1:10 365:18,21 366:1	224.0030 428:17
1,587 432:28 433:2	145 370:21 448:22	1a 310:11	224.02 429:18
1-A 447:25	145.0019 370:2	1e-f 310:11	224.070 429:22 441:20
1-E 447:25	146 380:6		444:28 445:16
10 338:13 423:19,25 449:10	146.0002 380:19	2	228 295:23
10.1 424:10	146.0022 380:22	2 206:4 202:26 205:4	23 373:6 413:6
102 420:4 427:19	146.0025 381:5	2 296:1 303:26 305:4 310:6,11,19,25 343:20,	24 418:28 419:21
103 410:28 411:7	147 372:14 384:17	23 347:20 349:9 403:13 404:11 447:25	24th 449:3
412:21	147.0003 372:23	2,688 433:6	25 335:2 386:4,23 419:13
10:10 419:21	15 304:20 310:5,10	2.0028 389:19	26 368:21 369:3 377:25
11 338:13 343:20,27 351:25 352:28	355:23,27 423:20 447:23,24 449:11	2.065 402:3	396:8 432:3,18
11-3/4 414:9,25	15-minute 337:22,28	2.420 402:10	26th 384:15 448:24
11-A 447:26	150 376:21	2.5.1 349:9	27 331:18 372:18 376:19 377:5 396:8
116 420:4	16 341:14 401:18	20 372:28 410:27 411:2	28 389:16 420:2 422:14
11:20 337:23	16th 401:25 449:8	2001 329:12	28 389.10 420.2 422.14 28th 448:3
11a-b 310:11	17 369:10 377:4 380:10	2012 423:26 424:20	2:10 394:8
12 338:19 353:16	18 340:27 341:10	441:25 449:16	
354:16 357:9 394:8 423:19 449:11	377:24 411:21 412:8	2012-2013 427:14	3
12-A 447:26	18th 366:10	2012/2013 446:2	3 206.1 204.2 205.17
124 420:4 427:19 449:2	19 369:25 411:21	2013 420:2 424:20	3 296:1 304:3 305:17 310:11 311:18,23
124 420:4 427.19 449.2 125 420:17	19-06-016 366:10	2014 322:13,22 329:12 332:15 424:21 441:20	350:21 447:25
		002.10 727.21 441.20	

March 18, 2021			
30 401:9	585 300:28 301:12,13,	930 362:28	9
308 340:27 341:10	16,20,24 302:3,12,13 303:27 304:4 305:5,13,	990 362:27 363:11	advancements 444:24
30th 448:28	18 306:4,5,12,18		Advocates 446:25
35 340:27	310:20 311:5,19 312:3, 12 313:6 314:17,24	Α	Advocates' 447:9
35C 381:28 382:5,13	315:2,8,22,26 316:9,22, 28 317:15 319:4 320:3	a.m. 419:21	Advocates-401 383:26
39-45 414:4	321:7,13 322:12,16,21	abandoned 371:2	afford 374:1
4	324:14 326:6,28 327:25 447:28	375:23 381:23	afoot 409:18
	5A 382:16	ability 364:1	afternoon 338:3 366:1,
4 396:13	5a-b 310:11	absolutely 417:24 426:4	11 394:7,16 418:7,11
4-A 447:25 44 360:22 414:14	5th 341:1 343:15	access 382:26 384:5 385:25	Agenda 368:18 369:2 372:14 448:23 449:12
451 298:3,7 338:20	6	accessed 403:9	agree 295:2 319:15
340:1 386:25 390:14		accord 308:23	321:19,28 339:28 345:10 349:11,20,28
396:21,28 397:7,17 398:1,17 399:5,11	6 385:13 396:14	accordance 336:1	351:13 352:15 359:17
413:13 414:27 439:27, 28 440:26	60 310:5 417:7	accurate 346:2 440:4	373:21,24 374:15,19 376:10 382:11,20
47 323:23 331:17	61 353:13 407:6	accurately 329:20	383:17 387:27 392:3
335:22 338:11 360:5	61-72 355:27	activities 371:24	406:18,21 435:24 437:7
386:2 410:27 411:2 439:8,19,21	7	actual 307:1	agreed 294:11 322:3 397:12
47.0029 386:9	7 044 40 070 40 005 40	add 350:24 395:25	agreeing 316:26
47.0044 360:23	7 314:10 378:10 385:13 414:8,24 431:1	added 306:14 314:26 315:4 433:7,10	agrees 307:15 320:13 345:14 349:24
486 448:21,22	70 347:1	adding 395:9,20	ahead 300:6 335:20
487 448:22	72 353:13	addition 431:6	350:12 355:21 366:15
4:30 338:5	73 354:20 355:3,24,28	additional 332:18	394:19 397:25 418:18 436:19 438:18 439:16
4a 310:11	356:3 357:20	344:20 348:7 388:11	442:14 445:7
4s 360:22	77 392:10 396:20 397:17	401:20 405:6 413:10, 17,24 414:1	Aliso 321:14 326:9 327:1 331:13 336:2
5	79 325:12,15,23	address 346:3 350:5 402:24 419:20	340:3 368:20 369:3,28 372:15 377:21,24
5 296:16,19 297:10	8	addresses 349:23,28	380:7,25 387:21 389:25 395:12 399:23 403:11,
305:27 314:9 344:18 348:4 369:27	9 070.4	adhered 335:28	27 404:5 409:18,26
5-A 447:26	8 379:1	adjusted 418:13	410:2 412:5 413:4 416:21 417:23 424:24
50 347:9 439:21,22	892 360:10,11 361:3,19	administrative 305:15 308:23 338:25	426:5 440:24 448:4,24
51 343:19 351:25	9	admissible 424:12,18	449:7,13
352:26 389:15 395:18	0 252.27 254.4 279.40	admit 433:1	ALJ 299:28 300:6 303:3 309:4,28 318:16 319:15
396:7 430:28	9 352:27 354:1 378:10 379:4 449:10		320:16 321:18 324:23
51.0011 354:5	9.25 361:5	adopted 301:28 358:15	325:4 328:10 329:22,25 330:26 335:9,11
58 303:2 353:20 354:13, 23 357:10	900 363:5	Adrian 382:16 advance 307:20 309:7,	337:21,25 342:11,19 347:2,5,27 348:1 350:9,
		uaranoo 007.20 003.7,	011.2,0,21 010.1 000.0,

11 353:6,9 355:12,19 annulus 399:24 356:4,12,22 359:27 anomalous 378:24 360:1,12,14 362:1,3 365:12,16 366:8 368:3, answers 300:16 22,26 370:17,19 383:23 320:15 350:24 384:8,10 386:12 387:11 anticipated 405:2 391:1,3 394:2,4,13 395:14 396:1,3 397:20 anticipating 407:2 398:21,26 401:2,4 API 300:27 301:2,11,13, 403:18,22 404:7 408:23 16,20,24 302:3,12 410:24 411:24,27 303:27 304:4 305:5,13, 414:15,19 418:3,6,10, 18 306:4,5,12,17 24 419:3,6 420:20 310:20 311:5,19 312:3, 421:12 425:2,4,10 12 313:6 314:17,24 426:24,26 436:5,16,18 315:2,7,20,22,26 316:1, 438:13 439:9,14,16 9,22,28 317:15 319:4 442:7,14 445:4,6 446:7, 320:3 321:7,13 322:12, 9 447:3,6 448:12,14 16,21 324:14 326:6,27 449:21 450:19.27 327:25 **allegation** 340:1 357:7 apologies 430:13 alleged 295:7 354:14 apologize 325:3 413:18 416:3 328:13 331:23 342:17 alleging 393:13 348:27 350:19 359:20 370:15 382:3 391:14 414:13 allowed 375:3 apparent 309:25 allowing 414:7,23 apparently 299:5 313:11 422:2 amended 439:19 appeared 356:9 American 301:2 appears 343:5 349:1, 323:20,26 324:7 326:1, 16 382:7 448:8 5 447:27 **Appendix** 427:25,26 amount 400:21 402:8 applicable 316:2 327:3 336:12 427:7 314:22 326:3,11 327:4, applied 306:13 310:20 16,19 328:2,5,24 311:6 312:4,12 314:25 329:10 315:22 316:9 317:1,5, and/or 378:20 Angeles 341:1 402:28 407:22 annual 335:28 336:4 368:8,19,20 369:2 371:19,23 372:9,14 373:4,11,20 375:16

380:6,17 383:6,14 384:19,25 385:24 422:3,27 448:23,25 449:13

annular 370:9

approve 388:27

approved 385:12

430:10 446:2

approximately

448:1 449:3

area 361:3

384:23,24 418:12

April 322:13,22 370:26

377:24 418:28 419:21

arrangement 417:16

aspects 323:10

asserting 377:16

assess 399:3 416:4

assessed 417:10

assessing 397:7

assessment 301:9

332:2,27 399:5,11

405:10 416:9

425:16

439:5

340:3

419:26

321:26 327:13 328:26

assessments 401:22

assist 304:14 352:21

association 421:5

assume 409:27 413:19

assumed 335:27 336:7

assuming 400:17

attached 315:14

attachment 420:17

attendance 338:24

attestation 294:10

attorney 335:14 362:6

407:1 449:19

attempt 417:1

asserts 393:14

417:19

assert 413:10

authority 389:6 avoid 308:7 413:21

> aware 296:6,19 297:13 298:10.24 302:20 317:19 318:10,24 319:20,21 321:9 322:11 337:9,16 367:23 375:1 383:9 404:3 413:24 415:23 440:7

awareness 333:2

back 311:13 315:15 318:1 319:2 327:8 330:21 331:16 335:11, 22 337:22,25 338:10 340:4 347:5,25 348:1 350:11,18 351:16,24 353:2.9 355:19 360:1. 14 362:3 364:10,13 365:18 366:8 368:26 370:19 375:9 383:4,21 384:10 391:3 393:17 394:13,18 396:3 401:4 410:26 411:27 412:20 414:19 418:10 419:6 425:4,9,12 426:26 427:3 430:27 436:3,8, 13,18 442:13 444:3,4, 26 445:3,6,12 446:9 447:6 448:14 451:27

background 338:26

backup 386:26 387:2 388:6,10,19,23

balance 402:5

barking 450:25

barrier 386:27 387:2 388:7,10,16,17,20,24 389:5 390:20 391:11 399:21 411:15 412:9, 14,17,18

barrier-related 391:8

barriers 389:24 391:25 392:15 395:11,25 396:15

based 301:10 302:12 308:21,24 317:12

audio 294:12,18 23 383:8,13,19,21,27

В

alleviate 400:7

ambiguous 305:8

analysis 301:5 306:10

16 319:4 364:7 applies 302:22 303:27 304:4 305:5,18 311:20

316:22 319:27 327:24

apply 294:13 301:17 306:17 313:8,13 315:1, 2,7,26 320:3 321:8,10 324:19 331:11 351:12

appreciated 333:28

approval 336:3 377:21, 384:23,27 430:15 446:5

March 18, 2021				
339:15 340:14 343:2,3,	Blade 301:19 302:23	broken 448:18	capable 357:28	
5 407:4 422:16	305:14 306:7,10 311:4, 13 312:2,11 313:10,11,	Bruno 422:25 423:4	capacity 400:10 402:2,	
basically 324:19 416:15	14,25 314:5,15,19,22,	Bruno's 422:3	12,22 404:17 405:24 406:1,28 407:27	
Basin 402:28	26 315:4,21,24 316:6,8 317:20 318:11,25	build 405:19 build-up 406:9	caption 304:18	
basis 299:24 306:2	319:3,22 324:13 326:18,21 327:7 339:16		care 339:9	
307:21 308:16 311:12 314:3,16 323:4 324:14	340:8 343:3 344:6	building 405:15	careful 438:21	
336:19 356:2 367:8	345:2,4,6,9,10,14 346:25,26,27 349:10,24	built 406:3	Carnahan 343:26	
368:8 391:18 397:19 431:19 442:17,18	350:23 351:7 352:22	bunch 439:2	344:4,18 346:25 347:12 348:28	
Bates 295:26 296:2,17	358:14 362:17 363:28 417:10,14,21 442:22	burdensome 298:23 305:9	Carnahan's 344:7,17	
303:17 305:2 323:1	451:6	buried 415:15	345:1,24 349:17,23	
325:11,15,19,24 338:13 353:1 354:5 360:18	Blade's 302:1 306:1	bullea 415.15	350:1,23 351:27	
362:10 369:7,8,16	311:12 313:16 314:4 315:13 316:6,18	С	carry 444:1	
370:1 372:16,22 378:1 380:8 386:9 389:16	317:10,12 319:2 320:3		case 299:24 317:10 333:5 342:9 359:8	
396:9 401:14 404:13	344:8,16 347:8 349:7 350:28 352:6 358:2	cabinet 437:20	377:6 388:16 399:22	
407:14 411:3 414:5 419:7,9 420:19 421:3,8	364:14 365:1 441:8	Cal 383:26 446:25 447:9 448:1,8,9,20	416:12 422:23 450:22	
425:7 427:1 431:4	Bladed 306:14	450:4	cases 341:27	
432:22	blocked 345:22	calculated 424:17	casing 332:8,12 333:21,23 334:4,6,11,	
Bcf 402:3,10	blow 427:13	calculation 431:20	17,22 338:19 339:17	
bear 359:19 364:11	blurry 362:26	433:21 calibrated 359:16	340:16 344:28 346:2,12 347:11 348:15 349:5,19	
bearing 370:23 396:20 397:16,28 398:16	board 299:6	calibration 451:24	351:2,3,4,10,20,22	
began 339:24 341:11	boiler 302:15 316:23 317:6 323:25	California 295:15	352:2,10,11,12 355:26 357:9,24 358:1,7 361:2,	
beginning 341:24	bolded 405:17	298:2,7,19 299:8	22 362:22 363:17,19, 23,25,26 364:3,8,16,18,	
402:4 411:20,22	bottom 303:18 305:2	300:17 303:6,13 310:4, 10 341:1 356:16 366:22	19,20 370:8 375:27	
begins 355:23	325:16 353:12 371:16	388:27 389:6,7 403:10	376:7 381:26,27 382:8, 13,16 383:10,17 386:28	
behalf 344:5 347:12	374:25 385:10 427:10	404:2 406:14 407:8,19, 20 413:11 423:17	387:4,15,16,18 388:2,9,	
belabor 373:1	break 331:3 335:19,23 337:22,28 362:8 365:18	called 297:20	11,14,20,28 399:24,28 400:10,22 405:7,27	
believed 306:11 365:5	391:5 393:6 394:6,7,16	calling 384:27 397:9	406:19,26,28 407:2	
believes 314:23	400:26 418:4,11,23 425:14 450:19	423:1	412:5,18 413:3,28 414:9 415:24,27	
believing 367:9	briefly 303:4 338:27	calls 438:10	416:25,26 417:2,4,21	
belong 438:27	361:27 371:5 380:19	Calpa-401 377:20	casings 301:22 339:3	
big 437:22	383:21 399:20 412:12 426:16	Canyon 321:14 326:9	340:25 353:16 354:16 357:26 358:6 382:18	
bigger 297:6 344:11 362:24	bring 335:21 383:4,20	327:1 331:13 336:2 340:3 368:21 369:3,28	414:25 415:5,10 416:6,	
bit 319:3 325:1 335:5	386:2 400:26 432:7,9	372:15 377:21,24	11	
338:6,23,26 341:11	442:7	380:7,25 387:21 399:23 403:11,27 404:6	catalyst 444:5,9	
344:3,11 384:2 386:5, 14 389:20 410:28	broad 298:17 387:8 392:28 397:10	409:18,26 410:3 412:5	catastrophic 333:20 334:3,21	
418:21 420:24 427:13	broke 366:12	413:4 416:21 417:23 424:24 426:5 448:5,24	categories 369:19	
441:12		449:7,13	434:13,16,19	

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

arcii 10, 2021		
Celanese 443:4,25	clear 318:2 434:19,20	
cement 415:20 417:2,8	451:17,19	
cementing 415:23	clearer 363:2,5	
centralizer 346:11	close 409:18	
centralizers 344:24	coalesced 361:8	
345:19 346:5,9,22 348:11,20,22 350:6	Code 298:2,7 413:12	
certified 343:28 391:6	column 370:4	
chain 426:20	comma 395:7,9,20,25	
chance 355:6,20 393:4,	commenced 378:21	
28	Commission 403:9 406:15 407:9,20,24 410:7,12 421:24 424:8 430:10 446:3,4	
change 378:25 385:26 432:8		
changed 367:5 368:11 chapter 305:27 314:8	Commission's 403:10 430:15	
343:20,23,27 352:27	committed 429:7	
354:2 396:9 431:1	common 323:14	
characterization 329:1 385:4	communication 336:24	
characterize 330:2	community 323:16	
characterized 319:1	company 310:4 335:27	
characterizes 316:18	354:15 356:16 404:2 443:4 company's 295:16 303:6,13 310:10 353:15 354:14 355:25 357:8 423:17	
characterizing 316:8		
check 338:19 353:16 354:16 355:26 357:9,26		
chemical 444:6		
chemicals 444:9	compared 441:20	
chooses 374:24	compile 418:14	
circle 442:13	complete 320:17	
cites 326:17,27	completed 371:14	
claim 391:23 392:1	Completions 396:17	
clarification 313:23	complex 326:10 327:2	
325:14 386:8 403:23,28 clarified 318:3 389:3	compliance 298:6 335:27 337:3 397:5	
447:9	compliant 337:12	
clarify 300:1 318:5 332:7 355:22 381:15	complicated 318:20	
383:22 388:19 403:26	complied 309:10	
418:20	comply 336:9,11	
clarifying 299:25	422:26	
clarity 329:22 382:2 393:23 394:3	complying 398:6	

compound 321:17 computer 363:4 concept 333:23 334:6, 18 concern 330:24 406:13 408:15,16 concerned 373:22 **concerns** 402:25 **conclude** 439:12 concluded 413:19 concludes 451:10 conclusion 397:9 422:24 432:24 conclusions 385:26 condition 407:4 conduct 375:6 396:28 398:14 conducted 332:2,27 370:28 conference 397:13 confident 426:6 configuration 387:27, 28 388:3 398:15 400:17 404:27 405:8 406:19 configurations 351:2 352:11 configured 387:21 confirm 318:15 320:11 321:11 333:22 334:5,17 372:21 421:4 426:6 434:12 447:2 confirmed 355:28 373:27 confirming 299:25 300:13 connection 299:22 340:2 369:10 416:3,10 consent 294:15,17 321:1 Conservation 369:21 372:26

component 323:5

Index: Celanese..contradictory

considerations 399:8, 9 considered 340:23 consistent 316:20

consideration 396:27

317:13 321:12 327:15

consistently 324:10 407:3

constructed 387:24

consult 295:3 362:24 435:6

consultation 330:16 407:18

consulted 309:13,14 319:10 320:1,14,26

consulting 341:27

contemplated 400:18

contend 303:27 304:3 305:4,18 310:20 311:19 327:28 332:7

contending 327:10 336:8 441:2

contends 326:21

content 319:10 419:25 423:2

contention 391:18

contents 369:18 372:20,24 380:20 427:6

context 341:23 350:22

continue 300:1 319:17 324:23 331:1,4 356:21, 22 365:13 366:14 368:4,27 396:5 401:5 425:6 426:27 448:15

continued 385:11

continues 361:10,12

continuing 314:13

continuous 440:1,27

continuously 307:5

contract 417:16

contracts 443:6.10

contradictory 320:12, 15

Index: co	ntributing.	.description
-----------	-------------	--------------

arch 18, 2021	-
contributing 301:8	corro- 3
conversation 430:24	corrode
convert 406:5 converted 370:28 375:22 381:22 convinced 336:14,21	corrosio 24 340:4 345:12 3 349:4,12 353:23 3
copies 446:17	360:26 3 414:8,24
copy 325:19 347:23,26 356:15,25 362:25 434:26,27	416:13 corrosio
corner 303:19 419:8	couched
427:2 correct 299:9 301:3,12 302:3 313:8 316:23 317:1,26 319:26 321:15 322:27 324:5,13,16 326:18,22 329:4,12 330:7 332:14,22 333:3, 4 334:13 336:13 338:28	counsel 313:25 3 330:11,2 356:12 3 368:24 3 425:19,2 counsel 393:1
339:4,8,12,18,21 340:17 343:7,11 350:7 351:11,27 352:3,6 358:19 359:7 361:17,19 362:20 363:15,17,20,25 364:25,26 365:3,7	couple 3 382:24 4 450:20 court 29 342:15,1
373:4,17 374:12 375:7,	cover 36
8 376:5 377:17 380:27 385:1,17 387:6,18,22 388:9,25 390:12 391:27 392:9 399:25,28 400:4,	CPUC 3 420:1,27 create 3
11,15 406:15 408:17 416:6 417:18 425:15	created
428:11 434:27,28 435:7,13 436:25 440:17 442:23 444:20 445:25	cross 33 447:124
446:3	cross-es 451:25
corrected 325:7 331:17 338:11 356:14, 25 360:5 386:3 392:8 410:27 432:6	cross-ex 294:5 29 308:20,2
correcting 356:10 432:14	338:1,8 17 393:1 410:20 4
correction 356:13,20, 24 357:3 412:22	cross-ex 446:18
correctly 321:19 354:22 correspondence	cross-ex 299:13 3 366:13

337:8 434:22 448:2

339:24 415:12 on 339:11,21, 4,15 344:27 348:14,23 2 351:8 352:2 357:12,28 358:9 361:2 364:2,16 4 415:4,9 ons 349:18 **d** 312:23 297:20 312:18 320:8,25 321:4 24 352:23 366:12 367:16 393:3,15 21

's 299:12

355:15 376:22 423:21 425:23

94:1 324:28 17

60:8 406:23

847:9 419:28 7 449:4

324:8,21

336:18 361:7

35:18 418:13 451:22

stimate

xamination

99:21 307:19,20 27 309:26 365:13 366:14, 11 398:23 446:14

xamined

xamining 335:14 362:6

cross-sections 416:21

Crosstalk 393:20 398:28 432:2 445:18 curiosity 422:19 curtailment 408:11 409:8.12 customers 408:5 409:3 cut 344:8 359:24 451:27,28 cutting 359:25 418:22 D damage 339:12 Darryl 306:27 353:26 392:26 418:27 419:26 421:26 422:4 430:12 449:2 data 295:12,16 297:5 299:17,21 303:7,14 304:5,20 305:20 306:1, 25 310:4,10 311:13,21 312:6,13,16 313:12,16, 19,26 314:5,14 315:13 316:28 317:14 318:1,4, 9 319:6,11 320:24 344:6,16 345:2,4 347:8, 9 352:21,24 359:4 364:1,14 369:10 372:18 376:18 377:4 379:6,23 380:10 421:9 423:12,18 424:5 425:28 426:8,14 430:8 432:7,15,16 433:18 438:1 439:1

database 428:6

449:10

447:18,20,21,23,24

date 304:5 305:19 311:21 312:6,13 319:5 333:16 342:10 377:13, 15 384:15,19 425:23

dated 336:3 360:7 377:21,24 401:9 418:28 419:21 423:20 448:1

dates 426:12,19

day 309:9,13,15 366:19 398:20 402:3,11 418:16 450:28 451:23

days 307:4 329:19 **December** 372:4,6,8 decided 373:28 defects 344:23 345:17 348:10 349:2.26 351:28 **Defense** 443:9 definition 434:4,20 definitions 318:2 degree 359:16 364:15 385:22 **del** 401:23 delaying 405:9 delete 395:21,22 deleting 395:8 deliverability 399:10, 14 400:3,11 delivered 399:9 delivery 405:23 446:13 demand 407:4 409:27 demonstrably 408:2 denied 451:8 deny 451:3 Department 368:19 407:23 443:8 deposition 295:22 300:21 303:22 304:24 307:16 310:15 312:22 313:3 340:28 341:4 343:9,14 358:16,22,25 419:12 421:27 **depth** 351:21 361:21 363:13,16 **describe** 303:3 364:12 399:21 419:1 442:16 describes 370:4 378:11 381:19 430:5

describing 361:17 387:9 388:1 406:17 426:16

description 327:22 328:1,23 329:28 360:26 373:3 422:16 447:17 448:6,20

•		
design 301:21	discusses 326:8	DOGGER 406:15
designed 339:2 443:2, 16	discussing 295:7 363:28 366:23 384:18	DOGGR 336:14,16,21, 24 337:1,8,10,15
designers 345:27	discussion 313:18	366:23,27 367:9,14,22 368:7 371:18,19 372:14
detail 315:12 447:12	321:5 364:4,6 390:15 397:26 407:11 411:12,	373:8,19 374:12,28
detailed 385:23	21,23 430:18	377:20,23 380:6 381:9, 10 382:7 383:7,9,12,15
detailing 383:8	discussions 331:11	384:19,25,26 385:23
detect 339:2 364:2	425:20	388:26 389:3 400:18
detectable 340:4	disorganized 431:7	doubt 317:20 318:11, 25 319:22
detected 340:9 357:24	dispute 385:4	down-hole 366:24
detecting 357:28	disputed 308:27	DR-27 433:6
determination 301:7	distinction 329:8,10	draft 323:28
321:25	distinguish 344:22 345:17 348:9 349:2,25	drain 416:15
determine 359:4	351:28	drawers 437:20
determined 362:7 404:28 407:25	distribution 379:25	drawing 361:24,25,28
developing 324:3	division 295:17 303:8,	362:5
difference 328:15	15 304:19 306:28 310:9 378:17 379:12 448:26	dreamed 368:16
differences 441:19	Division's 310:3	drill 417:14
differently 307:9	423:15	drilled 370:27 375:21
difficulty 344:26	division-approved	381:21 417:3,17,22
345:11 348:13,23	379:2	drum 443:16 444:11
349:4,11,18 352:1	doc- 435:15	drums 444:8
direct 299:18 316:1 401:26 402:8 415:19	document 303:10 304:12,15 310:7 322:26	dual 389:4,24 390:20 391:7,10,19,25 392:4,
directed 404:18	324:20 347:14 354:19	15,17 394:27 395:11
directive 402:20	355:7 367:11,25 368:15 369:2,13,19,26 370:25	396:14 399:21 411:12, 15 412:9,14,17
	371:25 372:24 375:13,	dual-flow 398:15
Director 401:9 407:8 410:13	14 376:14 378:4,6 380:3,12,15 381:16	412:18
Disagree 349:8	382:23 385:6,16 397:23	due 339:7,11,20 450:21
disagreed 345:7	401:8 403:14,17 419:2, 4,9 420:12,20,21 421:6,	duplicates 431:16
disagrees 307:15	13,16 425:11,15,25	437:16,17
disclose 444:6	427:19 434:27 442:5,8	Ε
discovered 361:3	documents 307:26,27 308:9,15,22 309:2,7,12	
discovery 412:26	324:26 383:4 385:21	e-mail 418:27 419:17,
421:18 424:18	410:20 421:2 423:25 426:9 427:25 430:16,18	19,20,25 420:14,18 421:2,26 422:13,16
discuss 338:26	433:13 434:6,15,17	423:3,21 425:24,26
discussed 311:14 312:17,28 320:28 322:4	435:17 437:4,18	426:15,18 427:21 430:9,20

312:17,28 320:28 322:4 327:21 352:23 364:28

381:8 425:18

dog 369:2 448:23 449:12 450:25

e-pages 420:4 earlier 368:10 382:26 384:18 426:3 438:1 443:23 451:23,27 early 367:11 edition 322:13,22 efforts 415:16 **electric** 408:17 electric-generating 402:26 electricity 408:11 409:8,13 electronic 433:11 electronics 443:17,18 elements 327:27 elevated 402:21 elicit 424:6 **Email** 449:2 emails 438:28 emergency 373:23 employees 298:5 398:5 399:15 employing 307:3 encourage 409:21 end 320:11 327:6 356:1 417:1 418:16 450:28 endeavor 418:21 ended 377:8 422:7 energy 305:14 311:4, 13 312:2,11 314:6 315:21 317:20 318:11. 25 319:3,22 399:10 405:16 406:20 407:19 408:4,7 409:1,4 Enforcement 295:17 303:8,14 304:19 306:27 310:3,8 423:15 engineer 323:6 engineering 323:15 369:20

> engineers 353:20 435:3 436:28

24

e-mails 421:17,21,23,

enhancement 401:28 402:6 449:1 enhancements 401:21 ensure 309:21 entails 399:21 entire 355:7 393:12 400:16 435:17 entirety 351:26 435:18 entitled 308:20 369:2 393:24 environment 415:12. 14 environmental 443:11 envisioned 345:27 equipment 322:18 326:7,9 327:1 398:3,9 441:6 443:8 erosion 339:12 error 356:11 448:7 establish 399:18 establishing 307:24 estimated 431:12 estimates 446:14 estimation 440:4 evaluating 302:17 evaluation 379:8 event 323:12 events 426:21 eventually 377:9 evidence 337:14 390:2,11,15 391:9 392:12 394:26 424:12, 19 evidentiary 366:9 evolution 442:26 443:21 experienced 353:23 evolved 441:15 442:20 357:12 443:12,13,17,19 experimentation exact 351:21 358:25 332:24 examination 295:4 experimenting

examples 353:21 357:11 383:6 exceeds 328:1 excuse 335:16 400:16 **Executive** 401:8 407:7 410:12 exhibit 295:12,21,23 296:27 303:2,3,22 304:9,23 310:5,15 322:16 325:7 331:17 335:16,22 338:11 340:27 343:19 347:1,3 351:25 352:26 353:7,10 360:5 368:18 370:2,21 372:14 377:11 380:5 383:23.25 384:6.17 386:2 389:14,15 395:15,18 396:7 400:25 401:13 407:6 410:27 411:2 418:26 419:12 420:17 423:12 426:22 430:28 439:8,18 445:3, 9 448:7,17,22,24,26 449:2,5,23,24,26,27 450:1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11, 13,14,16,17 exhibit's 448:18 exhibits 307:20 308:4, 6,18 356:16 418:15 446:25 447:12,16 448:17 449:17 exist 398:7 existed 358:13 existence 381:9 existing 405:10 exists 351:3 352:11 368:15 expect 297:20 323:24 expected 408:4 409:1 **experience** 323:6,7 341:14 343:6 358:18, 21,26 415:2 444:18

332:12,16

explain 338:23 345:8 349:9,27 350:24,25 354:12 357:18,22 371:5 374:22 411:13 412:12 433:28 438:4 443:24

explained 306:11 314:23 explains 350:3 352:9 explanation 365:1 explosions 323:25 exposed 416:16 exposure 415:3,6,8 extent 301:28 309:6 318:7 extra 441:12 extract 400:21 extracted 340:16

face 318:9

facilities 296:10 302:22 303:28 304:5 305:5,19 310:21 311:7, 20 312:5,12 313:8 315:22 316:10 317:1,16 319:5 324:2 398:3 402:27 408:1 440:23

F

facility 321:14 327:15 413:5 449:7

fact 332:11 336:11 350:4 375:1.4 383:10 393:14 415:10

factor 339:10

factors 339:8

factual 392:5

failed 409:4

fails 408:6

failure 296:23 297:16 298:12 301:11 302:10 323:2 326:8 328:24 329:10,28 338:18 339:18 347:11 353:14, 24 354:15 355:24

357:8,13 360:27 361:17 363:19 365:7 409:19 416:4 423:10 441:7

failures 295:9 321:14, 24 326:4,11,13 327:4, 19 328:2,6,7,27 330:6

fair 329:1 364:27 398:12

fairly 330:15

familiar 300:27 302:27 403:4 421:13,15

fast 378:14 424:15

February 341:1 343:15 419:13

federal 389:6

feet 361:3,19 362:28 363:5,11

felt 323:27

Felts 294:7,17,21,25 295:14 296:4 297:1.19 299:14 300:26 303:9 304:11,23,28 307:6,14 308:8 310:14,22 312:9 313:5,27 315:17 316:4 317:19 318:10,15,23,24 319:9,19,21 320:13,20 321:6,21 322:11,17 325:28 327:9 328:23 329:15,27 331:6,20 332:5,25 336:5 337:4 338:21 340:28 343:22, 24 344:10,15 346:7 347:17,25 348:4 351:11,14,18,26 352:20.27 353:25 354:8 355:6 357:3 359:21 360:7,17 361:14 362:12 363:8 364:5 366:4,13, 19 369:1,12,23 370:3, 12,24 372:22 373:7 375:11,19 376:13 377:13 378:5 380:3,11, 23 381:6,18 382:6,22 383:28 384:11.14 385:21 386:3,6,21 388:22 389:23 390:18, 26 391:5 392:25 393:1, 3 394:8,17,18,20,24 395:19 396:23 397:21 398:12 399:2.20 400:28 401:7 403:3 406:13

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

407:12,15 408:13,25 flagging 432:13 fracking 443:26 generic 320:23 327:22 410:8 411:10 412:2 423:5 flawed 333:23 334:6,18 framework 366:21 413:17 414:22,28 415:2 416:2 418:28 419:11,19 flaws 344:20 345:15 Francisco 341:4 421:3,5,13 424:26 348:7 349:24 Friday 418:28 419:21 425:16 427:8,22 372:25 flex 338:28 428:12,19 429:25 430:4 front 308:22 309:2 431:17 432:20 433:20 flexibility 338:6 347:13 434:25 436:11,19 giant 444:7 flow 391:19 392:4,18 full 331:22 404:11 437:25 438:13,18 394:27 400:2 403:21 407:13 439:20 440:7,25 441:25 404:26 405:7,27 406:6, 411:21 442:16 443:22 445:11, fully 333:27 7,19,28 407:2 411:12 16 449:3 451:22 440:4 441:16 442:21 furnish 398:3 Felts' 305:26 314:7 flowing 388:8 399:23 future 407:3 440:20 325:6,9 343:18,28 351:25 354:2 360:4 fluctuations 379:20 389:14 396:8 397:14,18 G fluid 379:24 410:26 451:2 flux 338:28 Felts's 330:10 gas 295:15 296:7,10,20, 22 297:14,16 298:4,11, folder 438:21,23,26 **FF** 381:28 382:5.13 12,16,18,21,25 299:7 439:3 grab 347:26 300:14,16 301:17 field 328:28 400:14,16 folders 434:4,6,24 302:22 303:6,13,27 fields 401:25 402:13,17 304:4 305:5,18 306:6, **follow** 338:18 353:14 404:2 405:16 13,18 310:4,10,20 354:15,26 355:25 357:8 Fifteenth 303:6,13 311:6,20 312:4,12 395:13 313:8 314:19,25 315:8, 447:21 footnote 410:28 411:6, 22,26 316:9 317:1,16 figure 423:7 7,8 412:21 431:22,24 319:4 320:3 321:8 15 417:6 432:3,18,19 437:13 356:16 366:21 368:19 file 431:11 432:25,27 371:8,14,15 374:1,24 433:9,13,17,24,27 foreign 443:11 379:15 388:8,15 389:1, 434:5,26,28 435:2,6,8, forgotten 350:17 26 395:12 399:23 13,16 436:26 437:14,24 400:3,11,15 404:2 438:6,8,26 439:6 form 326:9 407:28 408:27 413:5 filed 378:16 438:21 formal 332:1.26 419:22 420:1,3,27 446:4 421:16 422:7,20,23 format 344:3 375:16 423:8,17,26 424:21 files 376:25 377:9 428:24,25 426:16,17 427:4 433:1.19 434:18.21 formation 416:16 428:12,24 429:1,23 437:4,19 438:22,23,24 430:7,21 435:3 440:4 439:5 formulate 309:22 441:24,25 444:15,19, filing 422:3 forthright 308:17 22,28 445:19,21 448:26 449:3,4,7,15 final 372:1 forward 327:7,24 Gee 307:8 359:23 386:13 418:17 Finally 328:22 422:26 gel 415:26 find 309:18 323:13 forward-looking 335:7 347:3 353:7 general 302:8 320:26 302:18 313:7,10 317:4 393:17 395:2 322:3 346:17,18 443:21 444:17 found 335:14.16 finds 386:25 353:10 362:5 419:27 generally 302:24,25 fit 434:13,16,18,23 421:22 422:2,18 317:24 387:20 415:3

geological 416:19

Geology 369:20

Getty 417:3

give 338:5 393:3

giving 447:17

globally 299:8 300:15

Goleta 401:23 403:25 404:22 405:21

good 294:7,8 301:25 323:27 324:8 390:28 416:20 418:4

graph 379:14,18

graphical 362:15

Great 294:2 439:14

grooves 361:6

ground 371:9 415:5,11,

groundwater 414:7,23 415:4,6,8 416:5,10,14, 17 417:11,20,26

Gruen 299:10 306:26, 27 307:23 308:1,3,26 313:22 317:27 319:13 320:5 321:16 325:13,21 329:13 330:8,23 353:26,27 354:3,6 355:4 357:1 367:15,26 386:7,11 387:7 392:26, 27 393:19,23 394:3 397:8 398:18,22 403:15 408:18 410:14 418:27 419:17,20 421:7 430:13 432:11 438:9 442:4 449:2 451:15,16

guar 443:26 444:8

guess 328:14 352:8,17 372:20 432:15

guidelines 324:2

guys 432:8

fits 435:11

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

421:11

foundation 399:19 403:16 410:15

highly 307:2 н history 337:14 435:9, 18 halfway 331:23 hand 419:8 handle 393:21 450:28 451:11 handled 443:10 446:12 happen 409:21 happened 435:9 happy 355:11 hard 325:19 338:3 342:13 434:26,27 hardware 344:23 345:18 348:10 349:3,26 352:1 header 335:24 370:25 412:8 heading 390:16 392:7, 8 393:13 395:3 hear 363:10 451:5 heard 394:2,4 hearings 294:12 366:9 398:20 Hecht 299:28 300:6 303:3 309:4,28 318:16 319:15 320:16 321:18 324:23 325:4 328:10 329:22,25 330:26 335:9,11 337:21,25 342:11,19 347:2,5,27 348:1 350:9,11 353:6,9 355:12,19 356:4,12,22 359:27 360:1,12,14 362:1,3 365:12,16 450:19 Hejazi 448:2 helpful 328:4 342:15 386:14 395:16 420:24 hiccups 434:3 high 388:12

highlighted 354:10

highlighting 424:27

397:15

425:15

Hold 448:10 holds 346:11 holes 353:20 354:13,23 357:10 home 450:22 Honor 294:4 299:10,20 306:26 307:11,18 308:3,19,26 309:23 313:22 317:27 318:8 320:5,8 321:16 325:2, 14 328:12 329:13,24 330:8 337:20 338:9 342:16 353:11 355:4,8 356:18 357:1 359:20 365:10 366:16 367:15, 19 368:28 387:8 391:4 392:26 393:8,19,23 396:6 397:14 398:20,25 401:24 403:15 404:9,22 405:21 406:7 408:18 410:14,23 418:19 421:7 425:8 426:28 438:9 439:15 442:4,10,15 446:20 447:15 451:16 Honor's 451:17 hope 300:3 hour 365:21 451:22

hours 418:13,21

housekeeping 446:12, 22 451:12

Hower 389:12 391:23 392:1 396:12

huge 444:8

hundred 308:5

1.19-06-016 304:19

I

11906016_sed_ caladvocates 000001 378:2

idea 390:28 416:20

identification 327:12

328:25 439:3 440:3 441:1 446:13 449:23, 25,26,28 450:1,3,4,6,7, 9,10,12,13,15,16,18

identified 306:8 309:7 314:20 329:5 330:21 332:4,19 333:1,6 335:23 357:11 375:14 376:5 440:19 449:18

identifies 373:15 375:27

identify 310:6 326:12 327:4 328:7 329:9 356:26 394:25 425:7 449:20

identifying 305:10

image 362:16

immensely 392:28

impact 399:9 400:8 416:25

impacted 404:5

implement 401:20

implications 326:15 328:9,27

importance 333:26

important 318:5 334:12

improvements 402:11

inability 344:21 345:16 348:8 349:2,25 351:28

inaudible 363:5 386:23

inch 414:9,25

inches 361:5

incident 303:28 305:6 310:21 311:8 322:18 323:22 324:5,6,21 326:7 332:13 333:28 334:9 340:3 367:1 388:25 403:11 413:21

incidents 371:18

include 352:8 364:19 365:1 388:20 409:26 437:15

included 321:24 328:25 347:19 367:4 371:24 387:15 403:26 421:17 422:28 430:21 433:2 435:16 446:1

includes 296:23 327:11 369:19 370:7 372:25 373:2 379:4 381:6,20,26 387:15 395:4 427:5 431:15 445:23

including 306:3 314:16 338:25 373:16 385:23 402:14 437:12

incomplete 431:12

incorrect 341:3 354:27 391:15

incorrectly 316:18

increase 402:9 404:23 405:28 406:27,28 444:11,12

increases 402:16

independent 407:21 442:25

index 422:18

indicating 437:6

indication 373:26 441:9

industry 323:25,27 324:8 391:24 396:15, 18,25 397:1,5,27 398:7 399:6 441:15 442:20 444:20

inform 407:11 412:27

information 307:7,13 309:22 335:15 337:17 413:25 422:5 424:6 430:13,14

informative 417:27

informed 302:12 313:27

initial 367:3 431:10 433:9 434:3

inject 388:15 415:26

injected 388:28

injection 378:18,20 379:20

inquire 430:22 inset 319:28 321:2 insisted 307:25 inspect 382:15,18 inspected 351:4 352:12 404:24 inspecting 351:9 inspection 332:3,8,12, 22,28 333:6 334:12 376:7,9 379:11 382:8 383:10,17 inspections 335:26 340:7 370:9 375:27 381:26 install 400:6 412:16 440:13.24 installed 413:23 415:5 440:22 441:6 443:5,6,7 installing 440:16 instance 339:14 399:22 434:21 instances 382:9 443:19 instant 325:10 Institute 301:3 323:21 326:5 447:28 instructed 307:28 instruction 451:18 **instructions** 446:15,16 insufficient 408:3,28 integrity 296:9 306:19 315:9,27 316:1 322:18 323:22 326:7 332:3,28 333:22 334:5,11,13,17 336:4 337:3 378:12,15 401:22 405:9 430:5 445:23 intended 402:24 intentions 356:19 interchanging 408:20 interest 360:9 internal 335:25 340:5 353:15 354:15 355:25

357:8,25 387:5 388:2

interoffice 434:21.22 item 347:20 349:9 448:2 370:4 432:6 446:22 interpret 359:14 items 382:3 385:13 interpretation 313:19 346:4 interpreting 341:15 344:26 345:12 348:13, 23 349:4,12,18 352:1 interrupt 416:28 introduce 295:11 303:2 310:2 322:15 inventory 379:19 402:15 404:20,23 405:2,15,20 406:2,8 429:23 445:19 invest 340:24 investigate 340:24 investigating 323:9 331:10 374:2 investigation 295:8 301:6,11 321:11,22 322:19 323:3,5,11 324:15,22 326:7,8,21 327:20,23,27 329:28 330:5 366:10 374:4 417:12 investigations 296:23 297:17 298:12 301:21 302:11 322:4 323:8,22 invoices 434:7 involved 297:18 301:7 306:24 309:18 312:15 399:14 involvement 299:26 385:22 irrelevant 298:2 396:26 397:2,6 issuance 383:7 **issue** 345:28 346:24 350:5 351:9 411:11,15 412:13,14,15 426:8 442:12 **issued** 314:4 383:11,13 384:22,24

422:28 J January 372:8 **iob** 435:23 Judge 356:4 Judge's 305:15 judges 308:23 338:25 July 377:25 384:15 jump 359:23 jumping 324:20 **June** 372:15 377:14 384:20 402:4 404:19 449:14 jurisdiction 298:20 299:9 300:18 juxtaposition 415:19 Κ key 345:23 kill 374:8 375:6 428:17 killed 374:6.9 kind 324:3 336:23 362:26 366:21 383:2 386:15 409:22 423:4 432:23 433:22 443:27 446:24 451:28 kinds 342:7 knew 390:3 391:10,13, 19 392:4 knowing 356:23 389:24 392:15,17 395:7,8,21 438:28 knowledge 299:26 318:14 323:14 390:19 394:27 416:19 442:26

Index: inquire..letter

L **1980** 355:25 La 401:23 403:25 404:21 405:21 lack 403:16 410:15 landscape 370:21 language 442:3 larger 384:3 larger-type 400:7 late 338:4 latency 415:9 latest 324:11 409:24 law 305:15 308:23 338:25 374:18,26 laws 374:20 layer 364:16 387:5 388:11 lead 424:17 leak 296:8,22 297:15 298:8 331:10,12 333:21 334:15.22 351:19 363:12,13,15 364:24 367:7 373:27 374:2,24 381:28 382:13 388:17 440:3,10 441:1,3,9,10 leakage 338:28 374:10, 21 375:5 leaks 295:9 329:3,7,11 373:8,16 375:2 381:7,9, 10 leap 450:20 **led** 422:6 left 335:17 352:16 363:2 417:4 451:22 legal 397:9 length 361:5

> **letter** 336:3,23 377:21, 24 383:8.13.19.21.27 384:23,26,28 401:8,16, 17,18,26 403:5,6,24 407:7,10,11 408:19 410:6,11,12,17 448:21,

issues 320:27 342:25

399:13 404:4

27 449:5

letters 368:6

level 326:3,10 327:3,16, 17,19 328:2,5 402:2 404:18 408:1 410:19 415:21 417:5 422:11

levels 402:15,21 404:23 405:20 406:2

Likewise 406:3

limit 407:26

lines 314:9 397:11

link 422:6

liquefy 444:3

list 309:12 380:24 381:2 403:25 418:14 423:5 442:2 448:7,17

listed 382:3

lists 380:25 385:12

literally 355:9

lobbying 409:23 410:5

locate 361:27 362:22 401:1 411:22

located 344:27 346:16 348:14 349:4,18 352:2 377:3

location 346:22 350:6 360:27 361:17 365:7 368:24

locations 353:21 357:11

log 358:28 359:2,13,14 373:28

logging 337:2,11 359:5

logs 334:12 341:28 342:8 358:22,26 359:11 370:10 383:10 434:8

long 323:23 333:14 376:20 378:7 432:14

longer 338:3 356:17

looked 302:16 342:8 372:8 374:7 390:22 422:17

Los 341:1,5 402:28 407:22

loss 338:20 339:3,7,17, 20 340:15,24 351:2 352:10 353:18 355:26 357:10,23 359:6,12

lost 370:16

lot 302:17 342:26 422:28 429:4,10 432:22 437:15,17 438:17

lots 359:11

loud 451:19

low 374:10 375:5

lower 381:27 419:8 427:2

lunch 362:8 365:14,17 366:12

Μ

M.E. 448:3

made 356:24 357:3 367:21 378:13,16 379:9 392:2 400:28 415:17

magnetic 338:28

maintain 398:3 402:1, 20 405:22 406:18,25

maintained 379:7

maintains 428:7

make 297:6 307:11 313:27 344:11 356:13 359:21 362:24 384:2 386:15 395:27 437:18 443:27 446:28 448:9

making 349:1 400:18 437:7 443:26

management 296:9 306:19 315:10,27 316:1 402:14 404:21 405:3

mandated 404:12,14 440:8

March 343:14 366:10 401:9,18,25 448:28 449:8

Margaret 340:28 343:24 360:7 366:4 418:27 419:18 449:3

mark 356:26

marked 295:23 297:2 303:21 304:21 310:12 325:11 343:21 353:1 354:4,5 389:18 420:26 439:22 449:23,24,26,27 450:1,2,4,5,7,8,10,11, 13,14,16,17

match 354:24 356:17 437:23

matter 317:21 318:12, 26 319:23 413:14

matters 424:22 446:12 451:13

MAXIMO 428:2,6

means 356:15 374:6 400:14 409:13 434:1

meant 438:7 443:24

measure 371:10

measures 415:22

measuring 333:11,26

mechanical 333:22 334:5,17 336:4 337:3 339:12 366:28 378:12, 15 386:27 387:2 388:7, 10,20,23 389:4 391:7, 11 396:14 412:9,14

meet 408:3 409:1

meeting 368:20 369:3 371:20 372:15 373:11, 20 380:7 383:12,15 384:19,25 385:24 448:23,25 449:13

meetings 371:23 383:6

Melton 448:3

members 324:9

memo 332:20 336:23 376:5 377:15

memorandum 340:5

memory 364:26,27 429:8,13

memos 434:22

messed 389:19

metal 338:20 351:1 352:10 353:18 355:26 357:10,23 359:12 387:6

method 345:26

microbes 361:8

middle 319:28 320:9 346:12 383:7

mine 438:27

minimize 408:7 409:4

minimum 326:11 328:6

minute 331:21 350:8 363:3 403:21

minutes 331:2 355:16 394:7,8 439:10,13 450:21

mischaracterizes 318:19

mischaracterizing 305:12 408:19

misses 326:13 328:8

missing 352:7

Mission 382:16

misstatement 317:28

misstates 318:6

mistake 354:25

mistaken 352:13 365:6

mistakenly 421:17,20

misunderstanding 321:4

misunderstood 438:24

mix 421:28 431:8

model 301:20,25

modeled 409:25

modification 404:12, 15

modify 395:3

modifying 356:8

moment 316:15 332:20 335:7 347:25 355:10,12 359:25 364:12 375:10, 11 384:7 390:27 391:6 400:15 442:13 448:11 450:20

moments 309:27 311:15 313:4,5 316:21 358:17 363:28

monitor 371:8 444:13

monitored 416:14

monitoring 332:4 333:1,7 334:11 336:2 369:28 379:2 380:25,26 382:10 417:25 429:23 430:6 439:25 440:1,9, 27 441:17,19 442:22,27 443:3,7,15 444:19 445:22,24

month 295:22 303:23 304:24 310:15 384:24

months 371:28 376:15, 23 378:19 382:24 383:11,14

morning 294:7,8 331:3 337:28 341:2 451:25

Moshfegh 344:19 360:11 363:2 418:25 436:15

motion 307:11 451:1,8

move 296:26 300:5 310:1 320:18 328:20 331:15 334:28 335:2 338:14 359:19,22 377:11 385:7 386:1,13 397:24 398:27 399:5 409:17 410:22,24,28 418:1,17 429:15 439:7 442:9,12

moved 363:9

movement 371:8

moving 366:11 416:17 440:24

mud 417:4,8

multi-string 351:2 352:10

multiple 320:6 358:5 364:16

mute 394:20

Ν

natural 298:4 413:4

427:4 449:7,15

necessarily 318:18 340:14 373:22 415:7,11 421:4 434:16

needed 335:15 406:10 440:21

Neville 437:21

Ninth 295:16 423:18 447:18

noise 337:1,11 367:6 370:10 373:28 379:27 441:17 450:21

nonetheless 400:9

note 306:28 308:5 338:1 369:8 371:17 372:17 373:15 375:21 392:27 397:8

noted 314:6 430:20

notice 373:2 439:9

noticed 438:3

November 360:8

number 295:26 296:2, 16,17,27 304:18 305:2 310:5 325:7,19,24,28 326:2 335:22 338:11,13 339:7 340:27 343:19 347:13 349:9 354:5 357:6 360:8,11,19 362:10 369:9,16 370:2 378:1 380:6 383:25 386:9 389:17 395:18 396:9 400:25 401:14 404:13 407:6 411:3 414:5 419:8 422:25 425:7 426:23 427:1,27 428:24 429:6 431:4,15 432:8,9 433:1,12 439:19 444:28 445:3,15 447:16,20 448:27

numbering 331:24

numbers 304:27 325:15,18 428:8,13,22 429:8,14 432:22

0

object 298:15 299:11 317:28 321:17 329:14

Index: moments..overly-broad

330:9 355:5 367:16 387:7 403:15 410:15 421:7

objecting 320:9 426:9

objection 299:28 305:21 306:28 307:22 308:2 309:5 313:23 318:17 319:13,15 320:7 330:24,28 392:28 397:9 398:26 421:10 438:9

objectionable 309:19

objections 299:1,14 310:26 311:2,23,28 319:26

objects 298:1,20,22 305:7,12 424:2,4

observation 371:1,6,7, 12 375:22 379:23 381:22

observing 294:14

obvious 308:28 416:24

occasion 341:26

occur 441:10

occurred 329:3,7,12 330:6 331:13 334:15 343:10,15 351:19 364:24 371:19 375:2 441:11

occurrences 301:10 321:27 327:14

October 413:6

office 378:26

officially 337:1

oil 368:19 379:14 448:26

omission 345:24

op 392:14

open 405:26 406:27

opening 325:6,10 329:6 330:10,12,20 331:17 338:12 360:4,7 361:26 363:14 386:3 393:4 411:12 439:19 445:25

operate 298:3 398:9

405:6

operated 298:6 389:24 395:11

operates 404:3

operating 386:26 390:19 402:27

operation 385:11 392:14 398:14 406:26 429:18 441:21 442:1

operational 399:7,8

operationally 436:28

operations 301:17 320:4 321:9 366:22,24 369:22 370:25 372:27 375:20 381:19 428:3 430:22 435:5 437:2 444:15

Operations-well 428:17

operator 300:22 407:21 427:5 449:16

operators 296:7,21 297:14 298:11,17,18, 21,26 299:8 300:15,16 326:14 328:8

opinion 305:14 306:15 311:4,12 312:2,11 314:4,27 315:5,21,24 316:8 317:20 318:12,25 319:2,3,23,24 336:20 339:25 351:1

opinions 320:12

opportunity 320:17 393:16 394:16

order 350:24 359:14 374:9 406:20 417:19 446:26 447:1,9

original 432:27 443:15

originally 417:3

outer 351:3 352:11

outline 359:26

overlapping 437:27 438:2

overly 298:17 387:8

overly-broad 397:10

overrule 368:3 overruled 299:28

330:28 overruling 318:16

oversight 385:23

•••••••••••••••

overstate 351:1 352:10 364:15

overstates 351:8

Ρ

P-37 376:1

- **P-46** 375:28
- **p.m.** 365:21,22 366:1
- **PA-401** 448:1,20,21 450:4
- **PA-401S** 448:8,9

pack 381:26 382:13

packer 387:26

- **pages** 323:23 338:12 396:7 414:4 420:2 422:13,18 431:2,14,21 432:26 433:1,2,3,6,8,10 434:12 435:16,27,28 436:27 437:12,13,24 439:2,21
- paragraph 310:27 311:25 316:11,17 319:25,28 321:3 328:18 331:22 338:17 349:22 356:1 378:10 379:1,4, 13,17,22 385:8 401:16 404:11 407:13,14 424:26,28 425:13

parens 432:28

part 297:25 301:23,26 306:9 309:5 314:21 316:24 327:17 334:22 345:21 349:17 351:3 352:5,7,9,12 382:9 392:16 403:24 421:23 439:6 440:19 446:5

parties 294:11,13 356:7 451:13

Partners 311:5,14 312:3,11 314:6 319:4 **Partners'** 305:14 315:21 317:20 318:11, 25 319:22

past 295:8 323:7 329:19 376:15,22 382:24 443:1,2

pasted 344:8

patrons 298:5 398:5 399:15

pause 359:24

PDF 325:24 352:27 389:16 439:2

people 409:25

perfectly 358:10

performance 340:6 369:20 372:25

performed 329:11 330:5 375:28

performing 435:22

period 341:26 361:9,12 373:12

periodic 379:10

permitted 424:7

person 435:20

personal 339:25 343:6 358:18,21

personally 319:21 443:5

personnel 379:11

petroleum 301:3 323:21,26 324:7 326:1, 5 447:28

PG&E 300:24 422:3,26 429:5

PG&E's 422:7,23 429:7

phone 294:25,28 295:3 363:9

phrase 387:26

physical 435:12

piece 305:10 397:15

pieces 393:25

pincite 448:16,21

pipe 399:27 415:23

pipelines 420:9 422:21 423:9

piping 415:20

place 335:8 347:3 353:7 405:27 406:10 414:12

plan 336:2 338:19 353:16 354:16,21 355:25 357:9,25 365:13 380:25 401:28 405:1 407:17,25 408:27 420:1,3,28 421:16 422:8,21,23 423:27 424:21 426:18 427:5 430:6,16 441:19,25 446:2 449:1,4,5,16

planned 405:4 406:4

planning 313:2 418:6 440:13

plans 401:19 419:22 423:8 426:16 430:8,10

plate 415:19

Playa 401:23

plot 370:8

plug-back 381:27

point 309:1 318:6 320:7 323:17,20 324:20 330:11 333:8 348:28 349:3,17,23 350:1 358:23 367:5,25 373:1 383:22 390:1,18 392:11 393:6 394:10 408:16 435:25 437:3,7,22 441:5 451:4

points 315:12 324:19 330:9 348:18,21,24,25

Poirier 366:8 368:3,22, 26 370:17,19 383:23 384:8,10 386:12 387:11 391:1,3 394:2,4,13 395:14 396:1,3 397:20 398:21,26 401:2,4 403:18,22 404:7 408:23 410:24 411:24,27 414:15,19 418:3,6,10, 24 419:3,6 420:20 421:12 425:2,4,10 426:24,26 436:5,16,18 438:13 439:9,14,16 442:7,14 445:4,6 446:7, 9 447:3,6 448:12,14 449:21 450:27

policies 296:6,20 297:13 298:10,24 301:22 324:22 335:25

policy 300:24 427:25, 27 445:15

Pollution 369:21 372:26

portion 365:1

portions 355:14 451:2

position 441:8

possibly 300:23 321:3 359:9 368:6 420:8 435:26 438:3 440:20

potential 339:3 371:8 416:24 417:7 425:27

Power 407:24

practice 300:27 302:21 306:16 314:28 315:6,25 322:16,21 323:18,22 324:1,4,18 326:6,28 327:25 386:16 389:25 390:3,13,20 391:11,20 392:5,16,18 394:28 395:12 424:9 447:28

practices 296:7,20 297:14 298:10,25 370:5 380:26 383:9 396:26 397:6 399:6 430:6

preceded 407:9

precursor 332:15

prefer 356:26 432:16

prehearing 397:13

prejudice 308:8 451:4, 9

prejudicial 307:2

preparation 297:19 299:27 304:14 306:24 312:16 352:21 393:11 425:17

prepare 312:28 352:24 403:1

prepared 312:26 320:24,26 343:23 356:6 394:9

preparing 297:24 385:25 426:7

present 340:16

presented 407:26 446:27 447:1

President 401:10

pressure 301:15 302:14 316:22 317:6 322:18 326:6,8,10,28 327:2 371:11 378:24 388:12,18 439:25 440:1,9,27 441:16 442:21,27 443:3,7,15 444:12,19

pressures 370:8,9 379:19,21

pretty 323:12 327:22 362:8 375:15 380:16 416:20,24

prevailing 396:26 397:6 399:6

prevented 440:2,28

Prevention 369:21 372:26

previous 369:17

previously 328:1 381:8 441:7

primary 366:23 442:11

print 386:18

prior 296:8,21 297:15 298:8 303:28 305:6 310:21 311:7 312:5 319:5 321:13,24 329:3 332:13 333:7 335:23 339:17 366:28 384:25 385:25 388:24 407:10 410:11 413:5 440:9 442:7 444:18 448:20

private 341:27

problems 371:18

Procedure 424:9

procedures 301:11 324:22 373:3 proceed 368:23 380:22 394:19 404:7 414:17

proceeding 294:10 304:18 325:10 331:18 336:27,28 337:6 372:11 422:10,11

proceedings 294:14, 19 329:18

process 301:6 302:9 324:11,12 327:21 331:10 444:13

produce 323:28 388:14 400:21 423:25

produced 331:25 372:17 377:4 380:9 403:7 428:21 429:9,10 437:3,13

producing 371:15 426:9

product 443:26

production 351:9 358:7 364:8,18 369:8,9 379:15 386:28 387:4, 16,17 421:1,18 433:11 439:6

professional 306:15 314:27 315:5,24

program 332:16 369:28 379:2 382:10 440:20,21

project 336:2 369:20 372:25 377:20,23 379:9 383:7,13,18,21,27 384:23,27 385:11 402:7 448:21

projects 306:7,14 314:19

promote 398:4

prompted 423:6

properly 301:14

properties 443:28

propose 395:6

propound 412:26

protection 415:21,28 417:5 provide 308:15 350:25 352:5 415:21,27 417:5 435:9

provided 299:22 305:14 306:2 307:19 308:5,14,25 314:15 316:20 344:5 376:19 385:12 421:9 430:2 433:18 439:2

providing 299:6 320:14

public 298:2,5,7 336:28 337:5 398:2,5 399:16 403:10 406:14 407:8 410:7 413:12 421:24 446:3

publication 413:26

published 322:12

publishing 323:18,21

PUC 369:9

pull 393:27 423:11

pump 444:1,4

purpose 309:8 358:27 359:1 371:14 422:15 434:25 435:2,8

purposes 334:12 338:24 369:6 404:4 405:16

pursuant 305:15

put 295:13 308:13 309:3 356:27 384:3 395:14,17 400:14 419:1 438:25 439:18 444:8

putting 434:20 438:22

Q

quantify 358:10

question 296:1,16,19 297:10,12 298:1 300:9, 21 303:26 304:3 305:4, 8,9,17 308:1 310:6,19, 25 311:18,22 312:22,23 316:4,5 317:28 318:17, 20 320:21,23 321:17 328:22 329:14,23 330:27 331:7 341:15, 18,19,22 342:3,21 350:14,17,20,21 357:4, 5,16 367:20 384:12 387:8 391:17 393:1,9 394:6,9,22,25 397:3,11, 20,22,24 398:19 400:20 403:20 423:25 424:11 429:16 432:11 436:6,7, 21 438:15,19 442:10,11 446:21

question's 330:14

questioning 299:12 307:1 320:11,18 341:10 439:12

questions 296:1 299:18,22 307:14,23 308:9,10,17,21 309:18 310:11 320:10 330:13 331:9 335:17 355:13 365:10 399:3 423:19 438:17 447:25 449:10

quick 400:19 437:19

quickly 325:3 359:22 400:26 402:9 441:3 444:27 445:3

quote 315:19 316:12 319:27 345:15,22 347:18 351:26

quoted 317:13

quoting 315:15

R

R.M. 448:2

radioactive 379:26

raise 451:14

Rancho 401:24 404:22 405:21 406:8

rate 374:10 375:4 378:24 440:4

rates 379:15

RCA 361:4

reached 432:24

reaching 316:2

react 444:4

reacting 450:24

Index: prepared..reacting

reactors 443:3,23 444:7

read 295:26 296:16 301:1 305:1 313:17 314:1 316:15 318:9 325:19 328:3 334:23 339:16 341:20 343:8 344:17 347:18 350:8,18 352:28 354:9 357:16 358:2,23 359:2 360:19 361:11 389:16 390:9 395:10 407:10 409:24 412:22 414:4 418:16 424:3 425:14 427:1 445:12

readily 446:17

reading 314:13 324:25 328:11 334:19 342:12, 14 358:27 378:14 395:2 410:18

reads 335:24 360:6 419:25

ready 294:1 368:23 411:25 414:16 419:4 451:18

real 435:19

realtime 439:25 440:9 441:16 442:20,27 443:2,7 444:18

rearranged 338:2

reason 317:19 318:11, 24 319:22 323:27 324:8 337:9 352:16 376:21 385:3 392:9

reasonableness 398:13

reasoning 432:23

reasons 315:10

rec- 302:18

recall 295:9 297:22,24 300:24 302:11 306:24 307:6 312:19 313:11,18 317:3,7 319:9 351:18 352:17 354:22 363:13, 27 364:4,6,9,21 366:25 367:8,13 371:26 377:14 380:14 392:21,24 420:14 422:15 425:20 428:23 429:27 444:27 recalling 308:11

recalls 309:17

recap 383:2

received 423:3 430:8 433:8 434:3

recent 304:23

recently 342:27 403:12

recess 365:21 418:9

recite 429:13

recognize 362:15 369:12 380:11 403:17 410:17,21 420:12 421:5 428:15,19 429:15,22

recognized 440:12

recognizing 428:23

recollection 302:9 343:6 367:20

recommend 324:16

recommendation 301:19 302:19 313:7,10 315:28 317:5 326:27 409:28 448:4

recommendations 301:24,27

recommended 300:27 301:18 302:21 322:16, 21 323:18,21 324:1,17 326:6,28 327:25 353:19 447:28

recommending 340:6

reconcile 308:13

record 294:11 307:4 308:25 318:6 325:13 335:7,9,10,12,13 337:23,24,26,27 347:2, 4,6,25,27,28 348:2 350:9,10,12 353:3,6,8,9 354:9 355:17,18,19 356:27 359:27,28 360:2,12,13,15 361:27 362:1,2,4 365:19,20 366:9 367:22 368:22, 25,26 369:6 370:15,17, 18,19 381:15 382:2 383:24 384:8,9,10 390:27 391:1,2,3 393:7, 24 394:3,11,12,14

395:27 396:1,2,4 401:2, 3,4 411:24,26,27 414:15,18,19 418:8,11, 16 419:3,5,6 425:2,3,5, 9,14 426:24,25,26 436:16,17,18 445:4,5,6, 13 446:7,8,10,11 447:3, 5,7,8 448:12,13,14

recorded 294:16,18

records 346:3,22 350:7 431:8 433:11,17 437:14,27 438:6,7

recount 393:2

redacted 419:20

redirect 393:22 451:19

redo 364:10

reduce 400:10,20

reduces 400:3

reduction 404:5

reevaluate 406:4

refer 307:25 313:2,3 331:16 347:1 351:24 352:25 362:6 388:7 389:13 428:9 436:7,13 444:26 445:2

reference 302:5 305:13 308:6 354:20,28 355:2 380:2 381:1 383:15 431:1 435:4

referenced 330:11 427:20 433:12,15

references 324:14 346:1 422:13 427:6

referencing 307:26 308:4 330:20,25 433:22

referred 376:8 393:5, 25 422:19 445:24

referring 306:3 313:25 318:13 319:23 325:15, 17 336:26 337:4,6 355:13 382:15 383:28 386:8 405:15 419:7 432:20 438:6 443:23 445:9

refers 298:17 301:2 305:22,25 311:12 379:1 387:3 420:7 428:5 refresh 355:16

refutes 391:23

regard 416:9

regravel 381:26 382:13

regulated 298:19

regulation 388:24 433:23

regulations 337:12 367:23

regulator 366:23

regulatory 335:27 336:9,12 366:21

reiterate 311:24 398:2

reiterates 311:3 312:1, 10

relate 424:22

related 295:7 299:23 301:10 321:27 340:2 347:10 357:20 380:26 391:7 399:14 403:20 412:9 413:13 422:23 423:3,26 425:27 426:5 430:21 434:5 435:17 437:4 439:24 444:14, 19,22 445:22

relates 332:21 390:13 397:20 411:9

relating 393:26

relation 416:10

relationship 354:12 357:6,19 411:14 412:13 416:5 417:11,20

relevance 300:2

relevant 352:19 365:3, 6 397:24 399:4,11,13 400:27 444:22 446:24

reliability 340:20 342:22 359:17 399:10 402:25 403:20 404:4 405:17 406:20 408:7, 17,20 409:5

reliable 333:12 340:23

rely 356:21,23

reflects 392:10

	16361V65 413.9	555.10,15,21 505.10	ruiemaki
ing 374:11 375:4 382:7 429:24	reservoir 371:11 379:18,24 380:27	364:13 368:20 369:3 371:19 372:14 373:4 280:7 282:26 284:7 10	Rules 42
s 370:26 371:24 375:17 380:17	respect 296:9,22 297:16 298:11 319:10	380:7 382:26 384:7,19 385:16 391:6 393:4,24 394:1,17 407:17 423:26	ruling 30 run 359:1
ented 433:26 4 438:23	321:7 398:14 respond 438:14 441:2,	424:20 430:15 448:23, 25 449:13	running 397:11
luce 377:1	12	reviewed 302:2 322:25	
duced 377:2	responded 313:11	364:9 371:25,26 375:13 376:13 380:14,16	
st 295:13 296:28 298:22 304:6,20 0 306:1 310:4,10 1 312:6,13 2,16,26 314:5,15 344:16 345:2,5 352:21,24 372:18	responding 425:27 responds 299:2 401:17 response 296:28 297:4,19,22 299:17,21, 27 300:19,20 304:9,20	382:24 393:10 429:1,4 431:11 434:11 reviewing 352:22 363:14 383:1 395:2 revise 401:27	safe 306: 315:6,25 413:19 safely 29 safety 29 304:19 30
PUBLIC	UTILITIES COMMISS SAN FRANCISC	SION, STATE OF CA O, CALIFORNIA	LIFORNIA

remember 300:20 302:13 312:24 324:24 358:24 364:7 368:5 377:7 425:7 426:20 429:3 430:2,17,25 434:9

remembering 301:14

reminder 328:10 397:22

renew 320:7

repair 382:13

repairing 381:27

repeat 389:19 394:21

rephrase 348:26

replace 395:7 448:19

replaced 355:28

replacement 443:16

reply 343:13,25 344:9 347:11 391:26 392:1,24

report 302:1,5,23 324:14 326:18 327:7 339:16 343:3 362:18 373:8,10,11,20 385:24 409:24 422:2,5,27 423:1 442:22

reported 371:17 373:19 406:14

reporter 294:1

reporters 324:28 342:15,17

reporti 381:9

reports 372:9

repres 437:14

reprod

Repro

reques 297:5 305:2 311:2 313:12 319:6 347:9

380:10 393:6 424:5 425:28 430:8 432:16 433:19 439:4 447:20, 23,24 449:10

requested 307:27 378:25

requests 295:16 303:7, 14 316:28 320:25 344:6 377:4 423:18 426:15 433:17 438:1 447:18,21

require 330:15 404:20

required 307:12 308:13 326:3 330:1 367:9,14,22 368:8 379:13 388:24,26 389:5.8 405:5.22.25 415:7 417:25

requirement 326:12 327:18 328:6 336:18 368:10,15 400:19 433:23 440:11

requirements 336:9, 12 366:28 367:3 422:25 427:7 440:8

requires 298:3 379:17, 22

requiring 336:3 383:16

reread 316:6 328:4

research 393:17 414:1 430:7

researched 421:27

reserves 113.0

305:28 306:20,25 310:3,9,24 311:13,18, 22 312:16,26 313:19 314:14 315:13 316:6,7, 18 317:11,12,15,23,24, 25 318:1,4,10 319:11 320:2,23 321:1 324:4 343:25 346:28 347:8 349:7 364:1,14 369:10 372:18 376:18,19 377:4 380:10 421:9 423:16 424:3 425:17 426:8 432:7,17 433:16 438:25 439:4 447:19,22,24 449:9

responses 304:27 307:16 320:24,26 344:8 439:1

responsibility 298:9 398:9

rest 361:11 418:7

restate 310:25 329:22 350:13 387:12 391:14 408:21,23 436:6

restates 347:18

resume 338:1,7 360:2

resumed 294:5 366:5, 17

returning 394:15 404:24

reveals 359:4

review 302:4 346:21 355.10 13 21 363.10

Index: remember..safety

revised 377:25 404:28 revision 395:28 revisions 356:5 revisited 451:7 **Rey** 401:23 right-hand 303:18 427:2 risk 332:1,2,26 **risks** 408:8,9 409:5,7 Robert 343:25 347:12 robust 398:22 410:19 rock 415:17 Rodger 401:11 407:7 448:28 449:6 room 294:22 **root** 301:5,8 306:9 314:21 321:26 327:12 328:26 rotate 369:26 370:21 round 372:5 **RP** 301:11 303:27 304:4 305:5,13,18 306:12,18 310:20 311:5,19 312:3, 12 313:6 314:24 315:2, 8,22,26 316:1,9,22,28 317:15 319:4 320:3 321:7.13 322:12 **rule** 424:10 433:23 rulemaking 336:27,28 **Rules** 424:8 ruling 305:16 run 359:11 running 335:28 376:12 397:11

S

safe 306:16 314:28 315:6,25 324:18 401:27 413:19

safely 298:4,6 398:10

safety 295:17 303:7,14 304:19 306:27 310:2,8

Index: safety-related..Socalgas's

396:21 397:17 398:1,4 SED 298:1,9,20,21 399:14,15 401:21 402:6 299:2,22,25 305:7,11, 408:15,16,20 411:9,11, 21,24 311:3,14,23,25 14 412:4,16 413:1,20, 312:1,10 313:20 314:4 23,27 419:22 420:1,3, 316:7 318:4 320:9,14, 28 421:16 422:8,21,23 24 322:27 331:18 423:8,14,27 424:21 344:6,15 345:2,4 426:16,18 427:5,25 353:27 366:13 369:9 429:2 430:7,16 441:24, 372:18 376:19 380:10 25 446:2 449:4,16 386:24 412:25 413:8,19 420:18 421:2 424:2,4 safety-related 408:9 426:8 430:12 437:26 409:6 447:18,22 San 341:4 422:3,24 SED's 296:28 297:4,27 423:3 298:20,23 299:9,14,24 sand 415:18 300:17 305:10,12,13,22 306:1 307:22 310:24 schedule 338:2 314:14 317:14,24 320:2 school 341:24 338:11 423:26 424:3,19 447:19,22,23 449:9 Schwecke 401:11 SED-58 347:10 407:7 448:28 449:6 seeks 424:5 scratcher 346:13 selected 371:12 scratchers 344:25 346:6,10,23 348:12,21, selectively 405:25 22 350:6 send 422:5 scratchers.' 345:20 Senior 401:10 screen 314:1 sense 446:28 scroll 295:26,28 297:10 **sentence** 312:10 310:12,18 319:2 325:27 335:3,4 344:2,14 318:23 319:19 328:3 347:15 350:20 351:16 331:26 333:19 334:2, 14,22 335:24 338:17 353:2 360:25 362:9,14 345:21 349:10 354:14, 369:11 377:28 378:3 23,27 355:23 357:20 380:18 386:22,23 389:22 411:4,6,19 360:28 404:15 405:14, 419:16 420:23 425:12 17 407:10,16 408:25 427:3,10,26 436:14 411:8 412:21.23 439:24 431:25,27 441:14 sentences 357:19 seal 415:17 separately 354:18 search 360:10 419:27 384:5 420:5 421:22,23 season 402:23 403:2 September 448:3 served 309:9 343:10,14 secret 374:15,16 356:7 section 298:3,7 338:20 386:25 391:22,23 **service** 402:17 404:25 405:4 423:5 446:25 392:7,20 395:2,5 396:13,28 411:10 412:7 session 341:2 366:1,11 413:13 414:27 439:24, set 295:16 303:7,13 27,28 440:26

447:18,21 sets 434:15 seven-inch 386:27 **shallow** 416:12 share 384:6 shells 444:2 shoe 344:28 345:14 348:15 349:5,13,19 351:11,22 352:3 361:22 362:23,27 363:17,24, 25,26 364:3,20,24 373:16.26 381:7 **short** 418:4 431:13,20 432:25 shorthand 406:22 shortly 300:3 show 307:5,13 315:11 329:20 367:17 411:16 428:26 showed 333:21 334:4 426:19 **showing** 410:10 426:11 **shown** 308:8 329:15 334:21 437:20 442:6 shows 334:16 significance 430:23 significant 378:23 441:18 similar 300:20 301:9 302:21 321:10,27 323:12 327:14 328:27 372:23 380:20 410:4 SIMP 332:15 440:20 **simple** 419:27 simply 307:24 318:14 320:10 442:22 single 412:18 Single-barrier 396:16 sit 300:25 site 384:6 sitting 330:18 367:12 392:20

situation 410:2 skip 372:19 sleeves 405:26 406:27 **slightly** 307:9 308:12 400:6 slow 325:1 328:11 342:12,18 370:7 slowly 324:25 small 386:18 431:3 smaller 399:27 400:9 Socal 296:27 392:13 Socalgas 298:3 301:19,20 303:5 304:20 305:11,25 306:17 307:3,12 308:4 315:1,7, 25 318:1,3 324:18,19 326:2 331:12 332:8,11 335:25 336:8,11,14,20, 24 337:8,10 340:23 341:2 343:10 344:5 347:12 360:20 366:12 370:5 371:7,17 373:3, 21 374:14,23 375:2,3 377:1 380:22 382:7 386:9,25 389:23 390:2 391:10,19 392:4,13,17 394:26 395:10 396:27 397:12 401:10,13,26 405:5 406:17 407:28 408:5 409:20,26 410:1, 6,10,13,18 411:2,5 412:27 413:7 414:10,26 416:8,19 417:14,27 418:12,14 420:3 421:18 422:9 427:5,25 428:6, 12.20 429:9 433:26 434:20 435:27 437:3,26 438:25 439:1,26,27 440:12,26 441:2,20,25 447:11 448:25 450:2 451:1 Socalgas' 304:9 324:21 346:21 380:26

396:28 398:14 401:19 402:18 408:27 409:2 423:26 429:1 430:5 449:10,15

Socalgas's 295:8 298:9 333:2 337:14 338:18 343:13 381:8

399:17 423:18 443:3

390:19 392:14 446:1 447:18,19,21

Socalgas-124 418:26 450:10

Socalgas-124.0001. 419:10

Socalgas-125 449:4 450:11

Socalgas-125.0001 420:27

Socalgas-126 295:12 447:16 449:23

Socalgas-126.0001 295:27

Socalgas-126.0004. 296:3

Socalgas-126.0005. 296:18

Socalgas-127 296:27 447:19 449:24

Socalgas-127.0001 297:2

Socalgas-128 449:9 450:14

Socalgas-128.0001. 425:12

Socalgas-135 447:27

Socalgas-135.0001. 323:2

Socalgas-143 448:27 450:8

Socalgas-143.0001. 401:15

Socalgas-143.0002. 404:13

Socalgas-144 449:15 450:17

Socalgas-144.0001. 427:3

Socalgas-144.0125 445:15

Socalgas-144.0127. 445:10

Socalgas-145 368:18 450:5

Socalgas-145.0001 369:7

Socalgas-146 448:25 450:7

Socalgas-146.0001. 380:9

Socalgas-147 449:12 450:16

Socalgas-147.0001. 372:16

Socalgas-35.0001. 341:6

Socalgas-47 325:8

Socalgas-47.0001 325:11

Socalgas-47.0001. 360:9

Socalgas-47.0014. 338:14

Socalgas-47.0024. 411:3

Socalgas-47.0026 333:18

Socalgas-47.0029 335:3

Socalgas-47.0031 331:19

Socalgas-47.0043. 414:5

Socalgas-47.0047. 362:11

Socalgas-47.0048. 414:21

Socalgas-47.0054 439:23

Socalgas-47.0083. 325:24

Socalgas-51 389:18

Socalgas-51.0001. 343:21

Socalgas-51.0011.

353:1

Socalgas-51.0028. 389:21 396:10

Socalgas-51.0040. 343:26

Socalgas-51.0052. 344:1

Socalgas-51.0120. 431:5

Socalgas-58 447:20 449:26

Socalgas-580001 303:18

Socalgas-59 304:10 447:22 449:27

Socalgas-59.0001. 304:21

Socalgas-59.0002. 305:3

Socalgas-60 447:23 450:1

Socalgas-60.001. 310:13

Socalgas-61 449:5 450:13

Socalgas-61.0001 407:15

Socalgas-70.001. 347:14

soil 415:17

solely 399:23

solution 306:8 314:20 325:27 326:2

solutions 326:26 327:6 330:21

solving 345:28

sort 321:22 326:20 391:24 399:15 415:27 421:22

sound 322:10

sounded 363:8

sounds 356:5 451:5

sources 416:22

Southern 295:15 303:6,12 310:4,9 356:16 404:2 423:16 speak 302:28 324:24 330:19 397:23 398:24

speaking 325:3

special 323:9

specific 300:2 333:8 355:14 356:19 383:9

specifically 306:6 314:18 341:19 342:4 346:18 392:16 393:13 417:13 423:2 430:19

speculation 438:10

speed 324:26 360:10

spend 302:17

spot 353:4

SS-25 333:20,28 334:3, 21 339:17,24 340:7 347:11 351:19 353:17, 22 354:21,24 355:26 357:12 360:27 361:2 386:26 387:14 399:23 409:19 412:10 414:10, 26 416:13 423:10 431:9,10 433:9,12,17, 19 437:15 438:22 440:3 441:1,7

SS-25A 431:9 433:3

SS-25B 431:10

SS-8 375:28

SS-9 375:28 448:4

SSSV 411:23 412:13,17

SSSVS 413:18

st 376:16

staff 298:24

stamp 420:19

stamped 303:17 323:1 369:7 370:1 372:16,23 380:8 389:17 407:14 419:9 421:3,8

stand 366:5

standard 302:21 321:10 324:4 375:16 382:10 386:16 391:24 396:16,18 428:20,24,27 429:8,27 430:4 441:21, 23 442:1 444:28 445:21

standards 324:9 396:25 397:2,5,27 398:7 399:6 428:12 429:2,4,7,9 430:21 stands 299:17 start 294:3 387:25 439:22 started 316:27 339:27 341:11 starting 412:23 451:25 starts 319:25 331:27 404:11 state 318:21 349:8 356:2 389:5 409:28 413:27 431:5 stated 311:23,26 321:18 330:1 345:6,9 364:14 390:8,25 410:16,21 statement 315:20 316:25 332:18,25 344:7,17,18 345:6 348:4 351:27 358:3 367:21 379:5 390:10 392:4,6 400:27 406:23 409:14,16,20,23 410:6, 19 416:3 426:7 437:12, 19 442:19 statements 442:18 states 305:21,28 317:15,19 319:20 345:3 360:18 385:8 389:8.9 401:16 439:26 stating 327:18 424:2 status 381:10 statutory 427:7 steel 339:3 415:5,10 steps 323:11 Stinson 392:1 396:12 **Stinson's** 389:12 391:23 Stoddard 294:3,4,6

295:11,25 297:9 299:20 300:4,7,12 303:1,5 307:18 308:3,19 309:1, 23 310:1 313:28 318:8, 22 319:18 320:8,19 321:20 324:27 325:2,5, 17,22 328:12,19 329:17,24,26 330:14 331:4,5 335:20,21 338:8,9 340:26 342:12, 16.20 347:7 348:3 350:15 353:11 354:1,4, 7 355:8 356:18.28 357:2 360:3,16 362:9 363:1,7 365:15 366:15, 16,18 367:19,28 368:13,27,28 370:20 381:13 383:20,25 384:11,13 386:10,19 387:10,11,13 389:13 391:4 393:8,21,25 394:23 395:17,26 396:5,6 397:14 398:11, 24 399:1 401:5,6 403:18,19 404:1,8,9 408:21,24 410:22,25 412:1 414:20 418:3,5, 18,19,25 419:7 420:23, 25 421:19 425:6,8,11 426:27,28 432:5,13 436:5,9,13,22 438:16 439:7,11,15,17 442:8,9, 15 445:2,8,20 446:19, 20 447:15 448:16 449:22 450:26 451:21, 26

stone 409:21

stop 338:3,14 389:22

storage 296:7,10,21,22 297:14,16 298:4,11,12, 16,18,21,26 299:7 300:14,16 301:17 302:22 303:28 304:4 305:5,19 306:7,13,18 310:21 311:6,20 312:4, 12 313:8 314:19,25 315:8,9,22,26 316:9 317:1,16 319:5 320:4 321:8 366:22 369:28 389:26 395:12 401:24, 27 404:1 407:28 413:5 420:6,8,9 422:19,20 428:2 429:18 430:21 435:3 440:23 444:15, 20,22 449:1,7

stored 438:8

straightforward 330:15,27 strike 315:3 323:19 414:13 445:13 448:19 451:2.8

strictly 346:24

strings 364:17

studies 416:20

stuff 359:24

sub-questions 296:13,24

subject 311:1,27 319:25 388:15 414:2 419:22 423:14

submitted 372:1

subsequent 438:28

subsurface 411:9,11, 14 412:3,16 413:1,20, 23,27

subtracted 433:8,12

successfully 412:28

suffice 336:16

sufficient 309:21 337:2,12,15

suggest 299:15 346:26 374:14 416:8

suggesting 358:15 395:20 417:18

suggests 307:23 334:9 373:21 374:28

Sullivan 401:9 407:8 448:28 449:6

summary 369:27 380:24 381:19

summer 402:23 408:6 409:3

Supplemental 310:3,9 447:24

supplements 433:18

supply 408:12 409:9, 13,27

support 390:11 406:20

supported 395:5

supports 315:20 316:7

suppose 359:13 384:24 437:28

supposed 398:2 434:5

sur 343:21

sur-reply 314:7 315:16 317:11 343:19,22,24 352:26 354:2 372:10 389:14,15 396:8 410:27

surface 344:28 348:15 349:5,19 351:10,20,22 352:2 357:24 358:1,7 361:22 362:22 363:17, 25,26 364:3,7,18,19 370:8 387:4,15,16 388:20 416:26 417:2

surprisingly 441:18

surveillance 379:7 440:2

survey 373:26,28

surveys 333:22 334:5, 16 336:1,15 367:6,7 368:7 370:10,11 379:27 434:8

sustain 309:5

sustained 319:16 398:21,26

swell 325:20

switch 430:27

system 298:4,6 407:21 412:9 427:4 440:1,27 443:2,15

system-wide 402:1 405:23

systemic 301:9 321:26 322:2,7 327:14

Т

table 369:18,27 372:19, 24 380:20 427:6,24

tactic 307:2,3

taking 362:7

talk 381:17

talking 316:11 333:11 342:4 354:23 358:26

363:18 366:20 383:19

tanks 420:8 technical 320:27 323:10

technique 332:4 333:1, 7,13

technological 444:24

technologies 332:17 359:10

technology 332:24 333:9,10,13 347:10 367:4 441:15 442:20,27 443:9,20,21 444:25

telling 307:10

temperature 333:21 334:4,16 336:1,15 337:2,11 367:6,7 368:7 370:10 373:26 379:25 441:16 442:21 444:11

temporary 407:4

ten 394:7 439:10,12

term 298:16,20 318:2 388:6

terms 302:8 399:4

test 336:4 378:12,15

testified 317:14 321:8, 22 366:5

testifying 358:18,21

testimony 299:23 305:10,12,22,26 308:24 309:17 313:3 314:8 315:14,16 316:20 317:12 318:7,14 325:6, 10,16,23 326:5 329:6, 15,21 330:2,10,12,16, 18,20,22,25 331:17,18 335:15 338:12 340:2 343:11,13,19,22,24,25, 28 344:4,5,9 345:1,3,25 347:11,20 350:23 351:25 352:26 354:2,8 355:9,14,15,21 356:6,8, 15,17 360:4,7 361:26 362:19 363:14 365:2 367:24,27 368:1 372:2, 6,11 376:27 377:16 385:25,27 386:3 389:12,15 390:2,5

391:7,10,19,27,28 392:2,13,22,24 393:2,4, 10,15,26 394:17,26 395:1,28 396:8 397:15, 18,21 411:13 413:26 432:14 436:2,4,7,10 437:10,11,21 439:20 441:5 445:25 446:17 447:1 451:3

testing 337:3 366:28

tests 370:7

text 354:10

theoretically 358:8 434:7

thickness 332:3,28 333:6,12,27 335:26

thing 422:6 450:27

things 324:10 356:27 359:25 399:13 415:25

thinking 337:18 422:10

thought 301:14 324:11 410:9 426:4

time 296:8,21 297:15 298:8 302:17 317:3,21, 25 318:12,26 322:26 324:27 333:8,14 337:10 340:4 341:26 342:25 361:13 364:23 365:11 376:20 378:8 379:16 380:17 404:6 410:17 418:4 426:10 441:12 443:12 451:7,9

timeline 374:28 383:3

timer 443:16

times 320:6 393:5

timing 356:19,20 446:24

Timothy 401:9 448:27 449:6

title 396:12,19 397:16 421:1 428:21

titled 295:15 304:17 322:17 369:27 427:4 445:17

today 294:23,26 295:4 302:7 317:14 338:24 359:22 368:2 392:20 418:15,23 447:13 449:18

told 320:22,25 426:21

tomorrow 451:25

toniaht 418:22

tool 338:28 340:21 342:23 345:11,26 349:11 358:12,19 383:17

tools 332:9,12 333:3 334:11 382:8

top 333:19 403:24 419:18

topic 418:2

topics 451:6

tracer 370:10 379:26

tracking 353:27 451:20

transcribed 341:5

transcript 329:18 350:19

transcripts 307:16

Transmission 428:2

transport 388:28

tubing 387:5,17,26 388:3,8,14 399:24,27 400:2,5,7,23 403:20 404:26 406:6 412:4 413:2,24,28

tubing-only 406:7

tunnels 361:7

turn 296:15 303:25 304:8,26 305:1 310:6 311:17 325:5,12 333:17 338:10,12 340:26 343:18 346:28 360:4 368:17 369:15,25 370:14,20 372:13,19, 21,28 373:6 375:9,18 377:10,19 380:5 381:4, 14 386:4 389:15 396:7 400:25 403:13 404:10 407:5 408:8 409:6,20 410:26 412:8,20 414:4, 13 418:26 420:16 422:14 426:22 427:18 430:28 432:18 439:8,21

441:13

turning 343:27

turns 411:5 413:7

type 300:23 324:15 326:10 327:3,16 328:2, 5 330:4

typically 415:11

U

Uh-huh 334:24 428:18

ultimately 410:1

unable 405:19

unaware 332:8

unclear 348:27

undefined 298:25

undercounted 433:4

underground 296:10 420:7 429:18 440:23

understand 307:21 311:11 312:9 314:3 316:24 326:14 328:9, 15,16 331:6 334:27 397:19 409:14,15,17

understanding 302:9 336:17 339:15 340:19, 22 342:22 359:15 376:11 402:19 422:12 440:18

understood 309:23 325:21 354:6 356:28 357:1 418:24 429:12 436:24

undertaken 370:5

unduly 298:22 305:8

unfit 390:3

universe 298:25

unreasonable 309:11

unreliable 333:9

unsafe 389:25 390:13, 20 391:11,20 392:5,16, 18 394:27 395:12

unusual 371:18

unwilling 393:27

upfront 307:13 308:9, 16,18

USIT 333:13 359:9 367:4,10,14,22 375:13 380:2 381:1 383:16

Utilities 298:2,7 403:10 406:15 407:9 410:7 413:12 421:24 446:3

utility 398:2,8

V

vague 298:21 305:8 313:24 329:14 330:9 355:5 367:16

valve 411:11 412:16 413:28

valves 411:10,15 412:4 413:2,20,23

vary 323:11

verification 429:23 445:22

verify 428:27

version 325:7 338:11 356:21.23 360:5 434:28

versions 429:10

versus 329:12 379:15

Vertilog 332:21 338:27 340:6,20 341:14 342:7, 23 344:21 345:15 347:10 348:8 349:25 351:1,8 352:9 357:27 358:12,19,23 359:9 364:2,15 376:8,12 380:3 381:2 383:16

Vertilog's 349:1

Vertilogs 341:16,19 342:4

vessels 301:15 302:14 316:22 317:6 326:10 327:2

vibration 441:17 442:21

Vice 401:10

video 294:12,18

view 320:3 330:4 357:27 370:22 396:25 397:5 410:5

viewed 300:23 373:23

viewing 374:15

violated 386:25 414:26 439:26,28 440:26

violates 338:20 396:28

violation 340:1 354:20 355:2,24 356:3 357:7, 20 374:18 390:14 392:10 393:12,27 396:20,22 397:16,17,28 398:1,16

violations 295:7 353:13 377:16 391:8 397:7 413:11,18 416:4

W

wait 377:22 420:21

waiting 309:28

waiver 311:2,28 319:26

wall 332:3.28 333:5.11. 27 335:26 339:3,7,17, 20 340:15,24 359:6,12

walnut 444:2

wanted 301:21 447:2

warning 441:9

water 379:14 407:23 415:3 416:15,22,25 417:14,16,19,21

webpage 403:11

website 419:28

week 383:14

weeks 423:21 425:24

weight 308:24

well's 435:18

well-related 438:7

wellbore 412:10 wellhead 370:8,9

424:23

wells 323:9 326:9 327:1 332:22 338:19 340:7 353:17 354:16 357:9 370:1,27,28 371:1,8,10, 12,13 375:21,23,28 376:4 380:27 381:21,22 382:4 387:3,9,21 389:24,26 390:19 392:14 395:11,12 398:15 399:22 402:16 404:25 405:3,6,11,26 406:5,19 413:3 417:28 429:19 431:9 443:26 winter 403:2 wired 443:18 wireless 443:20 wishes 350:23 withdraw 389:1 421:10 withdrawal 378:18,20 402:2,12,22 404:3,17 405:28 406:28 407:27 withdrawals 408:28 withholding 307:7 withstanding 299:1 witness' 318:13 355:9 367:20 witness's 299:23,26 witnesses 446:16,27 447:10 wondering 451:24 word 322:1,7,10 327:14 336:7 wording 358:25

work 322:27 412:4 425:27 426:5 434:8 443:11

work-over 375:7

375:22,26

worked 443:25

working 325:18 422:22 435:5,23 436:28

Workover 448:4

Index: unwilling..zooming

works 359:15

world 422:20

wrap 438:16

worldwide 298:18

Υ

Ζ

406:5

workovers 381:21,25

write 410:3 writing 420:14 430:9 written 302:28 328:17 443:6 wrong 307:8 353:4 436:25 445:12 448:5 wrote 376:27 year 372:6 376:17 378:22 years 417:7 yesterday 295:6 301:7 320:22 321:21 322:5 327:21 328:23 330:2 331:11 366:20 451:2 **zone** 370:1 371:14 379:16 381:27 **zoom** 386:4 **zoomed** 411:18 zooming 386:13 words 364:17 395:4,22 work-overs 370:27

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA