BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION







ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES JESSICA T. HECHT and MARCELO POIRIER, co-presiding

Order Instituting Investigation on)	EVIDENTIARY
the Commission's Own Motion into the)	HEARING
Operations and Practices of Southern)	
California Gas Company with Respect)	
to the Aliso Canyon storage facility)	
and the release of natural gas, and)	
Order to Show Cause Why Southern)	
California Gas Company Should Not Be)	
Sanctioned for Allowing the)	Investigation
Uncontrolled Release of Natural Gas)	19-06-016
from its Aliso Canyon Storage)	
Facility. (U904G))	

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT
Virtual Proceeding
April 7, 2021
Pages 1625 - 1747
Volume 12

Reported by: Carol Ann Mendez, CSR No. 4330 Andrea L. Ross, CSR No. 7896 Jason A. Stacey, CSR No. 14092

1	I	NDΕΣ	ζ	
2				D2.GE
3	WITNESS:			PAGE
4				
5	L. WILLIAM ABEL Direct Examination B	s. Mr. Mc	aghfagh	1629
6	Cross-Examination By Redirect Examination	Mr. Gru	ıen	1632
7	Redirect Examination	by Mosi	11.6911	1039
8				
9				
10	Exhibits:	Iden.	Evid.	
11	SoCalGas-08 SoCalGas-09	1662 1662		
12	SoCalGas-29 SoCalGas-32	1662 1662	1662	
13	SED-226 SED-227	1663 1664	1664	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	VIRTUAL PROCEEDING
2	APRIL 7, 2021 - 10:01 A.M.
3	* * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE HECHT: We'll
5	be on the record. The Commission will please
6	come to order.
7	This is day 12 of the evidentiary
8	hearings in Investigation 19-06-016 related
9	to Aliso Canyon. We are going to be picking
10	up today with cross-examination of Witness
11	Abel of SoCalGas. We will swear in the
12	witness momentarily.
13	I'd like to recap first that before
14	we went on the record, we discussed there
15	will be some housekeeping matters to discuss,
16	and we are going to do them later today after
17	we have finished the cross and redirect and
18	whatever is needed with the witness, rather
19	than keeping the witness waiting this morning
20	while we do that.
21	I think that we can basically get
22	started. I don't think there's a lot left to
23	do now. But before I swear in the witness,
24	is there anything else that anybody would
25	like to raise?
26	(No response.)
27	ALJ HECHT: Okay. I see shaking heads.
28	So I will move on to swearing in Mr. Abel.

1	Are you ready, Mr. Abel?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, Ma'am. I am.
3	ALJ HECHT: Thank you very much. I am
4	going to read a whole sort of long list of
5	things. I don't know if you have been
6	listening in the previous days, but it's a
7	longer list than usual when we swear in the
8	witness. And then after that, I will ask you
9	if you agree. And we'll get to the direct.
10	So to start with, do you solemnly
11	affirm that the testimony you're about to
12	give will be the truth, the whole truth and
13	nothing but the truth?
14	Do you attest to tell the truth
15	based on your personal knowledge?
15 16	based on your personal knowledge? Do you attest that you will testify
16	Do you attest that you will testify
16 17	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory,
16 17 18	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures;
16 17 18 19	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal
16 17 18 19 20	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal requirements of testifying under oath,
16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal requirements of testifying under oath, including the prohibition against being
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal requirements of testifying under oath, including the prohibition against being coached;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal requirements of testifying under oath, including the prohibition against being coached; Attest that you will only refer to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal requirements of testifying under oath, including the prohibition against being coached; Attest that you will only refer to materials previously shared with all parties,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Do you attest that you will testify based on your own acknowledge and memory, free from external influences and pressures; that you will adhere to all formal requirements of testifying under oath, including the prohibition against being coached; Attest that you will only refer to materials previously shared with all parties, including exhibits premarked and identified

1	understand that any recording of a proceeding
2	held by Webex or teleconference, including
3	screenshots or other visual copying of a
4	hearing, is prohibited;
5	And attest that you know a violation
6	of these prohibitions may result in
7	sanctions, including removal from the
8	evidentiary hearing, restricted entry to
9	future hearings, denial of entry to future
10	hearings or any other sanctions deemed
11	necessary by the Commission?
12	Mr. Abel, do you agree to those
13	attestations?
14	THE WITNESS: I agree.
15	L. WILLIAM ABEL, called as a witness by Southern California Gas Company,
16	having been sworn, testified as follows:
17	
18	ALJ HECHT: Great. Thank you. With
19	that, I think that we'll go to Mr. Moshfegh
20	for the direct. And then we'll get going.
21	MR. MOSHFEGH: Great. Thank you, your
22	Honor.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. MOSHFEGH:
25	Q Mr. Abel, can you please state your
26	full name for the record?
27	A L. William Abel.
28	Q Do you have before you the exhibits

that have been premarked as SoCalGas-08, the 1 Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William Abel, 2. dated March 20, 2020; SoCalGas-09, the 3 Exhibits to Prepared Reply Testimony of L. 4 5 William Abel; SoCalGas-29, Prepared Sur-Reply 6 Testimony of L. William Abel, dated June 30, 7 2020, and SoCalGas-32, Prepared Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony of L. William Abel dated 8 October 26, 2020? 9 10 A I do. Thank you. Were these documents 11 0 prepared by you or at your direction? 12 13 Α Yes, they were. 14 Do you adopt them as your testimony 15 in this proceeding? 16 Α I do. 17 Thank you. Mr. Abel, are you 0 18 employed by SoCalGas? 19 As a consultant, yes. Α 2.0 0 You were retained by SoCalGas in 21 the matter as an outside expert? 22 That's correct. Α 2.3 Mr. Abel, can you state the nature 24 of your involvement in this proceeding? 25 I am here to provide context and 26 expertise to the well-kill operations that I 27 have reviewed. Thank you. And, Mr. Abel, can you 2.8 Q

briefly describe your well control 1 2. experience? I have been in this business since 3 I have participated in over 500 well 4 5 kills; have been a team leader on capping 6 operations where we stop a flow, put out a 7 fire on over 60 wells. I have published over 30 papers on the topic and a textbook. 9 hold six patents for pressure control 10 equipment and I have traveled the world and 11 had a wide variety of experience in this 12 matter. 13 Thank you. And, lastly, the 14 parties to this proceeding have attested that 15 they will not audio or video record these 16 proceedings, but that does not apply to third 17 parties. But just in case there are any 18 third parties out there who may seek to audio 19 or video record these proceedings, I am 2.0 stating on the record that I do not consent 21 to such a recording. 22 Do you consent to being audio or video recorded by any third party? 2.3 24 I do not. A No. 25 The witness MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you. 26 is available for cross-examination. 27 ALJ HECHT: Thank you very much. 2.8 we'll turn to Mr. Gruen. You may proceed.

1	And I think you're on mute, a mistake that I
2	have already made this morning, too.
3	MR. GRUEN: Thank you, your Honor. Can
4	you hear me? Okay.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. GRUEN:
7	Q Good morning, Mr. Abel. My name is
8	Darryl Gruen. I am an attorney practicing on
9	behalf of the Safety and Enforcement Division
10	in this proceeding.
11	And I'd like to just start by
12	asking you a couple of questions that build
13	on the attestations that Mr. Moshfegh asked,
14	as well as just establishing a common
15	understanding of some terms that relate to
16	this proceeding.
17	So with that, I will proceed with
18	those basic introductory questions. Do you
19	understand?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	Q Thank you. First of all, are you
22	alone right now?
23	A Very. Nobody here.
24	Q Me, too. Are you able to
25	communicate separately or privately with
26	anyone while you communicate through this
27	Webex connection that you have to the
28	hearings today?

A No. They took my cell phone away
from me.
Q Okay. And do you consent to
just to ask it slightly more broadly than
Mr. Moshfegh, do you consent to anyone
recording or in any way transcribing your
testimony in this proceeding, except for the
Commission-authorized court reporter?
A I agree.
Q Okay. You don't
A I do not give my consent, except in
the court reporter, what she has to do.
Q Thank you. Okay. And just in
order to establish a couple of terms, one
thing to clarify, if I do press your memory
about anything, please feel free to say that
you don't recall and if you don't know,
please just say so and we'll move on. Do you
understand?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And just a couple of terms,
common terms that we can establish for
purposes of the record. When we talk about
the term use the term "Blade" today, can
we agree that we're referring to Blade Energy
Partners?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And when we talk about the

Aliso Canyon facility or Aliso for short, can 1 2. we agree that we are talking about SoCalGas' 3 Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility? Α Yes. 4 And if we use the term "root cause 5 analysis" or "RCA," that refers to Blade's 6 7 root cause analysis and supplemental reports issued in May of 2019. Would you agree with 8 9 that understanding? 10 A Yes. 11 0 Okay. And the use of the term 12 "SS-25" refers to Standard Sesnon 25 well at Aliso. Do you agree with that? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. And if I use the term 16 "incident" or you use the term "incident," 17 that refers to the release of gas from the 18 SS-25 facility that was discovered, beginning 19 October 23rd, 2015. Do you agree? 2.0 A Yes. Thank you. Mr. Abel, you 21 Okay. talked a little bit about your background and 22 23 qualifications, but if we could quickly turn 24 to your reply testimony, I believe it's 25 Exhibit SCG-29. And if that could be pulled up and we'll go right to, first of all, just 26 27 the cover page. 28 So you can see we're referencing

your reply testimony. And if we could go to 1 2. PDF page 20, which I believe is page 19, and I don't want to belabor this too long, just a 3 couple of quick questions is the idea. 4 5 could you --I am sorry to interrupt, 6 MR. MOSHFEGH: but I just -- I believe you identified or I 7 believe Mr. Gruen identified this exhibit as 8 9 SoCalGas-29, but my understanding is Mister 10 -- we have identified Mr. Abel's reply 11 testimony as SoCalGas Exhibit 8. MR. GRUEN: Exhibit 8. Understood. 12 Pardon me. Thank you for the correction. 13 Ι 14 appreciate that for the record. 15 Turning to SoCalGas Exhibit 8, do 0 16 you recognize this as your reply testimony? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okav. And the witness 0 19 qualifications page, where we are, do these 20 show your witness qualifications in your 21 reply testimony? 22 Yes, they do. 2.3 So, just to cut to it here, 0 Okay. 24 do any of these experiences touch upon work 25 with natural gas? 26 Α The large majority, yes. 27 Okay. Can you at a high level just touch on the numbers, which ones? 2.8

2.0

2.8

A Kind of a big question, but I'll give you a general answer.

I never thought I was going to be sitting here and have to give you a number, to an attorney, when I was doing them 20 years ago. So I did not keep a record of that, but I would give you my best guess estimates. 60, 70 percent of the wells that I worked at that were out of control were natural gas or a large mixture of natural gas with oil and other fluids exiting to create the blowout.

Q Understood. And I appreciate that you didn't envision talking to an attorney about this when you were drafting this. So that's good enough for the answer.

Let me just ask, of those 60 to
70 percent that you were talking about, were
those related to production-related wells,
for purposes of production?

A Some were, but the majority were exploration of drilling operations where they're trying to -- in the process of creating a production well, you have to drill it, but the odds are that a well-control event occurs generally when you're trying to build the well, drilling the well, as opposed to the production operations. So the larger

majority is drilling operations. 1 2. Okay. Drilling operations. for purposes of production then? 3 Well, I am sorry. I muddled a 4 little bit. Let me try again. 5 It might have been on my side, but 6 7 please, please, go ahead. I was cautioned that I should try 8 to not use words that wouldn't be common to 9 10 the average quy. Okay. So -- and not that 11 you're average, but that it would be easily 12 understood. Okay. 13 0 Thank you. 14 So in the process of drilling a 15 well or creating a well, you have to make the 16 hole in the ground and you have to construct 17 And the production is after the well --18 after the construct -- it's like building a 19 house. You're talking about building and 2.0 living in it. Production is living in the 21 house, you know, and drilling and workover is 22 building the house. Okay. 2.3 So, the odds are that a 24 well-control event would occur when people 25 are building the well, drilling or workover, 26 as opposed to it's just sitting there with 27 valves that are opened and closed for 2.8 production purposes.

1	It's a low-probability event to
2	have a problem on a production well, in my
3	experience.
4	Q Thank you, Mr. Abel. Let me ask it
5	this way: Have you done any work doing kill
6	operations on storage facilities?
7	A Zero. None.
8	Q Thank you. Okay. Moving on, if we
9	could just touch on, did you hear or did you
10	understand that I was asking questions of
11	Mr. Glenn La Fevers last week?
12	A Yes. I know that you have asked
13	you've asked questions of Mr. La Fevers.
14	Q Okay. And are you aware that he
15	deferred to you with regards to certain of
16	those questions?
17	A I've been told, yes.
18	Q Okay. If we could walk through
19	them quickly. So if we could turn just to
20	refresh your memory and perhaps go to where
21	he deferred and turn briefly to pages two and
22	three at Mr. La Fever's supplemental
23	testimony and that is identified as SoCalGas
24	Exhibit SoCalGas-30R Prepared Supplemental
25	Rebuttal Testimony of Glenn La Fevers, and if
26	we go to pages 2 and 3.
27	MR. MOSHFEGH: Your Honor, I am just
28	going to state an objection that

1	Mr. La Fevers' testimony was not identified
2	by SoCalGas as one of the exhibits they would
3	be using on Mr. Abel's cross-examination.
4	MR. GRUEN: I would have thought it
5	would have been obvious, since he referred to
6	it. We don't all this is, is for purposes
7	of refreshing the record. We really can lay
8	a foundation through Mr. Abel's testimony, if
9	we could have just a slight bit of latitude,
10	your Honor. Helpful for the record.
11	ALJ HECHT: Objection overruled.
12	Please continue and hopefully we'll get
13	through the foundation pretty quickly and to
14	the heart of the question.
15	MR. GRUEN: That is our intent, your
16	Honor. Thank you for the granting.
17	Q If we could turn to pages 2 and 3
18	page 2, lines 14 to 15, just the
19	subheading that says:
20	The release was an unavoidable
21	by-product of the well-kill
22	attempt on November 13, 2015.
23	Do you see that? Mr. Abel, do you
24	see that on the screen?
25	A Yes, I do.
26	Q And did you hear Mr. La Fevers
27	testify that he observed a mist that was
28	released from well SS-25 on November 13,

1 2015? 2 MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object as lacking foundation. He's not established 3 that Mr. Abel observed Mr. La Fevers' 4 testimony during this evidentiary hearing. 5 6 ALJ HECHT: Yes, that's right. 7 not know whether he observed it, so I'm sure 8 there's another way to go about this. 9 MR. GRUEN: Okay. 10 Did you observe Mr. La Fevers' 0 11 testimony, Mr. Abel? No, I did not. 12 Α 13 0 Well, let's go to -- so just to 14 clarify, you're aware that he deferred to you 15 on certain questions, but you're not -- since 16 you didn't observe, you're not aware what 17 exact questions he deferred to you; is that 18 right? 19 That's right. Α 2.0 0 Okay. Understood. Well, let's turn to your testimony then, which if we 21 22 could go to -- bear with me -- the Prepared 2.3 Supplemental Testimony that's SoCalGas-32. 24 If we go to page 2 and we go to the Bates 25 number there, that is SoCalGas-32.0004. If 26 we go to the top there, lines 1 through 3, 27 you state: What Ms. Felts fails to understand 28

1	is that, during emergency well
2	control operations, releases of
3	kill fluids and other entrained
4	fluids from the well or formation
5	are common and known to occur,
6	especially after fluids are pumped
7	into the well.
8	Do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And on page 2 of your testimony
11	going to line 18 toward the bottom, you
12	continue:
13	With respect to the top kill
14	operation performed by Boots &
15	Coots on November 13, 2015,
16	records reflect that the return of
17	kill fluid to surface was likely
18	the direct result of the second
19	kill attempt. For example
20	SoCalGas' daily well work report
21	from November 13, 2015, states,
22	'after'
23	And I believe you inserted the "A"
24	there, but
25	after 693
26	And I believe that's shorthand for
27	barrels
28	pumped brine, oil and gas

1	flowing from surface cracks.
2	Similarly, Boots & Coots' daily
3	report from this date specifically
4	notes 'brine, oil and gas flowing
5	from fissures on the pad.'
6	Do you see that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And continuing onto lines 2
9	through 7, you stated:
10	Notes taken by the representatives
11	from the Division of Oil, Gas and
12	Geothermal Resources (currently
13	known as the California Geologic
14	Energy Management or CalGEM), who
15	were present at Aliso Canyon
16	during the November 13th kill
17	attempt, similarly described that
18	'the well began to blowout to
19	surface, a large column of gas,
20	aerated mud and rock formed a
21	geyser around the well head and
22	mud brine also began to flow from
23	around the well head fissures.'
24	Do you see that?
25	A Yes.
26	Q So were you present on November 13,
27	2015, to observe well SS-25?
28	A No, I was not.

1	Q And you accept then that what the
2	DOGGR, or now known as the CalGEM you
3	understand CalGEM as you've referenced in
4	line 4 of the testimony that we just read;
5	right?
6	A I'm sorry, I missed the question.
7	I didn't quite
8	Q Probably because I jumbled it. Let
9	me try it again. That's on my end. Pardon
10	me, Mr. Abel. Just using the term "CalGEM"
11	that you referenced in line 4 you
12	understand "CalGEM" if I use that term;
13	right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q So with that in mind, you accept
15 16	Q So with that in mind, you accept what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM,
16	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM,
16 17	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that
16 17 18	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is
16 17 18 19	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct?
16 17 18 19 20	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct? MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object to
16 17 18 19 20 21	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct? MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for speculation.
16 17 18 19 20 21	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct? MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for speculation. Mr. Abel does not know why members from
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct? MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for speculation. Mr. Abel does not know why members from CalGEM wrote what they wrote.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct? MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for speculation. Mr. Abel does not know why members from CalGEM wrote what they wrote. ALJ HECHT: Let's stick with the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	what DOGGR, now known as the CalGEM, representatives described in these notes that you've just put in your testimony is accurate; is that correct? MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object to the extent it calls for speculation. Mr. Abel does not know why members from CalGEM wrote what they wrote. ALJ HECHT: Let's stick with the witness' own experience, please.

1	reason to doubt its accuracy?
2	A I have no doubt that the people who
3	wrote these three reports wrote down in their
4	best ability what they thought they saw at
5	the time they saw it.
6	Q Fair enough. Let's turn to Exhibit
7	SED-226, please. Bear with us just a moment.
8	This is SoCalGas Response to SED Data Request
9	119.
10	Do you see that?
11	A Yes, sir, I do.
12	Q Turning to Question 3, starting on
13	PDF page 2 with Bates Number SED-226.2, if we
14	go to the bottom and if we turn there to
15	Question 3C, I will represent to you that
16	this is asking about Mr. La Fevers'
17	testimony. It asks specifically on
18	Question C:
19	How many times from October 23,
20	2015, through February 28, 2016,
21	was there a release event at SS-25
22	similar to the one that occurred
23	on November 13, 2015?
24	If the answer is more than one,
25	please list the dates of the
26	additional release events and
27	identify by name or Bates numbers
28	all documents that described those

1	releases.
2	Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And continuing on the
5	following page in response to that question,
6	we see after the objections, SoCalGas
7	responds as follows if we could I think
8	it starts on the fifth line there:
9	From November 13, 2015, through
10	February 11, 2016, pressure within
11	the SS-25 well caused liquid to
12	become aerosolized during kill
13	events and on a periodic basis
14	between kill attempts. See, e.g.,
15	Boots & Coots' daily reports which
16	were previously provided to SED
17	with Bates range
18	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0025631 through
19	same prefix ending in 0025808.
20	Do you see that?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q Did you help prepare this response,
23	Mr. Abel?
24	A This is Mr. La Fevers' response;
	correct? I did not help prepare
25	
2526	Mr. La Fevers' response.
	Mr. La Fevers' response. Q Right, it's

```
the extent -- I'm sorry for the belated
 1
 2.
     objection, but I'm going to object to the
 3
     extent that it calls for speculation beyond
     the witness' knowledge.
                      Again, let's stick with the
 5
           ALJ HECHT:
 6
     witness' own knowledge and experience --
 7
           MR. GRUEN:
                      Okay --
               (Crosstalk.)
 8
 9
           ALJ HECHT: -- try to be clear and
     efficient.
10
11
           MR. GRUEN:
                      Your Honor, if I may, this
12
     is exactly the line that Mr. La Fevers
     deferred to Mr. Abel on. So if Mr. Abel
13
     doesn't know, I'd like to ask for a witness
14
15
     who does know the answer to this question.
16
     We've now been punted once to someone who
17
     doesn't seem to have an answer to the
18
     question. And if he doesn't know, that's
19
     fine, but we need an answer to the question.
2.0
               So, Mr. Abel, are you familiar with
21
     Boots & Coots' daily reports that I just
22
     read?
               Yes.
2.3
           A
24
               Okay. You're familiar with those,
25
     so let's just introduce them. If we could
     introduce Exhibit SED-227 then.
26
                                       This is
27
     titled SED-227 Boots & Coots Daily Reports.
28
               Do you recognize -- if we turn
```

```
to these, these were provided ahead of time I
 1
 2.
     believe. Do you recognize these documents?
 3
     If you want to take some time to peruse
     them -- do you recognize these as the Boots &
 4
 5
     Coots' daily reports related to the top kill
 6
     attempts from October 26, 2015, through
     February 18, 2016?
 7
           A
 8
               Yes.
               Okay. Thank you. Do you see that
 9
     these documents show the Bates numbers that
10
     are referenced in SoCalGas' Response to SED
11
12
     Data Request 119, Question 3C that we just
13
            Do you want to take a moment to look
14
     at them and see if that's accurate?
15
               I'll defer to you. I believe
16
     they're accurate. If you say these are the
17
     ones you want to ask about, I believe you.
18
           0
               Okay.
19
                (Crosstalk.)
2.0
           THE WITNESS: (Inaudible) -- look up
21
     those numbers and verify the number --
22
     BY MR. GRUEN:
2.3
               Thank you --
           0
24
                (Crosstalk.)
25
           THE WITNESS: -- refer to a date of a
26
     report --
27
     BY MR. GRUEN:
2.8
           0
               Sure.
```

A and then we can go
Q Well, the question is about all of
them, but I appreciate your point. How about
if we just ask it this way: Would you accept
that the Bates numbers were the ones
identified in the data response subject to
check later?
A Sure, that's a good plan.
Q Okay. Okay. And just because we
asked broadly about this, what we're getting
at is can you indicate where in these
referenced daily reports that they show
pressure within the SS-25 well that caused
liquid to become aerosolized during kill
events?
MR. MOSHFEGH: I'm going to object as
assuming facts not in evidence and vague and
ambiguous as to the question.
ALJ HECHT: Can we try to restate the
question more clearly.
MR. GRUEN: Sure.
Q Mr. La Fevers I'm sorry,
Mr. Abel, do these well do these daily
reports have any indication of liquid
becoming aerosolized during kill events at
SS-25?
A Okay. So that we're all on the
same page, could you scroll the page down to

the middle of the page where it talks -- all 1 I see is the bottom that they worked some hours and there's a Bates number. Okay. 3 Sure. 4 0 (Crosstalk.) 5 6 BY MR. GRUEN: 7 We'll go wherever you want, sir. Go ahead. 8 9 In the hour-by-hour breakdown, there is where the information is recorded 10 11 what they observed and thought was important to write down. 12 Understood -- oh, go ahead. 13 0 I'm 14 sorry. 15 And my interpretation is that they 16 are summarizing the events of the day. I 17 don't see anything about a geyser and fluid 18 flying up into the air on the Boots & Coots' 19 report. I do see a reference that fluid is 2.0 returning during the kill attempt, and it's 21 referenced while there was pressure on the 22 well. 23 Now, the way the question was styled, it was about pressure within the 24 25 well, and there is no way of knowing what that is from the surface indicator. It's 26 27 related, but what Boots & Coots is writing down -- and I'm sure I'm going to get my hand 2.8

1	spanked for being elaborate here is here
2	is what we did and here is what we observed.
3	There is pressure on the well that's being
4	generated by a pump, and then later it refers
5	to the crux of your question, if I understand
6	it, began flowing
7	MR. MOSHFEGH: And if I can
8	just interject
9	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, he's not done
10	answering the question. Counsel
11	(Crosstalk.)
12	ALJ HECHT: Stop.
13	MR. GRUEN: I would object to counsel
14	offering any insight here.
15	ALJ HECHT: Stop. We'll be off the
15 16	ALJ HECHT: Stop. We'll be off the record.
	-
16	record.
16 17	record. (Off the record.)
16 17 18	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
16 17 18 19	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record.
16 17 18 19 20	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. When we were off the record, we
16 17 18 19 20 21	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. When we were off the record, we discussed the appropriateness of objections.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. When we were off the record, we discussed the appropriateness of objections. And the hope is that the witness will be able
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. When we were off the record, we discussed the appropriateness of objections. And the hope is that the witness will be able to answer the questions with all the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. When we were off the record, we discussed the appropriateness of objections. And the hope is that the witness will be able to answer the questions with all the information he needs in front of him on the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	record. (Off the record.) ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the record. When we were off the record, we discussed the appropriateness of objections. And the hope is that the witness will be able to answer the questions with all the information he needs in front of him on the screen.

28

record showed the -- Boots & Coots that there 1 was aerosolized fluid in the air. That's how I understood the question. 3 And the only reference that I know 4 of in this respect for the first kill attempt 5 is on November the 13th. So if we're not on 6 November 13th, can you please put November 7 the 13th up there and that's the one that --BY MR. GRUEN: 9 10 Absolutely. Thank you for the 0 11 clarification, both of you. And we will do 12 that. It's our intent to go where you want 13 us to go. 14 And I will say you were right about the Bates number. But I don't think in Bates 15 16 number. I think in days. If you can indulge 17 me, it would be helpful to know --18 Most definitely. If we can get to 19 -- Mr. Zarchy, we have October 28, 2015. 2.0 the best of my knowledge, these should be in 21 chronological order so we can get to the 22 November 13th fairly -- yep. There it is. 2.3 Perhaps we can expand it slightly to show the 24 date. 25 And Mr. -- with that, Mr. Abel, 26 does this appear to be the Boots & Coots 27 daily report from November 13, 2015, on the

screen in front of you?

1	A Yes, it does.
2	Q Okay. If you like, we can scroll
3	down for you to
4	A Okay. That's correct.
5	Q for you to answer the question
6	about aerosolization during the November 13th
7	kill event. I think you had a fair
8	characterization and understanding. So
9	please go ahead.
10	A Okay. Line down about
11	two-thirds of the way down, there's
12	1400 hours to 1700 hours, there is a
13	description of what's going on. And they say
14	after I am sorry. Back up. There's two
15	references there. The first one is in the
16	line 11:15 to 1400 hours. And it reads,
17	"Began displacing at 9.4 and after displacing
18	"
19	Hold on a moment.
20	It says about five lines down,
21	it says:
22	Pump soft line, pump pressure 634.
23	Brine, oil, and gas flowing from
24	fissures on the pad.
25	And then later in a different
26	operation in 1400 to 1700 they pumped down
27	the annulus and observed brining from the
28	fissures. Now, there's no description that

it went up into the air and aerosolized at 1 2. that point. So if we say that I -- do I know it 3 happened? By reading this, I'd say the 4 fellow who wrote this down didn't note it. 5 That's all I can say. And I'm relying --6 7 remember I'm not there. I'm relying on the documents provided to understand what went 9 on. Okay. 10 Q 11 A I'm relying on Mr. Walzel to have a 12 reported factual account of what occurred 13 that he observed and he thought was important 14 to put on his record. 15 Understood. Thank you, sir. And, 16 Mr. Abel, do you have -- do you have an 17 understanding of the other daily reports that 18 are here dated as to whether the fluid went 19 up into the air as described? As you just 2.0 described? In reference to this event? 21 Α 22 In reference to this event --0 2.3 (Crosstalk.) 24 A There is the DOGGR report. I refer 25 to them as DOGGR. I didn't know they changed 26 their name until you told me today. 27 The DOGGR report were the same guy. 2.8 Same event was observed by a different person

who wrote a different report and 1 characterized it a little differently. 3 Q Okay. Α Look at the same two guys, two 4 different fellows, looking at something and 5 6 writing down their reporting. And one 7 reports one way and one reports it a little differently. 8 9 And specifically with regards to 10 the daily reports from Boots & Coots to your 11 knowledge in these daily reports, is there 12 any mention made of aerosolization during kill events on SS-25? 13 By Boots & Coots, I did not see any 14 15 record that indicated that. 16 Thank you, sir. Okay. If we could 17 turn to page 1 of your prepared supplemental 18 rebuttal testimony now. And if we go to the 19 bottom just to read the Bates No. into the 2.0 record. I am sorry. There is no Bates No. Just the page number. Pardon me. 21 22 Page 1 and the heading -- pardon 2.3 I think we're at the -- if we could go to the other -- the other document that's 24 25 identified as SoCalGas-32. Let's go to 26 page 1 there and scroll down toward the 27 bottom. Here we are. 2.8 So do you recognize this as your

1	supplemental rebuttal testimony, Mr. Abel?
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q Okay. And the heading at lines 18
4	and 19 discuss:
5	The release of fluids as common
6	and known to occur during well
7	control operations.
8	Did I did read that correctly?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Okay. Is the release of fluids
11	common and known to occur during emergency
12	well control operations specifically at Aliso
13	Canyon?
14	A I would say yes.
15	Q Okay. What data do you rely on to
16	make that statement?
17	A The records which every the
18	daily reports all indicate on each and every
19	kill attempt, there was return fluid to the
20	surface. I also rely on my experience that
21	if you're having a successful well control
22	attempt, you will always see fluid returned
23	to the surface if the flow path is to the
24	surface. It's common.
25	Q Okay. So but just to be clear,
26	I think you clarified this but the experience
27	that you're talking about does not include
28	actual observation of the well kill attempts;

1	is that right? At Aliso; is that right?
2	A Again, I was not there. I did not
3	observe it.
4	Q Okay. Did you talk to anyone at
5	SoCalGas to come to that conclusion that you
6	just stated?
7	A No.
8	Q Do you know how many emergency well
9	control operations have occurred at Aliso
10	since 1973?
11	A I'm aware of two or I'm aware
12	there are several.
13	Q On which wells?
14	A My memory's not that good. But
15	there were several attempts. There is some
16	record that I saw in this volume where wells
17	were killed.
18	Q Okay. Okay. How many times have
19	you observed a release of aerosolized oil or
20	mist to the atmosphere in a geyser release?
21	A Zero.
22	Q Okay. To your knowledge, has there
23	ever been history of release on the scale of
24	SS-25 occurring at the Aliso Canyon storage
25	facility?
26	A I can't opine to that because I
27	really do not know what the volume of
28	release.

1	Q Okay. And, Mr. Abel, just with
2	regards to this the supplemental rebuttal
3	testimony. I know you counsel asked if
4	you sponsored it. But did you actually write
5	this?
6	A I collaborated with the attorney in
7	a verbal way. He actually typed it. We
8	discussed the matter. And I at the end of
9	the day, I approved what was written. He was
10	the secretary and I dictated it.
11	Q Okay. And did he dictate it
12	exactly as you stated to him?
13	A He didn't dictate. I dictated.
14	Q Pardon me. Thank you for the
15	correction. I pardon me. Did he write it
1 (as you dictated it to him? Thank you.
16	as you dictated it to nim: mank you.
17	A Not always. I had to correct him.
17	A Not always. I had to correct him.
17 18	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected
17 18 19	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page?
17 18 19 20	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page? What words you wanted to put on the page; is
17 18 19 20 21	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page? What words you wanted to put on the page; is that right?
17 18 19 20 21 22	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page? What words you wanted to put on the page; is that right? A That's correct.
17 18 19 20 21 22	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page? What words you wanted to put on the page; is that right? A That's correct. Q And you mentioned that you did not
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page? What words you wanted to put on the page; is that right? A That's correct. Q And you mentioned that you did not know until we talked about talked today
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A Not always. I had to correct him. Q Okay. But these words reflected your what you wanted put on the page? What words you wanted to put on the page; is that right? A That's correct. Q And you mentioned that you did not know until we talked about talked today that DOGGR had become CalGEM; is that right?

1	DOGGR. CalGEM was a new term for me today.
2	But you told me they were one in the same so
3	I accepted that.
4	Q Understood.
5	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, no further
6	questions.
7	ALJ HECHT: All right. Thank you very
8	much.
9	At this point, I will ask if Public
10	Advocates Office has any questions for this
11	witness, as well.
12	MS. BONE: Your Honor, we have no
13	questions for this witness.
14	ALJ HECHT: Then what I am going to do
15	is I am going to take a 10 or-so-minute break
16	and see if SoCalGas would like to discuss the
17	possibility of redirect and whether they want
18	redirect.
19	And we'll come back I am going to
20	make it 15 minutes. We will come back at
21	11:05. And at that point, you can let me
22	know if you have redirect.
23	Does that work for everybody?
24	MR. GRUEN: Yes.
25	ALJ HECHT: Okay. Thank you. We will
26	be off the record.
27	(Off the record.)
28	(Break.)

1	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
2	record.
3	So we just took a morning break.
4	While we were off the record, did SoCalGas
5	determine whether there is any redirect for
6	this witness?
7	MR. MOSHFEGH: Yes, your Honor. We
8	have some brief redirect.
9	ALJ HECHT: Okay. Is the witness ready
10	for that?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
12	ALJ HECHT: Great. Then please
13	proceed.
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MOSHFEGH:
16	Q Mr. Abel, do you recall earlier
16 17	Q Mr. Abel, do you recall earlier Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization
17 18	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization
17 18	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots &
17 18 19	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports?
17 18 19 20	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports? A Yes.
17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports? A Yes. Q And I believe you highlighted the
17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports? A Yes. Q And I believe you highlighted the release to surface that is documented on
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports? A Yes. Q And I believe you highlighted the release to surface that is documented on November 13th of the Boots & Coots' daily
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports? A Yes. Q And I believe you highlighted the release to surface that is documented on November 13th of the Boots & Coots' daily operating report. Do you recall that?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Mr. Gruen asked you about the aerosolization of fluids, when he asked about the Boots & Coots' daily operating reports? A Yes. Q And I believe you highlighted the release to surface that is documented on November 13th of the Boots & Coots' daily operating report. Do you recall that? A Yes.

1	that they are describing is near the end of
2	the pumping attempt, and it is a return of
3	fluid from the well that resulted from the
4	pumping operation.
5	Q And does a return to surface, could
6	it potentially include the aerosolized
7	release of fluids?
8	A It could, if a geyser occurred.
9	Q And based on your review of the
10	Boots & Coots' daily operating reports, was
11	there a return to surface during each of the
12	kill attempts performed by Boots & Coots?
13	A I believe, yes, there was, recorded
14	in each Boots & Coots report return to
15	surface of the fluids that they were pumping
16	during the kill.
17	Q Okay. Thank you. Do you also
18	recall that Mr. Gruen asked you about other
19	emergency well-kill operations at Aliso
20	Canyon?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And are you aware of any kill
23	operation at Aliso Canyon that was anywhere
24	near the magnitude of the incident that
25	occurred with respect to well SS-25?
26	A No. The kill attempts the kills
27	that I am referring to were minor compared to
28	SS-25 event of October 2015.

1	MR. MOSHFEGH: Okay. I have no further
2	questions.
3	ALJ HECHT: Thank you very much.
4	Do we have any additional questions
5	from SED related to that brief questioning?
6	MR. GRUEN: No, your Honor.
7	ALJ HECHT: Okay. And Public Advocates
8	Office, anything?
9	MS. BONE: No.
10	ALJ HECHT: All right. Thank you.
11	Then I think that Witness Abel can be
12	excused.
13	Thank you very much for your time.
14	We appreciate very much your time and your
1 -	nationa
15	patience.
16	And with that, we will continue with
16	And with that, we will continue with
16 17	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness
16 17 18	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for
16 17 18 19	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that.
16 17 18 19 20	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that. MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you, your Honor.
16 17 18 19 20 21	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that. MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you, your Honor. We have identified SoCalGas-08 the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that. MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you, your Honor. We have identified SoCalGas-08 the Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William Abel
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that. MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you, your Honor. We have identified SoCalGas-08 the Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William Abel dated March 2020; SoCalGas-09, Exhibits to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that. MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you, your Honor. We have identified SoCalGas-08 the Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William Abel dated March 2020; SoCalGas-09, Exhibits to the Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	And with that, we will continue with the exhibits that were used with this witness and I will turn first to Mr. Moshfegh for that. MR. MOSHFEGH: Thank you, your Honor. We have identified SoCalGas-08 the Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William Abel dated March 2020; SoCalGas-09, Exhibits to the Prepared Reply Testimony of L. William Abel; SoCalGas-29, the Prepared Sur-Reply

1	Abel dated October 26, 2020.
2	ALJ HECHT: Thank you very much. And
3	do you move to have those moved into
4	evidence?
5	MR. MOSHFEGH: Yes, Ma'am.
6	ALJ HECHT: Thank you. All right. So
7	we're talking about SoCalGas Exhibits 08, 09,
8	29 and 32. Are there any objections to
9	moving those into the record of the
10	proceeding?
11	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, no objection
12	from SED.
13	ALJ HECHT: Okay. I am not hearing any
14	other objections, so I am going to grant that
15	motion to move them into the record.
16	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-08 was marked for identification.)
17 18	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-09 was marked for identification.)
19	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-29 was marked
20	for identification.)
21	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-32 was marked for identification.)
22	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-08 was
23	received into evidence.)
24	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-09 was received into evidence.)
25	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-29 was received into evidence.)
26	(Exhibit No. SoCalGas-32 was
27	received into evidence.)
28	ALJ HECHT: And then I will ask

1	Mr. Gruen about cross-exhibits.
2	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, may we have a
3	moment off the record I apologize just
4	to prepare for that?
5	ALJ HECHT: Certainly. We'll be off
6	the record.
7	(Off the record.)
8	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
9	record.
10	All right. We're going to talk
11	about moving any other exhibits for this
12	witness.
13	MR. GRUEN: Thank you, your Honor.
14	SED requests to move Exhibits
15	SED-226, SoCalGas Response to SED Data
16	Request 119 dated November 8, 2020, and
17	SED-227, the Public Version of the Boots &
18	Coots Daily Reports. We would request to
19	move both exhibits into the record.
20	ALJ HECHT: Are there any objections to
21	moving those two exhibits into the record?
22	(No response.)
23	ALJ HECHT: I do not see or hear
24	anyone. So that is no objection and I will
25	grant the request to move those into the
26	record.
27	(Exhibit No. SED-226 was marked for
28	identification.)

1	(Exhibit No. SED-227 was marked for identification.)
2	(Exhibit No. SED-226 was received
3	into evidence.)
4	(Exhibit No. SED-227 was received into evidence.)
5	inco evidence.)
6	ALJ HECHT: I think that that completes
7	the exhibits related to this witness and our
8	discussion related specifically to this
9	witness today, but I know that we have a
10	number of housekeeping issues to talk about
11	and I'm going to take us off the record
12	briefly so we can figure out exactly what
13	those are and come back and restate them
14	clearly.
15	We'll be off the record.
16	(Off the record.)
17	ALJ HECHT: We'll be back on the
18	record.
19	While we were off the record, I made
20	a list of about three housekeeping issues
21	that we are going to want to deal with today.
22	First we're going to get a summary
23	from Mr. Gruen of some requests made by SED,
24	and I think supported by the Public Advocates
25	Office about 10 days ago, and please go
26	ahead.
27	MR. GRUEN: Thank you, your Honor.

1	we can to what we had asked last week, in
2	order during the break, between hearings
3	today, and when hearings restart May 3rd, SED
4	would request the following SED does
5	request the following:
6	First, SoCalGas should be required
7	to provide the order of its witnesses
8	scheduled for May by the end of hearings
9	today and should not be allowed to shuffle
10	its witness order again.
11	Second, no further data requests
12	should be allowed, except for those related
13	to SoCalGas' potential violations of its
14	attestations until the briefs related to this
15	round of hearings are over. Yes.
16	Third, now that hearings have begun,
17	SoCalGas should not be allowed to supplement
18	its data responses. SED should be allowed to
19	rely on what SoCalGas has provided as
20	complete. It would afford an unfair
21	advantage to allow SoCalGas to supplement its
22	responses, now that it has performed its
23	cross-examination.
24	Fourth, SED requests to handle the
25	cross-examination schedule updates from this
26	point on. If one of SoCalGas' witnesses
27	cannot appear at the initially-agreed time,
28	SoCalGas should be required to give SED a

2.8

1 minimum of three days' notice, so that SED 2 can address the schedule accordingly. expectation should be that each witness is 3 required to appear, unless there is a 4 medical-related need not to do so. And SED 5 would add just that if there is such a need, 6 7 that the ALJs should be allowed to explore the basis for it in camera. There shouldn't 8 9 be any privilege that applies. 10 Fifth, it is impossible to foresee 11 every tactic, frankly, that SoCalGas may use 12 to distract SED from preparing for and 13 conducting cross-examination in May. 14 We note that the purpose of making 15 these requests is to afford SED the same 16 common courtesies that SoCalGas has enjoyed 17 throughout its cross-examination and that 18 that also be extended to both SED and Cal 19 Advocates. 2.0 And sixth, and lastly, assuming that 21 your Honors grant these ground rules moving 22 forward, SED would add the request that 2.3 parties be afforded a moratorium on written 24 motion practiced from this point forward and 25 that SED be allowed to prepare for and conduct cross-examination without 26

period this point forward would be no motions

distraction. And just to clarify, the time

1	until the conclusion of this round of
2	hearings.
3	That concludes our request, your
4	Honor.
5	ALJ HECHT: All right. That was my
6	question. I am assuming that we will have a
7	response from Mr. Stoddard.
8	MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. Thank
9	you. One clarification question. I'm not
10	sure I caught what number five was clearly.
11	ALJ HECHT: Actually, I didn't either.
12	There is a blank on my sheet. I have the
13	other one. Could you please repeat?
14	MR. GRUEN: Sure. It is impossible to
15	foresee every tactic SoCalGas may use may
16	use to distract SED from preparing for and
17	conducting cross-examination in May. That is
18	our caveat that we've added since then
19	since the last time we put this before your
20	Honors last work.
21	The purpose of making the requests
22	these requests, is to afford SED the same
23	common courtesies that SoCalGas enjoyed
24	throughout its cross-examination and that
25	that also be extended to SED and Cal
26	Advocates.
27	ALJ HECHT: All right. That is clear.
28	Thank you. And you are looking for

professional courtesy and that sort of 1 2. summarizes the purposes of your other items. Mr. Stoddard, what is your response? 3 MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. And I 4 believe we responded to several of these last 5 time, but I will do my best to restate what 6 7 we have said. In terms of the order of witnesses 8 for May, we have done the best we can to 9 10 already do that, as of last week. Again, and 11 I may have missed it, if it issued recently, 12 but I believe we are still waiting on 13 confirmation of hearing dates for some of the 14 outer days. And we will not be able to 15 confirm witnesses for those days until we 16 have dates. And although I expect that the 17 order would probably remain the same as what 18 we have in our schedule, it would be 19 contingent upon setting the actual hearing 2.0 dates and times. 21 It also -- I would also like to note 22 that this -- as we noted in that schedule, we 2.3 requested a date and time certain for 24 purposes of the producing witnesses if they 25 are third-party witnesses. We'll discuss a 26 little later on a possible subpoena for 27 appearance of those witnesses, but that can 2.8 specify a date and time certain.

1	And so that is an item where and
2	again in light of SED's request that
3	witnesses' testimony not be broken up.
4	Again, there will be a bit of an exercise in
5	making sure that the testimony kind of maps
6	on to a sort of contiguous set of dates.
7	Second, and my notes on this
8	indicate it sounds like the request today was
9	no further discovery by SoCalGas. Your
10	Honor, if I may, I just want to give SED an
11	opportunity to correct me if I have misstated
12	that. I understood it to be that SED would
13	be able to continue discovery, as would Cal
14	Advocates, as to potentially third parties as
15	well as on the realtime reporting issue but
16	that SoCalGas would not be allowed to conduct
17	any further discovery. Is that a correct
18	characterization?
19	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, would you like
20	me to respond?
21	ALJ HECHT: Yes. Please respond to
22	that, whether that is correct.
23	MR. GRUEN: Just to clarify it, almost.
24	The proposal would be that SED would not
25	pursue any discovery on SoCalGas either, the
26	idea being that discovery on parties to the
27	proceeding be discontinued until the end of
28	hearings. So discovery on Boots and Coots,

last time SoCalGas did identify correctly 1 that SED has a data request outstanding to Boots & Coots and we would request to be 3 allowed to continue to receive a data 4 5 response to that data request. And I believe that there 6 ALJ HECHT: 7 was another exception to that relating to the incident with the realtime reporting. Did I 8 9 mishear that? 10 MR. GRUEN: No, your Honor. Pardon me 11 for not stating it at the outset. That is 12 The other exception as your Honor correct. 13 noted would be to allow parties to pursue 14 continued discovery related to the 15 attestations, the realtime recording as I 16 believe your Honor stated. Yes, your Honor. 17 Okay. So, Mr. Stoddard. ALJ HECHT: 18 MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. Thank 19 you. 2.0 SoCalGas would oppose this request 21 as has been stated, both with respect to 22 ongoing discovery of Blade, which, you know, 2.3 the witness for Blade has appeared. He's provided his testimony and he's been released 24 25 from the proceeding. We don't think further 26 discovery of Blade at this point is 27 appropriate, unless there is a specific 2.8 limited area where it's approved by your

1	Honors generally.
2	Separately, we are pursuing
3	discovery as we noted last time with respect
4	to Cal Advocates' communications with Blade,
5	which we discovered during cross-examination
6	of a Cal Advocates' witness. This is
7	important and notable in part because the
8	scoping ruling in this proceeding
9	specifically required that all discovery be
10	served on parties to the proceeding, and it
11	appears that there was some form of discovery
12	going on in the form of a telephone
13	communication. We received some information
14	about this in response to a data request that
15	we sent to Cal Advocates. And it appears
16	that there were a couple of telephonic
17	conferences with the Blade witness, based on
18	what we've received so far, that occurred in
19	October of last year that SoCalGas was not a
20	party to.
21	And, you know, again, I think this
22	is going to warrant further discovery and it
23	may end up requiring bringing back a Cal
24	Advocates witness to ask further questions on
25	this issue to the degree that information was
26	provided that would be relevant. Obviously
27	we would come back to your Honors to discuss

that issue at the appropriate time, but it

1 does warrant further discovery. And the next item I have on the list 2 3 is no supplementation on data responses. And, you know, as I mentioned last time, we 4 5 don't oppose this request generally; however, 6 there probably would need to be exceptions to 7 this, including currently ongoing discovery that may require supplementation based on 8 information that is obtained. You know, so I 9 10 think it's kind of an overly-broad request 11 with respect to, you know, the discovery that 12 we are receiving, for example, related to 13 realtime, the realtime-monitoring issue or 14 other discovery that may be propounded on --15 that may have been propounded on as more 16 recently, but in general we wouldn't oppose 17 this request, given that we are now in the 18 midst of evidentiary hearings. 19 The next item I have is that SED 2.0 wants to handle cross-examination scheduling, 21 I believe, and estimates themselves. then this also included a request with 22 2.3 SoCalGas give three days advance notice 24 regarding any change in the order of 25 witnesses. On the first item, in terms of SED 26 27 handling the cross schedule, SoCalGas would 2.8 not oppose that. In terms of the three days'

1	advance notice, Mr. Gruen included a note on
2	that that of course is contingent upon or
3	would be dependent upon and wouldn't include
4	the need to reschedule due to medical issues.
5	And, of course, I raised that last time.
6	That is absolutely a necessary limitation, in
7	light of the COVID pandemic that we are
8	currently going through, as well as just
9	other ordinary medical issues that may arise
10	and given we are not going to have the rest
11	of these hearings occurring for several weeks
12	now. And we also wouldn't oppose having
13	further discussions on any medical issues
14	that arise as appropriate in, you know, kind
15	of and in-camera-type consultation. However,
16	we have never asserted that they're
17	privileged. Just to respond to a point made
18	by SED, we actually were very forthcoming
19	with the details about the medical issues the
20	last time we discussed this. And I would
21	like to note that for purposes of the record.
22	The 5th item which Mr. Gruen
23	restated a moment ago isn't a request. It's
24	more of a comment. And he referred to, you
25	know, "impossible to foresee every tactic
26	that SoCalGas may use." I would just like to
	l modern jase ind
27	note that SoCalGas disputes any suggestion

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

April 7, 2021 inappropriate tactics here aside from just 1 2 litigation of our case. And but, aside from that, you know, 3 again, that is not a request. So I don't 4 think we necessarily need to address it, 5 6 other than to dispute the characterization 7 and the suggestion there. And then finally, six was a 8 9 moratorium on motions' practice. And, again, 10 as I noted last week when this issue came up,

moratorium on motions' practice. And, again, as I noted last week when this issue came up, there is a specific motion which we were contemplating bringing, which is a motion to strike related to SED's testimony as to continuing violations. And we intend to renew this motion. As you will recall, the judges -- your Honors denied it the first time without prejudice, pending hearing from the Blade witnesses. We have now heard from the Blade witnesses who didn't provide any testimony on this issue.

Pursuant to Commission Rule 11.1(b), a motion can be brought at any time during the pendency of the proceeding. That is the general rule. And we don't see a reason for deviating from it here, especially where we have a break of three weeks in which this motion could be addressed with time for SED and Cal Advocates to prepare for the

2.8

1 remaining witnesses. 2 I would note that, you know, parties were preparing for these proceedings 3 in advance of evidentiary hearings and even 4 up until shortly before hearings commenced 5 and we commenced and we did our 6 cross-examination, SED was bringing motions, 7 and in part because of the schedule that was 8 9 established which included prehearing motions 10 that was set by your Honors. 11 But, again, I think it's appropriate 12 and consistent with the Commission's Rules 13 to permit SoCalGas to bring a motion to 14 strike in this context and in light of the 15 judge's ruling when we first brought it as an 16 oral motion. 17 It's also -- permitting SoCalGas to 18 bring this motion may also aid resolution of 19 the proceeding. SoCalGas' motion to strike, 2.0 if granted, would help narrow and clarify the scope and number of violations at issue here 21 22 for briefing and it would promote judicial 2.3 economy and increase the likelihood of 2.4 settlement. 25 SED's allegations currently and without basis extend back decades as to the 26 continuing violations, including back to the 27

1960s for one of them. Briefing these

2.8

1 allegations is going to require responding on 2 a decade-by-decade and in some cases maybe in a more granular way as to the factual basis 3 for those. 4 Again, as we heard during testimony, 5 Ms. Felts testified to having no involvement 6 in the determination of the basis for the 7 continuing violations to essentially taking 8 them as they were handed to her, including 9 10 the selection of start and endings. That's 11 not testimony. And what we would argue in our motion is that it should be stricken on 12 13 that basis. 14 In the motion to strike, SoCalGas 15 would not be seeking to strike the specific 16 violations that have been stated by SED. 17 Those would be briefed. This is simply the 18 testimony as to the continuing violations. 19 Accordingly, SoCalGas would request that your 2.0 Honors permit leave for SoCalGas to file a 21 motion to strike on this issue and we would 22 oppose SED's request for a blanket moratorium 2.3 on motions practiced during the break. 24 ALJ POIRIER: ALJ Hecht, you're on 25 mute. 26 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. I am quessing 27 that in a moment Public Advocates Office will

want to respond, but before I get to that, I

1	will say that the main thing I took out of
2	that is that most of these requests aren't
3	really opposed. Providing an order of
4	witnesses, having SED manage the witness
5	schedule, having three days notice' of
6	changes in the witness schedule, I am not
7	seeing opposition to those things. And I
8	will consult with my co-assigned ALJ, but
9	things that are not opposed on that level
10	probably are not going to end up being a
11	problem, and I'm happy that I think about
12	four of the five or six questions are on a
13	practical level, unopposed. Having said
14	that, the two that are opposed are on data
15	responses, with certain exceptions. And I
16	think that is something that we'll probably
17	hear from SED and Public Advocates Office on,
18	and then the other is on a complete
19	moratorium on written motion practice.
20	So I think that's where we are
21	focusing right now, but if I have misstated
22	that or if somebody has another
23	interpretation, please do let me know. I am
24	not seeing anybody who is
25	ALJ POIRIER: He has raised his hand.]
26	ALJ HECHT: Go ahead, Mr. Gruen. And
27	now you are on mute.
28	MR. GRUEN: Sorry, your Honor. Excuse

me for that. Your Honor, that crystalizes, I 1 think, the areas of dispute as I understand Thank you for that, that input. 3 regards -- and, your Honor, may I respond to 4 5 the areas that are potentially in dispute? 6 ALJ HECHT: Briefly, and then I would 7 like to hear from Public Advocates Office, if they want to speak, which I've been assuming, 9 and I'm seeing nodding so I will continue to 10 assume that. 11 MR. GRUEN: Okay. Thank you, your 12 With regards to the data responses, I still maintain, as we did last week, that 13 14 it's not for SoCalGas to be objecting about 15 discovery on Blade unless SoCalGas, as they 16 did last week, say that it's not for SED to 17 be weighing in on this either. 18 The difference between the two of 19 us, as instructed in the scoping memo, is 2.0 that SED's opening testimony relies almost 21 exclusively on the Blade report. We have a vested interest in being sure that the 22 2.3 discovery relates to and supports our 24 violations. 25 The other thing I'd note, 26 Mr. Stoddard rightly noted that Blade has 27 gone, that they've testified already, and the

purpose of this discovery has nothing to do

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

April 7, 2021 1679 with furthering cross-examination of Blade. 1 We're well aware of that. We could have instead of cross-exam -- of doing discovery 3 on Blade, done discovery of SoCalGas. But to be consistent with our requests, we tried not 5 to do that. 6 7 What we would do, because our understanding is that all roads with regards 8 9 to information really do lead back to 10 SoCalGas is to get the response from Blade 11 and use it in our cross-examination upcoming of SoCalGas' witnesses. This can be done 12 procedurally appropriately and efficiently. 13 14 There's no concern here. 15 We have an interest in making sure,

however, that there is a complete record with regards to all of the information that Blade was able to review, and so this is an entirely appropriate thing. There's nothing that's being done that's inconsistent with our request, and we think it will help build a complete record.

Frankly, from that perspective, we would think that that would be in the best interest of everyone here, but we note SoCalGas' objection. We think that the request to have the data request of Blade should be an appropriate exception.

1	stop there, your Honor.
2	ALJ HECHT: Thank you. Yes. I will go
3	to Mr. Stoddard for a response to that and
4	then I will in fact go to Ms. Bone.
5	MR. STODDARD: I'll be brief, your
6	Honor. I would just note, you know,
7	Mr. Gruen noted that their testimony is based
8	on the Blade report. They've identified
9	violations largely based on their
10	understanding of the Blade report. The time
11	for discovery in this proceeding with respect
12	to Blade, which has been going on for years,
13	should have been over prior to conclusion of
14	these proceedings. Blade was on the stand.
15	They were available for questioning at that
16	time.
17	Again, the question that was served
18	the other day is almost identical to the last
19	line of questioning asked of the Blade
20	witness where he agreed to go back overnight
21	and double check and came back with an
22	answer, and SED is now following up on that.
23	This is something that could have been asked
24	in the context of recross. If this is
25	permitted, where and when does it end?
26	That's the concern, your Honor. Again, the
27	time for discovery of Blade is over.
28	ALJ HECHT: And, Ms. Bone?

MS. BONE: Thank you, your Honor. 1 Ι 2. just want to first respond to SoCalGas' 3 request that written stays be permitted to move forward. We support SED's proposal that 4 there be no written motions during this time. 5 6 We don't see any immediacy to the motion 7 to strike that SoCalGas is proposing. Frankly, it sounds like a frivolous motion. 9 Continuing violations are easily 10 briefed, and we don't understand why 11 SoCalGas, if they need to make a motion, 12 can't make it orally. But having to respond in writing during this period, when we have 13 14 not only this case to work on but others, is 15 simply -- it's unnecessary and not a good use 16 of resources. 17 As to Mr. Stoddard's proposal that 18 they continue to pursue discovery against Cal Advocates, you know, I'll note that we 19 2.0 provided a full and complete response to 21 their data request yesterday evening and 22 there's basically nothing there to report. 2.3 They've already done full testimony on our witnesses regarding their conversations with 24 25 Blade, the witnesses have been very clear 26 they don't remember much and that it didn't 27 impact their testimony, so I'm not sure why he'd be bringing those witnesses back now. 2.8

All written communications that our 1 2. people received from Blade were shared with SoCalGas at the time that they were provided 3 by Blade. SoCalGas received those written communications. We've offered to resend them 5 this time if they've misplaced them. 6 7 again, I'm not sure what the big deal is here, but it doesn't seem like my further 8 9 discovery is going to provide anything 10 because we've given them everything we have 11 and know on this issue. So I leave it there. 12 ALJ HECHT: And, Mr. Stoddard. MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, I will do my 13 14 best to keep it brief again. First, to 15 respond to Cal Advocates' argument regarding 16 the need for motions and a potential law 17 motion, I believe Ms. Bone characterized the 18 motion as frivolous potentially. In this 19 case it's certainly not frivolous. 2.0 Again, I don't need to restate the 21 testimony we heard from Ms. Felts. 22 we're trying to do here is proceed through 2.3 motions practice to make these proceedings 24 more efficient, more focused, help focus 25 briefing, possibly enhance settlement, and 26 promote judicial economy. 27 Doing this in an oral motion could 2.8 certainly be done; however, I think it would

2.0

2.3

2.8

help your Honors to be able to consider this
on paper to -- with -- with necessary
authority and supporting facts to support our
argument. To the degree that resource is a
concern, of course, it may be appropriate to
establish a page limit on the motion and
response.

In this instance, just because there may be quoting from transcripts, not because it would be necessarily dense prose, we would request a page limit of 15 pages. But I do think that a page limit may be one way to address some of the resource concerns.

Separately on the discovery issue with respect to Blade, I definitely disagree with Ms. Bone's characterization as to whether there's something new here or not. We don't dispute that we were served with Cal Advocates' formal written data requests of Blade and Blade's responses. What we've discovered is that there were separate phone conferences that occurred in October of -- in October of last year that, again, SoCalGas wasn't a party to. It included the Blade witness, it included the SED -- the Cal Advocates' witnesses, and it appeared to include discussion of just generally what gas storage operators should do in these sorts of

And we don't know what Blade's 1 instances. 2. responses were to that question. 3 We do know that there may have been notes, according to Cal Advocates' data 4 response on this, but those notes may have 5 6 either been lost or destroyed or simply are 7 not available because they're back at the Commission. So one follow-up question we may 8 9 have on this is can you please confirm 10 whether those notes exist, whether they're 11 available, whether they can be provided to 12 us, or have they been destroyed. If they've 13 been destroyed, that would be a concern. 14 Separately on this, I think it's 15 also important to note that, again, it would 16 be up to your Honors' discretion, but we may 17 ask for the witness to come back to better 18 test their recollection now that we have 19 e-mail communications about these meetings 2.0 and about the communications. While Ms. Bone notes that Cal 21 22 Advocates has said their testimony didn't 2.3 rely on these meetings with 24 Mr. Krishnamurthy, it begs the question as to 25 why not. We don't know the answer to that, 26 but it's possible it's because what he told 27 them didn't align with their view of the case or was contradictory. We can't know that 2.8

2.8

unless we can see the notes or we can get a 1 2. better understanding of what was discussed. 3 Again, it's not to say that there's definitely an issue here. It's to say that 4 5 this warrants further discovery and the fact that we weren't made aware of this, in light 6 7 of what the scoping ruling directed, is concerning to SoCalGas, and we would hope it 9 would be concerning to the Commission as well. 10 11 ALJ HECHT: Yes, Mr. Gruen. 12 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, if I may just speak to the motions, the issue of written 13 14 motions -- and Ms. Bone caught it and my 15 apologies for not saying it earlier -- this 16 is following up on Mr. Stoddard's point of 17 urgency where there was none when he 18 initially made the surprise motion. There 19 still remains no urgency to get this motion 2.0 done. 21 What would happen, however, if we 22 followed the motions practices, SoCalGas gets 2.3 it in when they see fit. Let's assume it's 24 tomorrow. We then spend 15 days of what 25 would be our preparation for cross time, instead taking our limited resources to 26

respond to that. SoCalGas then responds to

that as well and suddenly we're into May

2.8

1 hearings right as SoCalGas -- right as the 2 motions come to a conclusion. My sense is because of that, your 3 Honors would be no more informed by written 4 motions, written motions practice, than if we 5 6 had oral motions discussed during hearings or 7 if this was merely briefed. What would happen is this would, just as motions 8 9 happened right before hearings on an 10 extensive basis, SED's time would be occupied with its limited staff resources and 11 12 attorneys, your Honor. The other thing I'd say with regards 13 14 to narrowing settlement, I'm sorry, but what 15 we're talking about -- your Honors have 16 already excused us from participating in 17 settlement during hearings. This does not 18 hone the issues in the ways that Mr. Stoddard 19 is claiming. 2.0 To the extent that parties do want 21 to have settlement, and we'll see, but that's 22 after hearing, parties can hone settlement 2.3 the way they see fit, and they can brief the 24 issues the way they see fit. There is no 25 urgency here as Mr. Stoddard is suggesting. 26 The other thing I'd say is just with 27 regards to the actual issues in the motion,

the violations, Mr. Stoddard talked about

1	certain violations taking decades. The ones
2	that he's talking about are on the order of
3	weeks or months. We're not talking about the
4	decades-long motions that would hone
5	settlement in the way he's discussing.
6	So, your Honor, in short, that's
7	just it's not an accurate
8	characterization. There's no urgency or need
9	for the motions practice that SoCalGas is
10	claiming.
11	The other thing I'd just note, if I
12	can and I appreciate Ms I see Ms. Bone
13	has her hand your Honor, Mr. Stoddard is
14	emphasizing with regards to discovery of Cal
15	Advocates that there's a certain urgency or
16	need to probe discovery there, yet then he's
17	claiming on the other hand that somehow
18	there's not that same urgency or need when we
19	talk about discovery of Blade in order to see
20	if SoCalGas has provided all the information
21	to Blade that Blade needed to do its root
22	cause analysis. They can't have it both
23	ways, your Honor.
24	Either they're going to say
25	they're going to have discovery for everyone
26	or they're going to claim that discovery is
27	right for no one. We think it's appropriate,
28	frankly, to do discovery on Blade in a

There is no "Where does it 1 discreet way. end?" here. We've got one data request out 3 to them. We're ready to move forward with 4 that. Thank you, your Honor. I appreciate that. 5 Thank you. Before I call 6 ALJ HECHT: 7 on the two people that I know want to speak, I will say in acknowledging that last point 9 that the discovery is all or nothing, I would note that there is one difference. 10 11 difference is whether that information has been available and discovery has been 12 13 possible on that for a long time or not. 14 The distinction that I'm hearing 15 from Mr. Stoddard is that he believes that 16 there's new information, and I believe that 17 SED is making a similar distinction in asking 18 to be able to continue discovery on the 19 realtime reporting incident. 2.0 So I'm not convinced that all 21 discovery is the same discovery. There's 22 discovery that could have been done before 2.3 and arguably should have been over before the 24 hearings and new things that are raised since 25 the hearings. So that's just a preliminary 26 thing that I would say. With that, I will move to Ms. Bone 27

and then Mr. Stoddard.

2.8

MS. BONE: Yeah, and your Honor, we did 1 2 note to SoCalGas in our response that there might be notes that our individual witnesses 3 took that are still existing at the 4 Commission headquarters. 5 I was not comfortable asking the witnesses to go look 6 7 for notes that may or may not exist in their cubicles, and I don't think that it's 8 9 appropriate. 10 The witnesses were cross-examined about this meeting, these two meetings, with 11 12 Blade, and they were all very clear on that 13 issue there. I really don't think that 14 there's any there there and that it's not 15 worth having people come in to look for 16 things. 17 But if your Honors disagree, then we 18 should probably get a ruling on that now and 19 we'll instruct each of them to go and try and 2.0 see if there's anything at their cubicles on 21 this issue. 22 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. I'm not saying 2.3 that I disagree with that necessarily. Ι'm 24 just pointing out what I think is one 25 distinction that might be relevant. I don't know where we will come down and my 26 27 co-assigned judge and I will confer and then we will come back and talk about it. 2.8

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

I know that there are two other 1 issues that we want to discuss after we finish this that I would like to discuss 3 before lunch, but first I have been making 4 Mr. Stoddard wait to make his point. 5 6 MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor. 7 Briefly again, Mr. Gruen questioned the urgency of the motion here with respect to 8 the motion to strike. Again -- and I would, 9 10 you know -- I can just reiterate -- first of 11 all, consistent with the Commission's Rules, 12 a motion can be brought at any time. Second of all, the urgency here 13 14 relates to the scope of issues that are being 15 litigated in this proceeding, the number of 16 violations. It is absolutely the case that 17 if we were to prevail on this motion -- and 18 I'm not asking for a decision on that today. 19 This is why we want to bring the motion -- it 2.0 would absolutely have bearing on a potential 21 settlement and the possibility for settlement

The other reason for the urgency of the motion is in terms of what needs to be addressed in briefing, to simply argue this in briefing would require a lot more time, a

in this case because it would go absolutely

to the total possible figure at issue.

would be beyond dispute at this point.

lot more pages, a lot more work by your 1 2 Honors potentially in simply dealing with it in a discreet motion. And this is 3 particularly important because SED, again, as 4 your Honor noted a few weeks ago, bears the 5 burden in this case as to these violations. 6 7 To the degree that they haven't met the burden or that their testimony can't be 8 9 supported as testimony, it is in this case, you know, because of the latter, which is it 10 11 can't be phrased as testimony, is subject to a motion to strike, and it would eliminate 12 13 the need to brief these issues at a later 14 date. 15 Separately as to the discovery issue 16 with Cal Advocates that Ms. Bone mentioned --17 and I believe she said she doesn't believe 18 there's any there there -- again, that 19 remains to be seen. All we know right now is 2.0 that there was a conversation that we were 21 not previous -- two conversations that we 22 were not previously aware of that appear to 2.3 be discovery that occurred with the Blade 24 witness and the Cal Advocates personnel. 25 We don't know what was discussed 26 beyond a general topic area, and we do know 27 from -- you know, we absolutely discovered this fact initially through questioning of 2.8

2.3

24

25

26

27

2.8

Cal Advocates' witnesses. We didn't have the 1 benefit of the information we now have, which were e-mails that we probably would have used 3 in that cross-examination had we had them, but it confirms what we heard, which is it 5 was a few hours of discussion. And depending 6 7 on what was talked about, it may be very relevant to Cal Advocates' testimony and to other issues in this case. 9 10 So I would just say it's important 11 to keep in mind here that just because we may have been served with a few discovery 12 requests and just because the witness 13 14 generally recalled that these conversations 15 occurred is not the same thing as knowing 16 what was discussed. We believe we have a 17 right to that information. 18 In terms of the risk that I believe 19 Ms. Bone was referring to of having Cal 2.0 Advocates personnel go back to the Commission 21 during COVID and asking them to go back to 22 their desks, this isn't a risk we would take

Advocates personnel go back to the Commission during COVID and asking them to go back to their desks, this isn't a risk we would take lightly either, and I think we would not necessarily say that this has to be done tomorrow or that it needs to be done in haste. But SoCalGas has itself sent people back to the facility to collect information and records and, you know, and sent personnel

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.8

into the office to deal with various
discovery requests and we would only be
asking for the same here.

ALJ HECHT: I will turn one last time to Mr. Gruen. Do you have a brief response? And you are on mute.

MR. GRUEN: Sorry, your Honor. Thank you for turning to us. We appreciate the opportunity.

Your Honor, we did hear Blade talk about whether certain reports related to the leaks on well SS-25 exist. What we've been trying to do is prove a negative. It's been very difficult on discovery. It was very difficult for me to do as I was trying to convey certain information and may have done it not precisely in a way that Blade understood.

For that, I was doing my best, but we have a case of a lawyer talking to a very highly specialized engineer and would like to have -- be sure that we have the correct ability to prove that negative. I will say we've tried to do it with SoCalGas as well to determine whether or not they have provided certain documents and we have had trouble. We've had obfuscation, your Honor, and we'd like to be able to explore this in order to

2.

2.0

determine whether or not SoCalGas has turned over certain reports both to Blade and to SED. That's the basis for it. That's the crux of the matter.

We also think that this will enable us frankly -- keeping the motion on the table may be relevant for future hearings and for our ability to cross-examine other witnesses. It may be important to keep that motion on the table. Difficult to tell for certain yet, but, again, rushing this would potentially preclude our ability to cross-examine certain witnesses and fully explore the merits of what Mr. Stoddard was talking about.

ALJ HECHT: I remembered to take myself off of mute. I would say that I think a lot of what we are seeing has to do with lawyers talking to very specialized engineers. I think that's pretty much what we're doing here, so we just all have to get through that as best we can.

I believe Mr. Stoddard wanted to give a brief response. I am going to say nobody needs to give the last word. The last person who speaks isn't necessarily right, and we are hearing what you're saying and getting transcripts. With that, I will give

Mr. Stoddard a brief opportunity and then we 1 will turn to our second issue. 3 MR. STODDARD: Your Honor, you said what I was going to say about lawyers 4 5 speaking with engineers. I don't need to say 6 anything else. 7 ALJ HECHT: Okay. Thank you. So with I believe the second that, we had the issue. 8 issue had to do with the subpoena for the 9 Boots & Coots witnesses. So who would like 10 11 to take the lead on explaining that? Mr. Stoddard. 12 MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. 13 14 I've mentioned previously, we've been working 15 on securing the cooperation of the Boots and 16 Coots witnesses to appear for cross-examination. We've met with some 17 18 resistance on the part of -- in particular 19 the Hallilburton witness, Arash Haghshenas, 2.0 who provided direct testimony in this 21 proceeding. 22 Mr. Walzel has since moved on to a 2.3 new employer and so we've been able to secure 24 likely his voluntary cooperation. But given 25 that they are a third-party witness and for 26 the sake of simplicity and making sure that hopefully we have both witnesses available at 27

a specific time, we would like to request

2.8

issuance of a subpoena, which we can send 1 over today for execution, if appropriate, for issuance by the Commission. 3 And then it would require us going 4 through the Commissioning process in Texas, I 5 believe, for a trial deposition effectively, 6 7 which would end up being the same thing because it would be conducted remotely with 8 9 the agreement of the parties that your Honors 10 could be present and that it would be folded 11 into these proceedings. 12 There are some procedural details that we would need to kind of flesh out, 13 14 given that this is -- you know, we're kind of 15 in the midst of doing these things remotely 16 because of the pandemic and that's not what the Code of Civil Procedure or the Public 17 18 Utilities Code is written for, but it is a 19 process that we think could work here 2.0 provided that we get a subpoena very soon. 21 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. Do we have any 22 comments from the other parties on this? 2.3 Yes, Mr. Gruen. I believe you are on mute. 24 MR. GRUEN: Thank you, your Honor. 25 Pardon me. 26 ALJ HECHT: Sure. It is. Thank you. 27 Yeah. Your Honor, this is MR. GRUEN: 2.8 the first time I'm hearing this discussion.

I believe I'm understanding that SoCalGas now 1 2 wants to produce Dr. Haghshenas, which is I think a different -- it's a change in course 3 from what I had understood SoCalGas to be stating earlier. Not suggesting it's a 5 It's just to be sure that I'm 6 mislead. 7 clear. It sounds like there's just been a change of thinking on SoCalGas' part as to 8 9 its attempt to produce the witness. just wanted to ask for clarification, be sure 10 11 I was understanding. The other point I'd make, if I 12 13 could, is that if a trial deposition type of 14 situation is done in Texas, with regards to 15 the procedural details that Mr. Stoddard 16 noted, I wanted to clarify whether it would be understood that the Commission's Rules of 17 18 Practice and Procedure, all the other rules 19 that the Commission has would be the only 2.0 ones that apply in that situation and that 21 Dr. Haghshenas would be subject to them and 22 only them, not the rules that apply in Texas 2.3 with regards to that. 24 And then the third question that I'd 25 have is who would be representing Boots & Would it be SoCalGas' counsel, would 26 Coots? 27 it be Boots & Coots' own counsel? I think that would help. 2.8

I am going to try to head 1 ALJ HECHT: off that first part and say I also understand that SoCalGas is suggesting that they do want 3 the Boots & Coots' witnesses to appear. I do not know or at this moment care whether that 5 6 is a change in posture, but that is what I am 7 understanding. And if that is not correct, Mr. Stoddard should correct me. 9 MR. STODDARD: That's correct. And 10 this was simply in response to what we'd 11 heard from SED about wanting to have 12 witnesses available on this testimony. 13 ALJ HECHT: Great. Thank you. So with 14 that made clear, I also heard the issue of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and what 15 16 would apply if the subpoena was issued. That 17 is something that we will have to consult 18 about within the Commission as well as with 19 our advisory attorneys. So that's something 2.0 that's not going to get answered today one way or another. 21 Was there another point that I have 22 2.3 missed? I believe that there was but my notes do not reflect it. 2.4 25 MR. GRUEN: Yes, your Honor. The other 26 point is just who would represent 27 Dr. Haghshenas and Dr. Walzel. 2.8 ALJ HECHT: And that is a good

1 question. Mr. Stoddard? MR. STODDARD: Yeah, it's a good 3 question. There are certain details here 4 that remain to be worked out. I'm not sure 5 if whatever our expectation of that is now 6 7 may be different once they receive a That's all I can say. 8 subpoena. 9 ALJ HECHT: Okay. Thank you. I think 10 that that's enough information to go on with 11 for us to make a decision about it and we will consult, so we will take this under 12 13 advisement. 14 Are there any comments from Public 15 Advocates Office? I'm assuming that there's 16 nothing to add. I'm taking the head-shaking 17 to mean no, there's nothing to add. All 18 right. 19 So then we had a third issue that we were supposed to address before we take a 2.0 21 I'm going to say what I envision 22 happening today, and this could change, but 2.3 just so people know, I am envisioning that we 2.4 will discuss this third issue that was raised 25 by SED and Public Advocates Office of sanctions. We would then take a lunch break 26 27 and we would actually probably come back and 2.8 see if any of these issues are things that

can be resolved. 1 An alternative to that would be to 2. not coming back and getting instructions from 3 us, the ALJs, at a later time after we have 4 been able to resolve some or all of these 5 issues. So if any of you have a strong 6 7 feeling about doing that one way or another, let me know. But in the meantime, we should 8 9 hear about that third issue. Does SED or Public Advocates Office 10 11 want to take the lead on that? MS. BONE: Your Honor, Traci Bone will 12 take the lead for both SED and Public 13 14 Advocates Office. As I mentioned, we'd like 15 to address some issues regarding SoCalGas' 16 secret use of a private court reporter in 17 these proceedings. It was discovered by your 18 Honor on March 24, 2021. 19 However, before we do that, Cal 2.0 Advocates and SED would like to know if your 21 Honors have given any further thought to the incident, how it should be addressed, and 22 2.3 whether you can share those thoughts with 2.4 1 us. 25 Thank you. ALJ HECHT: We have given 26 it some thought. We have not come to a 27 conclusion, and there is not anything that we can share. 2.8

Okay. So thank you for 1 MS. BONE: that. And so I'm going to go ahead and 3 provide our motion for specific sanctions and 4 the reasons for that. We note that while Cal 5 Advocates and SED are expecting SoCalGas to 6 7 provide us with additional information regarding this incident in the next few days, 8 9 there are a number of things that are clear 10 based on what we already know. 11 First, it is clear that SoCalGas's 12 attorneys used a private reporting service without authorization from the Commission to 13 14 make a record of these proceedings that 15 would, at a minimum, assist them in 16 cross-examination of the SED and Cal Advocates' witnesses. 17 18 In this manner SoCalGas, which has 19 nearly unlimited resources to litigate this 2.0 case, sought to further benefit itself at the 21 expense of SED and Cal Advocates by being the 22 only one to see the record in this proceeding in a realtime fashion. 2.3 2.4 Second, SoCalGas's attorneys' 25 undisclosed use of a reporter was in 26 violation of your Honors' prohibition on 27 recording these proceedings and the

attorneys' attestations given at the start of

2.8

1 these proceedings that they would not record 2 the proceedings. Third, SoCalGas's attorneys could 3 have asked your Honors for permission to have 4 But the fact is that 5 a reporter present. It appears they decided 6 they did not do so. 7 to ask for forgiveness if they were caught rather than ask for permission. The latter 8 9 being what they easily should have done. 10 Fourth, because SoCalGas and Morgan 11 Lewis have been unclear in their responses 12 thus far to our data requests, Cal Advocates 13 and SED intend to pursue additional discovery 14 regarding whether the Morgan Lewis court 15 reporter was transcribing confidential and 16 off-the-record conversations thereby giving 17 SoCalGas's attorneys a unique advantage to 18 record discussions that no one else was ever 19 going to see. If this was happening, this is not 2.0 21 just an unfair advantage, it is a violation 22 of the ALJs' and other parties' understanding 2.3 when they were making off-the-record 2.4 comments. 25 Unfortunately, this is precisely the 26 type of behavior that brings us here today. 27 With the largest gas leak in the history of

the country just as the rule against

2.8

1	recording these proceedings did not
2	specifically say, "No private reporters can
3	report these proceedings," SoCalGas relies on
4	the fact that the rules did not specifically
5	require them to have an integrity management
6	plan for its gas storage wells to examine the
7	structural integrity of its wells.
8	In both instances, SoCalGas's
9	defense would substitute wordsmithing and
10	self interest for reason and responsibility.
11	We have seen similar behavior from
12	SoCalGas and its attorneys in nearly every
13	other proceeding SoCalGas is involved in
14	before this Commission as well as before the
15	Los Angeles Court in the Aliso Canyon civil
16	litigation.
17	In that litigation, SoCalGas's
18	attorneys claimed that requested attorney
19	that requested discovery was attorney-client
20	privilege until pressed by the Court, which
21	then found upwards of 94 percent of its
22	attorney-client claim had no merit. The
23	record of these discovery abuses is well
24	documented in that case. And those superior
25	court orders are on Cal Advocate's website.
26	Here at the Commission, the
27	utility's behavior is no different. It is
28	currently under investigation for using

1	ratepayer money to fund energy efficiency
2	activities that did not benefit ratepayers.
3	It is also under investigation for booking
4	political lobbying costs to ratepayers. And
5	while simultaneously claiming that those
6	costs have been booked to shareholder
7	accounts, it has refused to allow Cal
8	Advocates to perform an audit of those
9	accounts when required to do so by a
10	Commission subpoena, and then fail to answer
11	a number of basic discovery requests.
12	This utility and its attorneys have
13	engaged in a pattern and practice of gaming
14	litigation and ignoring the trier of fact.
15	And they attempt to do so once again here.
16	For these reasons, Cal Advocates and
17	SED believe that a swift and decisive initial
18	action is required to dissuade SoCalGas's
19	continuing disregard for court rulings and to
20	address the unfair advantage counsel for
21	SoCalGas has gained through its shameful
22	behavior.
23	There are far too many SoCalGas
24	violations pending before this Commission for
25	the present violation to be wholly shuttled
26	off and addressed after this proceeding is
27	resolved. Such delay and inaction only
2.8	emboldens this utility

2.0

2.3

2.8

Instead Cal Advocates and SED ask your Honors to address this incident during the break and before the May hearings in this proceeding in a decision for the Commission's near term considerations.

Cal Advocates urges two specific actions at this time with potentially more to follow later. First, that the Commission proactively report the attorneys responsible for this conduct to the California Bar Association.

And second that your Honors impose the highest monetary sanctions possible on each of the attorneys working for SoCalGas in this proceeding. Reporting to the Bar and attorney-specific sanctions are more than appropriate here.

We note that you asked each attorney to individually attest to the rules of this proceeding. Among other things, you required that they agreed not to coach witnesses and directed that they not make any recording of the proceeding. And made clear that the prohibition on recording extended to any recording of the proceeding including Webex, teleconference, screen shots, or any other copying.

You also requested that each

28

1	attorney confirm that they understood that a
2	violation of these prohibitions could result
3	in sanctions, restricted entry to future
4	hearings, denial of future entry to further
5	hearings, or any other sanctions deemed
6	necessary by the Commission.
7	This is all in the record from the
8	March 16th, 2021, transcript of the first day
9	of the proceeding at pages 6 to 10. And each
10	attorney individually swore under oath that
11	they agreed to these attestations.
12	Based on this last attestation, your
13	Honors could order that all SoCalGas
14	attorneys be replaced for the remainder of
15	this proceeding. However, we do not believe
16	that such an option should be pursued here.
17	Not because it is unduly punitive, because it
18	isn't. But because it would likely result in
19	the continuation of these proceedings for
20	several months to permit SoCalGas to hire and
21	bring new counsel up to speed. A delay that
22	Cal Advocates and SED do not support.
23	However, Cal Advocates and SED do
24	reserve the right to request this and
25	additional remedies once there is a complete
26	record on this issue and once the proceeding
27	is over.

At this time, we believe that the

1	appropriate sanction where attorneys
2	unreasonably interpret unreasonably
3	interpret, disregard, or otherwise attempt to
4	circumvent the Court's direction is what we
5	have asked for here. Personal sanctions that
6	do not allow the attorneys to profit from
7	their acts.
8	In addition we note that SoCalGas's
9	attorneys' intentional violation of a very
10	clear attestation against recording these
11	proceedings puts in question all of the
12	representations they have made.
13	They are due a lower level of trust
14	in this and other Commission proceedings.
15	And both the utility's attorneys and its
16	executives should be expressly on the hook
17	with regard to going forward with discovery.
18	In sum, the facts regarding what
19	occurred in this proceeding should be public
20	before both this Commission and the Bar and
21	will affirm that the Commission expect the
22	highest level of candor from the attorneys
23	practicing before the Commission.
24	Waiting for months or even a year to
25	begin to provide remedies will send another
26	signal entirely to the other utilities who
27	are watching this proceeding.
28	However, Cal Advocates and SED have

1	purposefully waited to raise this issue until
2	now in order to comply with ALJ Poirier's
3	instructions that we wait until the
4	transcripts showing SoCalGas's behavior were
5	released.
6	We ask that your Honors give serious
7	consideration to our proposal to act swiftly
8	and decisively to sanction those who have
9	made the decisions to violate the rules for
10	this proceeding and to implement going
11	forward remedies and accountability for all
12	SoCalGas discovery before this Commission.
13	Thank you for your attention on this
14	matter.
15	ALJ HECHT: Thank you.
16	Before we continue, I'm going to
17	note that obviously we're not going to be
18	resolving this today. So just be aware that
19	we won't be resolving it today.
20	I will also note that my focus is on
21	this proceeding, and not on other proceedings
22	going on at the Commission. So that is
23	little bit beyond my scope.
24	With that does anybody else want to
25	make a statement on this?
26	77 76 61 11 1
	Yes, Mr. Stoddard.
27	MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor.

that to the degree that this motion for 1 2 sanctions is going to be entertained in a point-by-point fashion, we would request an 3 opportunity to brief this issue in full. 4 There were a lot of statements, allegations, 5 6 and requests packed in there, which we 7 certainly view as both excessive and not justified in this instance. And we would 8 9 want to respond to those point-by-point, 10 which I'm not going to be able to do here 11 today. Separately I'd also like to note 12 13 that at the outset we, SoCalGas and Morgan 14 Lewis and I myself, take your Honors' 15 comments on this issue very seriously, and we 16 acknowledge and are aware that this is an 17 issue that you identified and that you 18 raised. And that, you know, in this instance it was something that, you know, consistent 19 2.0 with the statement we made at the time, you 21 know, we didn't view this as being clearly 22 prohibited by the attestations. But we did 2.3 acknowledge -- and as we stated in our 24 comments, we may understand if your Honors 25 see it otherwise. That said to take this -- to address 26 27 a few of the things that were raised by 2.8 Ms. Bone the first important point I would

2.

2.0

2.3

2.8

make here is that, again, and as your Honors have noted that these are public hearings.

And we didn't engage in any audio or visual recording here that may have constituted an invasion of privacy of individuals who were involved in these hearings.

We understand that people are participating in these hearings from home. And that video of individuals at home would be potentially an invasion of privacy. The types of records that were created here and the reporting that was done here and the transcripts that were created in which we described in our prior statement are not in any way significantly different from what was prepared by the Commission. And in that way, they're now frankly moot. Again, we haven't accessed them. We haven't reviewed those transcripts.

As for the realtime transcription specifically which Ms. Bone addressed and which we -- again, which I described in greater detail I believe last week. But it might have been the week before. Again, I think one important point here is that we've offered the same service to other parties just to the degree they believe it provides them an advantage or to the degree that it

provides us with an advantage. And to date 1 2. they haven't asked us. They haven't taken us up on that offer. The offer still stands to 3 the degree that they believe it is 4 advantageous to use it. 5 It's being made available to them. 6 7 Ms. Bone also, kind of, described a -- what she characterized as a pattern and 8 9 practice of conduct by SoCalGas, which I'd 10 like to address. And it appears here that by 11 referencing these other, you know, quote unquote "conduct" by SoCalGas with respect to 12 13 privilege or with respect to other 14 proceedings, the Cal Advocates and SED are 15 trying to imbue this incident with greater 16 significance based on conduct elsewhere. 17 Again, this is something that we would need 18 to address in full in writing. 19 But I just want to note that we 2.0 absolutely dispute that this has anything to do with those other events. 21 Those will be 22 addressed in the appropriate proceedings on the merit. This event can be addressed on 2.3 24 the merits in this proceeding related 25 specifically to the realtime transcription 26 issue that we've been discussing. 27 As for the -- Ms. Bone also 2.8 referenced coaching witnesses. I'm not

1	exactly sure what's being suggested there.
2	There hasn't been any allegation that I'm
3	aware of that there was and certainly no
4	evidence that there was any coaching of
5	witnesses going on. I'm not exactly sure how
6	realtime transcription during a
7	cross-examination by SoCalGas could have had
8	anything to do with coaching of witnesses.
9	We're aware that we've received some
LO	data requests that seem to be asking
L1	questions about witness's access to this
L2	information. That data response is due to
L3	Cal Advocates tomorrow. And we will be
L4	providing a response.
L5	We have also provided a prior data
L6	response, which Ms. Bone referenced. She
L7	seemed to view it as not being clear. I
L8	would only note that it's entirely consistent
L9	with the prior statement we made on this
20	issue that was both very forthcoming and I
21	believe absolutely clear. To the degree that
22	there are any further questions on those
23	issues, we can provide answers to them.
24	But I would note again not only is
25	there no allegation, there's no evidence of
26	coaching witnesses in this instance. This is
27	simply about access to a realtime
28	transcription feed and nothing more.

1	One note though I would like to
2	frankly close on before we go to lunch, with
3	respect to the specific, I guess, remedies
4	that have been requested here, the sanctions
5	that have been requested here including
6	reporting to the Bar Association as well as
7	the highest monetary penalties that would be
8	supported. We don't believe that it's either
9	appropriate or reasonable or remotely
10	justified in this instance. I don't believe
11	that anything I heard from Ms. Bone specific
12	to the realtime transcription issue would
13	support it.
14	Again, we would need to brief this
15	fully. But we haven't heard anything to date
16	that would justify that here. Again, we
17	described what happened. We have been
18	forthcoming in our response. We've
19	terminated the practice and offered to make
20	it available to other parties.
21	We understand that your Honors may
22	see things differently and may have a
23	different view in this instance. But as the
24	specific requests of Cal Advocates, they are
25	not supported and they are unjustified.
26	Finally, I would note that one item
27	I would agree with that Ms. Bone said is
28	that, you know, I believe she, kind of,

1 numbered her initial comments. And my notes indicate that No. 3 was that SoCalGas could 2 have asked but didn't ask for clarification 3 on this issue. And your Honors also made 4 comments to that effect last week. 5 I think that that is frankly a point 6 7 well taken and in retrospect clarification could have been sought. However, it is not 8 9 remotely -- that fact alone does not warrant imposition of sanctions of any sort. But 10 11 specifically the sanctions that are sought by 12 Cal Advocates in this instance. 13 ALJ HECHT: All right. Thank you. 14 I see Mr. Gruen, and I will call on 15 him in a moment. 16 I will once again repeat as I said 17 after I heard Ms. Bone that my focus is on 18 this proceeding and what is happening in this 19 proceeding. So just so that is out there. 2.0 With that Mr. Gruen. 21 MR. GRUEN: Understood, your Honor. 22 Crystal clear. We'll do our best to keep it 2.3 in the confines of that quidance. 24 First of all just to make clear for 25 the record, SED joins Cal Advocates in the 26 statements that were made. Including the 27 requests and the remedies notwithstanding 2.8 SoCalGas's opinion -- which seems to be

1 unsupported -- that these remedies are not 2 merited. We understand SoCalGas's point. We 3 understand their desire to brief the issue. 4 We maintain and support Cal Advocates that 5 they certainly are. And that we've laid out 6 7 in a high level fashion. And we can certainly brief the issue as well at a later 8 9 time perhaps after hearings that this is 10 certainly an appropriate set of remedies and 11 an initial one. The concern that SoCalGas did not 12 check with your Honors. Mr. Stoddard asked 13 14 specific questions about the attestations, 15 but not the one that was with regards to the 16 court reporter. Okay. There was an 17 understanding -- it seems there was an 18 understanding of what was going on and a 19 choice not to ask made at the outset of 2.0 hearings or at the status conference leading 21 up to it. That is egregious, your Honor. 22 That is a real concern from SED's perspective as well. 2.3 24 Your Honor, with regards to SoCalGas 25 -- to counsel making the point that degrees that SoCalGas continues to offer the realtime 26 27 reporting service, it remains on offer. Your 2.8 Honor, SED -- and I don't want to speak for

Cal Advocates but I believe it's the case for 1 them as well. We've understood from the get 2 go when attestations that using a service 3 like this was not appropriate because it was 5 a form of recording. Just because it's on 6 offer doesn't make it any more appropriate to suddenly start using it now. We don't intend 7 to accept SoCalGas's offer to use that 8 service. So I wanted to make that point as 9 well. 10 11 With regard to the coaching and violations here, the concern remains that we 12 see a violation of the recording, the 13 14 attestation not to record. And given that 15 there is a violation of one attestation, 16 there remains a concern that there is a 17 violation of others well. That's the point 18 we're trying to make here. To put it as 19 clearly as we can, we're not sure whether 2.0 there's a forthright disclosure of all of the 21 things that are going on despite the 22 attestations that the attorneys have made. We've discovered one. 2.3 Just to keep it brief, I think those 24 25 are the critical points that we'd like to 26 make at this time, your Honor. Thank you. 27 We do support the statements that Cal 2.8 Advocates made.

2.8

ALJ HECHT: Yes, Mr. Stoddard. 1 2. MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor. On the first item that Mr. Gruen mentioned 3 regarding their reason for not accepting 4 5 realtime, it was our expectation that to the 6 degree parties accepted, it would be with a 7 blessing of your Honors. We didn't expect them to do it without that at this point. 8 9 And so I would just note that if 10 that's their basis for not accepting it, I'm 11 confident that we could probably reach a 12 resolution subject to the approval of your 13 Honors. 14 Separately, in terms of the second 15 point, which is that the coaching issue was 16 raised because the alleged violation here 17 suggests that SoCalGas would violate other 18 Again, this is, kind of, indicative things. 19 of what appears to be -- again, not to 2.0 minimize the issue. Again, I understand that 21 this is an issue of concern for your Honors. 22 But it appears to be that SED and Cal 2.3 Advocates are attempting to link this issue 24 to other issues that are more egregious as a 25 way to view it with greater significance 26 because in and of itself they are not able to 27 articulate why this is an issue of concern

beyond the simple statement they view it as a

1 violation of the attestations and that they 2 view it potentially as an advantage. that's their case, that can be stated as the 3 4 case. But there's no evidence of coaching. 5 6 And there's no relationship between the 7 realtime transcription issue and the other issues that have been described by Ms. Bone 8 9 and Mr. Gruen with respect to coaching, with 10 respect to privilege, with respect to issues 11 that SoCalGas has been addressing in other 12 proceedings. 13 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. 14 Are there any other responses before 15 I wrap up and we take a lunch break? 16 (No response.) 17 ALJ HECHT: I am not seeing any. I'm 18 inclined to actually take an hour lunch break 19 and to come back after that. And we can 2.0 discuss the schedule for the next set of 21 hearings in May. And we can discuss any 22 resolution that Judge Poirier and I come to 2.3 on any of these three issues that we have 2.4 addressed. 25 I understand in particular that the 26 issue of the subpoena is one that should be 27 addressed quickly if that is something that 2.8 is going to happen. And it's largely for

that reason that I'm suggesting that we come 1 back after lunch. So I apologize for keeping 2. you for the afternoon. 3 Mr. Stoddard and then Mr. Gruen. 4 MR. STODDARD: One quick question that 5 I'm only raising to complete the informed 6 7 discussion over lunch. Procedurally when we -- if you decide to issue the subpoena as 8 9 requested, just procedurally I believe --10 just to clarify the process for that, I think 11 we would be e-mailing it to the service list 12 including your Honors. But just in case it requires further discussion, I wanted ask 13 14 that prior to lunch. 15 ALJ HECHT: Okay. Thank you. We will 16 have to look into that more when we discuss 17 it at lunch, which we will do. 18 Mr. Gruen. 19 MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, one other 2.0 clarification. We don't have a concern with 21 serving it on the service list. Serving the subpoena if that's your Honors' druthers. 22 2.3 One thing we did want to clarify 24 though is there's the issuance of the 25 subpoena, but that's only part of the 26 There's also the question of: Even 27 if the Commission authorizes it, if your Honors deem that -- if that's what your 2.8

1	Honors wish to do, whether Dr. Haghshenas
2	would in fact comply with the subpoena and
3	actually appear? That's another question.
4	And so for purposes of SED's
5	preparation on cross-examination, is there
6	perhaps one thing to tee up for consideration
7	is when SED and when SoCalGas could make
8	clear if we do go the subpoena route whether
9	in fact Mr. Haghshenas Dr. Haghshenas will
10	appear?
11	If there could be some advance
12	notice as to whether in fact we're going to
13	see his appearance, that would be helpful for
14	purposes of preparation.
15	ALJ HECHT: That seems like something
16	that is unlikely would be answered today.
17	And I think that we should stick to the
18	question of the subpoena first. But I
19	understand what you are saying. If we get to
20	that point, if we decide to issue a subpoena
21	and if this is something that goes through,
22	then we will deal with those logistics.
23	Any other housekeeping matters
24	before we take a break for lunch?
25	(No response.)
26	ALJ HECHT: Okay. We will take I'm
27	actually going to give us an hour and
28	15 minutes and come back at 1:45. I am sorry

```
for extending all of your day. Hopefully our
 1
 2
     discussion after that will be brief with just
     some scheduling and possible rulings, if any,
 3
     on some of these issues.
 4
 5
                Thank you very much. We'll be off
     the record.
 6
 7
                (Off the record.)
                (Whereupon, at the hour of 12:31
 8
           p.m. a recess was taken until 1:45)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:45 P.M. 1 3 ALJ POIRIER: We'll be back on the 4 5 record. We are returning from a lunch break. 6 7 Before the break, we had a long discussion about several issues or several requests by 8 9 the parties in this proceeding. We're going 10 to address some of those today and we're 11 going to provide quidance on the others. As I said off the record, we're not 12 13 going to entertain further argument, but we 14 may have some clarification questions. 15 I am going to go through things in 16 It's not going to be in the same part. 17 order, but we'll take things in part. 18 First off, I wanted to start out 19 with a couple of the requests by SED. 2.0 looks like the -- in terms of the witness, 21 finalizing the witness hearing order and the 22 request for SED to handle the cross schedule 2.3 where uncontested, so we'll be granting that. 24 SED asks for three days' notice. That seems 25 again uncontested and reasonable, but we'd 26 ask if you know news prior to the three days, 27 let everybody know before that, as soon as possible, especially if there's going to be a 2.8

1	change, because it's going to affect the
2	schedule. In terms of the supplementation of
3	data responses, we are also going to grant
4	that request. Generally I think it should be
5	done. We're going to grant that, you know,
6	during this break.
7	Third, in terms of the data
8	requests, there was a request made for no new
9	data requests during this break until
10	hearings. We are going to grant that in part
11	with several exceptions.
12	First exception is discovery
13	regarding the realtime transcription issue.
14	That can continue. We know that there's some
15	coming in tomorrow and there may be further
16	discovery on that issue. So that's going to
17	continue.
18	We're also going to allow data
19	requests on third-party witnesses to move
20	forward by any party. So that includes Blade
21	and Boots and Coots.
22	And, third, this one will be a
23	little bit more complex. It sounds like for
24	the data requests of Cal Advocates by
25	SoCalGas, they're seeking some written notes.
26	It does seem like those notes are relevant.
27	But, again, we're sensitive to the health
2.8	concerns that are going on now So what I am

```
going to ask Ms. Bone to do is to see if they
 1
     can make -- she can make arrangements to get
     those notes, but barring that and if there's
 3
     issues that arise with those individuals from
     a comfort level, to let us know about that.
 5
 6
     And I am proposing that maybe you get back to
     us on the 23rd of April. If there's any
     issues, then we'll try to address how to
 9
     proceed at that point.
10
               Does that sound reasonable,
11
     Ms. Bone?
12
           MS. BONE: Yes, your Honor. I do want
     to clarify. As far as I can tell, only one
13
14
     witness thinks that there might be notes at
15
     the office. The other two have said they
16
     don't have any. And he has mentioned that
17
     they are -- if they are there, they are
     locked in a cabinet which he has lost the key
18
19
          So there's that issue as well.
2.0
           ALJ POIRIER: Okay. Well, let's try to
     see if you can follow up on that. And then,
21
22
     again, I think it's the 23rd, if you can get
2.3
     back to us then or sooner if you have a
     resolution, we'll figure it out from then.
24
25
                      Should I do that -- sorry,
           MS. BONE:
26
     your Honor, by e-mail to the service list?
27
           ALJ POIRIER: Yes. That would be good.
28
     Okay.
```

So we have handled the data request 1 2. questions. Mr. Stoddard. 3 MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. 4 item just on this data request. It wasn't 5 6 just limited to the notes. Our request was for further discovery generally on this issue, because there are other statements 9 made with respect to the conversations with Blade, which we would ask for further 10 11 information on, especially if notes aren't available. So if the notes are unavailable, 12 we would want to just know at what, to the 13 14 degree they have a recollection, there's an 15 e-mail that describes the topic generally as 16 -- and I'm paraphrasing here based on memory, 17 but they were asking -- (inaudible) was 18 asking Ravi Krishnamurthy what a good gas 19 storage operation should have been doing 2.0 under similar circumstances. Again, if 21 there's any recollection or information about 22 how those -- what sort of information was 2.3 provided in response to that, whether it's 2.4 reflected in notes or not, that information 25 will be relevant and we would like to have 26 the ability to conduct discovery on that 27 issue. 2.8 ALJ POIRIER: Ms. Bone, do you have

anything to add before I clarify? 1 2. MS. BONE: Yeah, I'll note this issue of the conference call with Blade, first of 3 all, there is nothing that I see in the 4 5 scoping memo that requires us to notice 6 people that we're talking to other entities. 7 We're only required to provide discovery requests and our discovery responses. But I 8 9 am not as familiar with all the different 10 scoping memos that were issued. I am relying 11 on the ones from 2019. 12 So, number one, we are in full 13 compliance with the scoping memo. 14 two, you know, this issue of what our 15 witnesses remember, has been addressed very 16 extensively on the record already in this 17 proceeding in Volume No. 7 at pages 10, 17 18 and 18, pages 1026 to 28; in Volume 8, at 19 1231 to 1234 and again at pages 1272 to 73, 2.0 and then in Volume 9 at 1311 through 1319. 21 Every one of the witnesses, I believe, states 22 that it had no impact on their testimony. 2.3 So I just want to be clear about 24 You know, we're -- if the additional this. 25 discovery is not too onerous or voluminous, 26 we are willing to respond to it. But really 27 we're -- I am perplexed about this issue. 28 ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Stoddard, I think

I've heard enough. 1 2. We're going to allow additional discovery, again, I think within the bounds 3 of very specifically to the issue that you 4 5 have targeted. And, again, I think a 6 guidance of not making it -- you know, 7 reasonable discovery at this point. So I think we're going to allow it 8 9 on that issue, but targeted to the very 10 specific issue about those conversations and 11 in a reasonable manner. Okay. Okay. 12 We're going to move on to the 13 request for moratorium on written motions 14 until hearings commence during this period of 15 time. We are going to grant that request. 16 We understand SoCalGas' position. To some 17 extent, we think that a quiet time during 18 this interval between hearings makes sense 19 for -- to allow SED to prepare and frankly 2.0 for us to be able to prepare and deal with 21 I don't think -- we just think that's 22 the best way to move forward. So we're going 2.3 to include that moratorium on motions moving 24 forward for -- until hearings start. At that 25 point, they can be oral motions or they can 26 be written motions. 27 Okay. We're going to jump to the 2.8 issue of the oral motion for sanctions.

1	noted before, there are outstanding discovery
2	requests going on for that and there may be
3	more follow up. You know, I think as we've
4	said before, this is a serious issue to us
5	and I think that we take it very seriously.
6	And, frankly, the sanctions that were
7	proposed are serious as well and we just I
8	and ALJ Hecht think this is an issue that
9	needs to be briefed. We need to have more
10	information. We need to have it written
11	down, given the complexity of the issue and
12	the numerous issues that were raised. So we
13	really want to see that briefed. We
14	understand that there's a desire to have a
15	quicker result on this. You know, having it
16	included in the post-hearing briefs doesn't
17	preclude an interim decision or including in
18	a later decision, so we recognize that, but
19	given the seriousness of the allegation, and
20	the seriousness of the proposed sanctions, we
21	think this has to be done in a written manner
22	that we can have a detailed understanding of.
23	Okay.
24	ALJ Hecht, do you have any
25	Mr. Stoddard?
26	MR. STODDARD: I have a question on
27	that last item. In terms of procedure, to
28	the degree that this motion is brought in

1	written form, would there be an instruction
2	consistent with Your Honors' comments prior
3	to the break that it be limited to the
4	specific issue present here regarding
5	realtime transcription and not the other
6	issues that SED and Cal Advocates raised
7	regarding other proceedings, whether civil or
8	regulatory?
9	ALJ POIRIER: ALJ Hecht, do you have
10	any guidance on that?
11	ALJ HECHT: As I said before, our focus
12	as the ALJs in this proceeding is on this
13	proceeding. And I understand that patterns
14	and other things that may happen can be
15	informative, but I am most interested in
16	hearing about this proceeding and the
17	implications here. So I am not going to rule
18	out other stuff, but I discourage it.
19	ALJ POIRIER: And I will provide some
20	additional guidances. We have had a data
21	response already. I expect we'll have
22	another one and maybe others, and my
23	expectation is that we'll move those into the
24	record as Commission exhibits. So we'll have
25	that on the record, in addition to the
26	transcripts. That is something that we'll
27	handle at a later date.
28	Are there any clarification

1	questions on that? It looks like Mr. Gruen
2	might have one. I'm not sure.
3	MR. GRUEN: Potentially, your Honor. I
4	think I'm hearing since data responses
5	related to the recording attestations can be
6	moved into the record at a later date, that
7	this preserves the potential to articulate
8	violations that relate to the record at a
9	later date as well. Am I tracking that
10	correctly?
11	ALJ POIRIER: That would be my
12	anticipation that this argument be made in
13	the briefs.
14	MR. GRUEN: Okay. Thank you, your
15	Honor. Understood.
16	ALJ POIRIER: I mean to the extent we
17	are still gathering facts on this, too.
18	Ms. Bone.
19	MS. BONE: So, your Honor, you
20	mentioned something I thought I heard about
21	that we aren't precluded by from taking
22	action prior to the briefing on this. And so
23	I wondered if you could elaborate any more on
24	that.
25	ALJ POIRIER: Well, I think my
26	understanding, and I can have ALJ Hecht weigh
27	in on this, too, is that this issue would
28	become part of the scope of what is addressed

1	in the briefs and in the proceeding. So, is
2	that consistent with what you think, ALJ
3	Hecht?
4	ALJ HECHT: Yes. What is being thought
5	here, it sounds like it was an interim
6	decision by the full Commission. That is
7	something that takes time and process to
8	prepare, and we simply don't have that
9	information yet. I don't even quite know how
10	to refer to this incident. I don't know if
11	it's recording or realtime reporting or
12	something else. And there's still a lot of
13	information out there. So, we will get more
14	information through the briefing if there is
15	something that you feel you want to add as
16	far as evidence, we can address that in our
17	next set of hearings which I will be
18	discussing soon.
19	But let's be clear that the
20	seriousness of what you're asking for and
21	that it can only be granted through a full
22	Commission decision is something that we take
23	seriously and will approach thoughtfully.
24	ALJ POIRIER: Ms. Bone, does that
25	address your question?
26	MS. BONE: Yes, it does. Thank you.
27	ALJ POIRIER: Okay. And I think the
28	other issue that we had was the subpoena of

1	Boots and Coots. I think it does make sense
2	for SoCalGas to go ahead and serve that
3	subpoena on the service list. That will
4	allow us to take a look and consult with our
5	advisory counsel and will also allow the
6	other parties to review it as well and weigh
7	in.
8	You know, I think there's a lot of
9	questions that we still have outstanding in
10	terms of the rules and in terms of compliance
11	by Boots & Coots. These are all concerning.
12	But I think that we are going to have to get
13	to that at a later date.
14	ALJ Hecht is going to talk about
15	date certain for that. We have added some
16	additional dates. So we'll target some
17	specific dates in May that we will want for
18	Boots and Coots and we'll provide more
19	guidance on this, but I guess the expectation
20	would be compliance with our rules for sure.
21	Are there any questions from
22	parties? Go ahead, Mr. Stoddard.
23	MR. STODDARD: Yes, your Honor. Just a
24	clarification on one comment.
25	You referenced compliance by Boots &
26	Coots and I am just wondering if that was in
27	reference to compliance with the subpoena.
28	ALJ POIRIER: Yes.

MR. STODDARD: 1 Okay. ALJ POIRIER: Mr. Gruen. MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, clarification 3 question about the signing of when motions 4 can be brought again. I think I understand 5 your point about the written motions' 6 7 moratorium and it ends specifically by the opening date of hearings next in May. So, is 8 9 -- are we tracking the beginning of May at 10 the beginning of hearings; oral motions or 11 written motions can be brought at that time? Is that part of the ruling? 12 ALJ POIRIER: Yes, it is. 13 I will 14 provide some quidance on the issue that 15 Mr. Stoddard raised, too. 16 I understand the concerns that 17 you've raised about the scope, Mr. Stoddard, 18 but again my inclination is this is something 19 that should be briefed and I don't know if it 2.0 is something that we're going to be able to 21 resolve easily through a ruling on a motion. 22 So this is something we can take on later, 2.3 but I understand this is going to be more 2.4 effort in terms of briefing, but I think 25 again this is a detailed issue that, at this point, I think our inclination that it can 26 happen in briefs. And I don't know if 27 2.8 there's been adequate showing that this has

to happen before a period of time and I am a 1 2 little hesitant to have the parties' efforts distracted, even during those hearings. 3 We really want to try to get to all of the witnesses during the time that we schedule. 5 6 So with that, we can revisit at a later time 7 but I wanted to provide -- we wanted to provide that quidance at this point. 9 MR. STODDARD: Thank you, your Honor. 10 ALJ POIRIER: Are there any further clarification questions on those areas? 11 12 MR. GRUEN: None from SED, your Honor. 13 Thank you. 14 ALJ POIRIER: Thank you. With that, I 15 am going to hand it off to ALJ Hecht to 16 discuss schedule. 17 ALJ HECHT: Thank you. As we have 18 referenced earlier in the hearings, we knew 19 that more days would be required and we have 2.0 been attempting to schedule those with the Commission. We have reserved, I believe it 21 22 is now 11 more days in May on which we could 2.3 have hearings. And we will expect to have 24 hearings on those dates if that is what it 25 takes to get through the remaining witnesses. 26 The remaining witnesses from what I 27 can see are Neville, a panel of Hower and Stinson, Boots & Coots and Schwecke. I think 2.8

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.8

that's what we have left, but most of those are parties that are expected to have a lot of cross-examination. So we think they're going to take a while.

What we have done is we have reserved May 3rd through 7th, which is a week, and May 10 and 11, which are the Monday and Tuesday after that week for the bulk of continuance of the hearings. And our expectation is that we would get to whatever witnesses we can get to then, particularly Neville and the SoCalGas witnesses.

There was a request for a date certain for the Boots & Coots witnesses and we would like to accommodate that and we also understand that there may be issues with availability and other things beyond people's control. I hope there aren't issues with compliance, but if there are, we'll deal with those when we get there.

The second set of dates for hearings that we have reserved are May 18 through 21st. There is a gap between these two sets of dates. What I would hope is that we could take the Boots & Coots' people with a date certain on the 18th and go from there for whatever time they need. It looks to me, looking at the schedule, like it is possible

1	we would be able to do that without having
2	one of the other witnesses broken up,
3	depending on how the cross-examination goes,
4	just looking at the estimates, but I would
5	like to get some of your thoughts on that.
6	And I would like to get some thoughts on, if
7	in fact we end up in a situation where that
8	break from the 11th to the 18th does end up
9	in the middle of a witness, how people would
10	want to approach that. And if you have
11	suggestions on a better way to fit it in that
12	will accommodate the date certain and the
13	long periods of cross and all of that, I am
14	very open to that.
15	So what are people's thoughts?
15 16	So what are people's thoughts? MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May
16	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May
16 17	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I?
16 17 18	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes.
16 17 18 19	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the
16 17 18 19 20	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the idea we are amenable certainly to the idea
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the idea we are amenable certainly to the idea of having Boots & Coots start on May 18.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the idea we are amenable certainly to the idea of having Boots & Coots start on May 18. Perhaps that would accord a certain some
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the idea we are amenable certainly to the idea of having Boots & Coots start on May 18. Perhaps that would accord a certain some certainty. It may just be the nature of the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the idea we are amenable certainly to the idea of having Boots & Coots start on May 18. Perhaps that would accord a certain some certainty. It may just be the nature of the beast that, you know, I appreciate the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. GRUEN: Your Honor, thank you. May I? ALJ HECHT: Yes. MR. GRUEN: Thank you. I think the idea we are amenable certainly to the idea of having Boots & Coots start on May 18. Perhaps that would accord a certain some certainty. It may just be the nature of the beast that, you know, I appreciate the reservation of dates. What I believe could

3rd through 7th and May 10th through 11th, if 1 our estimates are accurate. And then if we do finish early and Boots & Coots is a date 3 certain starting on May 18th, I suppose that would mean that Mr. Schwecke either could go 5 at the end of the May 10th to 11th period or 6 7 perhaps at the end of May 18th through 21st. Your Honor, I get this may be a bit 8 9 of a fluid thing because we can't know how 10 cross will go, but tentatively we are 11 certainly willing to work with that schedule. If, you know -- what we haven't 12 13 talked about is potentially if we finish with 14 Neville and Hower and Stinson first and second and there's still a small amount of 15 16 time, I suppose we could revisit the issue. 17 One option would be to revisit the issue of 18 whether Mr. Schwecke should be -- we should 19 start on him or to avoid interruption just 2.0 start on him after Boots & Coots goes in that 21 period of the 18th. I'm inclined to suggest the latter that we, perhaps because of 22 2.3 Mr. Schwecke's stature here, it may help to clarify certain issues for the record to have 24 25 him go after Boots & Coots, but I am 26 certainly open to feedback on that on the 27 record for other parties. 28 But just in terms of the actual

2.0

2.3

2.8

dates, I hope that provides meaningful context. In terms of the dates that are reserved, we very much appreciate your Honors reserving them and we'll work with those.

ALJ HECHT: Yeah. This is likely to remain a little bit fluid by necessity because we don't know how long any of the cross will take as has been demonstrated over the last several weeks. This looks to me based on the dates we can get like a reasonable way of approaching it and most-likely the question would be whether to start witness Schwecke before Boots and Coots if we were to get there or whether to defer it until after.

This does raise the point that we really want to not be reserving further dates after this. So I will be asking that people give updated estimates about cross and that we can get those and we can have SED, since they're taking responsibility for the schedule, send out that schedule in advance of the hearings that we're having. And I am asking you all to meet and confer and just see if you can agree on those estimates. We can only control what we can control and there is always a possibility that we'll break at the wrong point, but I would like to

try to think through the contingencies and 1 avoid that as much as possible. 3 Are there other comments, Mr. Stoddard? 4 MR. STODDARD: One other item only 5 incidentally related is the current deadline 6 7 for briefs. Will there be a revised schedule issued during the break? We will have to issue 9 ALJ HECHT: Yes. 10 a revised schedule with the hearings 11 continuing. The briefings obviously have to 12 shift. We've also -- as Judge Poirier was 13 saying, we've talked about the additional 14 things that are going into the briefing. 15 I don't know exactly when you will get that, 16 but my hope will be it will be before we 17 resume the hearings on May 3rd. And, yeah, 18 clearly we'll be trying to give you all the 19 time that you would have had, had the 2.0 hearings ended on time, to prepare the briefs 21 after the hearing. 22 ALJ POIRIER: And if I could add, we'll 2.3 try to get it during, but there's also the option we might (inaudible) for some 24 25 discussion at hearings of the parties. 26 it's safe to say, yeah, that date is going to 27 be shifted. 2.8 ALJ HECHT: I am not aware of a reason

that the original interval wouldn't still 1 The difference, it appears to me, is 2 the end of the hearings, but if other people 3 have thoughts about that and think that more time is needed to deal with additional 5 6 issues, then you should let us know. 7 Okav. I think that's most of what I have on the schedule. We are getting those 8 9 dates on to the calendar. We have already reserved them with the Commission's internal 10 11 hearing calendar. And my understanding is 12 that they'll appear on the Commission's Daily 13 Calendar when we get the connection 14 information for the Webex. I think that has 15 generally been a motivating factor in getting 16 those listed. 17 The structure of hearings will be 18 largely the same as what it's been now. 19 will be prepared to refresh the ground rules 2.0 at the beginning of the next session. 21 they will not change, but it's always good to 22 repeat them. 2.3 And with Boots & Coots, I recognize 24 that there could be a problem either with 25 compliance or with their being available on 26 that specific date. So that is something 27 that I would ask SoCalGas to let us know 2.8 about by May 5th, if at all possible. If

there is a problem with the May 18th date, we 1 would need to know that. If you feel that there is likely to be a problem with 3 compliance, we'll be checking on what our instruments are for dealing with that, but 5 6 more notice is probably better, to the extent 7 possible. So I think that that was all I had 8 9 on schedule. 10 Yes, Mr. Gruen. 11 MR. GRUEN: Only unless your Honors 12 want to continue, just a clarification 13 question. 14 ALJ HECHT: Please. 15 MR. GRUEN: With regards to -- perhaps 16 it's premature, but with regards to which 17 requirements would apply, assuming that 18 Dr. Haghshenas does testify from Texas, any 19 further insights on that at this time, or do 2.0 your Honors want to address that at another 21 time? 22 Mostly we'll address that ALJ HECHT: 2.3 at an another time. Judge Poirier and I 24 believe that the Commission Rules of Practice 25 and Procedure do apply, but that is something 26 that we are going to speak with our advisory 27 attorneys about and see if there are some 2.8 ground rules that we can rely on when

something like this has happened in the past. 1 Thank you, your Honor. 2. MR. GRUEN: Understood. And does -- is there any, your 3 Honors, any insight from SoCalGas as to who 4 5 would represent Boots and Coots, assuming 6 that Dr. Haghshenas and Mr. Walzel appear? 7 ALJ HECHT: Do you have any insight on that? 8 9 MR. STODDARD: The same as what I said 10 prior to the break, which is we don't yet, we 11 haven't yet issued the subpoena. In fact, 12 there's a possibility that affects that 13 issue. So, no, we don't have any further 14 insight. 15 ALJ HECHT: That's what I would expect. 16 We are scheduling them for the 18th as the 17 date certain or recommending that because 18 that is the beginning of a long enough block 19 we think to accommodate them and it gives 2.0 them time to get through all of the 21 logistical complications or any logistical 22 complications that may come up. 23 So we will be back May 3rd and we 24 will have an opportunity to talk then. If we 25 can know before then whether we think they're 26 (inaudible), that would certainly be ideal. 27 Are there any other questions? Any other housekeeping issues? I am seeing 2.8

1 shaking heads. 2 I do not see Ms. Bone on my screen. So I don't know if I lost her. There she is. 3 4 Okay. 5 If there are no other housekeeping 6 issues, I will wrap up. Okay. Thank you, 7 everybody, for all of your time. This has been a lot of time and it will be almost as 8 9 much time again if things go the way we 10 expect them to go. 11 I appreciate everybody's patience. 12 I know that this structure with the Verizon operator and the Webex is difficult to deal 13 14 with and not ideal. But I think people have 15 done pretty well with it. I am hopeful that 16 we will come back in a few weeks and be able 17 to finish these up. 18 I will just take the opportunity one 19 more time to note that there is a lot of distrust among the parties. I don't think 2.0 that that has been helpful to these hearings, 21 22 and I think that is unfortunate and I would 2.3 appreciate everybody's cooperation to the 24 extent possible, at least on the logistics 25 and keeping us on track and moving forward. 26 And people have been pretty good about that, 27 but that's just my request. All right. 2.8 comments?

```
1
                (No response.)
 2
           ALJ HECHT: No. Okay. Then we are
 3
     adjourned. We'll be off the record.
                (Off the record.)
 4
 5
                    (Whereupon, at the hour of 2:17
                p.m., this matter having been
                continued to 10:00 a.m., May 3,
 6
                2021, the Commission then
 7
                                                   ]
                adjourned.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, ANDREA L. ROSS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 7896, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON APRIL 7, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS APRIL 09, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Andrew Toss
21	ANDRIA L. ROSS CSR NO. 7896
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, CAROL ANN MENDEZ, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 4330, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON APRIL 7, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS APRIL 09, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Caro Any Wan So-
21	CAROL ANN MENDEZ CSR NO. 4330
22	CBR NO. 4330
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, JASON STACEY, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 14092, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON APRIL 7, 2021.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS APRIL 09, 2021.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	JASON A. S'ACEY CSR NO. 14092
22	CBR WO. 11032
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

0	16th 1706:8	28 1644:20 1651:19 1726:18	1663:16 1726:18
0025808 1645:19	17 1726:17 1700 1652:12,26	29 1662:8	9
08 1662:7	18 1641:11 1647:7	2:17 1744:5	9 1726:20
09 1662:7	1655:3 1726:18		9.4 1652:17
	1735:22 1736:21	3	94 1703:21
1	18th 1735:26 1736:8 1737:4,7,21 1741:1 1742:16	3 1638:26 1639:17 1640:26 1644:12	A
1 1640:26 1654:17,22,	19 1635:2 1655:4	1714:2 1744:6	
26 10 1658:15 1664:25	19-06-016 1627:8	30 1630:6 1631:8 1661:26	a.m. 1627:2 1744:6
1706:9 1726:17 1735:7	1960s 1675:28	32 1662:8	Abel 1627:11,28 1628:1 1629:12,15,25,27
1026 1726:18	1973 1656:10	3C 1644:15 1647:12	1630:2,5,6,8,17,23,28
10:00 1744:6	1984 1631:4	3rd 1665:3 1735:6	1632:7 1634:21 1638:4 1639:23 1640:4,11
10:01 1627:2	1:45 1720:28 1721:8	1737:1 1739:17	1643:10,22 1645:23
10th 1737:1,6	1722:1	1742:23	1646:13,20 1648:23 1650:26 1651:25
11 1645:10 1734:22 1735:7	2	4	1653:16 1655:1 1657:1 1659:16 1661:11,22,25,
11.1(b) 1674:21	2 1638:26 1639:17,18	4 1643:4,11	26 1662:1
119 1644:9 1647:12 1663:16	1640:24 1641:10 1642:8 1644:13	5	Abel's 1635:10 1639:3,
11:05 1658:21	20 1630:3 1635:2 1636:6	500 1631:4	ability 1644:4 1693:23 1694:8,12 1725:26
11:15 1652:16	2015 1634:19 1639:22	5th 1673:22 1740:28	absolutely 1651:10
11th 1736:8 1737:1,6	1640:1 1641:15,21		1673:6 1690:16,20,22 1691:27 1711:20
12 1627:7	1642:27 1644:20,23 1645:9 1647:6 1651:19,	6	1712:21
1231 1726:19	27 1660:28	6 1706:9	abuses 1703:23
1234 1726:19	2016 1644:20 1645:10	60 1631:7 1636:8,17	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_
1272 1726:19	1647:7 2019 1634:8 1726:11	634 1652:22	16_0025631 1645:18
12:31 1721:8	2020 1630:3,7,9	693 1641:25	accept 1643:1,15 1648:4 1716:8
13 1639:22,28 1641:15, 21 1642:26 1644:23 1645:9 1651:27	1661:23,27 1662:1 1663:16	7	accepted 1658:3 1717:6
1311 1726:20	2021 1627:2 1700:18		accepting 1717:4,10
1319 1726:20	1706:8	7 1627:2 1642:9 1726:17	access 1712:11,27
13th 1642:16 1651:6,7,	21st 1735:23 1737:7	70 1636:8,18	accessed 1710:18
8,22 1652:6 1659:23	23 1644:19	73 1726:19	accommodate
14 1639:18	23rd 1634:19 1724:7,22	7th 1735:6 1737:1	1735:15 1736:12 1742:19
1400 1652:12,16,26	24 1700:18		1742:19 accord 1736:22
15 1639:18 1658:20	25 1634:12	8	
1683:11 1685:24 1720:28	26 1630:9 1647:6 1662:1	8 1635:11,12,15	account 1653:12

Index: 0025808..account

accountability 1708:11	adjourned 1744:3,7	afforded 1666:23	ALJS 1666:7 1700:4 1729:12
accounts 1704:7,9	ADMINISTRATIVE 1627:4	afternoon 1719:3 1722:1	ALJS' 1702:22
accuracy 1644:1	adopt 1630:14	agree 1628:9 1629:12,	allegation 1712:2,25
accurate 1643:19	advance 1672:23	14 1633:9,25 1634:2,8, 13,19 1713:27 1738:25	1728:19
1647:14,16 1687:7 1737:2	1673:1 1675:4 1720:11 1738:22	agreed 1680:20	allegations 1675:25 1676:1 1709:5
acknowledge 1628:17	advantage 1665:21	1705:21 1706:11	alleged 1717:16
1709:16,23 acknowledging	1702:17,21 1704:20 1710:28 1711:1 1718:2	agreement 1696:9 ahead 1637:7 1647:1	allowed 1665:9,12,17, 18 1666:7,25 1669:16
1688:8	advantageous 1711:5	1649:8,13 1652:9	1670:4
act 1708:7	advisement 1699:13	1664:26 1677:26 1701:3 1732:2,22	alternative 1700:2
action 1704:18 1730:22	advisory 1698:19	aid 1675:18	ambiguous 1648:18
actions 1705:7	1732:5 1741:26	air 1649:18 1651:2	amenable 1736:20
activities 1704:2	Advocate's 1703:25	1653:1,19	amount 1737:15
acts 1707:7	Advocates 1658:10 1661:7 1664:24	align 1684:27	analysis 1634:6,7 1687:22
actual 1655:28 1668:19 1686:27 1737:28	1666:19 1667:26 1669:14 1671:15,24	Aliso 1627:9 1634:1,3, 13 1642:15 1655:12	Angeles 1703:15
add 1666:6,22 1699:16,	1674:28 1676:27 1677:17 1678:7	1656:1,9,24 1660:19,23 1703:15	annulus 1652:27
17 1726:1 1731:15 1739:22	1681:19 1684:22	ALJ 1627:27 1628:3	answering 1650:10
added 1667:18 1732:15	1687:15 1691:16,24 1692:20 1699:15,25	1629:18 1631:27	answers 1712:23
addition 1707:8	1700:10,14,20 1701:6, 21 1702:12 1704:8,16	1639:11 1640:6 1643:24 1646:5,9	anticipation 1730:12
1729:25	1705:1,6 1706:22,23	1648:19 1650:12,15,18 1658:7,14,25 1659:1,9,	apologies 1685:15
additional 1644:26 1661:4 1701:7 1702:13	1707:28 1711:14 1712:13 1713:24	12 1661:3,7,10 1662:2,	apologize 1663:3
1706:25 1726:24	1714:12,25 1715:5	6,13,28 1663:5,8,20,23 1664:6,17 1667:5,11,27	1719:2
1727:2 1729:20 1732:16 1739:13	1716:1,28 1717:23 1723:24 1729:6	1669:21 1670:6,17	appearance 1668:27 1720:13
1740:5	Advocates' 1671:4,6	1676:24,26 1677:8,25, 26 1678:6 1680:2,28	appeared 1670:23
address 1666:2 1674:5	1682:15 1683:19,26	1682:12 1685:11	1683:26
1683:13 1699:20	1684:4 1692:1,8 1701:17	1688:6 1689:22 1693:4 1694:16 1695:7	appears 1671:11,15
1700:15 1704:20 1705:2 1709:26	aerated 1642:20	1696:21,26 1698:1,13,	1702:6 1711:10 1717:19,22 1740:2
1711:10,18 1722:10 1724:8 1731:16,25	aerosolization 1652:6	28 1699:9 1700:25 1708:2,15 1714:13	applies 1666:9
1741:20,22	1654:12 1659:17	1717:1 1718:13,17	apply 1631:16 1697:20,
addressed 1674:27	aerosolized 1645:12	1719:15 1720:15,26 1722:4 1724:20,27	22 1698:16 1741:17,25
1690:27 1700:22 1704:26 1710:21	1648:14,25 1651:2 1653:1 1656:19 1660:6	1725:28 1726:28	approach 1731:23
1711:22,23 1718:24,27	affect 1723:1	1728:8,24 1729:9,11,19 1730:11,16,25,26	1736:10
1726:15 1730:28	affects 1742:12	1731:2,4,24,27	approaching 1738:11
addressing 1718:11	affirm 1628:11 1707:21	1732:14,28 1733:2,13 1734:10,14,15,17	appropriately 1679:13
adequate 1733:28	afford 1665:20 1666:15	1736:18 1738:5 1739:9,	appropriateness 1650:21
adhere 1628:19	1667:22	22,28 1741:14,22 1742:7,15 1744:2	

approval 1717:12 approved 1657:9 1670:28 **April** 1627:2 1724:7 **Arash** 1695:19 area 1670:28 1691:26 areas 1678:2,5 1734:11 arguably 1688:23 argue 1676:11 1690:27 **argument** 1682:15 1683:4 1722:13 1730:12 arise 1673:9.14 1724:4 arrangements 1724:2 articulate 1717:27 1730:7 asks 1644:17 1722:24 asserted 1673:16 assist 1701:15 Association 1705:11 1713:6 assume 1678:10 1685:23 **assuming** 1648:17 1666:20 1667:6 1678:8 1699:15 1741:17 1742:5 atmosphere 1656:20 attempt 1639:22 1641:19 1642:17 1649:20 1651:5 1655:19,22 1660:2 1697:9 1704:15 1707:3 attempting 1717:23 1734:20 **attempts** 1645:14 1647:6 1655:28 1656:15 1660:12,26

attorney-specific audit 1704:8 avoid 1737:19 1739:2 1739:28

attest 1628:14.16.23.27 attestation 1706:12

attention 1708:13

1629:5 1705:19

1707:10 1716:14,15

attestations 1629:13 1632:13 1665:14 1670:15 1701:28 1706:11 1709:22 1715:14 1716:3,22 1718:1 1730:5 attested 1631:14 attorney 1632:8 1636:5,14 1657:6 1703:18 1705:18 1706:1,10 attorney-client 1703:19,22

1705:16 attorneys 1686:12 1698:19 1701:12 1702:3,17 1703:12,18 1704:12 1705:9,14 1706:14 1707:1,6,15,22 1716:22 1741:27

attorneys' 1701:24,28 1707:9

audio 1631:15,18,22 1710:3

authority 1683:3 authorization 1701:13

authorizes 1719:27 availability 1735:17

average 1637:10,11

aware 1638:14 1640:14,16 1656:11 1660:22 1679:2 1685:6 1691:22 1708:18 1709:16 1712:3,9

В

back 1650:18 1652:14 1658:19,20 1659:1 1663:8 1664:13.17 1671:23.27 1675:26.27 1679:9 1680:20,21 1681:28 1684:7,17 1689:28 1692:20,21,27 1699:27 1700:3 1718:19 1719:2 1720:28 1722:4 1724:6, 23 1742:23 1743:16

background 1634:22

Bar 1705:10.15 1707:20 1713:6

barrels 1641:27 **barring** 1724:3

based 1628:15,17 1660:9 1671:17 1672:8 1680:7,9 1701:10 1706:12 1711:16 1725:16 1738:10

basic 1632:18 1704:11

basically 1627:21 1681:22

basis 1645:13 1666:8 1675:26 1676:3,7,13 1686:10 1694:3 1717:10

Bates 1640:24 1644:13, 27 1645:17 1647:10 1648:5 1649:3 1651:15 1654:19,20

bear 1640:22 1644:7 bearing 1690:20

bears 1691:5

beast 1736:24

began 1642:18,22 1650:6 1652:17

begin 1707:25

beginning 1634:18 1733:9,10 1740:20 1742:18

begs 1684:24

begun 1665:16

behalf 1632:9

behavior 1702:26 1703:11,27 1704:22 1708:4

belabor 1635:3

belated 1646:1

believes 1688:15

benefit 1692:2 1701:20 1704:2

big 1636:1 1682:7

Index: approval..Boots

bit 1634:22 1637:5 1639:9 1669:4 1708:23 1723:23 1737:8 1738:6

Blade 1633:24,25 1670:22,23,26 1671:4, 17 1674:18,19 1678:15, 21,26 1679:1,4,10,17, 27 1680:8,10,12,14,19, 27 1681:25 1682:2,4 1683:15,20,24 1687:19, 21,28 1689:12 1691:23 1693:10,17 1694:2 1723:20 1725:10 1726:3

Blade's 1634:6 1683:20 1684:1

blank 1667:12 **blanket** 1676:22

blessing 1717:7

block 1742:18

blowout 1636:12 1642:18

Bone 1658:12 1661:9 1680:4,28 1681:1 1682:17 1684:21 1685:14 1687:12 1688:27 1689:1 1691:16 1692:19 1700:12 1701:1 1709:28 1710:21 1711:7,27 1712:16 1713:11.27 1714:17 1718:8 1724:1,11,12,25 1725:28 1726:2 1730:18,19 1731:24,26 1743:2

Bone's 1683:16

booked 1704:6

booking 1704:3

Boots 1641:14 1642:2 1645:15 1646:21,27 1647:4 1649:18,27 1651:1,26 1654:10,14 1659:18,23 1660:10,12, 14 1663:17 1669:28 1670:3 1695:10,15

1697:25,27 1698:4 1723:21 1732:1,11,18, 25 1734:28 1735:14,25 1736:21 1737:3,20,25 1738:13 1740:23 1742:5 **bottom** 1641:11 1644:14 1649:2 1654:19,27 **bounds** 1727:3 break 1658:15,28 1676:23 1699:21,26 1705:3 1718:15,18

1659:3 1665:2 1674:26 1720:24 1722:6,7 1723:6.9 1729:3 1736:8 1738:28 1739:8 1742:10

breakdown 1649:9

briefed 1676:17 1681:10 1686:7 1728:9, 13 1733:19

briefing 1675:22,28 1682:25 1690:27,28 1730:22 1731:14 1733:24 1739:14

briefings 1739:11

briefly 1631:1 1638:21 1664:12 1678:6 1690:7

briefs 1665:14 1728:16 1730:13 1731:1 1733:27 1739:7,20

brine 1641:28 1642:4, 22 1652:23 1659:28

bring 1675:13,18 1690:19 1706:21

bringing 1671:23 1674:12 1675:7 1681:28

brings 1702:26

brining 1652:27

broadly 1633:4 1648:10

broken 1669:3 1736:2

brought 1674:22 1675:15 1690:12 1728:28 1733:5,11 build 1632:12 1636:27 1679:21

building 1637:18,19, 22,25

bulk 1735:8

burden 1691:6,8

business 1631:3

by-product 1639:21

C

cabinet 1724:18

Cal 1666:18 1667:25 1669:13 1671:4,6,15,23 1674:28 1681:18 1682:15 1683:18,25 1684:4,21 1687:14 1691:16,24 1692:1,8,19 1700:19 1701:5,16,21 1702:12 1703:25 1704:7,16 1705:1,6 1706:22,23 1707:28 1711:14 1712:13 1713:24 1714:12,25 1715:5 1716:1,27 1717:22 1723:24 1729:6

calendar 1740:9,11,13

Calgem 1642:14 1643:2.3.10.12.16.23 1657:25,27 1658:1

California 1629:15 1642:13 1705:10

call 1688:6 1714:14 1726:3

called 1629:15

calls 1643:21 1646:3

camera 1666:8

candor 1707:22

Canyon 1627:9 1634:1, 3 1642:15 1655:13 1656:24 1660:20,23 1703:15

capping 1631:5

care 1698:5

case 1631:17 1674:2 1681:14 1682:19 1684:27 1690:16,22 1691:6,9 1692:9

1693:20 1701:20 1703:24 1716:1 1718:3,

4 1719:12

cases 1676:2

caught 1667:10 1685:14 1702:7

caused 1645:11 1648:13

cautioned 1637:8

caveat 1667:18

cell 1633:1

certainty 1736:23

change 1672:24 1697:3,8 1698:6 1699:22 1723:1 1740:21

changed 1653:25

characterization

1652:8 1669:18 1674:6 1683:16 1687:8

characterized 1654:2 1682:17 1711:8

check 1648:7 1680:21 1715:13

checking 1741:4

choice 1715:19

chronological 1651:21

circumstances

1725:20

circumvent 1707:4

civil 1696:17 1703:15 1729:7

claim 1687:26 1703:22

claimed 1703:18

claiming 1686:19 1687:10,17 1704:5

clarification 1651:11 1667:9 1697:10 1714:3. 7 1719:20 1722:14 1729:28 1732:24

1733:3 1734:11 1741:12

Index: bottom..Commission

clarified 1655:26

clarify 1633:15 1640:14 1666:27 1669:23 1675:20 1697:16 1719:10,23 1724:13 1726:1 1737:24

clear 1646:9 1655:25 1667:27 1681:25 1689:12 1697:7 1698:14 1701:9,11 1705:23 1707:10 1712:17,21 1714:22,24 1720:8 1726:23 1731:19

close 1713:2

closed 1637:27

closely 1664:28

co-assigned 1677:8 1689:27

coach 1705:21

coached 1628:22

coaching 1711:28 1712:4,8,26 1716:11 1717:15 1718:5,9

Code 1696:17,18

collaborated 1657:6

collect 1692:27

column 1642:19

comfort 1724:5

comfortable 1689:6

commence 1727:14

commenced 1675:5,6

comment 1673:24 1732:24

comments 1696:22 1699:14 1702:24 1709:15.24 1714:1.5 1729:2 1739:3 1743:28

Commission 1627:5 1629:11 1674:21 1684:8 1685:9 1689:5 1692:20 1696:3 1697:19 1698:18

April 7, 2021 1701:13 1703:14,26 1704:10.24 1705:8 1706:6 1707:14,20,21, 23 1708:12,22 1710:16 1719:27 1729:24 1731:6,22 1734:21 1741:24 1744:6 Commission's 1675:12 1690:11 1697:17 1705:4 1740:10,12 Commissionauthorized 1633:8 Commissioning 1696:5 common 1632:14 1633:22 1637:9 1641:5 1655:5,11,24 1666:16 1667:23 communicate 1632:25,26 communication 1671:13 communications 1671:4 1682:1,5 1684:19,20

Company 1629:15 compared 1660:27 **complete** 1665:20 1677:18 1679:16.22 1681:20 1706:25 1719:6 completes 1664:6 complex 1723:23 complexity 1728:11

compliance 1726:13 1732:10,20,25,27 1735:19 1740:25 1741:4

complications 1742:21,22

comply 1708:2 1720:2

concern 1679:14 1680:26 1683:5 1684:13 1715:12,22 1716:12,16 1717:21,27 1719:20

concerns 1683:13 1723:28 1733:16

concludes 1667:3

conclusion 1656:5 1667:1 1680:13 1686:2 1700:27

conduct 1666:26 1669:16 1705:10 1711:9,12,16 1725:26

conducted 1696:8

conducting 1666:13 1667:17

confer 1689:27 1738:24

conference 1715:20 1726:3

conferences 1671:17 1683:22

confident 1717:11

confidential 1702:15

confines 1714:23

confirm 1668:15 1684:9 1706:1

confirmation 1668:13

confirms 1692:5

connection 1632:27 1740:13

consent 1631:20.22 1633:3,5,11

consideration 1708:7 1720:6

considerations 1705:5

consistent 1675:12 1679:5 1690:11 1709:19 1712:18 1729:2 1731:2

constituted 1710:4

construct 1637:16,18

consult 1677:8 1698:17 1699:12 1732:4

consultant 1630:19

consultation 1673:15

contemplating 1674:12

context 1630:25 1659:27,28 1675:14 1680:24 1738:2

contiguous 1669:6

contingencies 1739:1

contingent 1668:19 1673:2

continuance 1735:9

continuation 1706:19

continue 1639:12 1641:12 1661:16 1669:13 1670:4 1678:9 1681:18 1688:18 1708:16 1723:14,17 1741:12

continued 1670:14 1744:6

continues 1715:26

continuing 1642:8 1645:4 1674:14 1675:27 1676:8,18 1681:9 1704:19 1739:11

contradictory 1684:28

control 1631:1,9 1636:9 1641:2 1655:7, 12.21 1656:9 1735:18 1738:26

conversation 1691:20

conversations 1681:24 1691:21 1692:14 1702:16 1725:9 1727:10

convey 1693:16

convinced 1688:20

cooperation 1695:15, 24 1743:23

Coots 1641:15 1646:27 1649:27 1651:1,26 1654:10,14 1660:12,14 1663:18 1669:28 1670:3 1695:10,16 1697:26 1723:21

1732:1,11,18,26 1734:28 1735:14 1736:21 1737:3,20,25 1738:13 1740:23 1742:5

Index: Commission's..creating

Coots' 1642:2 1645:15 1646:21 1647:5 1649:18 1659:19,23 1660:10 1697:27 1698:4 1735:25

copying 1629:3 1705:27

correct 1630:22 1643:19 1645:25 1652:4 1657:17,22 1669:11,17,22 1670:12 1693:22 1698:7,8,9

correction 1635:13 1657:15

correctly 1655:8 1670:1 1730:10

costs 1704:4,6

counsel 1650:10,13 1657:3 1697:26,27 1704:20 1706:21 1715:25 1732:5

country 1702:28

couple 1632:12 1633:14,21 1635:4 1671:16 1722:19

court 1633:8,12 1700:16 1702:14 1703:15,20,25 1704:19 1715:16

Court's 1707:4

courtesies 1666:16 1667:23

courtesy 1668:1

cover 1634:27

COVID 1673:7 1692:21

cracks 1642:1

create 1636:11

created 1710:11,13

creating 1636:24 1637:15

April 7, 2021 critical 1716:25 cross 1627:17 1672:27 1685:25 1722:22 1736:13 1737:10 1738:8,19 cross-exam 1679:3 cross-examination 1627:10 1631:26 1632:5 1639:3 1665:23, 25 1666:13,17,26 1667:17,24 1671:5 1672:20 1675:7 1679:1, 11 1692:4 1695:17 1701:16 1712:7 1720:5 1735:3 1736:3 cross-examine 1694:8.13 cross-examined 1689:10 Crosstalk 1646:8

cross-exhibits 1663:1 1647:19,24 1649:5 1650:11 1653:23 crux 1650:5 1694:4 Crystal 1714:22 crystalizes 1678:1 cubicles 1689:8,20 **current** 1739:6 cut 1635:23

D

daily 1641:20 1642:2 1645:15 1646:21,27 1647:5 1648:12.23 1651:27 1653:17 1654:10,11 1655:18 1659:19,23 1660:10 1663:18 1740:12

Darryl 1632:8

data 1644:8 1647:12 1648:6 1655:15 1663:15 1665:11,18 1670:2,4,5 1671:14 1672:3 1677:14 1678:12 1679:27 1681:21 1683:19 1684:4 1688:2 1702:12 1712:10,12,15 1723:3, 7,9,18,24 1725:1,5 1729:20 1730:4

date 1642:3 1647:25 1651:24 1668:23,28 1691:14 1711:1 1713:15 1729:27 1730:6,9 1732:13,15 1733:8 1735:13,25 1736:12 1737:3 1739:26 1740:26 1741:1 1742:17

dated 1630:3,6,8 1653:18 1661:23,26 1662:1 1663:16

dates 1644:25 1668:13. 16.20 1669:6 1732:16. 17 1734:24 1735:21,24 1736:25 1738:1,2,10,17 1740:9

day 1627:7 1649:16 1657:9 1680:18 1706:8 1721:1

days 1628:6 1651:16 1664:25 1668:14,15 1672:23 1677:5 1685:24 1701:8 1722:26 1734:19,22

days' 1666:1 1672:28 1722:24

deadline 1739:6

deal 1664:21 1682:7 1693:1 1720:22 1727:20 1735:19 1740:5 1743:13

dealing 1691:2 1741:5

decade-by-decade 1676:2

decades 1675:26 1687:1

decades-long 1687:4

decide 1719:8 1720:20

decided 1702:6

decision 1690:18 1699:11 1705:4 1728:17,18 1731:6,22

decisions 1708:9

decisive 1704:17 decisively 1708:8

deem 1719:28

deemed 1629:10 1706:5

defense 1703:9

defer 1647:15 1738:14

deferred 1638:15,21 1640:14,17 1646:13

degree 1671:25 1683:4 1691:7 1709:1 1710:27, 28 1711:4 1712:21 1717:6 1725:14 1728:28

degrees 1715:25

delay 1704:27 1706:21 demonstrated 1738:8

denial 1629:9 1706:4

denied 1674:16

dense 1683:10

dependent 1673:3

depending 1692:6 1736:3

deposition 1696:6 1697:13

describe 1631:1 **describes** 1725:15 describing 1660:1

description 1652:13,

desire 1715:4 1728:14

desks 1692:22

destroyed 1684:6,12, 13

detail 1710:23

detailed 1728:22 1733:25

details 1673:19 1696:12 1697:15 1699:4

determination 1676:7

determine 1659:5 1693:25 1694:1

Index: critical..discuss

deviating 1674:25

dictate 1657:11,13

dictated 1657:10.13.16

difference 1678:18 1688:10,11 1740:2

differently 1654:2,8 1713:22

difficult 1693:14,15 1694:10 1743:13

direct 1628:9 1629:20, 23 1641:18 1695:20

directed 1685:7 1705:22

direction 1630:12 1707:4

disagree 1683:15 1689:17,23

disclosure 1716:20

discontinued 1669:27 discourage 1729:18

discovered 1634:18 1671:5 1683:21 1691:27 1700:17 1716:23

discovery 1669:9,13, 17,25,26,28 1670:14, 22,26 1671:3,9,11,22 1672:1,7,11,14 1678:15,23,28 1679:3,4 1680:11,27 1681:18 1682:9 1683:14 1685:5 1687:14,16,19,25,26,28 1688:9,12,18,21,22 1691:15,23 1692:12 1693:2,14 1702:13 1703:19,23 1704:11 1707:17 1708:12 1723:12,16 1725:7,26 1726:7,8,25 1727:3,7 1728:1

discreet 1688:1 1691:3

discretion 1684:16

discuss 1627:15 1655:4 1658:16 1668:25 1671:27

1690:2,3 1699:24 1718:20.21 1719:16 1734:16 **discussed** 1627:14 1650:21 1657:8 1673:20 1685:2 1686:6 1691:25 1692:16 discussing 1687:5 1711:26 1731:18 discussion 1664:8 1683:27 1692:6 1696:28 1719:7,13 1721:2 1722:7 1739:25 discussions 1657:28 1673:13 1702:18 displacing 1652:17 dispute 1674:6 1678:2, 5 1683:18 1690:24 1711:20 **disputes** 1673:27 disregard 1704:19 1707:3 dissuade 1704:18 **distinction** 1688:14,17 1689:25 **distract** 1666:12 1667:16 distracted 1734:3 distraction 1666:27 distrust 1743:20 **Division** 1632:9 1642:11 document 1654:24 documented 1659:22 1703:24 **documents** 1630:11 1644:28 1647:2,10 1653:8 1693:26 **DOGGR** 1643:2.16 1653:24.25.27 1657:25.

27 1658:1

double 1680:21

doubt 1644:1,2

drafting 1636:15

1637:1,2,14,21,25 **druthers** 1719:22 due 1673:4 1707:13 1712:12 Ε e-mail 1684:19 1724:26 1725:15 e-mailing 1719:11 e-mails 1692:3 e.g. 1645:14 **earlier** 1659:16 1685:15 1697:5 1734:18 early 1737:3 easily 1637:11 1681:9 1702:9 1733:21 economy 1675:23 1682:26 **effect** 1714:5 effectively 1696:6 efficiency 1704:1 **efficient** 1646:10 1682:24 efficiently 1679:13 effort 1733:24 **efforts** 1734:2 egregious 1715:21 1717:24 elaborate 1650:1 1730:23 eliminate 1691:12 emboldens 1704:28 emergency 1641:1 1655:11 1656:8 1660:19 emphasizing 1687:14 employed 1630:18 employer 1695:23

drill 1636:24

drilling 1636:22,27

enable 1694:5 end 1643:9 1657:8 1660:1 1665:8 1669:27 1671:23 1677:10 1680:25 1688:2 1696:7 1736:7,8 1737:6,7 1740:3 ended 1739:20 ending 1645:19 endings 1676:10 ends 1733:7 energy 1633:25 1642:14 1704:1 Enforcement 1632:9 **engage** 1710:3 engaged 1704:13 **engaging** 1673:28 **engineer** 1693:21 **engineers** 1694:19 1695:5 enhance 1682:25 **enjoyed** 1666:16 1667:23 entertain 1722:13 entertained 1709:2 **entities** 1726:6 entrained 1641:3 entry 1629:8,9 1706:3,4 **envision** 1636:14 1699:21 envisioning 1699:23 equipment 1631:10 essentially 1676:8 establish 1633:14,22 1683:6 established 1640:3 1675:9 establishing 1632:14

evening 1681:21 event 1636:26 1637:24 1638:1 1644:21 1652:7 1653:21,22,28 1660:28 1711:23 **events** 1644:26 1645:13 1648:15,25 1649:16 1654:13 1711:21 everybody's 1743:11, **evidence** 1648:17 1662:4,22,24,25,27 1664:3,4 1712:4,25 1718:5 1731:16 evidentiary 1627:7 1629:8 1640:5 1672:18 1675:4 exact 1640:17 **EXAMINATION** 1629:23 1659:14 **examine** 1703:6 **exception** 1670:7,12 1679:28 1723:12 exceptions 1672:6 1677:15 1723:11 excessive 1709:7 exclusively 1678:21 **Excuse** 1677:28 **excused** 1661:12 1686:16 execution 1696:2 executives 1707:16 exercise 1669:4 **exhibit** 1634:25 1635:8, 11,12,15 1638:24 1644:6 1646:26 1662:16,17,19,20,22, 23,25,26 1663:27 1664:1,2,4 **exhibits** 1628:25 1629:28 1630:4 1639:2 1661:17,23 1662:7 estimates 1636:8 1663:11,14,19,21 1672:21 1736:4 1737:2 1664:7 1729:24 1738:19,25

Index: discussed..exhibits

exist 1684:10 1689:7 fact 1680:4 1685:5 **finished** 1627:17 1727:22,24 1743:25 1693:12 1691:28 1702:5 1703:4 fire 1631:7 found 1703:21 1704:14 1714:9 1720:2, existing 1689:4 9,12 1736:7 1742:11 **fissures** 1642:5 foundation 1639:8,13 **exiting** 1636:11 1652:24,28 1640:3 factor 1740:15 fissures.' 1642:23 Fourth 1665:24 expand 1651:23 facts 1648:17 1683:3 1702:10 **expect** 1668:16 1707:18 1730:17 fit 1685:23 1686:23,24 1707:21 1717:7 1736:11 frankly 1666:11 factual 1653:12 1676:3 1729:21 1734:23 1679:23 1681:8 flesh 1696:13 fail 1704:10 1742:15 1743:10 1687:28 1694:6 flow 1631:6 1642:22 1710:17 1713:2 1714:6 expectation 1666:3 fails 1640:28 1727:19 1728:6 1655:23 1699:6 1717:5 1729:23 fair 1644:6 1652:7 1732:19 1735:10 flowing 1642:1,4 free 1628:18 1633:16 1650:6 1652:23 fairly 1651:22 frivolous 1681:8 expected 1735:2 familiar 1646:20.24 **fluid** 1641:17 1649:17. 1682:18,19 expecting 1701:6 1726:9 19 1651:2 1653:18 front 1650:24 1651:28 **expense** 1701:21 1655:19,22 1660:3 **fashion** 1701:23 1737:9 1738:6 full 1629:26 1681:20.23 **experience** 1631:2,11 1709:3 1715:7 1709:4 1711:18 1638:3 1643:25 1646:6 fluids 1636:11 1641:3, **February** 1644:20 1726:12 1731:6,21 1655:20,26 4,6 1655:5,10 1659:18 1645:10 1647:7 1660:7,15 fully 1694:13 1713:15 experiences 1635:24 feed 1712:28 flying 1649:18 fund 1704:1 expert 1630:21 feedback 1737:26 focus 1682:24 1708:20 furthering 1679:1 expertise 1630:26 feel 1633:16 1731:15 1714:17 1729:11 future 1629:9 1694:7 explaining 1695:11 1741:2 focused 1682:24 1706:3,4 exploration 1636:22 feeling 1700:7 focusing 1677:21 G **explore** 1666:7 **fellow** 1653:5 **folded** 1696:10 1693:28 1694:14 **fellows** 1654:5 follow 1705:8 1724:21 gained 1704:21 expressly 1707:16 Felts 1640:28 1676:6 1728:3 gaming 1704:13 extend 1675:26 1682:21 **follow-up** 1684:8 gap 1735:23 **extended** 1666:18 **Fever's** 1638:22 foresee 1666:10 1667:25 1705:24 gas 1629:15 1634:3,17 1667:15 1673:25 Fevers 1638:11,13,25 1635:25 1636:10 extending 1721:1 1639:26 1646:12 forgiveness 1702:7 1641:28 1642:4,11,19 1648:22 extensive 1686:10 1652:23 1683:27 form 1671:11,12 1716:5 Fevers' 1639:1 1640:4, 1702:27 1703:6 1729:1 extensively 1726:16 10 1644:16 1645:24,26 1725:18 formal 1628:19 **extent** 1643:21 1646:1, figure 1664:12 1690:23 gathering 1730:17 1683:19 3 1686:20 1727:17 1724:24 1730:16 1741:6 **general** 1636:2 formation 1641:4 1743:24 file 1676:20 1672:16 1674:24 formed 1642:20 1691:26 **external** 1628:18 finalizing 1722:21 forthcoming 1673:18 generally 1636:26 finally 1674:8 1713:26 1712:20 1713:18 1671:1 1672:5 1683:27 F fine 1646:19 1692:14 1723:4 1725:7, forthright 1716:20 15 1740:15 finish 1690:3 1737:3.13 facilities 1638:6 forward 1666:22.24.28 1743:17 generated 1650:4 1681:4 1688:3 1707:17 **facility** 1634:1,3,18 1708:11 1723:20 1656:25 1692:27

Index: exist..generated

Geologic 1642:13 Geothermal 1642:12 geyser 1642:21 1649:17 1656:20 1660:8 give 1628:12 1633:11 1636:2,4,7 1665:28 1669:10 1672:23 1694:24,25,28 1708:6 1720:27 1738:19 1739:18 **giving** 1702:16 Glenn 1638:11,25 **good** 1632:7 1636:16 1648:8 1656:14 1681:15 1698:28 1699:3 1724:27 1725:18 1740:21 1743:26 grant 1662:14 1663:25 1666:21 1723:3,5,10 1727:15 **granted** 1675:20 1731:21 **granting** 1639:16 1722:23 granular 1676:3 Great 1629:18,21 1659:12 1698:13 **greater** 1710:23 1711:15 1717:25 **ground** 1637:16

1666:21 1740:19 1741:28 Gruen 1631:28 1632:3, 6,8 1635:8,12 1639:4, 15 1640:9 1643:26 1646:7,11 1647:22,27 1648:21 1649:6 1650:9, 13 1651:9 1658:5,24 1659:17 1660:18 1661:6 1662:11 1663:1, 2,13 1664:23,27 1667:14 1669:19,23 1670:10 1673:1,22 1677:26,28 1678:11 1680:7 1685:11,12 1690:7 1693:5,7 1696:23,24,27 1698:25

1714:14,20,21 1717:3 1718:9 1719:4,18,19 1730:1,3,14 1733:2,3 1734:12 1736:16,19 1741:10,11,15 1742:2

quess 1636:7 1713:3 1732:19

guessing 1676:26 guidance 1714:23 1722:11 1727:6 1729:10 1732:19 1733:14 1734:8

guidances 1729:20 guy 1637:10 1653:27

guys 1654:4

Н

Haghshenas 1695:19 1697:2,21 1698:27 1720:1,9 1741:18 1742:6

Hallilburton 1695:19

hand 1649:28 1677:25 1687:13,17 1734:15

handed 1676:9

handle 1665:24 1672:20 1722:22 1729:27

handled 1725:1

handling 1672:27

happen 1685:21 1686:8 1718:28 1729:14 1733:27 1734:1

happened 1653:4 1686:9 1713:17 1742:1

happening 1699:22 1702:20 1714:18

happy 1677:11

haste 1692:26

head 1642:21,23 1698:1

head-shaking 1699:16

heading 1654:22 1655:3

headquarters 1689:5

heads 1627:27 1743:1

health 1723:27

hear 1632:4 1638:9 1639:26 1663:23 1677:17 1678:7 1693:10 1700:9

heard 1657:26 1674:18 1676:5 1682:21 1692:5 1698:11,14 1713:11,15 1714:17 1727:1 1730:20

hearing 1629:4,8 1640:5 1662:13 1668:13,19 1674:17 1686:22 1688:14 1694:27 1696:28 1722:21 1729:16 1730:4 1739:21 1740:11

hearings 1627:8 1629:9,10 1632:28 1665:2,3,8,15,16 1667:2 1669:28 1672:18 1673:11 1675:4,5 1686:1,6,9,17 1688:24,25 1694:7 1705:3 1706:4,5 1710:2,6,8 1715:9,20 1718:21 1723:10 1727:14,18,24 1731:17 1733:8,10 1734:3,18, 23,24 1735:9,21 1738:23 1739:10,17,20, 25 1740:3,17 1743:21

heart 1639:14

Hecht 1627:4.27 1628:3 1629:18 1631:27 1639:11 1640:6 1643:24 1646:5, 9 1648:19 1650:12,15, 18 1658:7,14,25 1659:1,9,12 1661:3,7, 10 1662:2,6,13,28 1663:5,8,20,23 1664:6, 17 1667:5,11,27 1669:21 1670:6,17 1676:24,26 1677:26 1678:6 1680:2,28

1682:12 1685:11 1688:6 1689:22 1693:4 1694:16 1695:7 1696:21,26 1698:1,13, 28 1699:9 1700:25 1708:15 1714:13 1717:1 1718:13,17 1719:15 1720:15,26 1728:8,24 1729:9,11 1730:26 1731:3,4 1732:14 1734:15,17 1736:18 1738:5 1739:9, 28 1741:14,22 1742:7, 15 1744:2

Index: Geologic.. Honor

held 1629:2

helpful 1639:10 1651:17 1720:13 1743:21

hesitant 1734:2

high 1635:27 1715:7

highest 1705:13 1707:22 1713:7

highlighted 1659:21

highly 1693:21

hire 1706:20

history 1656:23 1702:27

hold 1631:9 1652:19

hole 1637:16

home 1710:8,9

hone 1686:18,22 1687:4

Honor 1629:22 1632:3 1638:27 1639:10,16 1646:11 1650:9 1658:5, 12 1659:7 1661:6,20 1662:11 1663:2,13 1664:27 1667:4,8 1668:4 1669:10,19 1670:10,12,16,18 1677:28 1678:1,4,12 1680:1,6,26 1681:1 1682:13 1685:12 1686:12 1687:6,13,23 1688:4 1689:1 1690:6 1691:5 1693:7,10,27 1695:3,13 1696:24,27 1698:25 1700:12,18 1708:27 1714:21

1715:21,24,28 1716:26 1717:2 1719:19 1724:12,26 1725:4 1730:3,15,19 1732:23 1733:3 1734:9.12 1736:16 1737:8 1742:2 **Honors** 1666:21 1667:20 1671:1,27 1674:16 1675:10 1676:20 1683:1 1686:4, 15 1689:17 1691:2 1696:9 1700:21 1702:4 1705:2,12 1706:13 1708:6 1709:24 1710:1 1713:21 1714:4 1715:13 1717:7,13,21 1719:12,28 1720:1 1738:3 1741:11,20 1742:4 **Honors'** 1684:16 1701:26 1709:14 1719:22 1729:2 hook 1707:16 hope 1650:22 1685:8 1735:18,24 1738:1 1739:16 hopeful 1743:15 hour 1718:18 1720:27 1721:8 1744:5 hour-by-hour 1649:9 hours 1649:3 1652:12. 16 1692:6 house 1637:19,21,22 housekeeping 1627:15 1664:10.20 1720:23 1742:28 1743:5 Hower 1734:27 1736:27 1737:14 I

idea 1635:4 1669:26 1736:20 ideal 1742:26 1743:14 identical 1680:18 identification 1662:16, 18,19,21 1663:27 1664:1

identified 1628:25
 1635:7,8,10 1638:23
 1639:1 1648:6 1654:25
 1661:21 1680:8
 1709:17

identify 1644:27
 1670:1

ignoring 1704:14

imbue 1711:15

immediacy 1681:6

impact 1681:27 1726:22 implement 1708:10

implications 1729:17 important 1649:11

1653:13 1671:7 1684:15 1691:4 1692:10 1694:9 1709:28 1710:25

impose 1705:12 **imposition** 1714:10

impossible 1666:10 1667:14 1673:25

in-camera-type 1673:15

inaction 1704:27

inappropriate 1674:1

inaudible 1647:20 1725:17 1739:24 1742:26

incident 1634:16 1660:24 1670:8 1688:19 1700:22 1701:8 1705:2 1711:15 1731:10

incidentally 1739:6 inclination 1733:18,26

inclined 1718:18 1737:21

include 1655:27 1660:6 1673:3 1683:27 1727:23

included 1672:22 1673:1 1675:9 1683:24, 25 1728:16

includes 1723:20

including 1628:21,25 1629:2,7 1672:7 1675:27 1676:9 1705:25 1713:5 1714:26 1719:12 1728:17

inconsistent 1679:20

increase 1675:23

indication 1648:24

indicative 1717:18

indicator 1649:26

individual 1689:3

individually 1705:19 1706:10

individuals 1710:5,9 1724:4

indulge 1651:16

influences 1628:18

information 1649:10 1650:24 1671:13,25 1672:9 1679:9,17 1687:20 1688:11,16 1692:2,17,27 1693:16 1699:10 1701:7 1712:12 1725:11,21,22, 24 1728:10 1731:9,13, 14 1740:14

informative 1729:15

informed 1686:4 1719:6

initial 1704:17 1714:1 1715:11

initially 1685:18 1691:28

initially-agreed 1665:27

input 1678:3

inserted 1641:23

insight 1650:14 1742:4,7,14

insights 1741:19

instance 1683:8

1709:8,18 1712:26 1713:10,23 1714:12

Index: Honors..issue

instances 1684:1 1703:8

instruct 1689:19

instructed 1678:19

instruction 1729:1

instructions 1700:3 1708:3

instruments 1741:5

integrity 1703:5,7

intend 1674:14 1702:13 1716:7

intent 1639:15 1651:12

intentional 1707:9

interest 1678:22 1679:15,25 1703:10

interested 1729:15

interim 1728:17 1731:5

interject 1650:8

internal 1740:10

interpret 1707:2,3

interpretation 1649:15 1677:23

interrupt 1635:6

interruption 1737:19

interval 1727:18 1740:1

introduce 1646:25,26

introductory 1632:18

invasion 1710:5.10

investigation 1627:8 1703:28 1704:3

involved 1703:13 1710:6

involvement 1630:24 1676:6

issuance 1696:1,3 1719:24

issue 1669:15 1671:25, 28 1672:13 1674:10,20

Index: issued..majority

1675:21 1676:21 1682:11 1683:14 1685:4,13 1689:13,21 1690:23 1691:15 1695:2,8,9 1698:14 1699:19,24 1700:9 1706:26 1708:1 1709:4, 15,17 1711:26 1712:20 1713:12 1714:4 1715:4, 8 1717:15,20,21,23,27 1718:7,26 1719:8 1720:20 1723:13,16 1724:19 1725:8,27 1726:2,14,27 1727:4,9, 10,28 1728:4,8,11 1729:4 1730:27 1731:28 1733:14,25 1737:16,17 1739:9 1742:13

issued 1634:8 1668:11 1698:16 1726:10 1739:8 1742:11

issues 1664:10,20 1673:4,9,13,19 1686:18,24,27 1690:2, 14 1691:13 1692:9 1699:28 1700:6,15 1712:23 1717:24 1718:8,10,23 1721:4 1722:8 1724:4,8 1728:12 1729:6 1735:16,18 1737:24 1740:6 1742:28 1743:6

item 1669:1 1672:2,19, 26 1673:22 1713:26 1717:3 1725:5 1728:27 1739:5

items 1668:2

J

joins 1714:25

judge 1627:4 1689:27 1718:22 1739:12 1741:23

judge's 1675:15

judges 1674:16

judicial 1675:22 1682:26

jumbled 1643:8

jump 1727:27

June 1630:6 1661:26

justified 1709:8 1713:10

justify 1713:16

Κ

keeping 1627:19 1694:6 1719:2 1743:25

key 1724:18

kill 1638:5 1641:3,13, 17,19 1642:16 1645:12, 14 1647:5 1648:14,25 1649:20 1651:5 1652:7 1654:13 1655:19,28 1660:12,16,22,26

killed 1656:17

kills 1631:5 1660:26

kind 1636:1 1669:5 1672:10 1673:14,28 1696:13,14 1711:7 1713:28 1717:18

knew 1734:18

knowing 1649:25 1692:15

knowledge 1628:15 1646:4,6 1651:20 1654:11 1656:22

Krishnamurthy 1684:24 1725:18

L

La 1638:11,13,22,25 1639:1,26 1640:4,10 1644:16 1645:24,26 1646:12 1648:22

lacking 1640:3

laid 1715:6

large 1635:26 1636:10 1642:19 1736:28

largely 1680:9 1718:28 1740:18

larger 1636:28

largest 1702:27

lastly 1631:13 1666:20

latitude 1639:9

law 1627:4 1682:16

lawyer 1693:20

lawyers 1694:18 1695:4

lay 1639:7

lead 1679:9 1695:11 1700:11,13

leader 1631:5

leading 1715:20

leak 1702:27

leaks 1693:12

leave 1676:20 1682:11

left 1627:22 1735:1

level 1635:27 1677:9,13 1707:13,22 1715:7 1724:5

Lewis 1702:11,14 1709:14

light 1669:2 1673:7 1675:14 1685:6

lightly 1692:23

likelihood 1675:23

limit 1683:6,11,12

limitation 1673:6

limited 1670:28 1685:26 1686:11 1725:6 1729:3

lines 1639:18 1640:26 1642:8 1652:20 1655:3

link 1717:23

liquid 1645:11 1648:14, 24

list 1628:4,7 1644:25 1664:20 1672:2 1719:11,21 1724:26 1732:3

listed 1740:16

listening 1628:6

litigate 1701:19

litigated 1690:15

litigation 1674:2 1703:16,17 1704:14

living 1637:20

lobbying 1704:4

locked 1724:18

logistical 1742:21

logistics 1720:22 1743:24

long 1628:4 1635:3 1688:13 1722:7 1736:13 1738:7 1742:18

longer 1628:7

Los 1703:15

lost 1684:6 1724:18 1743:3

lot 1627:22 1690:28 1691:1 1694:17 1709:5 1731:12 1732:8 1735:2 1743:8.19

low-probability 1638:1

lower 1707:13

lunch 1690:4 1699:26 1713:2 1718:15,18 1719:2,7,14,17 1720:24 1722:6

М

made 1632:2 1654:12 1664:19,23 1673:17 1685:6,18 1698:14 1705:23 1707:12 1708:9 1709:20 1711:5 1712:19 1714:4,26 1715:19 1716:22,28 1723:8 1725:9 1730:12

magnitude 1660:24

main 1677:1

maintain 1678:13 1715:5

majority 1635:26

1636:21 1637:1 13 momentarily 1627:12 1736:28 memory 1628:17 Monday 1735:7 make 1628:27 1637:15 1633:15 1638:20 monetary 1705:13 1655:16 1658:20 1725:16 1713:7 1681:11,12 1682:23 memory's 1656:14 1690:5 1697:12 money 1704:1 1699:11 1701:14 memos 1726:10 months 1687:3 1705:22 1708:25 mention 1654:12 1706:20 1707:24 1710:1 1713:19 1714:24 1716:6,9,18,26 mentioned 1657:23 moot 1710:17 1720:7 1724:2 1732:1 1672:4 1691:16 moratorium 1666:23 1695:14 1700:14 makes 1727:18 1674:9 1676:22 1717:3 1724:16 1677:19 1727:13,23 making 1666:14 1730:20 1733:7 1667:21 1669:5 merit 1703:22 1711:23 1679:15 1688:17 Morgan 1702:10,14 1690:4 1695:26 merited 1715:2 1709:13 1702:23 1715:25 merits 1694:14 morning 1627:19 1727:6 1711:24 1632:2,7 1659:3 manage 1677:4 met 1691:7 1695:17 Moshfegh 1629:19,21, management 1642:14 24 1631:25 1632:13 middle 1649:1 1736:9 1703:5 1633:5 1635:6 1638:27 midst 1672:18 1696:15 1640:2 1643:20 manner 1701:18 1645:28 1648:16 1727:11 1728:21 mind 1643:15 1692:11 1650:7 1659:7,15 maps 1669:5 1661:1,18,20 1662:5 minimize 1717:20 March 1630:3 1661:23 most-likely 1738:12 **minimum** 1666:1 1700:18 1706:8 1701:15 motion 1662:15 marked 1662:16,17,19, minor 1660:27 1666:24 1674:11,12,15, 20 1663:27 1664:1 22,27 1675:13,16,18,19 minutes 1658:20 1676:12,14,21 1677:19 **materials** 1628:24 1720:28 1681:6,8,11 1682:17, matter 1630:21 mishear 1670:9 18,27 1683:6 1685:18, 1631:12 1657:8 1694:4 19 1686:27 1690:8.9. mislead 1697:6 1708:14 1744:5 12,17,19,26 1691:3,12 1694:6,9 1701:4 1709:1 misplaced 1682:6 **matters** 1627:15 1727:28 1728:28 1720:23 missed 1643:6 1668:11 1733:21 1698:23 meaningful 1738:1 motions 1666:28 misstated 1669:11 meantime 1700:8 1675:7,9 1676:23 1677:21 1681:5 1682:16,23 medical 1673:4,9,13,19 1685:13,14,22 1686:2, mist 1639:27 1656:20 medical-related 5,6,8 1687:4,9 1727:13, mistake 1632:1 1666:5 23,25,26 1733:4,10,11 Mister 1635:9 motions' 1674:9 meet 1738:24 1733:6 **mixture** 1636:10 meeting 1689:11 motivating 1740:15 moment 1644:7 meetings 1684:19,23 1647:13 1652:19 1689:11 move 1627:28 1633:18 1663:3 1673:23 1662:3.15 1663:14.19. members 1643:22 1676:27 1698:5 25 1681:4 1688:3,27

1714:15

memo 1678:19 1726:5,

Index: make..noted

moved 1662:3 1695:22

moving 1638:8 1662:9

1663:11,21 1666:21

1727:23 1743:25

mud 1642:20,22

muddled 1637:4

1677:27 1693:6

narrow 1675:20

nature 1630:23

necessarily 1674:5

1683:10 1689:23

1692:24 1694:26

necessity 1738:6

needed 1627:18

1687:21 1740:5

Neville 1734:27

news 1722:26

nodding 1678:9

notable 1671:7

note 1653:5 1666:14

1675:2 1678:25

1679:25 1680:6

1681:19 1684:15

1687:11 1688:10

1709:12 1711:19

1671:3 1674:10

1668:21 1673:1,21,27

1689:2 1701:5 1705:18

1707:8 1708:17,20,28

1712:18,24 1713:1,26

1717:9 1726:2 1743:19

noted 1668:22 1670:13

1737:14

1735:12 1736:26

negative 1693:13,23

1636:10

1736:23

narrowing 1686:14

natural 1634:3 1635:25

1694:17 1696:23

mute 1632:1 1676:25

Ν

1729:23

1730:6

1723:19 1727:12,22

1678:26 1680:7 1691:5 1697:16 1710:2 1728:1 **notes** 1642:4,10 1643:17 1669:7 1684:4, 5,10,21 1685:1 1689:3, 7 1698:24 1714:1 1723:25,26 1724:3,14 1725:6,11,12,24 notice 1666:1 1672:23 1673:1 1720:12 1722:24 1726:5 1741:6 notice' 1677:5 notwithstanding 1714:27 **November** 1639:22,28 1641:15,21 1642:16,26 1644:23 1645:9 1651:6, 7,22,27 1652:6 1659:23 1663:16 number 1636:4 1640:25 1644:13 1647:21 1649:3 1651:15,16 1654:21 1664:10 1667:10

1675:21 1690:15 1701:9 1704:11 1726:12.13

numbered 1714:1

numbers 1635:28 1644:27 1647:10,21 1648:5

numerous 1728:12

0

oath 1628:20 1706:10 obfuscation 1693:27 object 1640:2 1643:20 1645:28 1646:2 1648:16 1650:13 objecting 1678:14

objection 1638:28 1639:11 1646:2 1662:11 1663:24 1679:26

objections 1645:6 1650:21 1662:8,14 1663:20

observation 1655:28

observe 1640:10,16 1642:27 1656:3

observed 1639:27 1640:4.7 1649:11 1650:2 1652:27 1653:13,28 1656:19

obtained 1672:9

obvious 1639:5

occupied 1686:10

occur 1637:24 1641:5 1655:6.11

occurred 1644:22 1653:12 1656:9 1660:8. 25 1671:18 1683:22 1691:23 1692:15 1707:19

occurring 1656:24 1673:11

occurs 1636:26

October 1630:9 1634:19 1644:19 1647:6 1651:19 1660:28 1662:1 1671:19 1683:22,23

odds 1636:25 1637:23

off-the-record 1702:16.23

offer 1711:3 1715:26,27 1716:6.8

offered 1682:5 1710:26 1713:19

offering 1650:14

office 1658:10 1661:8 1664:25 1676:27 1677:17 1678:7 1693:1 1699:15,25 1700:10,14 1724:15

oil 1636:11 1641:28 1642:4,11 1652:23 1656:19 1659:28

onerous 1726:25

ongoing 1670:22 1672:7

open 1736:14 1737:26

opened 1637:27

opening 1678:20 1733:8

operating 1659:19,24 1660:10

operation 1641:14 1652:26 1660:4,23 1725:19

operations 1630:26 1631:6 1636:22,28 1637:1,2 1638:6 1641:2 1655:7,12 1656:9 1660:19

operator 1743:13

operators 1683:28

opine 1656:26

opinion 1714:28

opportunity 1669:11 1693:9 1695:1 1709:4 1742:24 1743:18

oppose 1670:20 1672:5,16,28 1673:12 1676:22

opposed 1636:27 1637:26 1677:3,9,14

opposition 1677:7

option 1706:16 1737:17 1739:24

or-so-minute 1658:15

oral 1675:16 1682:27 1686:6 1727:25.28 1733:10

orally 1681:12

order 1627:6 1633:14 1651:21 1665:2,7,10 1668:8,17 1672:24 1677:3 1687:2,19 1693:28 1706:13 1708:2 1722:17,21

orders 1703:25

ordinary 1673:9

original 1740:1

outer 1668:14

outset 1670:11 1709:13 1715:19 outstanding 1670:2 1728:1 1732:9

Index: notes..parties'

overly-broad 1672:10

overnight 1680:20

overruled 1639:11

Ρ

p.m. 1721:8 1722:1 1744:5

packed 1709:6

pad 1652:24

pad.' 1642:5

pages 1638:21,26 1639:17 1683:11 1691:1 1706:9 1726:17, 18,19

pandemic 1673:7 1696:16

panel 1734:27

paper 1683:2

papers 1631:8

paraphrasing 1725:16

pardon 1635:13 1643:9 1654:21,22 1657:14,15 1670:10 1696:25

part 1671:7 1675:8 1695:18 1697:8 1698:2 1719:25 1722:16,17 1723:10 1730:28 1733:12

participated 1631:4

participating 1686:16 1710:8

parties 1628:24,26 1631:14,17,18 1666:23 1669:14,26 1670:13 1671:10 1675:3 1686:20,22 1696:9,22 1710:26 1713:20 1717:6 1722:9 1732:6, 22 1735:2 1737:27 1739:25 1743:20

parties' 1702:22 1734:2

<u></u>			
Partners 1633:26	personal 1628:15	1730:7	preserves 1730:7
party 1631:23 1671:20	1707:5	potentially 1660:6	press 1633:15
1683:24 1723:20	personnel 1691:24 1692:20,28	1669:14 1678:5 1682:18 1691:2	pressed 1703:20
past 1657:28 1742:1	perspective 1679:23	1694:12 1705:7	pressure 1631:9
patents 1631:9	1715:22	1710:10 1718:2 1730:3 1737:13	1645:10 1648:13 1649:21,24 1650:3
path 1655:23	peruse 1647:3	practical 1677:13	1652:22
patience 1661:15 1743:11	phone 1633:1 1683:21	practice 1674:9	pressures 1628:18
pattern 1704:13 1711:8	phrased 1691:11	1677:19 1682:23 1686:5 1687:9 1697:18	pretty 1639:13 1694:20
patterns 1729:13	picking 1627:9	1698:15 1704:13	1743:15,26
PDF 1635:2 1644:13	plan 1648:8 1703:6	1711:9 1713:19 1741:24	prevail 1690:17
penalties 1713:7	point 1648:3 1653:2 1658:9,21 1665:26	practiced 1666:24	previous 1628:6 1691:21
pendency 1674:23	1666:24,28 1670:26	1676:23	previously 1628:24
pending 1674:17	1673:17 1685:16 1688:8 1690:5,24	practices 1685:22	1645:16 1691:22 1695:14
1704:24	1697:12 1698:22,26	practicing 1632:8	prior 1680:13 1710:14
people 1637:24 1644:2 1682:2 1688:7 1689:15	1709:28 1710:25 1714:6 1715:3,25	1707:23	1712:15,19 1719:14
1692:26 1699:23	1716:9,17 1717:8,15	precisely 1693:17 1702:25	1722:26 1729:2 1730:22 1742:10
1710:7 1726:6 1735:25 1736:9 1738:18 1740:3	1720:20 1724:9 1727:7, 25 1733:6,26 1734:8	preclude 1694:12	privacy 1710:5,10
1743:14,26	1738:16,28	1728:17	private 1700:16
people's 1735:17	point-by-point 1709:3,	precluded 1730:21	1701:12 1703:2
1736:15	9	prefix 1645:19	privately 1632:25
percent 1636:8,18 1703:21	pointing 1689:24	prehearing 1675:9	privilege 1666:9
perform 1704:8	points 1716:25	prejudice 1674:17	1703:20 1711:13 1718:10
performed 1641:14	Poirier 1676:24 1677:25 1718:22	preliminary 1688:25	privileged 1673:17
1660:12 1665:22	1722:4 1724:20,27 1725:28 1726:28	premarked 1628:25 1630:1	proactively 1705:9
period 1666:28	1729:9,19 1730:11,16,		probe 1687:16
1681:13 1727:14 1734:1 1737:6,21	25 1731:24,27 1732:28 1733:2,13 1734:10,14	premature 1741:16	problem 1638:2
periodic 1645:13	1739:12,22 1741:23	preparation 1685:25 1720:5,14	1677:11 1740:24 1741:1,3
periods 1736:13	Poirier's 1708:2	prepare 1645:22,25	procedural 1696:12
permission 1702:4,8	political 1704:4	1663:4 1666:25 1674:28 1727:19,20	1697:15
permit 1675:13	position 1727:16	1731:8 1739:20	procedurally 1679:13
1676:20 1706:20	possibility 1658:17	prepared 1630:2,4,5,7,	1719:7,9
permitted 1680:25 1681:3	1690:21 1738:27 1742:12	12 1638:24 1640:22 1654:17 1661:22,24,25,	procedure 1696:17 1697:18 1698:15
permitting 1675:17	possibly 1682:25	27 1710:16 1740:19	1728:27 1741:25
perplexed 1726:27	post-hearing 1728:16	preparing 1666:12	proceed 1631:28
person 1653:28	posture 1698:6	1667:16 1675:3	1632:17 1650:26 1659:13 1682:22
1694:26	potential 1665:13	present 1642:15,26 1696:10 1702:5	1724:9
	1682:16 1690:20	1704:25 1729:4	

Index: Partners..proceed

proceeding 1627:1 1628:28 1629:1	1724:6	1702:13	R
1630:15,24 1631:14	propounded 1672:14,	pursued 1706:16	
1632:10,16 1633:7	15	pursuing 1671:2	raise 1627:25 1708:1
1662:10 1669:27	prose 1683:10		1738:16
1670:25 1671:8,10	nrove 4602:42.22	put 1631:6 1643:18,28	
1674:23 1675:19	prove 1693:13,23	1651:7 1653:14 1657:19,20 1667:19	raised 1673:5 1677:25
1680:11 1690:15	provide 1630:25	1716:18	1688:24 1699:24
1695:21 1701:22	1665:7 1674:19 1682:9	17 10.10	1709:18,27 1717:16
1703:13 1704:26	1701:4,7 1707:25	puts 1707:11	1728:12 1729:6 1733:15,17
1705:4,15,20,23,25	1712:23 1722:11		1733.15,17
1706:9,15,26 1707:19,	1726:7 1729:19	Q	raising 1719:6
27 1708:10,21 1711:24	1732:18 1733:14		range 1645:17
1714:18,19 1722:9	1734:7,8	qualifications 1634:23	_
1726:17 1729:12,13,16	provided 1645:16	1635:19,20	ratepayer 1704:1
1731:1	1647:1 1653:8 1665:19	,	ratepayers 1704:2,4
proceedings 1631:16,	1670:24 1671:26	question 1636:1	
19 1675:3 1680:14	1681:20 1682:3	1639:14 1643:6	Ravi 1725:18
1682:23 1696:11	1684:11 1687:20	1644:12,15,18 1645:5	RCA 1634:6
1700:17 1701:14,27	1693:25 1695:20	1646:15,18,19 1647:12	
1702:1,2 1703:1,3	1696:20 1712:15	1648:2,18,20 1649:23	reach 1717:11
1706:19 1707:11,14	1725:23	1650:5,10,27 1651:3	read 1628:4 1643:4
1708:21 1711:14,22	providing 1677:3	1652:5 1667:6,9	1646:22 1647:13
1718:12 1729:7	1712:14	1680:17 1684:2,8,24 1697:24 1699:1,4	1654:19 1655:8
process 1636:23		1707:11 1719:5,26	reading 1653:4
1637:14 1696:5,19	public 1658:9 1661:7	1720:3,18 1728:26	1657:28
1719:10,26 1731:7	1663:17 1664:24	1731:25 1733:4	1037.20
produce 1697:2,9	1676:27 1677:17	1738:12 1741:13	reads 1652:16
•	1678:7 1696:17 1699:14,25 1700:10,13		ready 1628:1 1659:9
producing 1668:24	1707:19 1710:2	questioned 1690:7	1688:3
production 1636:20,		questioning 1661:5	
24,28 1637:3,17,20,28	published 1631:7	1680:15,19 1691:28	real 1715:22
1638:2	pulled 1634:25	questions 1632:12,18	realtime 1669:15
production-related		1635:4 1638:10,13,16	1670:8,15 1672:13
1636:19	pump 1650:4 1652:22	1640:15,17 1650:23	1688:19 1701:23
	pumped 1641:6,28	1658:6,10,13 1661:2,4	1710:20 1711:25
professional 1668:1	1652:26	1671:24 1677:12	1712:6,27 1713:12
profit 1707:6	pumping 1660:2,4,15	1712:11,22 1715:14	1715:26 1717:5 1718:7
•		1722:14 1725:2 1730:1	1723:13 1729:5
prohibited 1629:4	punitive 1706:17	1732:9,21 1734:11	1731:11
1709:22	punted 1646:16	1742:27	realtime-monitoring
prohibition 1628:21	•	quick 1635:4 1719:5	1672:13
1701:26 1705:24	purpose 1666:14 1667:21 1678:28	-	reason 1644:1 1674:24
prohibitions 1629:6	1007.21 1070.20	quicker 1728:15	1690:25 1703:10
1706:2	purposefully 1708:1	quickly 1634:23	1717:4 1719:1 1739:28
	purposes 1633:23	1638:19 1639:13	
promote 1675:22	1636:20 1637:3,28	1718:27	reasonable 1713:9
1682:26	1639:6 1668:2,24	quiet 1727:17	1722:25 1724:10
proposal 1669:24	1673:21 1720:4,14	quiet 1/2/.1/	1727:7,11 1738:11
1681:4,17 1708:7		quote 1711:11	reasons 1701:5
	Pursuant 1674:21	quoting 1683:9	1704:16
proposed 1728:7,20	pursue 1669:25	4	rebuttal 1630:8
proposing 1681:7	1670:13 1681:18		1638:25 1654:18

Index: proceeding..rebuttal

1655:1 1657:2 1661:28 recall 1633:17 1659:16. 24 1660:18 1674:15 recalled 1692:14 recap 1627:13 receive 1670:4 1699:7 received 1662:22,24, 25,27 1664:2,4 1671:13,18 1682:2,4 1712:9 **receiving** 1672:12 recently 1668:11 1672:16 recess 1721:8 recognize 1635:16 1646:28 1647:2,4 1654:28 1728:18 1740:23 recollection 1684:18 1725:14.21 recommending 1742:17 record 1627:5,14 1629:26 1631:15,19,20 1633:23 1635:14 1636:6 1639:7,10 1650:16,17,19,20 1651:1 1653:14 1654:15,20 1656:16 1658:26,27 1659:2,4 1662:9,15 1663:3,6,7,9, 19,21,26 1664:11,15, 16,18,19 1673:21 1679:16,22 1701:14,22 1702:1,18 1703:23 1706:7,26 1714:25 1716:14 1721:6,7 1722:5,12 1726:16 1729:24,25 1730:6,8 1737:24,27 1744:3,4 recorded 1631:23

1649:10 1660:13 recording 1628:28 1629:1 1631:21 1633:6 1670:15 1701:27 1703:1 1705:22,24,25 1707:10 1710:4 1716:5, 13 1730:5 1731:11

records 1641:16 1655:17 1692:28 1710:11

recross 1680:24

redirect 1627:17 1658:17,18,22 1659:5, 8.14

refer 1628:23 1647:25 1653:24 1731:10

reference 1649:19 1651:4 1653:21,22 1732:27

referenced 1643:3.11 1647:11 1648:12 1649:21 1711:28 1712:16 1732:25 1734:18

references 1652:15

referencing 1634:28 1711:11

referred 1639:5 1657:27 1673:24

referring 1633:25 1660:27 1692:19

refers 1634:6,12,17 1650:4

reflect 1641:16 1698:24

reflected 1657:18 1725:24

refresh 1638:20 1740:19

refreshing 1639:7

refused 1704:7

regard 1707:17 1716:11

regulatory 1729:8

reiterate 1690:10

relate 1632:15 1730:8

related 1627:8 1636:19 1647:5 1649:27 1661:5 1664:7,8 1665:12,14 1670:14 1672:12 1674:13 1693:11 1711:24 1730:5 1739:6

relates 1678:23 1690:14

relating 1670:7

relationship 1718:6

release 1634:17 1639:20 1644:21,26 1655:5,10 1656:19,20, 23.28 1659:22.26 1660:7

released 1639:28 1670:24 1708:5

releases 1641:2 1645:1

relevant 1671:26 1689:25 1692:8 1694:7 1723:26 1725:25

relies 1678:20 1703:3

rely 1655:15,20 1665:19 1684:23 1741:28

relying 1653:6,7,11 1726:10

remain 1668:17 1699:5 1738:6

remainder 1706:14

remaining 1675:1 1734:25,26

remains 1685:19 1691:19 1715:27 1716:12,16

remedies 1706:25 1707:25 1708:11 1713:3 1714:27 1715:1,

remember 1653:7 1681:26 1726:15

remembered 1694:16

remotely 1696:8,15 1713:9 1714:9

removal 1629:7

renew 1674:15

repeat 1664:28 1667:13 1714:16 1740:22

replaced 1706:14

reply 1630:2,4 1634:24 1635:1,10,16,21 1661:22,24

Index: recall..request

report 1641:20 1642:3 1647:26 1649:19 1651:27 1653:24,27 1654:1 1659:24 1660:14 1678:21 1680:8,10 1681:22 1703:3 1705:9

reported 1653:12

reporter 1633:8,12 1700:16 1701:25 1702:5,15 1715:16

reporters 1703:2

reporting 1654:6 1669:15 1670:8 1688:19 1701:12 1705:15 1710:12 1713:6 1715:27 1731:11

reports 1634:7 1644:3 1645:15 1646:21,27 1647:5 1648:12,24 1653:17 1654:7,10,11 1655:18 1659:19 1660:10 1663:18 1693:11 1694:2

represent 1644:15 1698:26 1742:5

representations 1707:12

representatives 1642:10 1643:17

representing 1697:25

request 1644:8 1647:12 1663:16,18,25 1664:28 1665:4,5 1666:22 1667:3 1669:2, 8 1670:2,3,5,20 1671:14 1672:5,10,17, 22 1673:23 1674:4 1676:19,22 1679:21,27 1681:3,21 1683:11 1688:2 1695:28 1706:24 1709:3 1722:22 1723:4,8 1725:1,5,6 1727:13,15 1735:13 1743:27

requested 1668:23 1703:18,19 1705:28 1713:4,5 1719:9 **requests** 1663:14 1664:23 1665:11,24 1666:15 1667:21,22 1677:2 1679:5 1683:19 1692:13 1693:2 1702:12 1704:11 1709:6 1712:10 1713:24 1714:27 1722:8,19 1723:8,9,19, 24 1726:8 1728:2 require 1672:8 1676:1 1690:28 1696:4 1703:5 required 1665:6,28 1666:4 1671:9 1704:9. 18 1705:20 1726:7 1734:19 requirements 1628:20 1741:17 **requires** 1719:13 1726:5 **requiring** 1671:23 reschedule 1673:4 resend 1682:5 reservation 1736:25 reserve 1706:24 reserved 1734:21 1735:6,22 1738:3 1740:10 reserving 1738:4,17 resistance 1695:18 resolution 1675:18 1717:12 1718:22 1724:24 **resolve** 1700:5 1733:21 resolved 1700:1 1704:27 resolving 1708:18,19 resource 1683:4,13 **resources** 1642:12

1681:16 1685:26

1686:11 1701:19

respect 1641:13 1651:5 1660:25 1670:21 1671:3 1672:11 1680:11 1683:15 1690:8 1711:12,13 1713:3 1718:9,10 1725:9 respond 1669:20,21 1673:17 1676:28 1678:4 1681:2,12 1682:15 1685:27 1709:9 1726:26 responded 1668:5 responding 1676:1 responds 1645:7 1685:27 **response** 1627:26 1644:8 1645:5,22,24,26 1647:11 1648:6 1663:15,22 1667:7 1668:3 1670:5 1671:14 1679:10 1680:3 1681:20 1683:7 1684:5 1689:2 1693:5 1694:24 1698:10 1712:12,14,16 1713:18 1718:16 1720:25 1725:23 1729:21 1744:1 1672:3 1677:15 1678:12 1683:20 1684:2 1702:11

responses 1665:18,22 1718:14 1723:3 1726:8 1730:4

responsibility 1703:10 1738:21

responsible 1705:9

rest 1673:10

restart 1665:3

restate 1648:19 1664:13 1668:6 1682:20

restated 1673:23

restricted 1629:8 1706:3

result 1629:6 1641:18 1706:2.18 1728:15

resulted 1660:3

resume 1739:17 retained 1630:20

retrospect 1714:7

return 1641:16 1655:19 1660:2.5.11.14

returned 1655:22

returning 1649:20 1722:6

review 1660:9 1679:18 1732:6

reviewed 1630:27 1710:18

revised 1739:7,10

revisit 1734:6 1737:16, 17

rightly 1678:26

risk 1692:18,22

roads 1679:8

rock 1642:20

root 1634:5.7 1687:21

round 1665:15 1667:1

route 1720:8

rule 1674:21,24 1702:28 1729:17

rules 1666:21 1675:12 1690:11 1697:17,18,22 1698:15 1703:4 1705:19 1708:9 1732:10,20 1740:19 1741:24,28

ruling 1671:8 1675:15 1685:7 1689:18 1733:12,21

rulings 1704:19 1721:3 rushing 1694:11

S

safe 1739:26

Safety 1632:9

sake 1695:26

sanction 1707:1 1708:8

sanctions 1629:7,10 1699:26 1701:4 1705:13,16 1706:3,5 1707:5 1709:2 1713:4 1714:10,11 1727:28 1728:6,20

Index: requested..SED

scale 1656:23

SCG-29 1634:25

schedule 1665:25 1666:2 1668:18,22 1672:27 1675:8 1677:5, 6 1718:20 1722:22 1723:2 1734:5,16,20 1735:28 1737:11 1738:22 1739:7,10 1740:8 1741:9

scheduled 1665:8

scheduling 1672:20 1721:3 1742:16

Schwecke 1734:28 1737:5.18 1738:13

Schwecke's 1737:23

scope 1675:21 1690:14 1708:23 1730:28 1733:17

scoping 1671:8 1678:19 1685:7 1726:5, 10,13

screen 1639:24 1650:25 1651:28 1705:26 1743:2

screenshots 1629:3

scroll 1648:28 1652:2 1654:26

secret 1700:16

secretary 1657:10

secure 1695:23

securing 1695:15

SED 1644:8 1645:16 1647:11 1661:5 1662:12 1663:14,15 1664:23 1665:3,4,18, 24,28 1666:1,5,12,15, 18,22,25 1667:16,22,25 1669:10,12,24 1670:2 1672:19,26 1673:18 1674:27 1675:7

1676:16 1677:4,17
1678:16 1680:22
1683:25 1688:17
1691:4 1694:3 1698:11
1699:25 1700:10,13,20
1701:6,16,21 1702:13
1704:17 1705:1
1706:22,23 1707:28
1711:14 1714:25
1715:28 1717:22
1720:7 1722:19,22,24
1727:19 1729:6
1734:12 1738:20

SED's 1669:2 1674:13

SED's 1669:2 1674:13 1675:25 1676:22 1678:20 1681:4 1686:10 1715:22 1720:4

SED-226 1644:7 1663:15,27 1664:2

SED-226.2 1644:13

SED-227 1646:26,27 1663:17 1664:1,4

seek 1631:18

seeking 1676:15 1723:25

selection 1676:10

send 1696:1 1707:25 1738:22

sense 1686:3 1727:18 1732:1

sensitive 1723:27

separate 1683:21

separately 1632:25 1671:2 1683:14 1684:14 1691:15 1709:12 1717:14

seriousness 1728:19, 20 1731:20

serve 1732:2

served 1671:10 1680:17 1683:18 1692:12

service 1701:12 1710:26 1715:27 1716:3,9 1719:11,21 1724:26 1732:3 serving 1719:21

Sesnon 1634:12

session 1722:1 1740:20

set 1669:6 1675:10 1715:10 1718:20 1731:17 1735:21

sets 1735:23

setting 1668:19

settlement 1675:24 1682:25 1686:14,17,21, 22 1687:5 1690:21

shaking 1627:27 1743:1

shameful 1704:21

share 1700:23,28

shared 1628:24 1682:2

shareholder 1704:6

sheet 1667:12

shift 1739:12

shifted 1739:27

short 1634:1 1687:6 **shorthand** 1641:26

3110111111111 10+1:20

shortly 1675:5

shots 1705:26

show 1635:20 1647:10 1648:12 1651:23

showed 1651:1

showing 1708:4

1733:28

shuffle 1665:9

shuttled 1704:25

side 1637:6

signal 1707:26

significance 1711:16 1717:25

significantly 1710:15

signing 1733:4

similar 1644:22 1688:17 1703:11 1725:20 similarly 1642:2,17

simple 1717:28

simplicity 1695:26

simply 1676:17 1681:15 1684:6 1690:27 1691:2 1698:10 1712:27 1731:8

simultaneously 1704:5

sir 1633:20 1644:11 1649:7 1653:15 1654:16 1655:9

sitting 1636:4 1637:26

situation 1697:14,20 1736:7

sixth 1666:20

slight 1639:9

slightly 1633:4 1651:23

small 1737:15

Socalgas 1627:11 1630:18,20 1635:11,15 1638:23 1639:2 1644:8 1645:6 1656:5 1658:16 1659:4 1662:7 1663:15 1665:6,17,19,21,28 1666:11,16 1667:15,23 1669:9,16,25 1670:1,20 1671:19 1672:23,27 1673:26,27 1675:13,17 1676:14,19,20 1678:14, 15 1679:4,10 1681:7,11 1682:3,4 1683:23 1685:8,22,27 1686:1 1687:9,20 1689:2 1692:26 1693:24 1694:1 1697:1,4 1698:3 1701:6,18 1702:10 1703:3,12,13 1704:21, 23 1705:14 1706:13,20 1708:12 1709:13 1711:9,12 1712:7 1714:2 1715:12,24,26 1717:17 1718:11 1720:7 1723:25 1732:2 1735:12 1740:27 1742:4

Socalgas' 1634:2

1641:20 1647:11 1665:13,26 1675:19 1679:12,26 1681:2 1697:8,26 1700:15 1727:16

Socalgas's 1701:11,24 1702:3,17 1703:8,17 1704:18 1707:8 1708:4 1714:28 1715:3 1716:8

Socalgas-08 1630:1 1661:21 1662:16,22

Socalgas-09 1630:3 1661:23 1662:17,23

Socalgas-29 1630:5 1635:9 1661:25 1662:19.25

Socalgas-30r 1638:24

Socalgas-32 1630:7 1640:23 1654:25 1661:27 1662:20,26

Socalgas-32.0004. 1640:25

soft 1652:22

solemnly 1628:10

sooner 1724:23

sort 1628:4 1668:1 1669:6 1714:10 1725:22

sorts 1683:28

sought 1701:20 1714:8,11

sound 1724:10

sounds 1669:8 1681:8 1697:7 1723:23 1731:5

Southern 1629:15

spanked 1650:1

speak 1678:8 1685:13 1688:7 1715:28 1741:26

speaking 1695:5

speaks 1694:26

specialized 1693:21 1694:19

specific 1670:27 1674:11 1676:15

1695:28 1701:4 1705:6 1713:3,11,24 1715:14 1727:10 1729:4 1732:17 1740:26 specifically 1642:3 1644:17 1654:9 1655:12 1664:8 1671:9 1703:2.4 1710:21 1711:25 1714:11 1727:4 1733:7 speculation 1643:21 1646:3 **speed** 1706:21 spend 1685:24 sponsored 1657:4 **SS-25** 1634:12,18 1639:28 1642:27 1644:21 1645:11 1648:13,26 1654:13 1656:24 1660:25,28 1693:12 **staff** 1686:11 stand 1680:14 **Standard** 1634:12 **stands** 1711:3 start 1628:10 1632:11 1676:10 1701:28 1716:7 1722:18 1727:24 1736:21 1737:19,20 1738:13 **started** 1627:22 1708:28 **starting** 1644:12 1737:4 **starts** 1645:8 state 1629:25 1630:23 1638:28 1640:27 **stated** 1642:9 1656:6 1657:12 1670:16.21 1676:16 1709:23 1718:3 **statement** 1655:16 1708:25 1709:20 1710:14 1712:19 1717:28

statements 1709:5

1714:26 1716:27

1725:8 stating 1631:20 1670:11 1697:5 stature 1737:23 status 1715:20 stays 1681:3 1720:17 **Stinson** 1734:28 1685:16 1680:1 1703:6 1725:19 strong 1700:6 1743:12 **stuff** 1729:18 styled 1649:24 subheading 1639:19 **subject** 1648:6 1691:11 1697:21

1717:12

subpoena 1668:26 1695:9 1696:1,20 states 1641:21 1726:21 1698:16 1699:8 stick 1643:24 1646:5 1736:27 1737:14 **Stoddard** 1667:7,8 1668:3.4 1670:17.18 1678:26 1680:3,5 1682:12,13 1686:18,25, 28 1687:13 1688:15.28 1690:5,6 1694:14,23 1695:1,3,12,13 1697:15 1698:8,9 1699:2,3 1708:26,27 1715:13 1717:1,2 1719:4,5 1725:3,4 1726:28 1728:25,26 1732:22,23 1733:1,15,17 1734:9 1739:4,5 1742:9 **Stoddard's** 1681:17 **stop** 1631:6 1650:12,15 **storage** 1634:3 1638:6 1656:24 1683:28 **stricken** 1676:12 **strike** 1674:13 1675:14, 19 1676:14,15,21 1681:7 1690:9 1691:12 structural 1703:7 structure 1740:17

1704:10 1718:26 1719:8,22,25 1720:2,8, 18,20 1731:28 1732:3, 27 1742:11 substitute 1703:9 successful 1655:21 **suddenly** 1685:28 1716:7 suggest 1737:21 suggested 1712:1 suggesting 1686:25 1697:5 1698:3 1719:1 suggestion 1673:27 1674:7 suggestions 1736:11 suggests 1717:17 sum 1707:18 summarizes 1668:2 summarizing 1649:16 **summary** 1664:22 **superior** 1703:24 supplement 1665:17, supplemental 1630:7 1634:7 1638:22.24 1640:23 1654:17 1655:1 1657:2 1661:28 supplementation 1672:3,8 1723:2 **support** 1681:4 1683:3 1706:22 1713:13 1715:5 1716:27 supported 1664:24 1691:9 1713:8,25 supporting 1683:3 **supports** 1678:23 suppose 1737:4,16 supposed 1699:20 **Sur-reply** 1630:5 1661:25

surface 1641:17 1642:1,19 1649:26 1655:20,23,24 1659:22, 26 1660:5,11,15 **surprise** 1685:18 swear 1627:11,23 1628:7 **swearing** 1627:28 swift 1704:17 swiftly 1708:7 swore 1706:10 sworn 1629:16 Т

Index: specifically..teleconference

table 1694:6,10 tactic 1666:11 1667:15 1673:25 tactics 1674:1 takes 1731:7 1734:25 taking 1676:8 1685:26 1687:1 1699:16 1730:21 1738:21 talk 1633:23.28 1656:4 1663:10 1664:10 1687:19 1689:28 1693:10 1732:14 1742:24

talked 1634:22 1650:28 1657:24 1686:28 1692:7 1737:13 1739:13

talking 1634:2 1636:14, 18 1637:19 1655:27 1662:7 1686:15 1687:2, 3 1693:20 1694:15,19 1726:6

talks 1649:1 target 1732:16 targeted 1727:5,9 team 1631:5 tee 1720:6 teleconference 1629:2 1705:26

telephone 1671:12 1709:27 1713:22 1716:21 1717:18 telephonic 1671:16 tentatively 1737:10 1735:17 1739:14

term 1633:24 1634:5, 11.15.16 1643:10.12 1658:1 1705:5

terminated 1713:19

terms 1632:15 1633:14, 21,22 1668:8 1672:26, 28 1690:26 1692:18 1717:14 1722:20 1723:2,7 1728:27 1732:10 1733:24 1737:28 1738:2

test 1684:18

testified 1629:16 1676:6 1678:27

testify 1628:16 1639:27 1741:18

testifying 1628:20

testimony 1628:11 1630:2,4,6,8,14 1633:7 1634:24 1635:1,11,16, 21 1638:23,25 1639:1,8 1640:5,11,21,23 1641:10 1643:4,18,28 1644:17 1654:18 1655:1 1657:3 1661:22, 24,26,28 1669:3,5 1670:24 1674:13,20 1676:5,11,18 1678:20 1680:7 1681:23,27 1682:21 1684:22 1691:8,9,11 1692:8 1695:20 1698:12 1726:22

Texas 1696:5 1697:14, 22 1741:18

textbook 1631:8

thing 1633:15 1677:1 1678:25 1679:19 1686:13,26 1687:11 1688:26 1692:15 1696:7 1719:23 1720:6 1737:9

things 1628:5 1677:7,9 1688:24 1689:16 1696:15 1699:28 1701:9 1705:20

1722:15,17 1729:14 1743:9

thinking 1697:8 thinks 1724:14

third-party 1668:25 1695:25 1723:19

thought 1636:3 1639:4 1644:4 1649:11 1653:13 1700:21,26 1730:20 1731:4

thoughtfully 1731:23

thoughts 1700:23 1736:5,6,15 1740:4

time 1644:5 1647:1.3 1661:13,14 1665:27 1666:27 1667:19 1668:6,23,28 1670:1 1671:3,28 1672:4 1673:5,20 1674:17,22, 27 1680:10,16,27 1681:5 1682:3,6 1685:25 1686:10 1688:13 1690:12,28 1693:4 1695:28 1696:28 1700:4 1705:7 1706:28 1709:20 1715:9 1716:26 1727:15,17 1731:7 1733:11 1734:1,5,6 1735:27 1737:16 1739:19,20 1740:5 1741:19,21,23 1742:20 1743:7,8,9,19

times 1644:19 1656:18 1668:20

titled 1646:27

today 1627:10,16 1632:28 1633:24 1653:26 1657:24 1658:1 1664:9,21 1665:3,9 1669:8 1690:18 1696:2 1698:20 1699:22 1702:26 1708:18.19 1709:11 1720:16 1722:10

told 1638:17 1653:26

1658:2 1684:26

tomorrow 1685:24 1692:25 1712:13 1723:15

top 1640:26 1641:13 1647:5

topic 1631:8 1691:26 1725:15

total 1690:23

touch 1635:24,28 1638:9

Traci 1700:12

tracking 1730:9 1733:9 1736:26

transcribing 1633:6 1702:15

transcript 1706:8

transcription 1710:20 1711:25 1712:6.28 1713:12 1718:7 1723:13 1729:5

transcripts 1683:9 1694:28 1708:4 1710:13,19 1729:26

traveled 1631:10

trial 1696:6 1697:13

Tuesday 1735:8

turn 1631:28 1634:23 1638:19,21 1639:17 1640:21 1644:6.14 1646:28 1654:17 1661:18 1693:4 1695:2

turned 1694:1

turning 1635:15 1644:12 1693:8

two-thirds 1652:11

type 1697:13 1702:26

typed 1657:7

unnecessary 1681:15

unopposed 1677:13

unquote 1711:12

unreasonably 1707:2 1704:24 1716:12 well-kill 1630:26 write 1649:12 1657:4, 1730:8 1639:21 1660:19 15 unsupported 1715:1 **VIRTUAL** 1627:1 wells 1631:7 1636:8,19 writing 1649:27 1654:6 upcoming 1679:11 1656:13,16 1703:6,7 1681:13 1711:18 visual 1629:3 1710:3 **updated** 1738:19 wholly 1704:25 written 1657:9 1666:23 volume 1656:16.27 **updates** 1665:25 1677:19 1681:3.5 wide 1631:11 1726:17,18,20 1682:1,4 1683:19 **upwards** 1703:21 voluminous 1726:25 **William** 1629:15,27 1685:13 1686:4,5 1630:2,5,6,8 1661:22, 1696:18 1723:25 urgency 1685:17,19 voluntary 1695:24 1686:25 1687:8,15,18 1727:13,26 1728:10,21 24,26,28 1729:1 1733:6,11 1690:8,13,25 witness' 1643:25 W wrong 1738:28 1646:4,6 urges 1705:6 witness's 1712:11 wrote 1643:23 1644:3 usual 1628:7 wait 1690:5 1708:3 1653:5 1654:1 utilities 1696:18 witnesses 1665:7,26 waited 1708:1 1707:26 1668:8,15,24,25,27 waiting 1627:19 Υ 1672:25 1674:18,19 utility 1704:12,28 1668:12 1707:24 1675:1 1677:4 1679:12 1681:24,25,28 1683:26 utility's 1703:27 year 1671:19 1683:23 walk 1638:18 1689:3,6,10 1692:1 1707:15 1707:24 **Walzel** 1653:11 1694:8,13 1695:10,16, 1695:22 1698:27 years 1636:6 1680:12 27 1698:4,12 1701:17 V 1742:6 1705:21 1711:28 yesterday 1681:21 1712:5,8,26 1723:19 wanted 1657:19,20 vague 1648:17 1726:15,21 1734:5,25, 1694:23 1697:10,16 Ζ 26 1735:11,12,14 valves 1637:27 1716:9 1719:13 1736:2 1722:18 1734:7 variety 1631:11 Zarchy 1651:19 witnesses' 1669:3 wanting 1698:11 verbal 1657:7 wondered 1730:23 warrant 1671:22 verify 1647:21 1672:1 1714:9 wondering 1732:26 Verizon 1743:12 warrants 1685:5 word 1694:25 Version 1663:17 watching 1707:27 words 1637:9 1657:18, vested 1678:22 20 ways 1686:18 1687:23 video 1631:15,19,23 wordsmithing 1703:9 Webex 1629:2 1632:27 1710:9 1705:25 1740:14 work 1635:24 1638:5 1743:13 view 1684:27 1709:7,21 1641:20 1658:23 1712:17 1713:23 1667:20 1681:14 website 1703:25 1717:25,28 1718:2 1691:1 1696:19 week 1638:11 1665:1 1737:11 1738:4 1740:2 violate 1708:9 1717:17 1668:10 1674:10 worked 1636:9 1649:2 violation 1629:5 1678:13,16 1710:23,24 1699:5 1714:5 1735:7,8 1701:26 1702:21 1704:25 1706:2 1707:9 working 1695:14 weeks 1673:11 1716:13.15.17 1717:16 1705:14 1674:26 1687:3 1691:5 1718:1 1738:9 1743:16 workover 1637:21,25 violations 1665:13 weigh 1730:26 1732:6 world 1631:10 1674:14 1675:21,27 1676:8,16,18 1678:24 weighing 1678:17 worth 1689:15 1680:9 1681:9 1686:28 well-control 1636:25 wrap 1718:15 1743:6 1687:1 1690:16 1691:6 1637:24

Index: unreasonably..Zarchy