Company: Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G)

Proceeding: 2024 General Rate Case Application: A.22-05-015/-016 (cons.)

Exhibit: SCG-205<u>-E</u>

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF WALLACE RAWLS (GAS SYSTEM STAFF & TECHNOLOGY)

ERRATArrata

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



May June 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SUMI	IMARY OF DIFFERENCES1			
	INTRODUCTION				
		Cal Advocates			
	B.	TURN	3		
III.	REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' SHARED O&M PROPOSALS				
	A.	Shared Services O&M	3		
		1. Incremental Vehicles	3		
IV.	CONG	CLUSION	6		

I
2
3
4
5

I. SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES

7

6

Table WR-1

ERRATA REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF WALLACE RAWLS (GAS SYSTEM STAFF & TECHNOLOGY)

TOTAL O&M - Constant 2021 (\$000)							
	Base Year 2021	Test Year 2024	Change				
SOCALGAS	15,657	23,616	7,959				
CAL							
ADVOCATES ¹	15,657	23,616	7,959				
TURN ²	15,657	23,616	7,959				

8 9

10

II. INTRODUCTION

1213

11

14

1516

17 18 This rebuttal testimony regarding Southern California Gas Company's (SoCalGas or the Company) request for Gas System Staff & Technology addresses the following testimony from other parties:

- The Public Advocates Office of the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates) as submitted by Maricela Sierra (Exhibit (Ex.) CA-02), dated March 27, 2023.
- The Utility Reform Network (TURN), as submitted by Garrick Jones (Ex. TURN-10).

March 27, 2023, Prepared Direct Testimony of Maricela Sierra addressing SCG Gas Operations (Part 1), on behalf of Cal Advocates. Cal Advocates accepts the Gas System Staff & Technology expense request in its entirety for TY2024. Therefore, the table above reflects Gas System Staff & Technology's forecast.

² March 27, 2023, revised May 8, 2023, Prepared Direct Testimony of Garrick Jones addressing SDG&E & SoCalGas Fleet Services and Compensation & Benefits. TURN opposes SoCalGas Gas System Staff & Technology's request for incremental vehicles; however, the funding for this request is sponsored in the testimony of Michael Franco regarding Fleet Services. Therefore, the table above reflects Gas System Staff & Technology's forecast.

As a preliminary matter, the absence of a response to any particular issue in this rebuttal testimony does not imply or constitute agreement by SoCalGas with the proposal or contention made by these or other parties. The forecasts contained in SoCalGas's direct testimony, performed at the project level, are based on sound estimates of its revenue requirements at the time of testimony preparation.

Cal Advocates "accepts the Gas System Staff & Technology expense request in its entirety for [Test Year] TY2024." This testimony addresses TURN's opposition to SoCalGas's request for incremental vehicles. Although my direct testimony supported the justification for those incremental vehicles, the associated costs are sponsored in SoCalGas's Fleet Testimony. TURN did not otherwise contest SoCalGas's Gas System Staff & Technology forecast. TURN argues that SoCalGas is double collecting costs for rental and purchased vehicles, TURN is incorrect, as described in more detail below. SoCalGas has found rental vehicles to be a necessary tool in preventing work stoppage for field employees as global supply chain shortages have caused unprecedented delays in purchased vehicle deliveries. Therefore, the Commission should reject TURN's arguments regarding SoCalGas's Gas System Staff & Technology's request for vehicles.

A. Cal Advocates

The following is a summary of Cal Advocates' position on SoCalGas's Gas System Staff & Technology's Operations and Maintenance (O&M) request:⁶

 Cal Advocates accepts the Gas System Staff & Technology expense request in its entirety for TY 2024.⁷

³ Ex. CA-02 (Sierra) at 3.

Ex.SCG-18-R (Franco).

⁵ Ex. TURN-10 (Jones) at 13-14.

⁶ Ex. CA-02 (Sierra) at 3.

⁷ *Id*.

B. TURN

The following is a summary of TURN's position on SoCalGas's Gas System Staff & Technology's request for vehicles:⁸

 States that SoCalGas should have adjusted its forecast to avoid double collecting for vehicle services.

III. REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' SHARED O&M PROPOSALS

A. Shared Services O&M

Table WR-1

SHARED O&M - Constant 2021 (\$000) **Base Year Test Year** Change 2021 2024 5,600 9,858 4,258 **SOCALGAS** CAL ADVOCATES9 5,600 9,858 4,258 TURN¹⁰ 5,600 9,858 4,258

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1. Incremental Vehicles

a. TURN

TURN takes issue with the TY 2024 O&M forecast for vehicles for Gas System Staff & Technology (Ex. SCG-05 (Rawls)). Specifically, TURN claims states:

TURN understands that some business units are including short-term vehicle rental costs in non-labor cost adjustments in the GRC forecast simultaneously with an incremental Fleet Services Lease and License Cost increase to address the same activity. The testimony for the Gas System Staff & Technology (Ex. SCG-

⁸ Ex. TURN-10 (Jones) at 13-14.

Cal Advocates accepts Gas System Staff & Technologies' Shared and Non-Shared O&M funding requests in its entirety (see Ex. CA-02 (Sierra) at 3); therefore, the table reflects Gas System Staff & Technologies' Shared O&M funding request.

TURN opposes SoCalGas Gas System Staff & Technology's request for incremental vehicles; however, the funding for this request is sponsored in the testimony of Michael Franco regarding Fleet Services (Ex. SCG-18-R). Therefore, the table above reflects Gas System Staff & Technology's Shared O&M forecast. (See Ex. TURN-10 (Jones)).

05) has several references to the need for short-term rentals while they wait for the delivery of Fleet vehicles. The cost of a short-term rental is included, for example, in the \$140,000 Non Labor forecast increment for 10 Operator Qualifications evaluators, which indicates that it will need incremental vehicles to support incremental evaluator positions. However, SCG is also including the Lease and License cost for the acquisition of 12 3/4 Ton Pickups and 2 Electric Carts at an annual cost of \$91,493 for Operator Qualification evaluators. This constitutes a ratemaking error, given that SCG is asking for the cost of vehicles in two separate places – once in the business-unit forecast (i.e., \$140,000 for shortterm rentals) and once in the Fleet Services forecast (i.e., approximately \$91,493 for Lease and License costs of long-term leases). Moreover, and SCG states the following regarding Additional Vehicles in response to a Cal Advocates data request: "Although ... [Additional Vehicles] are increasing the fleet count, they are replacing the rental vehicles that were sourced in support of the employees that were approved during the 2019 GRC." TURN cannot find any evidence that SCG has reduced the forecasts requiring additional vehicles for workpapers that rely on historic-year averaging or the Base Year. To the extent that such accounts have rental vehicles baked into the historical period, SCG should have made adjustments to these accounts to avoid double collecting for vehicle services. 11

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

18

SoCalGas disagrees with TURN's allegation that it is seeking to double collect for vehicle services or that it has committed any ratemaking errors. First, TURN's statement that "SCG is also including the Lease and License cost for the acquisition of 12 ¾ Ton Pickups and two Electric Carts at an annual cost of \$[105,098.30] 91,493 for Operator Qualification evaluators" incorrectly assumes that all vehicles forecasted for Gas System Staff & Technology are for Operator Qualification. However, the two electric carts and two of the 3/4-ton Pickup Trucks were forecasted for the Training department in SoCalGas's workpaper, WP# 2SI001, Gas Operations Training & Development and not for Operator Qualification. Thus, the \$140,000 associated with short-term vehicle rentals supports preventing work stoppage, as discussed below, for both the Operator Qualification and the Training departments.

3031

Second, TURN appears to assume that the \$140,000 associated with short-term vehicle

Ex. TURN-10 (Jones) at 13-14. In the above excerpt, TURN refers to \$91,493. This number has been corrected to an annual cost of \$105,098.30 in Ex. SCG-18-WP-R-E Michael S Franco_FLEET_REDACTED at 137 (See column "New Unit #", rows 1 –14).

¹² *Id.* at 13.

¹³ *Id.* at 13.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 13 and n.33.

rentals and the \$91,493 for Lease and License of long-term vehicles are both for new Ful-Time Equivalent (FTEs).

This is incorrect, as the \$91,493 is for vehicles for existing FTEs. TURN points to SoCalGas's response to one of its data requests in support of its argument that SoCalGas is double counting. TURN, however, incorrectly assumes that the additional vehicles mentioned in response to that data request are the same as the vehicles described in Ex. SCG-05 (Rawls) and Ex. SCG-05 WP-R. However, the vehicles described in response to

TURN's data request are for incremental field employees that were approved in the 2019 GRC but where the accompanying vehicles were not. 16. As such, SoCalGas has had to utilize rental vehicles to prevent a work stoppage for the field employees hired. This has created inefficiencies, as rental vehicles are not outfitted for utility work and rented vehicles cost more than purchased vehicles over time. Over the last three years, SoCalGas has spent \$6.26 million on vehicle rentalsleases. Please see Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Franco regarding Fleet Services (Ex. SCG-218) for more information about vehicle rental costs.

SoCalGas is not seeking to "double collect" for incremental vehicles. Rather SoCalGas is requesting incremental vehicles (both rental and longer-term vehicles) for both existing FTEs and new FTEs. My testimony seeks recovery of short-term rental vehicle costs and Mr. Franco's testimony seeks recovery of long-term leases. As stated in SoCalGas's Fleet Services direct testimony, "SoCalGas has experienced the effects of global supply chain disruptions that continue to impact vehicle deliveries." Rental vehicles have become a necessary tool to prevent work stoppage for recently hired field employees, including Operator Qualification evaluators, while waiting for vehicle purchases delayed by supply chain disruptions. As provided for in SoCalGas's Fleet Services direct testimony:

The planning, design, acquisition, inspection, and in-service of each new vehicle can take months to years, primarily driven by vehicle complexity, with smaller, less complex vehicles having shorter lead times and heavier, more complex vehicles having longer lead times.¹⁸

¹⁵—*Id.* at 14.

¹⁶ D.19-09-051 at 398.

¹⁷ Ex. SCG-18-R (Franco) at MF-22.

¹⁸ *Id.* at MF-28.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, vehicles were typically acquired with a six month to one year lead time. Now, due to the extensive global supply chain delays caused by the pandemic, the requested trucks are requiring a year to one-and-a-half-year lead time for delivery. Therefore, incurring rental vehicle costs is necessary until vehicle delivery can take place, at which point ownership costs will begin to be incurred and rental vehicle costs will no longer be incurred.

IV. CONCLUSION

SoCalGas's Gas System Staff & Technology's direct testimony, workpapers, and responses to data requests provide substantial justification for the Commission to authorize its O&M request in full as presented in Ex. SCG-05 (Rawls). As described in this rebuttal testimony, TURN's recommendations regarding the requested incremental vehicles are based on an incomplete understanding of SoCalGas's testimony and data presented in response to TURN's data request. For the reasons discussed above, Gas System Staff & Technology's O&M request should be fully approved.

APPENDIX A GLOSSARY OF TERMS

APPENDIX A

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ACRONYM	DEFINITION
Cal Advocates	The Public Advocates Office of the California Public Utilities
	Commission
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent
O&M	Operations & Maintenance
PAO	California Public Advocates Office
SDG&E	San Diego Gas & Electric Company
SoCalGas	Southern California Gas Company
TURN	The Utility Reform Network
TY	Test Year