Company: Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G)

Proceeding: 2024 General Rate Case

Application: A.22-05-015 Exhibit: SCG-40-2R-E

SECOND REVISED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KHAI NGUYEN

(POST-TEST YEAR RATEMAKING)

ERRATA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



November 2022May 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRO	ODUCTION	1
II.	PROP	OSED GRC TERM	2
III.	POST-	-TEST YEAR RATEMAKING MECHANISM	2
	A.	Background	2
	B.	Proposed Post-Test Year O&M Margin Escalation	4
		1. Escalatable O&M Margin	4
		2. O&M Margin Escalation Factors	4
		3. Medical Cost Escalation	6
	C.	Base Business Capital Additions	6
IV.	POST-	-TEST YEAR CAPITAL EXCEPTIONS	8
V.	Z-FAC	CTOR MECHANISM	11
VI.	REGU	JLATORY FILINGS	11
VII.	CONC	CLUSION	12
VIII.	WITN	ESS QUALIFICATIONS	13
APPE	NDICE	S	
		- Glossary of Terms Ki - Post Test Year Escalation Examples Ki	

SoCalGas 2024 GRC Testimony Revision Log November 2022

SUMMARY

My testimony sponsors Southern California Gas Company's (SoCalGas or the Company) request to authorize a post-test year (PTY) ratemaking mechanism, which would result in revenue requirement increases of:

(\$ in millions)	2025		20:	26	2027		
Revenue Requirement Increase	6. <u>66</u> 71%	\$29 <u>3</u> 5	5. <u>44</u> 56 %	\$2 <u>55</u> 61	7. <u>55</u> 66%	\$3 <u>74</u> 79	

- SoCalGas's proposed ratemaking mechanism adjusts authorized revenue requirements for:
 - Labor and non-labor costs based on IHS Markit Global Insight's Power Planner (Global Insight) forecast,
 - o Medical costs based on Willis Towers Watson's forecast, and
 - Capital-related revenue requirement using:
 - Five-year average of capital additions escalated based on Global Insight's forecast,
 - A forecast for the Honor Rancho Compressor Modernization project,
 - A forecast for the Customer Information System (CIS) project, and
 - Forecasts for various Gas Integrity Management Programs (Distribution Integrity Management Program or DIMP, Transmission Integrity Management Program or TIMP, Storage Integrity Management Program or SIMP, Facilities Integrity Management Program or FIMP, and Gas Safety Enhancement Programs or GSEP).

I also propose

- A four-year term (2024-2027) for this test year (TY) 2024 General Rate Case (GRC) cycle, consistent with Decision (D.) 20-01-002, with SoCalGas's next test year in 2028;
- Continuation of the currently authorized Z-factor mechanism; and
- O Continued use of annual PTY advice letter regulatory filings to update the authorized revenue requirements.

ERRATA SECOND REVISED PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KHAI NGUYEN (POST-TEST YEAR RATEMAKING)

I. INTRODUCTION

My testimony supports SoCalGas's PTY ratemaking mechanism proposal to provide an appropriate level of authorized revenues in 2025, 2026, and 2027 (the PTY period). The mechanism proposed herein would provide SoCalGas with sufficient revenues to support the principles and policies described throughout this case and summarized in the prepared direct testimony of SoCalGas witness Maryam Brown (Exhibit SCG-01).

SoCalGas proposes a PTY ratemaking mechanism to adjust its authorized revenue requirement in the post-test years by applying separate attrition adjustments for operating and maintenance (O&M) expenses (including a separate attrition adjustment for medical expenses¹), capital-related costs and exogenous cost changes (*see* Section III.E). This mechanism is designed to provide SoCalGas with sufficient revenues during the PTY period to continue providing safe and reliable service to its customers, while providing shareholders a reasonable opportunity to earn the rate of return (ROR) previously authorized by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission).

SoCalGas proposes:

- (1) A four-year term (2024-2027) for this GRC cycle, consistent with D.20-01-002;
- (2) Using Global Insight's utility cost escalation factors to determine PTY O&M escalation (excluding medical expenses²);
- (3) Adopting Willis Towers Watson's actuarial forecasts and escalations to determine PTY medical expenses;
- (4) Calculating PTY capital-related revenue requirements using:
 - A five-year average capital additions escalated using Global Insight's utility cost escalation factors;
 - A forecast for the Honor Rancho Compressor Modernization capital additions;
 - A forecast for the Customer Information System (CIS) Replacement capital additions;

Escalation is proposed to be applied to net medical expenses (i.e., after reassignments to capital).

Franchise fees and uncollectible expense are also excluded from O&M escalation.

- Forecasts for the Gas Integrity Management Program capital additions (TIMP, DIMP, SIMP, FIMP, GSEP);
- Continuing the currently authorized Z-Factor mechanism; and
- Continuing the use of annual PTY advice letter regulatory filings to update the authorized revenue requirements.

This proposal is designed to align PTY revenue requirements: (a) to account for unique cost escalation issues, such as expected higher growth medical costs,³ and (b) to account for SoCalGas's capital investments that mitigate risk and improve safety and reliability of the utility infrastructure. This proposal does not cover all anticipated expenses and capital-related investments that are expected to be incurred during the post test year period; however, the PTY ratemaking mechanism is designed to provide the level of funding necessary to support important safety, reliability, and technology projects, while promoting productivity and efficiencies during the next GRC cycle.

Using the proposed PTY mechanism for 2025, 2026, and 2027, SoCalGas's proposal would result in the following attrition year revenue requirement increases:

TABLE KN-1 Summary of Revenue Requirement Request

(\$ in millions)	2025		202	26	2027		
Revenue Requirement Increase	6. <u>66</u> 71%	\$2 <u>93</u> 95	5. <u>44</u> 56%	\$2 <u>55</u> 61	7. <u>55</u> 66%	\$3 <u>74</u> 79	

II. PROPOSED GRC TERM

D.20-01-002 adopted revisions to the Rate Case Plan (RCP), including moving the investor-owned utilities, such as SoCalGas, to a four-year GRC cycle schedule (test year and three attrition years). According to the schedule adopted in D.20-01-002, SoCalGas's next test year would be 2028. SoCalGas proposes a four-year term of 2024-2027 for this GRC, with its next GRC cycle beginning with TY 2028. Accordingly, I propose no changes to the term and schedule adopted in D.20-01-002.

III. POST-TEST YEAR RATEMAKING MECHANISM

A. Background

SoCalGas is proposing a four-year TY 2024 GRC cycle with attrition adjustments in the second, third and fourth years (2025, 2026, and 2027). The traditional GRC framework provides

Escalation is proposed to be applied to net medical expenses (*i.e.*, after reassignments to capital).

for an annual attrition mechanism for interim adjustments to the test-year revenue requirements in the post-test years. Attrition mechanisms should provide reasonable and sufficient funding for operating expenses and capital investments. The proposed attrition increases in 2025, 2026, and 2027 account for expected increases in costs due to inflation and increased capital investments (capital additions). Without an explicit attrition adjustment, SoCalGas would not have an opportunity to cover its reasonable costs and expenses and earn its authorized ROR after TY 2024.

In the TY 2019 GRC application, SoCalGas proposed a PTY ratemaking mechanism that would adjust its authorized revenue requirements by applying separate attrition rates to O&M and capital-related revenue requirements, consistent with the current proposal. In adopting this two-part attrition mechanism as reasonable, the Commission noted:

Since O&M expenses and capital expenditures affect the revenue requirement differently, we find that a two-part attrition mechanism, where O&M expenses and capital-related revenues are separately escalated, is reasonable. Therefore, we find it reasonable to apply different PTY mechanisms for O&M and for capital additions.⁴

SoCalGas expects to make significant annual capital investments in the TY 2024 GRC. As described in the prepared direct testimony of SoCalGas witness Deana Ng (Exhibit SCG-03, Chapter 1), SoCalGas's capital program will continue to focus on investments necessary to build and maintain safe and reliable infrastructure and to mitigate safety risks identified in its 2021 RAMP Report.⁵ This commitment is emphasized throughout the testimony of all SoCalGas witnesses sponsoring TY 2024 cost forecasts and aligns with SoCalGas's mission to maintain and enhance its safety-focused culture. In line with investing in safety and reliability, SoCalGas presents in this GRC its sustainability and resiliency objectives discussed in the testimony of SoCalGas witnesses Jonathan Peress and Michelle Sim (Exhibit SCG-02, Chapters 1 and 2). Consequently, the level of estimated capital expenditures leading up to and including TY 2024 are part of an ongoing investment effort, which will continue beyond the test year period. Therefore, the PTY attrition mechanism should reflect the anticipated growth in capital additions in excess of depreciation in the PTY period.

⁴ D.19-09-051 at 707.

⁵ Available at https://www.socalgas.com/regulatory/2021-ramp-report.

4 5

B. Proposed Post-Test Year O&M Margin Escalation

SoCalGas's proposed PTY ratemaking mechanism comprises two adjustment components:

- O&M margin and medical expense escalation (excluding franchise fees and uncollectible expense); and
- Growth in capital-related margin.

Appendix B provides calculations of the 2025, 2026, and 2027 revenue requirements using the current forecasted 2025, 2026, and 2027 O&M and capital cost escalation factors.

As part of this framework, SoCalGas proposes to absorb increased operating costs from customer growth through productivity improvements.

1. Escalatable O&M Margin

Revenue requirement is a term used for the calculation of total O&M and capital-related costs necessary to support SoCalGas's rate base to be collected from utility ratepayers. The revenue requirement is collected in two components: miscellaneous revenues and base margin. This section describes how SoCalGas's PTY mechanism escalates the TY 2024 base margin component of revenue requirement, which is described in the Summary of Earnings testimony of Ryan Hom, Ex. SCG-39. The O&M portion of base margin includes the direct and indirect O&M expenses. The base to which SoCalGas's proposed O&M escalation factor will be applied is called "escalatable O&M margin." SoCalGas proposes to escalate total O&M margin, excluding medical expenses, franchise fees and uncollectible expense. SoCalGas also proposes to separately escalate medical expenses (*see* Section III.B.3). Escalation on miscellaneous revenues will not be requested because miscellaneous revenues are proposed to be fixed amounts for the post-test year period, and franchise fees and uncollectible expense items are not subject to escalation because they are proposed to be applied as fixed rates for the post-test year period.

2. **O&M Margin Escalation Factors**

SoCalGas is proposing a PTY ratemaking mechanism that escalates O&M costs using Global Insight's utility-specific forecast, as described in the Cost Escalation testimony of Scott Wilder (Ex. SCG-36). Mr. Wilder's testimony describes using the Global Insight forecast to develop separate weighted average labor and non-labor O&M escalation factors, then creating a single weighted average labor and non-labor O&M escalation factor based on 2021 recorded expenses. For PTY attrition calculation purposes (*see* Appendix B), SoCalGas has used the

1

3 4

Global Insight 4th Quarter 2021 forecast (released in January 2022), which resulted in a single weighted average O&M escalation factors. The associated revenue requirements are:

TABLE KN-2 O&M Margin Escalation Summary

(\$ in millions)	2025		20	26	2027	
O&M Margin Escalation	2.14%	\$38	2.36%	\$42	2.45%	\$45

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12

13 14 15

20 21

22 23

24

The Commission has adopted Global Insight as the preferred index to use in escalating attrition year revenue requirement in multiple recent GRC decisions, including in SDG&E's and SoCalGas's TY 2019 GRC cycle, because it more accurately reflects how utilities incur costs.⁶ In assessing the reasonableness of SDG&E's and SoCalGas's PTY ratemaking mechanism for the years 2022 and 2023 (in the petition for modification of D.19-09-051, filed in April 2021, in compliance with D.20-01-002), the Commission concluded:

The Commission has considered the reasonableness of using the IHS Markit Global Insight Index instead of other indices, such as CPI [Consumer Price Index] in this GRC cycle. In D.19-09-051, the Commission found that applying a percentage increase based on the CPI does not reflect how utilities incur costs because it is a broad wholesale pricing index which reflects price increases for goods and services in general and does not sufficiently capture the O&M escalation inputs of SDG&E and SoCalGas. These facts have not changed since adopting the decision, and we have no new findings on escalation factors that would justify replacing the IHS Global Insight Index.⁷

Most recently, in Southern California Edison Company's (SCE) TY 2021 GRC, the Commission made similar findings:

With respect to O&M expenses, consistent with our determination in nearly every SCE GRC since 2003, we approve use of the utility-specific indices proposed by SCE because they more accurately reflect how utilities incur costs. Both Cal Advocates and TURN offer proposals which are based on CPI-U or CPI-U plus a premium. As we have previously explained, the CPI reflects consumer retail price changes and does not reflect how utilities incur costs.8

D.19-09-051 at 708 ("We find that Global Insight escalation rates are specific to the utility industry and more accurately reflects SDG&E's and SoCalGas' inflationary cost increases.").

D.21-05-003 at 15.

D.21-08-036 at 547 (citations omitted).

SoCalGas's proposed use of Global Insight indices to escalate costs in its PTY mechanism in the TY 2024 GRC cycle is reasonable and consistent with PTY attrition mechanisms authorized in several recent Commission decisions.

The Commission's GRC Rate Case Plan, D.07-07-004, requires SoCalGas to update its cost escalation forecasts, as part of its Update Testimony. SoCalGas plans to use the latest Global Insight forecast to determine the TY 2024 labor and non-labor O&M escalation indexes in its Update Testimony, continuing into the PTY period.

3. Medical Cost Escalation

The second component of the O&M PTY ratemaking mechanism is an adjustment to medical costs. Medical costs have grown at a higher rate than the broad-based inflation in the general economy. Because SoCalGas's medical costs are expected to increase above general utility cost inflation, medical costs are escalated separately based on actuarial forecasts, as described in the testimony of Debbie Robinson (Exhibit SCG-25/SDG&E-29). The actuarial forecast by Willis Towers Watson, which is based on preliminary 2021 renewal rates, is more reflective of the cost trends in Southern California. SoCalGas notes that this forecasted rate is similar to the post-test year medical expense escalation rate mechanism that was adopted in SCE's TY 2018 and TY 2021 GRCs.⁹

The proposed medical expense escalation based on Willis Towers Watson's actuarial forecast and the associated revenue are presented below in Table KN-3:

TABLE KN-3
O&M Medical Component Summary

(\$ in millions)	2025		20	26	2027	
Medical Cost Escalation	6.00%	\$6	5.50%	\$6	5.00%	\$5

C. Base Business Capital Additions

For the capital component of the proposed PTY ratemaking mechanism, SoCalGas proposes to adjust capital-related revenue requirements to reflect the cost of plant additions. The capital-related portion of the revenue requirement consists of authorized returns on rate base,

⁹ D.19-050-20 at 418 (Conclusion of Law [COL] 114) and D.21-08-036 at 668 (COL 184).

depreciation expense, and taxes.¹⁰ Growth in capital-related costs (depreciation, taxes and authorized return) are primarily determined by the relationship between capital additions and depreciation. Capital additions in excess of depreciation will drive rate base growth and therefore the growth in capital-related costs. SoCalGas proposes that during the post-test years its rate base and associated revenue requirements be adjusted to reflect the impact of forecasted capital additions. SoCalGas is not proposing to adjust the rate base elements of materials and supplies, customer advances or working cash. SoCalGas expects to continue to make significant annual capital investments during the TY 2024 GRC term; therefore, SoCalGas proposes that the TY 2024 GRC PTY capital-related margin adjustment mechanism should also reflect the anticipated growth in capital additions in excess of depreciation in the PTY period.

SoCalGas proposes to use the five-year average level of capital additions (2020-2021 recorded and 2022-2024 forecast, as presented in this TY 2024 GRC) as a proxy for the annual PTY 2025, 2026, and 2027 actual level of capital additions. This five-year methodology is similar to the PTY mechanism approved for SDG&E's and SoCalGas's TY 2019 GRC cycle, as well as in the TY 2014 and TY 2017 PG&E GRC proceedings, which relied on a seven-year average of capital additions to derive attrition adjustments for PG&E's capital revenue requirement. In this application, SoCalGas is requesting to use a five-year average of capital additions instead of a seven-year average because doing so better captures the current utility business environment. SoCalGas's capital program is continuing to evolve, with a primary focus on increasing investment in utility safety, reliability, and sustainability, by supporting California's energy and environmental initiatives. The five-year average includes recorded and forecasted capital additions, which incorporates the company's recent historical capital trend but is also forward looking – focusing on the critical improvements within SoCalGas's service territory that are aimed at mitigating safety risk and providing clean and reliable energy. A five-

We call the Depreciation, Tax, and Return associated with Capital "capital-related costs" and distinguish that from the actual or forecasted spend of capital itself. When capital dollars are spent and become rate base, they are recovered through revenue requirement as capital-related costs.

D.14-08-032 at 656 and 659-660; see also A.15-09-001, Ex. ORA-21, Report of the Results of Operations for Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Test Year 2017 General Rate Case at 3 ("To estimate post-test year capital additions, ORA recommends that the Commission adopt the same methodology from PG&E's 2014 GRC. Thus, for this GRC, post-test year capital additions would be based on using an escalated 7-year (2011-2017) average level of capital additions as a proxy for the post- test year (2018, 2019, and 2020) levels of capital additions.").

1

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27 year average also provides adequate time to normalize year-to-year variability in utility spending and eliminates the administrative burden of conducting line-by-line reviews of forecasted capital spending.

To describe the calculation methodology related to capital, SoCalGas's 2020-2024 capital additions are escalated to the appropriate PTY dollars and then averaged. Capital escalation is based on Global Insight gas plant indices, as described in Mr. Wilder's Cost Escalation testimony (Exhibit SCG-36). For example, the 2020-2024 capital additions would be escalated into 2024 dollars and then averaged. This process escalates the five-year average of capital additions to 2024 dollars. To determine the capital additions for 2025, 2026, and 2027, the fiveyear average capital addition amount is escalated to the appropriate PTY dollars using the abovementioned Global Insight indices. A weighting factor is applied to the plant additions to determine the weighted average plant additions to be included in rate base for the PTY period. Incremental net depreciation, amortization and deferred taxes are also calculated using the TY ratios, in order to determine the weighted average rate base for each PTY. SoCalGas developed its PTY calculation of the capital-related revenue requirements using a consistent methodology (authorized return, depreciation and taxes) and consistent escalation factors, used throughout this GRC request.

SoCalGas's proposed incremental capital-related revenue requirements derived through the methodology described above are summarized in Table KN-4:

TABLE KN-4 Capital-Related Component Summary

(\$ in millions)	2025		2026		2027	
Capital-Related Revenue Requirements Increase ¹²	7. <u>60</u> 70 %	\$1 <u>78</u> 81	4. <u>13</u> 36 %	\$1 <u>04</u> 10	4. <u>04</u> 25 %	\$1 <u>06</u> 12

IV. POST-TEST YEAR CAPITAL EXCEPTIONS

SoCalGas proposes that the capital-related costs for projects not fully reflected in the TY 2024 revenue requirement be included as part of the PTY attrition, so that SoCalGas is authorized adequate revenue to execute such projects and initiatives that are largely needed for safety and reliability. The adjustment is necessary because the majority of the capital

Annual revenue requirement adjustments include the rate base impacts of the subject attrition year estimated capital additions plus the residual weighting of the prior year estimated capital additions.

exp
 and
 TY
 pos

7

5

6

9 10 expenditures related to these projects are expected to close to plant in service in 2025, 2026, and 2027, and therefore the associated capital-related costs will not be fully reflected in the TY 2024 revenue requirement. SoCalGas is not proposing exceptions from the proposed post-test year mechanism for O&M.

The detail for the following projects and the associated costs are discussed primarily in the prepared direct testimony and workpapers, as noted in Table KN-5 below. Table KN-6 provides the capital-related revenue requirement for each of capital projects listed in Table KN-5.

TABLE KN-5
Project Sponsors of Capital Post-Test Year Exceptions

Project	Witness	Exhibit
Gas Integrity Management Programs (DIMP, TIMP, SIMP, FIMP, and GSEP)	Amy Kitson and Travis Sera	SCG-09
CIS Replacement Program	Evan Goldman	SCG-13
Honor Rancho Compressor Modernization (HRCM)	Larry T. Bittleston and Steve Hruby	SCG-10

11 12 13

TABLE KN-6
Capital Post-Test Year Exceptions Revenue Requirement Summary

(\$ in millions)	2025	2026	2027
DIMP	\$46.7	\$85.3	\$124.9
TIMP	\$21.4	\$44.8	\$66.8
SIMP	\$2.9	\$6.8	10.7
FIMP	\$0.3	\$0.6	\$0.9
GSEP	\$16.3	\$39.1	\$66.1
CIS Replacement Program	-	\$11.5	\$40.9
Honor Rancho Compressor Modernization (HRCM)	-	-	\$92.4
Total	\$87.6	\$188.1	\$402.7

14

In SoCalGas's TY 2019 GRC final decision (D.19-09-051), the Commission authorized a separate PTY revenue requirement (capital exception) for the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (PSEP), based on capital additions forecasted beyond TY 2019:

We also find SoCalGas' proposal that PSEP capital-related costs not fully reflected in the TY2019 revenue requirement be included as part of the PTYs reasonable and we approve it. This is because PSEP is being incorporated into the GRC for the first time and timing and completion of the proposed projects should not be delayed. We find the adjustment necessary in order to fully reflect the capital costs we are authorizing but will not be fully reflected in the TY.¹³

Similarly, in SCE's 2012 GRC final decision (D.12-11-051), the Commission found reasonable and adopted a PTY adjustment for costs not fully reflected in the test year:

It is reasonable to adopt a separate 2013 forecast for CSBU O&M to reflect integration of SmartConnect deployment costs into general rates because the PTYR would not adequately adjust for the unique set of costs transferred.¹⁴

Furthermore, the Commission added:

A PTYR is reasonable and adopted which includes the following: Separately adopted 2013 CSBU O&M and capital expenditures escalated in 2014.¹⁵

SoCalGas's proposed incremental total capital-related revenue requirements are summarized in Table KN-7:

TABLE KN-7
Total Incremental Capital-Related Revenue Requirement Summary

(\$ in millions)	2025	2026	2027
Capital-Related Revenue	\$178 81	\$104 10	\$106 12
Requirements Increase	Φ1 <u>/0</u> 01	\$1 <u>04</u> 10	\$1 <u>0012</u>
Post-Test Year Capital Exceptions	\$67	\$99	\$212
Revenue Requirements Increase 16	\$ 07	\$33	\$212
Total Capital-Related Revenue	\$245 <mark>8</mark>	\$204 9	\$319 <mark>24</mark>
Requirements Increase	φ24 <u>3</u> 0	\$20 49	φ3 <u>1924</u>

¹³ D.19-09-051 at 215-216.

¹⁴ D.12-11-051 at 847, COL 254.

¹⁵ D.12-11-051 at 876, COL 524.

Potential upstream changes may require the updating of incremental IMPs at the next earliest opportunity.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The capital projects identified in Table KN-5 are either going into service in the post-test years or are proposed to be balanced in balancing accounts for which revenue requirement for each post-test year is needed for tracking of costs, as discussed in the Regulatory Accounts testimony of Rae Marie Yu (Exhibit SCG-38). Accordingly, the PTY revenue requirement for this limited set of capital projects is reasonable and enables visibility of authorized revenues for each project. The incremental capital exception adjustments identified in Table KN-6 above are reasonable and should be approved as part of SoCalGas's post-test year revenue requirements.

Z-FACTOR MECHANISM

SoCalGas proposes to continue the currently authorized Z-factor mechanism, unchanged for this 2024-2027 GRC term. 17 The Z-factor mechanism uses a series of eight criteria outlined in D.94-06-011 and related decisions to identify exogenous cost changes that qualify for rate adjustments prior to the next GRC test year. 18 If all eight criteria are met, the Z-factor mechanism allows for rate adjustments for only the portion of the Z-factor costs not already contained in SoCalGas's annual revenue requirement and only for costs that exceed a \$5 million deductible per event.

In the case of a potential Z-factor event, SoCalGas will notify the Commission's Executive Director of the event by letter, providing all relevant and available information about the event, and will activate the Z-factor Memorandum Account for potential entries. Following this notification, SoCalGas would have the option to file an application for a revenue requirement supplement if the Z-factor event exceeds the \$5 million per event deductible.

VI. **REGULATORY FILINGS**

Currently, SoCalGas updates PTY revenue requirements through an annual advice letter filing. SoCalGas proposes to continue the process authorized in D.19-09-051 to file an annual Tier 1 advice letter on or before November 1 (beginning November 1, 2024) to adjust the revenue requirement for each attrition year according to the adopted PTY ratemaking

See SoCalGas' current Preliminary Statement (Part VI) for a description of the operation of the Zfactor mechanism, available at https://tariff.socalgas.com/regulatory/tariffs/tm2/pdf/ZFA.pdf.

In D.97-07-054 ("SoCalGas PBR Decision"), the Commission established a Z-factor mechanism for SoCalGas based on the same nine criteria established for D.94-06-011. In D.05-03-023 at 78 (Ordering Paragraph 2 ("SoCalGas and SDG&E are authorized to file for rate adjustments using the mechanisms as described in the Settlement Agreement and as modified in this decision"); see also D.05-03-023, Appendix C at 12. The eliminated criteria provided that the costs and events are not part of the rate update mechanism.

mechanism.¹⁹ The resulting customer rate adjustments to recover the updated revenue requirement would be effective the following January 1. The advice letter will contain all calculations necessary to update the revenue requirement for the subsequent year.

VII. CONCLUSION

SoCalGas's proposal is a fair and reasonable mechanism to provide the level of funding necessary to support important safety, reliability, and sustainability projects in the post-test years. This proposal accounts for the major cost drivers impacting the Company, which allows SoCalGas to provide safe and reliable service to its customers, comply with regulations, and manage its operations as prudent financial stewards.

This concludes my prepared direct testimony.

¹⁹ See D.19-09-051 at 713.

VIII. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Khai Nguyen. My business address is 555 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, California, 90013-1011. I am currently employed by SoCalGas as the Financial & Strategic Planning Manager, responsible for the Company's financial planning and analysis function. I have held this position since 2020.

I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from California State University, Northridge. I am a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the California Society of Certified Public Accountants. I was first employed by SoCalGas in 1998 and have held various positions in the Regulatory Affairs, Customer Services, and Accounting & Finance areas of the company; including Cost Accounting, Affiliate Billing & Costing, and Financial Systems.

I have previously testified before the Commission.

APPENDIX A

Glossary of Terms

APPENDIX A – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Commission or CPUC California Public Utilities Commission

CPI Consumer Price Index

CIS Customer Information Systems

D. Decision

DIMP Distribution Integrity Management Program

FIMP Facilities Integrity Management Program

Global Insight or GI IHS Markit Global Insight

GRC General Rate Case

GSEP Gas Safety Enhancement Programs

O&M Operations and Maintenance

ORA Office of Ratepayer Advocates

PSEP Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan

PG&E Pacific Gas and Electric Company

PTY Post-Test Year

RAMP Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase

RCP Rate Case Plan

ROR Rate of Return

SCE Southern California Edison Company

SDG&E San Diego Gas & Electric Company

SIMP Storage Integrity Management Program

SoCalGas Southern California Gas Company

TIMP Transmission Integrity Management Program

TY Test Year

APPENDIX B

Post Test Year Escalation Examples

APPENDIX B – POST TEST YEAR ESCALATION EXAMPLES

SCG/POST-TEST YEAR

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

Exemplary Calculation of 2025, 2026, and 2027 Revenue Requirements Assuming No Z-Factor

(Using GI 4th Quarter 2021 Forecast)

For Illustrative Purposes Only

	For Illustrative Purposes Only		(\$ in N	(Illions)
I	Description	R	ev Req*		scalation
-	2024 Total Revenue Requirement	\$	4,398.3		
	Less: 2024 Misc. Revenues	\$	117.4		
	Less: 2024 Capital Related Margin	\$	2,362.8		
	Less: 2024 Medical Expense	\$	97.7		
	Less: 2024 Franchise & Uncollectible	\$	65.6		
	2024 Escalatable O&M	\$	1,754.7		
	2025 O&M Escalation Rate	Ψ	2.14%		
	2025 O&M Escalation \$ (L6 * L7)	\$	37.6	\$	37.6
	2025 Medical Escalation Rate	*	6.00%	4	
	2025 Medical Escalation \$ (L4 * L9)	\$	5.9	\$	5.9
	2025 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$	245.1	\$	245.1
2	2025 O&M (L6 + L8)	\$	1,792.2		
2	2025 Medical Expense (L4 + L10)	\$	103.6		
	2025 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed) (L3 + L11)	\$	2,608.0		
	2025 Misc. Revenue	\$	117.4		
2	2025 FF&U	\$	69.8	\$	4.1
2	2025 Revenue Requirement (Sum of Lines 12 through 16)	\$	4,691.0	\$	292.71
	Less: 2025 Misc. Revenues	\$	117.4		
I	Less: 2025 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$	2,608.0		
	Less: 2025 Medical Expense	\$	103.6		
I	Less: 2025 Franchise & Uncollectible	\$	69.8		
2	2025 Escalatable O&M	\$	1,792.2		
	2026 Based Margin Escalation Rate		2.36%		
2	2026 O&M Escalation \$ (L22 * L23)	\$	42.3	\$	42.3
2	2026 Medical Escalation Rate		5.50%		
2	2026 Medical Escalation \$ (L20 * L26)	\$	5.7	\$	5.7
2	2026 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$	203.6	\$	203.6
2	2026 O&M (L22 + L24)	\$	1,834.6		
2	2026 Medical Expense (L20 + L26)	\$	109.3		
2	2026 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed) (L19 + L27)	\$	2,811.6		
2	2026 Misc. Revenue	\$	117.4		
2	2026 FF&U	\$	73.2	\$	3.4
2	2026 Revenue Requirement (Sum of lines 28 through 32)	\$	4,946.0	\$	254.98

SCG/POST-TEST YEAR

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

Exemplary Calculation of 2025, 2026, and 2027 Revenue Requirements Assuming No Z-Factor

(Using GI 4th Quarter 2021 Forecast) For Illustrative Purposes Only

Line	•	(\$ in Millions)					
No.	Description	R	ev Req*	Es	scalation		
1	2024 Total Revenue Requirement	\$	4,398.3				
2	Less: 2024 Misc. Revenues	\$	117.4				
3	Less: 2024 Capital Related Margin	\$	2,362.8				
4	Less: 2024 Medical Expense	\$	97.7				
5	Less: 2024 Franchise & Uncollectible	\$	65.6				
6	2024 Escalatable O&M	\$	1,754.7				
7	2025 O&M Escalation Rate		2.14%				
8	2025 O&M Escalation \$ (L6 * L7)	\$	37.6	\$	37.6		
9	2025 Medical Escalation Rate		6.00%				
10	2025 Medical Escalation \$ (L4 * L9)	\$	5.9	\$	5.9		
11	2025 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$	247.5	\$	247.5		
12	2025 O&M (L6 + L8)	\$	1,792.2				
13	2025 Medical Expense (L4 + L10)	\$	103.6				
14	2025 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed) (L3 + L11)	\$	2,610.4				
15	2025 Misc. Revenue	\$	117.4				
16	2025 FF&U	\$	69.8	\$	4.2		
17	2025 Revenue Requirement (Sum of Lines 12 through 16)	\$	4,693.4	\$	295.12		
18	Less: 2025 Misc. Revenues	\$	117.4				
19	Less: 2025 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$	2,610.4				
20	Less: 2025 Medical Expense	\$	103.6				
21	Less: 2025 Franchise & Uncollectible	\$	69.8				
22	2025 Escalatable O&M	\$	1,792.2				
23	2026 Based Margin Escalation Rate	Ψ	2.36%				
24	2026 O&M Escalation \$ (L22 * L23)	\$	42.3	\$	42.3		
25	2026 Medical Escalation Rate	*	5.50%	•			
26	2026 Medical Escalation \$ (L20 * L26)	\$	5.7	\$	5.7		
27	2026 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$	209.4	\$	209.4		
28	2026 O&M (L22 + L24)	\$	1,834.6				
29	2026 Medical Expense (L20 + L26)	\$	109.3				
30	2026 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed) (L19 + L27)	\$	2,819.8				
31	2026 Misc. Revenue	\$	117.4				
32	2026 FF&U	\$	73.3	\$	3.5		
33	2026 Revenue Requirement (Sum of lines 28 through 32)	\$	4,954.4	\$	260.92		
	2020 Ite tenue Itequirement (built of files 20 till ough 52)	Ψ	1923707	Ψ	=00.72		

34	Less: 2026 Misc. Revenues	\$ 117.4	
35	Less: 2026 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$ 2,811.6	
36	Less: 2026 Medical Expense	\$ 109.3	
37	Less: 2026 Franchise & Uncollectible	\$ 73.2	
38	2026 Escalatable O&M	\$ 1,834.6	
39	2027 Based Margin Escalation Rate	2.45%	
40	2027 O&M Escalation \$ (L38 * L39)	\$ 44.9	\$ 44.9
41	2027 Medical Escalation Rate	5.00%	
42	2027 Medical Escalation \$ (L36 * L41)	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.5
43	2027 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$ 318.6	\$ 318.6
44	2027 O&M (L38 + L40)	\$ 1,879.5	
45	2027 Medical Expense (L36 + L42)	\$ 114.7	
46	2027 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed) (L35 + L43)	\$ 3,130.1	
47	2027 Misc. Revenue	\$ 117.4	
48	2027 FF&U	\$ 77.7	\$ 4.6
49	2027 Revenue Requirement (Sum of lines 44 through 48)	\$ 5,319.6	\$ 373.6

34	Less: 2026 Misc. Revenues	\$ 117.4	
35	Less: 2026 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$ 2,819.8	
36	Less: 2026 Medical Expense	\$ 109.3	
37	Less: 2026 Franchise & Uncollectible	\$ 73.3	
38	2026 Escalatable O&M	\$ 1,834.6	
39	2027 Based Margin Escalation Rate	2.45%	
40	2027 O&M Escalation \$ (L38 * L39)	\$ 44.9	\$ 44.9
41	2027 Medical Escalation Rate	5.00%	
-42	2027 Medical Escalation \$ (L36 * L41)	\$ 5.5	\$ 5.5
43	2027 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed)	\$ 324.4	\$ 324.4
44	2027 O&M (L38 + L40)	\$ 1,879.5	
45	2027 Medical Expense (L36 + L42)	\$ 114.7	
46	2027 Capital Related Costs (as Proposed) (L35 + L43)	\$ 3,144.1	
47	2027 Misc. Revenue	\$ 117.4	
48	2027 FF&U	\$ 78.0	\$ 4.7
49	2027 Revenue Requirement (Sum of lines 44 through 48)	\$ 5,333.8	\$ 379.4

SoCalGas 2024 GRC Testimony Revision Log – November 2022

			Line or	
Exhibit	Witness	Page	Table	Revision Detail
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN ii	Table	Revised table.
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN ii	1 st -bullet	Deleted "Ventura and"; deleted "s" from "projects".
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-1	28	Deleted "Ventura and".
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-2	KN-1	Revised table.
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-8	KN-4	Revised table.
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-9	KN-5	Removed row for Ventura Compressor Modernization (VCM).
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-9	KN-6	Removed row for Ventura Compressor Modernization (VCM); revised table.
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-10	KN-7	Revised table.
SCG-40-R	Khai Nguyen	KN-B-1 KN-B-2	N/A	Replaced Exemplary Calculation table.