# SED-321

Email from Todd Van de Putte to Morten Haug Emilsen re RE: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak -Brief Summary (Jan. 27, 2016) I.19-06-016 ALJs: Hecht/Poirier Date Served: May 17, 2021

Intessage	M	es	sa	g	e
-----------	---	----	----	---	---

From:	Van de Putte, Todd [/O=ENOVA/OU=SDGE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TVANDEPU]
Sent:	1/27/2016 3:52:06 PM
То:	'Morten Haug Emilsen' [morten.haug.emilsen@addenergy.no]
Subject:	RE: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak - Brief Summary

Morten,

We attempted to fill the 2-7/8" x 7" annulus from the surface and when we reached the volume of 89 bbls pumped into the annulus, gas began to surface around the wellhead area from the ground. We immediately shut down the brine pumping operations. No liquids were present at the surface at that point. We didn't see any liquids at the surface until the various kill attempts were made with Boots n Coots.

Todd

From: Morten Haug Emilsen [mailto:morten.haug.emilsen@addenergy.no]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 3:39 PM
To: Van de Putte, Todd
Subject: RE: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak - Brief Summary

Todd,

After you had pumped 89 bbl down the 7" x 2 %" annulus, did you see any return, i.e. liquid, at surface at all?

Μ

From: Van de Putte, Todd [mailto:TVandePutte@semprautilities.com]
Sent: 27. januar 2016 23:32
To: Morten Haug Emilsen <<u>morten.haug.emilsen@addenergy.no</u>>
Cc: Lane, Bret <<u>JLane@semprautilities.com</u>>; Don Shackelford <<u>donwshackelford@yahoo.com</u>>; Arash Haghshenas
<arash@boots-coots.com>; jwright@jwco.com
Subject: RE: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak - Brief Summary

Morten,

During the initial kill attempt the 2-7/8" was found to be plugged and we could not pump into/down the 2-7/8" tubing and establish communication between the tubing and the tubing/casing annulus in order to attempt to kill the well. We later discovered during the ongoing evaluation that there was an ice/hydrate blockage in the 2-7/8" tubing around a depth of 450' (+/-). The well was on gas injection prior to the leak/kill attempt and both the tubing/casing pressures I

believe were initially are very close in pressure. With a fixed injection surface pressure on the tubing and the casing there would be no way to verify if and where the well was actually taking gas flow into the well or the reservoir as the well(s) do not have metering to know the individual injection rate for a given well via either the tubing or the casing...in other words, just because the well had injection pressure on the surface doesn't mean the well was accepting gas and could have been plugged and just sitting at the injection pressure with no flow.

I hope that makes sense ....

Todd

From: Morten Haug Emilsen [mailto:morten.haug.emilsen@addenergy.no]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 2:05 PM
To: Van de Putte, Todd
Cc: Lane, Bret; Don Shackelford; Arash Haghshenas; jwright@jwco.com
Subject: RE: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak - Brief Summary

Todd,

Bret just forwarded the below email from you, much appreciated. I have one follow-up question to the below description.

We have learned that on SS25 there were communication between the tubing and the 7" x 2 %" annulus downhole through slots in the tubing where the safety valve used to sit, even before it was perforated. Prior to the first kill operation, the reported pressure on the tubing was 1700 psig and the reported pressure on the 7" x 2 %" annulus was only 290 psi. Could you elaborate on this? Injection was that done both down the tubing and the casing?

We assume at this point, before the very first pumping operation, with only gas in the well (both inside and outside of the tubing) the expected surface pressures should be equal. The huge pressure differential could then only be explained by a restriction somewhere in the flowpath causing a significant pressure drop between the inside and the outside of the tubing.

Best regards



Morten Haug Emilsen Managing Director, add wellflow as Senior Vice President, add energy group

### Confidential and Protected Materials Pursuant to PUC Section 583, GO 66-D, and D.17-09-023

mob morten.haug.emilsen@addenergy.no

Well Control & Blowout Support Strandveien 33 | P.O. Box 26 | 1324 Lysaker | Norway addenergygroup.com

#### ISO 9001:2008 certification NO-800524

🚔 please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

This message (including any attachments) may contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is then protected by law.

If you are not the intended recipient, delete this message and all its contents. Any disclosure or action based on it is strictly prohibited.

From: Lane, Bret [mailto:JLane@semprautilities.com]
Sent: 27. januar 2016 21:58
To: Morten Haug Emilsen <<u>morten.haug.emilsen@addenergy.no</u>>; Don Shackelford <<u>donwshackelford@yahoo.com</u>>;
Arash Haghshenas <<u>arash@boots-coots.com</u>>
Subject: FW: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak - Brief Summary

#### Morten;

Below is an email from Todd describing his assessment on 10-24, shortly after they had tried to kill the well. He provides some pressure data from that pump job.

From: "Van de Putte, Todd" <<u>TVandePutte@semprautilities.com</u>> Date: October 24, 2015 at 6:25:36 PM PDT To: "Baker, Phil E." <<u>PBaker@semprautilities.com</u>> Cc: "Furgerson, Scott P" <<u>SFurgerson@semprautilities.com</u>> Subject: Aliso Canyon - Standard Sesnon 25 - Wellhead Leak - Brief Summary Hi Phil,

Here's the current overview/summary of the work accomplished to date (it's a bit of a blur but here it is as best I can do at the moment and I'm sorry if this is too wordy):

10/23/15 (late afternoon): Ops notified/Storage Engineering of a well that was possibly leaking at the SS-25 site. Ops had been on injection that afternoon and they were shutting in. The ops noticed that SS-25 sounded like it was still flowing after being shut-in after injection and they noticed a gas odor on the east side of the well pad along the road at the location. The SS-25 well had no anomalous pressure readings tubing/casing or surface casing prior to that day. No

wells in the vicinity of the SS-25 wellsite or the other two wells on the SS-25 site (SS-25A and SS-25B) are currently or were showing elevated surface casing pressures or any unusual pressures from the previous days.

10/23/15 (evening) Met with Ops and Storage Engineering to discuss a plan of attack. The initial plan was to gather the equipment, Halliburton pump truck and brine to plan on killing the well. All of that equipment ultimately arrived on location by 11:00am today (10/24/15).

In the meantime, we suspected the wellhead seals were leaking (as in a top down leak) based on the sounds at the wellhead, a cool to the touch wellhead and a slightly elevated surface casing pressure (140 psig). Cameron wellhead was called out this morning to test and verify the wellhead seal integrity. The primary and secondary wellhead seals were pressure tested to 1600 psig and they bled to 600 psig. Injected plastic packing into the primary seal void and pressure tested the seals to 2200 psig and it held at 1800 psig. There was still audible noise at the wellhead with all the wellhead valves closed.

Well Kill Activity (today): The plan was to pump a polymer pill down the tubing to kill the reservoir and then perform a standard brine well kill. The well currently has an old disabled Camco subsurface safety valve system in the 2-7/8" tubing string place and a Gas lift mandrel above it in the tubing string.

Current Kill Job summary:

<u>SS-25 Well Pressures Prior to Kill:</u> 11-3/4" surface casing: 140 psig / 7" production casing: 290 psig / 2-7/8" completion tubing: 1700 psig

Activity during the well kill: Pumped 11 bbl of 10 ppg XC polymer pill down the 2-7/8" tubing. The tubing pressured up to 3500 psig surface pressure. Shut down the pump. The 7" casing pressure remained at 290 psig surface pressure indicating no communication between the 2-7/8" tubing and the 7" casing annulus.

Decided to perform a "Pump and Bleed" kill procedure on the 7" production casing annulus to fill the tubing/casing annulus. Began pumping @ 3 bbl/min w/ the casing pressure at 290 psig. Pressure on the 7" casing began to drop with 45 bbl of 8.6 ppg brine away. The pressure on the 7" production casing dropped to 250 psig surface pressure. Increased the pump rate to 4 bbl/min. Inspected the wellhead – noise and vibration stopped. Inspected the well location looking for any brine communication to the surface (none seen). Continued to pump and at 89 bbl of brine pumped into the annulus and additional gas flow was noted in cracks in the ground. Immediately shut the pump down – Monitored well pressures and the location.

## SS-25 Well Pressures After Kill Attempt (10-24-15-Monitoring):

Time	11-3/4"	7"	2-7/8"
4pm	398 psig	280 psig	100 psig
4:30pm	401 psig	296 psig	140 psig

## Confidential and Protected Materials Pursuant to PUC Section 583, GO 66-D, and D.17-09-023

5pm	306 psig	185 psig
5:30pm	307 psig	200 psig

We currently have the Baker tank, and the Halliburton pump truck parked next to the remote kill header on the location.

At this time, It appears that we had a wellhead seal leak and/or a very shallow 7" production casing leak. While on injection, the leak(s) possibly charged up the 11-3/4" surface casing annulus. The well pad has various spots with venting cracks which are probably allowing the gas bubble in the 11-3/4" surface casing and/or the 7" production casing to vent. We stopped pumping brine into the well in order to allow the gas bubble to subside and to not take the chance of increasing the surface pressure any further. At the moment we are monitoring the location to see if we see a decrease in the venting gas. In the meantime, I would like to get another opinion from Boots and Coots and have them review the situation to see if there are any additional recommendations to remedy the situation. As the well is acting very odd at the moment, I do not want to aggravate the situation....

Todd R. Van de Putte Drilling Manager Storage Operations/Engineering Southern California Gas Company

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. Receipt by an unintended recipient does not constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege. Reading, disclosure, discussion, dissemination, distribution or copying of this information by anyone other than the intended recipient or his or her employees or agents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender.

This email originated outside of Sempra Energy. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.

This email originated outside of Sempra Energy. Be cautious of attachments, web links, or requests for information.