

SoCalGas-102

SoCalGas Response (Dec. 21, 2018) to SED's Data Request (Nov. 30, 2018).

I.19-06-016

ALJs: Hecht/Poirier

Date Served: March 15, 2021

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
CPUC-SAFETY AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
DATA REQUEST DATED NOVEMBER 30, 2018**

SOCALGAS RESPONSE DATED DECEMBER 21, 2018

SoCalGas provides the following Responses to the CPUC-Safety and Enforcement Division's (CPUC-SED) data request (DR), SED DR-34, dated November 30, 2018 related to the preliminary investigation regarding the Aliso Canyon Well Leak. The Responses are based upon the best available, non-privileged information that SoCalGas was able to locate through a diligent search within the time allotted to respond to this request, and within SoCalGas' possession, custody, or control. SoCalGas reserves the right to supplement, amend or correct the Responses to the extent that it discovers additional responsive information.

SoCalGas submits these Responses, while generally objecting to any Request that fails to provide a defined time period to which SoCalGas may tailor its Response, and to the extent that any Request is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, unduly burdensome, assumes facts, or otherwise fails to describe with reasonable particularity the information sought. SoCalGas further submits these Responses without conceding the relevance of the subject matter of any Request or Response. SoCalGas reserves the right to object to use of these Responses, or information contained therein, in any dispute, matter or legal proceeding before any court. Finally, at the time of this Response, there are no pending oral data requests from the CPUC-SED to SoCalGas.

Question 1:

For each communication involving Boots & Coots over which SoCalGas asserts attorney client privilege, please answer the following:

- a. Did Boots & Coots play a role in SoCalGas attorney's work for SoCalGas?
- b. For each answer to question 1a that is yes:
 - a. Could SoCalGas attorneys have achieved their legal goals in another way without having consulted with Boots & Coots employees?
 - b. Provide facts showing or explaining why attorneys needed Boots and Coots's assistance to accomplish the purpose for which SCG retained the attorneys.
 - c. Explain why Boots and Coots involvement was necessary to SCG's obtaining legal advice from its attorneys.
 - d. Explain how disclosure to Boots and Coots was necessary for SCG to obtain informed legal advice.
 - e. Explain how each communication was reasonably necessary to accomplish attorneys' purpose in representing SCG.
 - f. Provide the invoice that documents the role Boots & Coots played in SoCalGas's attorney's work for SoCalGas. Please be sure to highlight the portion of the invoice that documents Boots & Coots exact role in SoCalGas's attorney's work for SoCalGas.

EXHIBIT

1-30

Margaret Felts

2/5/2020

Linda Ryan, CSR 9915

Response to 1a:

SoCalGas objects to this request as overly burdensome, and vague and ambiguous as to the use of the term “play a role” in relation to Boots & Coots’ function regarding work done for SoCalGas. As further explained in prior letters and meet and confer discussions, SoCalGas has asserted limited exceptions to the waiver of the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine when a third party is included on those communications.¹ Notwithstanding the foregoing, and without waiving any privileges or objections, SoCalGas responds as follows: please see the detailed explanation of the basis of privilege for each document as further described in response to Question 1b (a) below, and as further detailed in the updated privilege log attached herewith.

With regards to the instruction regarding “each communication with Boots & Coots over which SoCalGas asserts attorney client privilege,” please note that the privilege log also includes a large number of documents where the portion of the email chain including the Boots & Coots communication has been produced to SED, and where the redacted portions of the chain are both privileged and non-responsive to SED DR-16 insofar as they are not a communication with Boots & Coots. For further detail on this category of documents, please see Response to 1b (a) below.

Response to 1b (a):

SoCalGas objects to this request as vague and ambiguous. SoCalGas further objects to this request to the extent it fails to define “legal goals.” SoCalGas further objects to this request to the extent it calls for SoCalGas to speculate as to other methods that might have “achieved” its “legal goals.” Notwithstanding the foregoing, and without waiving any privileges or objections, SoCalGas responds as follows:

SoCalGas has previously explained, on several occasions, the privilege basis over the documents listed in its privilege log. However, in the spirit of cooperation, and to provide greater clarity regarding the privileges asserted over documents reflecting communications with Boots & Coots, SoCalGas has defined four Categories of privilege under which each communication is protected from disclosure. In support of this Response to SED DR-34, SoCalGas is also providing a detailed privilege table (privilege log), which identifies the category for each document, and further describes how each document falls within that defined privilege category.

1. Documents where a redaction was made of privileged information not responsive to SED’s request. There were several instances of email chains involving Boots & Coots that were forwarded internally within SoCalGas, resulting in portions of the email that were responsive to SED’s request for communications “between SoCalGas and Boots and Coots,” and other portions were not. While these emails were initially produced with “not responsive” redactions over the portions of the communications that were not

¹ As a general matter, SoCalGas is entitled to assert the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine with respect to its documents and communications in proceedings before the California Public Utilities Commission, based on well-settled California Supreme Court authority. See *Southern Cal. Gas Co. v. Public Utilities Com.* (1990) 50 Cal.3d 31, 38–39.

between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots (and, as a result, not responsive to SED's request), in accordance with further instruction from SED, SoCalGas removed the "not responsive" redactions and re-produced the emails.

In some instances, however, the "not responsive" redactions also covered SoCalGas' attorney-client communications and/or attorney work product. Accordingly, for those documents, the "non-responsive" redactions were replaced with "privileged" redactions. The privileged redactions are accurate and appropriate, as they apply to SoCalGas' attorney-client privileged communications and/or attorney work product. **To be clear, in this category of documents these claims of privilege are not being asserted over portions of the document that contain communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots; thus, the privilege claim does not apply to the portion of the document that is responsive to SED's request.** Nevertheless, in the interest of transparency, because these documents contain privileged information that has been withheld from production, SoCalGas listed these documents on the privilege log.

These "Category 1" documents make up a substantial portion of SoCalGas' privilege log. Approximately 139 of the documents on the privilege log fall into Category 1, or are attachments to such documents.

2. Documents in which SoCalGas' attorneys (or others acting at direction of counsel) seek input from Boots & Coots regarding information requests that SoCalGas received from government agencies, government officials, Blade Energy Partners ("Blade") and others. During and after the SS-25 gas leak, SoCalGas received numerous information requests from federal, state and local government agencies, legislators, Blade Energy Partners, and other entities. SoCalGas and its attorneys worked diligently to prepare responses to these inquiries, which often sought detailed technical information and documentation about the gas leak, Aliso Canyon, SoCalGas' underground storage operations generally, and many other topics. Given the potential relevance of these responses to anticipated litigation concerning the gas leak, SoCalGas' attorneys were responsible for coordinating and developing the Company's legal strategy and legal advice relating to these responses, similar to how outside counsel for a company would oversee the development of responses to discovery requests received in litigation.

In order to develop legal strategy and legal advice regarding these responses, SoCalGas' attorneys, or others acting at these attorneys' instructions, would frequently contact company employees, contractors, and/or consultants to collect information necessary to formulate legal advice and legal strategy regarding the response. Boots & Coots was among the SoCalGas contractors relied on by SoCalGas attorneys for this purpose. Accordingly, SoCalGas' attorneys, or others acting at such attorneys' direction, communicated with Boots & Coots to obtain information that SoCalGas' attorneys needed to develop legal strategy and legal advice for SoCalGas related to these responses.

A communication in which counsel requests or receives information from a company employee for purposes of providing legal advice to the company is privileged. Cal. Evidence Code § 952; *see also D.I. Chadbourne, Inc. v. Sup. Ct.* (1964) 60 Cal.2d 723,

736-37; *Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Sup. Ct.* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 734-35. The principle is not limited to company employees, however. Under Cal. Evidence Code § 952, the privilege extends to third parties who are included in the communication to “further the interest of the client in the consultation or those to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for the transmission of the information or the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer is consulted” This includes agents, associates, and even family members of a client, provided the communications were intended to be confidential and the inclusion of the third party was reasonably necessary. *Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Sup. Ct.* (2007) 155 Cal.App.4th 1485, 1495-96 (“California courts have held that ‘the privilege extends to communications which are intended to be confidential, if they are made to attorneys, to family members, business associates, or agents of the party or his attorneys on matters of joint concern, when disclosure of the communication is reasonably necessary to further the interest of the litigant.’”). Ample case law also establishes that third parties acting as agents, consultants, or *independent contractors* on behalf of a company may be deemed the functional equivalent of an employee solely for purposes of analyzing the attorney-client privilege. *See, e.g., In re Bieter Co.* (8th Cir. 1994) 16 F.3d 929, 937 (“when applying the attorney-client privilege to a corporation or partnership, it is inappropriate to distinguish between those on the client’s payroll and those who are instead, and for whatever reason, employed as independent contractors”); *U.S. v. Graf* (9th Cir. 2010) 610 F.3d 1148, 1159 (adopting *Bieter*); *In re High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 2013) 2013 WL 772668 (finding that privilege extended to Google contractor who was “functional equivalent of employee”).

Furthermore, California law explicitly recognizes that the presence of a third party on an otherwise privileged communication does not waive privilege. Instead, and consistent with Evidence Code section 952, “[a] disclosure in confidence of a [privileged communication] . . . , when disclosure is reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer . . . was consulted, is not a waiver of the privilege.” Cal. Evidence Code § 912(d). Accordingly, where SoCalGas’ attorneys (or others acting at those attorneys’ direction) sought or received information from a third-party contractor (here, Boots & Coots) that was necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the attorneys were consulted by their client SoCalGas, that communication is privileged from disclosure.

3. Documents regarding consulting work undertaken at direction of counsel. In 2017, SoCalGas’ outside counsel, Greenberg Traurig, engaged Boots & Coots as technical consultants to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas’ attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a separate project undertaken at direction of counsel. SED DR-16 requested all communications relating to Aliso Canyon between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots for the time period October 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018. Accordingly, documents in this category are responsive to SED’s request and, to the degree that they are privileged, are included on the privilege log.

Communications involving Boots & Coots and that are related to this project are privileged because Boots & Coots are third persons whose presence on the communications was “reasonably necessary” for the purpose of transmitting information

between attorney and client and/or for the accomplishment of the purpose for which SoCalGas' attorneys were consulted. Cal. Evidence Code §§ 912, 952.

4. Documents in which Boots & Coots is a participant to a privileged communication between SoCalGas employees and attorneys concerning work that Boots & Coots performed for the company. Some documents on the privilege log reflect privileged communications between SoCalGas' attorneys and SoCalGas employees about work that Boots & Coots performed for SoCalGas. Disclosure of the privileged communication to Boots & Coots was reasonably necessary to obtain, develop, provide and/or implement SoCalGas attorneys' legal advice. Boots & Coots participation in those communications is not a waiver of privilege. Cal. Evidence Code § 912(d) ("A disclosure in confidence of a communication that is protected by a privilege provided by Section 954 (lawyer-client privilege), . . . when disclosure is reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer . . . was consulted, is not a waiver of the privilege."); *see also Insurance Co. of North America v. Superior Court* (1980) 108 Cal.App.3d 758, 765 ("The key concept here is need to know. While involvement of an unnecessary third person in attorney-client communications destroys confidentiality, involvement of third persons to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary to further the purpose of the legal consultation preserves confidentiality of communication.").

Response to 1b (b):

See updated privilege log and response to 1b(a).

Response to 1b (c):

See updated privilege log and response to 1b(a).

Response to 1b (d):

See updated privilege log and response to 1b(a).

Response to 1b (e):

See updated privilege log and response to 1b(a).

Response to 1b (f):

SoCalGas objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and irrelevant to SoCalGas' privilege claims. Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, please see previously produced documents AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0025631 - AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0025808.

Question 2:

Is SCG claiming that Boots and Coots have been, at any time, functionally equivalent to SCG employees?

Response to 2:

See updated privilege log and response to 1b(a).

Question 3:

Is SCG claiming that Boots and Coots and SCG have a common legal interest in securing legal advice?

- a. If yes, explain how the communications advanced SCG's and Boots and Coots' shared interest?
- b. If yes, what are SCG's and Boots and Coots' shared interests?
- c. If yes, explain how communications between SCG and Boots and Coots were reasonably necessary to accomplish the purposes for which counsel was consulted.

Response to Question 3:

No, SCG is not claiming that Boots and Coots and SCG have a common legal interest in securing legal advice.

Response to Question 3(a):

N/A.

Response to Question 3(b):

N/A.

Response to Question 3(c):

N/A.

ID Doc. #	Description
1	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
16	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
17	Attachment to Doc #16; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
18	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which Boots & Coots provided information to SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, to use in response to a data request from Cal-OSHA relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned working conditions for personnel working to control the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
19	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which Boots & Coots provided information to SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, to use in response to a data request from Cal-OSHA relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned working conditions for personnel working to control the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
20	Attachment to Doc #19.
21	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
22	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots prepared Daily Operations Reports ("DORs") for SoCalGas in connection with its work on the P39A relief well. SoCalGas' counsel reviewed these DORs and provided feedback regarding how they should be prepared both to ensure the information was accurate and to minimize legal risk for SoCalGas, given that the documents would likely be used as evidence in anticipated (and later actual) litigation.</p> <p>In this document, SoCalGas employee Hilary Petrizzo is relaying instruction received from SoCalGas' counsel as to the information that should be contained in the DORs. Because they were preparing the DORs, Boots & Coots' presence on this communication was reasonably necessary for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice to SoCalGas. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	Further, in this communication, SoCalGas employees, working at the direction of SoCalGas' counsel, communicated directly with Boots & Coots. Communications among SoCalGas employees (and consultants and agents that are the functional equivalent of employees) that involve the provision of legal advice, even in the absence of an attorney directly copied on that particular communication, are presumptively privileged. <i>See Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Sup. Ct.</i> (2007) 155 Cal.App.4th 1485, 1502.
23	This document is another email in the chain described above (Doc #22). The same text has been redacted in this document for the reasons described above
24	This document is another email in the chain described above (Doc #22). The same text has been redacted in this document for the reasons described above
25	This document is another email in the chain described above (Doc #22). The same text has been redacted in this document for the reasons described above
27	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
39	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
40	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
41	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
45	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
46	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
47	Attachment to Doc #46.
48	Attachment to Doc #46.
49	Attachment to Doc #46.
50	Attachment to Doc #46.
51	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
52	Attachment to Doc #51.
53	Attachment to Doc #51.

ID Doc. #	Description
54	Attachment to Doc #51.
55	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
56	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
57	Attachment to Doc #56.
58	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
59	Attachment to Doc #58.
60	Attachment to Doc #58.
61	Attachment to Doc #58.
62	Attachment to Doc #58.
63	Attachment to Doc #58.
64	Attachment to Doc #58.
65	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
66	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which Boots & Coots provided information to SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, to use in response to a data request from Cal-OSHA relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned working conditions for personnel working to control the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
67	Attachment to Doc #66.
68	Attachment to Doc #66.
70	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
71	Attachment to Doc #70; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
72	Attachment to Doc #70; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
73	Attachment to Doc #70; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
74	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which Boots & Coots provided information to SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, to use in response to a data request from Cal-OSHA relating to the

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned working conditions for personnel working to control the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
75	Attachment to Doc #74.
76	Attachment to Doc #74.
78	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.
79	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.
80	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.
89	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots and other consultants working on behalf of SoCalGas to use in response to a data request from DOGGR relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned technical information about the plugging and abandonment of SS-25 – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
94	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.
95	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.
96	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.

ID Doc. #	Description
97	<p>This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.</p>
98	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots and another consultant working on behalf of SoCalGas (Don Shackelford) to use in response to a data request from DOGGR relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned contingency plans in the event the relief wells were not able to successfully stop the flow of gas up the well – information that Boots & Coots was helping the Company to prepare and was thus ideally situated to provide for purposes of assisting SoCalGas counsel.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
99	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from DOGGR relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned contingency plans in the event the relief wells were not able to successfully stop the flow of gas up the well – information that Boots & Coots was helping the Company to prepare and was thus ideally situated to provide for purposes of assisting SoCalGas counsel.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
100	<p>This document does not contain communications between Boots & Coots and SoCalGas, and was inadvertently included on the privilege log. Certain attachments to this email, however, are responsive to SED-16 and have been produced at the following bates numbers:</p> <p>AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023217 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023218 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023251 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023252 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023285 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023286 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023318 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023319 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023351 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023352 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023384 AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0023406</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
101	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
102	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
103	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
104	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
105	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
106	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
107	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
108	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
109	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
110	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
111	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' outside counsel sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' "End of Job" reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.

ID Doc. #	Description
	Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.
112	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' outside counsel sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' "End of Job" reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
113	Attachment to Doc #112.
114	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
115	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
116	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
117	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance,

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
118	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
119	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
120	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
121	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
122	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance,</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
123	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
124	Attachment to Doc #123.
125	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
126	Attachment to Doc #125.
127	Attachment to Doc #125.
128	Attachment to Doc #125.
129	Attachment to Doc #125.
130	Attachment to Doc #125.
131	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
132	Attachment to Doc #131.
133	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
134	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
135	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
136	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
137	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
138	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
139	Attachment to Doc #138.
140	Attachment to Doc #138.
141	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
142	Attachment to Doc #141.
143	Attachment to Doc #141.
144	Attachment to Doc #141.
145	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
146	Attachment to Doc #145.
147	Attachment to Doc #145.
148	Attachment to Doc #145.
149	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel’s direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
150	Attachment to Doc #149.
151	Attachment to Doc #149.
152	Attachment to Doc #149.
153	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
154	Attachment to Doc #153.
155	Attachment to Doc #153.
156	Attachment to Doc #153.
157	Attachment to Doc #153.
158	Attachment to Doc #153.
160	<p>This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED’s request.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
164	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
165	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
166	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
167	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
169	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
170	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
171	Attachment to Doc #170; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
172	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
173	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas' legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
174	This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>advice of SoCalGas' legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
175	Attachment to Doc #174.
176	Attachment to Doc #174.
177	Attachment to Doc #174.
178	Attachment to Doc #174.
179	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
180	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
181	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
182	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
183	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
184	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
185	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
186	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
187	Attachment to Doc #186.
188	Attachment to Doc #186.

ID Doc. #	Description
189	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
190	Attachment to Doc #189.
191	Attachment to Doc #189.
192	Attachment to Doc #189.
193	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
194	Attachment to Doc #193.
195	Attachment to Doc #193.
196	Attachment to Doc #193.
197	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
198	Attachment to Doc #197.
199	Attachment to Doc #197.
200	Attachment to Doc #197.

ID Doc. #	Description
201	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
202	Attachment to Doc #201.
203	Attachment to Doc #201.
204	Attachment to Doc #201.
205	Attachment to Doc #201.
206	Attachment to Doc #201.
207	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
208	Attachment to Doc #207.
209	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
210	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
211	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
212	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
213	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
214	Attachment to Doc #213.
215	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
216	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
217	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
218	Attachment to Doc #217.
219	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
220	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
221	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots’ presence on this email was “reasonably necessary” for SoCalGas’ counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
222	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.
223	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade Energy Partners relating to the SS-25 gas leak. Boots & Coots was also asked to evaluate whether technical information to be provided in response to the data request was accurate. In this instance, the question concerned the fluids that were used in various kill attempts performed on SS-25 and other wells during the course of the gas leak – information that Boots & Coots was in the best position to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
224	Attachment to Doc #223.
225	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
226	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
227	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
228	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
229	Attachment to Doc #228; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
230	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
231	Attachment to Doc #230; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
232	This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.

ID Doc. #	Description
	Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.
233	Attachment to Doc #232.
234	Attachment to Doc #232.
235	Attachment to Doc #232.
236	Attachment to Doc #232.
	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
237	Attachment to Doc #237.
238	Attachment to Doc #237.
239	Attachment to Doc #237.
240	Attachment to Doc #237.
241	Attachment to Doc #237.
242	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
243	Attachment to Doc #242; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
244	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
245	Attachment to Doc #244; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
246	Attachment to Doc #246; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
247	This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
248	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
249	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
250	Attachment to Doc #249.
251	Attachment to Doc #249.
252	Attachment to Doc #249.
253	Attachment to Doc #249.
254	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed consulting work on behalf of SoCalGas related to the RCA. Certain aspects of the RCA implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. This document is an email chain reflecting advice of SoCalGas legal counsel that was forwarded to Boots & Coots as necessary for Boots & Coots to assist the lawyers in developing legal strategy regarding the RCA.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
255	Attachment to Doc #254.

ID Doc. #	Description
256	Attachment to Doc #254.
257	Attachment to Doc #254.
258	Attachment to Doc #254.
259	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
260	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
261	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
262	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
263	<p>This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.</p>
264	<p>This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
265	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
266	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
267	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
268	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
269	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
270	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
271	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
272	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
273	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.

ID Doc. #	Description
	Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.
274	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question concerned Boots & Coots' Daily Operations Reports – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
275	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
276	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' outside counsel sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
277	Attachment to Doc #276.
278	Attachment to Doc #276.
279	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' outside counsel sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak. In this instance, the question sought additional information and clarification regarding the SS-25 kill attempts – information that Boots & Coots was uniquely situated to provide.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
280	Attachment to Doc #279.
281	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
282	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.

ID Doc. #	Description
284	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
285	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
286	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
287	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
288	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
289	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
290	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
291	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
292	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
293	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
294	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
295	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
296	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
297	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
298	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
299	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
300	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
301	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.

ID Doc. #	Description
302	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
303	Attachment to Doc #302; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
304	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
305	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
306	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
307	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
308	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
309	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
310	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
311	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
312	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
313	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.

ID Doc. #	Description
314	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
315	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
316	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
317	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
318	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
319	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
320	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
321	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
322	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
323	Attachment to Doc #322; upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
324	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
325	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
326	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
327	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
328	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
329	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
330	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
331	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
332	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
333	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
334	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
335	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
336	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
337	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
338	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
339	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
340	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
341	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
342	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots prepared Daily Operations Reports ("DORs") for SoCalGas in connection with its work on the P39A relief well. SoCalGas' counsel reviewed these DORs and provided feedback regarding how they should be prepared both to ensure the information was accurate and to minimize legal risk for SoCalGas, given that the documents would likely be used as evidence in anticipated (and later actual) litigation.</p> <p>In this document, SoCalGas employee Hilary Petrizzo is relaying instruction received from SoCalGas' counsel as to the information that should be contained in the DORs. Because they were preparing the DORs, Boots & Coots' presence on this communication was reasonably necessary for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice to SoCalGas. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p> <p>Further, in this communication, SoCalGas employees, working at the direction of SoCalGas' counsel, communicated directly with Boots & Coots. Communications among SoCalGas employees (and consultants and agents that are the functional equivalent of employees) that involve the provision of legal advice, even in the absence of an attorney directly copied on that particular communication, are presumptively privileged. <i>See Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Sup. Ct.</i> (2007) 155 Cal.App.4th 1485, 1502.</p>
343	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
344	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
345	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
346	Attachment to Doc #345.
347	Attachment to Doc #345.
348	Attachment to Doc #345.
349	Attachment to Doc #345.
350	Attachment to Doc #345.
351	Attachment to Doc #345.
352	Attachment to Doc #345.
353	Attachment to Doc #345.
354	Attachment to Doc #345.
355	Attachment to Doc #345.
356	Attachment to Doc #345.
357	Attachment to Doc #345.
358	Attachment to Doc #345.
359	Attachment to Doc #345.
360	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
361	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
362	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.
363	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
364	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
365	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
366	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
367	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
368	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
369	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
370	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
371	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas' provided information to Boots & Coots in furtherance of SoCalGas' response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
372	Attachment to Doc #371.
373	Attachment to Doc #371.
374	Attachment to Doc #371.
375	Attachment to Doc #371.
376	Attachment to Doc #371.
377	Attachment to Doc #371.
378	Attachment to Doc #371.
379	Attachment to Doc #371.
380	Attachment to Doc #371.
381	Attachment to Doc #371.
382	Attachment to Doc #371.
383	Attachment to Doc #371.
384	Attachment to Doc #371.
385	Attachment to Doc #371.
386	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed work on behalf of SoCalGas at the direction of counsel. A component of this project implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. In this communication, SoCalGas employees, working at the direction of SoCalGas' counsel, communicated directly with Boots & Coots.</p> <p>Communications among SoCalGas employees (and consultants and agents that are the functional equivalent of employees) that involve the provision of legal advice, even in the absence of an attorney directly copied on that particular</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
	communication, are presumptively privileged. <i>See Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Sup. Ct.</i> (2007) 155 Cal.App.4th 1485, 1502.
387	<p>This document falls into Category 4. Boots & Coots performed work on behalf of SoCalGas at the direction of counsel. A component of this project implicated legal issues and required the involvement of SoCalGas' counsel, and this document concerns that work. In this communication, SoCalGas employees, working at the direction of SoCalGas' counsel, communicated directly with Boots & Coots.</p> <p>Communications among SoCalGas employees (and consultants and agents that are the functional equivalent of employees) that involve the provision of legal advice, even in the absence of an attorney directly copied on that particular communication, are presumptively privileged. <i>See Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Sup. Ct.</i> (2007) 155 Cal.App.4th 1485, 1502.</p>
388	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
389	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
390	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
392	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
393	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which information was being provided by Boots & Coots for the purpose of aiding counsel advise SoCalGas regarding responses to information requests that would bear on foreseeable or active litigation.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
394	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
395	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
396	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.

ID Doc. #	Description
397	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
398	Attachment to Doc #397.
400	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
401	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
402	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
403	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
404	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
405	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
406	Attachment to Doc #405.
407	Attachment to Doc #405.
408	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
409	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
410	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
411	This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which information was being requested of Boots & Coots for the purpose of aiding counsel advise

ID Doc. #	Description
	<p>SoCalGas regarding responses to information requests that would bear on foreseeable or active litigation.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
412	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which information was being requested of Boots & Coots for the purpose of aiding counsel advise SoCalGas regarding responses to information requests that would bear on foreseeable or active litigation.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
413	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which SoCalGas personnel, who were working at the direction of counsel, sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
414	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>
415	<p>This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.</p>
416	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>
417	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>
418	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>
419	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>
420	<p>This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.</p>
421	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>
422	<p>Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.</p>

ID Doc. #	Description
423	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
424	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
425	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
426	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
427	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
428	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
429	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
430	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
431	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
432	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
433	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
434	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
435	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
436	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
437	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
438	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
439	<p>This document falls into Category 2. This is an email in which an individual acting at SoCalGas counsel's direction sought information from Boots & Coots to use in response to a data request from Blade relating to the SS-25 gas leak.</p> <p>Boots & Coots' presence on this email was "reasonably necessary" for SoCalGas' counsel to provide informed legal advice on an issue involving litigation strategy. Under the authorities cited above, this email is privileged.</p>
440	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
441	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
442	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
443	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
444	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
453	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
454	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
455	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
456	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
457	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in

ID Doc. #	Description
	furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
458	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
459	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
460	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
461	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
462	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
463	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist

ID Doc. #	Description
	SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
464	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
465	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
479	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
480	Attachment to Doc #479.
481	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
482	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in

ID Doc. #	Description
	furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
483	Upon further consideration, SoCalGas agrees to withdraw its claim of privilege and will produce this document.
484	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
485	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
486	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
487	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
488	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
489	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
490	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
491	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.

ID Doc. #	Description
492	This document falls into Category 1. The document was produced with redactions, but the redacted portion contains no communications between SoCalGas and Boots & Coots. Accordingly, SoCalGas is not asserting privilege over any portion of this document that is responsive to SED's request.
493	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
494	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
495	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
496	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
497	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
498	Attachment to Doc #497.

ID Doc. #	Description
499	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
500	Attachment to Doc #498.
501	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
502	Attachment to Doc #501.
503	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
504	Attachment to Doc #503.
505	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
506	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
507	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas'

ID Doc. #	Description
	outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
508	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
509	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
510	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
511	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
512	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in

ID Doc. #	Description
	furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.
513	This document falls into Category 3. This is a communication that concerns work by Boots & Coots which was engaged by Greenberg Traurig, SoCalGas' outside counsel, as a technical consultant to provide technical support to assist SoCalGas' attorneys in preparing legal advice and strategy regarding a project that was not directly related to the SS-25 gas leak or well kill, and that was undertaken at direction of counsel. The communication related to, and was in furtherance of, the work performed by the consultant for SoCalGas after the relief well was completed, at the direction of Greenberg Traurig.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
1	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0002215	Healy, Gregory <lgphh@enova.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Neville, Dan <DNeville@semprautilities.com>			12/10/2015 11:07
2	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024845	Kundry, Christine M <CKundry@semprautilities.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 21:17
3	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024863	La Fevers, Glenn <glafever@enova.com>	Gates, Beth A. <BAGates@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 16:45
4	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024868	Gates, Beth A. <BAGates@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 15:28
5	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024873	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 15:17
6	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024877	Gates, Beth A. <BAGates@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 15:11
7	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024881	Gates, Beth A. <BAGates@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 15:06
8	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024885	La Fevers, Glenn <glafever@enova.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Kundry, Christine M <CKundry@semprautilities.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 15:04
9	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024890	La Fevers, Glenn <glafever@enova.com>	Gates, Beth A. <BAGates@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 15:02

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
10	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0024895	La Fevers, Glenn <glafever@enova.com>	Gates, Beth A. <BAGates@semprautilities.com>			12/15/2015 15:00
11	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0024900	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>	Kundry, Christine M <CKundry@semprautilities.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 14:35
12	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0024904	Kundry, Christine M <CKundry@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 14:33
13	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0024908	La Fevers, Glenn <glafever@enova.com>	Kundry, Christine M <CKundry@semprautilities.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 14:22
14	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0024912	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 14:03
15	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0024915	Kundry, Christine M <CKundry@semprautilities.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>		12/15/2015 13:41
18		Snyder, Hal <HSnyder@semprautilities.com>	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		1/3/2016 8:58
19		Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots- coots.com>	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>	Bud Curtis <bcurtis@boots-coots.com>		1/3/2016 5:42
20						1/3/2016 5:42

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
22	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0042172	John Hatteberg <hatteberg@boots-cools.com>	Wayne Courville <Wayne.Courville@boots-cools.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>; Petrizzo, Hilary E		12/21/2015 10:11
23	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0042181	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulities.com>	John Hatteberg <hatteberg@boots-cools.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>		12/21/2015 9:57
24	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0042183	John Hatteberg <hatteberg@boots-cools.com>	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulities.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>		12/21/2015 9:55
25	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0042184	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulities.com>	John Hatteberg <hatteberg@boots-cools.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>		12/21/2015 9:12
26	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024919	Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@sempraulities.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>			12/16/2015 5:43
28	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024937	Chris Yeung <Chris.Yeung@Halliburton.com>	Don Shackelford <donshackelford@yahoo.com>; Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TandePutte@sempraulities.com>; Bryce Hirsch <Bryce.Hirsch@Halliburton.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulities.com>		2/10/2016 12:39
29	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024941	Don Shackelford <donshackelford@yahoo.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>; Chris Yeung <Chris.Yeung@Halliburton.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TandePutte@sempraulities.com>; Bryce Hirsch <Bryce.Hirsch@Halliburton.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulities.com>		2/10/2016 12:36
30	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024944	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>			2/10/2016 12:31
31	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024947	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulities.com>	Chris Yeung <Chris.Yeung@Halliburton.com>; Don Shackelford <donshackelford@yahoo.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TandePutte@sempraulities.com>; Bryce Hirsch <Bryce.Hirsch@Halliburton.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulities.com>		2/10/2016 12:20

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
32	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024950	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>			2/10/2016 12:19
33	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024953	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>	Chris Yeung <Chris.Yeung@Halliburton.com>; Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Bryce Hinsch <Bryce.Hinsch@halliburton.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		2/10/2016 12:16
34	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024280	Chris Yeung <Chris.Yeung@Halliburton.com>	Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>; Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Bryce Hinsch <Bryce.Hinsch@halliburton.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		2/10/2016 12:02
35	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024292	Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Chris Yeung <chris.yeung@halliburton.com>; Bryce Hinsch <bryce.hinsch@halliburton.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		2/10/2016 11:49
36	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024956	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>	Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		2/10/2016 11:02
37		Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>	Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>		2/7/2016 13:02
38						2/7/2016 13:02
39	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006175	Wagher, Ed <EWagher@semprautilities.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@semprautilities.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>; Kerns, Barry <BKerns@semprautilities.com>; lbay, Isaac <lbay@semprautilities.com>		12/29/2015 18:20
40	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006255	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@semprautilities.com>	Kerns, Barry <BKerns@semprautilities.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>		12/18/2015 13:38

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
41	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006258	Kerns, Barry <Bkerns@sempraulibcs.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez2@sempraulibcs.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@sempraulibcs.com>		12/18/2015 13:32
42	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024955	Lane, Bret <JLane@sempraulibcs.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@sempraulibcs.com>			12/16/2015 5:58
43	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024970	La Favers, Glenn <GLaFavers@sempraulibcs.com>	Chechelli, Frank <FChechelli@sempraulibcs.com>	Kundy, Christine M <CKundy@sempraulibcs.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulibcs.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@sempraulibcs.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@sempraulibcs.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulibcs.com>		12/15/2015 15:04
44	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024975	La Favers, Glenn <GLaFavers@sempraulibcs.com>	Kundy, Christine M <CKundy@sempraulibcs.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulibcs.com>	Chechelli, Frank <FChechelli@sempraulibcs.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@sempraulibcs.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@sempraulibcs.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulibcs.com>		12/15/2015 14:22
45	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006322	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibcs.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulibcs.com>; Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulibcs.com>			12/11/2015 11:07
46	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006355	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulibcs.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulibcs.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibcs.com>			12/9/2015 15:36
47						12/9/2015 15:36
48						12/9/2015 15:36
49						12/9/2015 15:36

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
50						12/9/2015 15:36
51	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006357	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprautllies.com>	Salazar, Jeff <LSalazar@semprautllies.com>			12/10/2015 11:27
52						12/10/2015 11:27
53						12/10/2015 11:27
54						12/10/2015 11:27
56	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006471	Sim, Michelle M <MSim@semprautllies.com>	Alexander, Lisa <LAlexander@semprautllies.com>	Kristjansson, Sue <SKristjansson@semprautllies.com>; van der Leeden, Ronald <Rvan derLeeden@semprautllies.com>		1/26/2016 9:55
57						1/26/2016 9:55
58	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0006474	Artala, Dennis <DArtala@semprautllies.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@semprautllies.com>; Alexander, Lisa <LAlexander@semprautllies.com>			1/6/2016 14:46
59						1/6/2016 14:46

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 14, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
60						1/5/2016 14:46
61						1/5/2016 14:46
62						1/5/2016 14:46
63						1/5/2016 14:46
64						1/5/2016 14:46
65	AC CPUC SED DR 16_0001049	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semptraillies.com>	Shapiro, Daniel <DShapiro@semptraillies.com>			1/21/2016 16:03
66		Lane, Bret <bpl@enova.com>	Snyder, Hal <Hsnyder@semptraillies.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@semptraillies.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semptraillies.com>			1/3/2016 8:54
67						1/3/2016 8:54
68						1/3/2016 8:54

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
69	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024979	Lane, Bret <bjl@enova.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@semprautilities.com>			12/16/2015 5:56
74		Jlane@semprautilities.com	Snyder, Hal <HSnyder@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@semprautilities.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@semprautilities.com>			1/3/2016 8:53
75						1/3/2016 8:53
76						1/3/2016 8:53
77	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024984	Lane, Bret <Lane@semprautilities.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@semprautilities.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@semprautilities.com>; Don Shackelford <donshackelford@yahoo.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <Tomkins@semprautilities.com>		2/6/2016 5:36
78	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008040	Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@semprautilities.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@semprautilities.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@semprautilities.com>		1/31/2016 19:02
79	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008042	Cho, Jimmie I <jcho@enova.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@semprautilities.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@semprautilities.com>			1/31/2016 18:43
80	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008079	Lane, Bret <Lane@semprautilities.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@semprautilities.com>; Arriola, Dennis <DArriola@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <Jcho@semprautilities.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@semprautilities.com>			1/17/2016 10:50
81	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024993	Cho, Jimmie I <jcho@enova.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@semprautilities.com>			12/16/2015 5:43

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
82	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025022	Cider, Scott B <Scider@sempira.com>	Lane, Bret <lane@sempraulites.com>			4/28/2016 6:38
83	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025026	Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@sempraulites.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@sempraulites.com>, Dragna, James J. <jm.dragna@mcgrawhills.com>	Lane, Bret <lane@sempraulites.com>		7/1/2016 10:38
84	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025036	Lane, Bret <bpl@nova.com>	Cider, Scott B (Scrider@sempira.com)	Tomkins, Sharon <Tomkins@sempraulites.com>; Ariola, Dennis <DAriola@sempraulites.com>		4/27/2016 8:02
85	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025040	Lane, Bret <bpl@nova.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@sempraulites.com>			4/27/2016 6:05
86	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025043	Schwcke, Rodger <Rschwcke@sempraulites.com>	Lane, Bret <lane@sempraulites.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <Tomkins@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		4/26/2016 13:48
87	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024998	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Lane, Bret <lane@sempraulites.com>			2/10/2016 12:31
88	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025001	Lane, Bret <bpl@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd (TVandePutte@sempraulites.com)			2/10/2016 12:19
89	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0021942	Lane, Bret <bpl@nova.com>	Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>; John Wright <John.Wright@bearco-inc.com>; Pete Sigel <pete@sigel.net>; Jim Lagone <Jim.Lagone@boots-cools.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-cools.com>; Morten Haug Emilsen <morten.haug.emilsen@addressenergy.no>; Arash Haghsinas <arah@boots-cools.com>; John Hattberg <jhatterb@boots- cools.com>	Schwcke, Rodger (Rschwcke@sempraulites.com)		2/9/2016 5:38
90	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025009	Lane, Bret <bpl@nova.com>	Cho, Jimmie I (Jcho@sempraulites.com); Schwcke, Rodger (Rschwcke@sempraulites.com); Don Shackelford <donwshackelford@yahoo.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@sempraulites.com>		2/8/2016 5:36

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
91		Lane, Bret <tpjbl@enova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd (TVandePutte@semprautilities.com)	Don Shackelford <donshackelford@yahoo.com>; Schwecke, Rodger (RSchwecke@semprautilities.com)		2/7/2016 13:02
92						2/7/2016 13:02
93		Lane, Bret <tpjbl@enova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Don Shackelford <donshackelford@yahoo.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>			2/7/2016 11:11
94	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0022012	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>	Thorp, Michael R. <MThorp@semprautilities.com>; Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprautilities.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprautilities.com>	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>; Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>; Salazar, Jeff <JLSalazar@semprautilities.com>; Garcia, Albert J <AGarcia6@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>		2/4/2016 10:16
95	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008276	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>	Lane, Bret <JLane@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>			1/31/2016 18:43
96	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008613	Lane, Bret <tpjbl@enova.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@semprautilities.com>; Arriola, Dennis <DArriola@semprautilities.com>; Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@semprautilities.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>			1/17/2016 10:50
97	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008690	Lane, Bret <tpjbl@enova.com>	Arriola, Dennis <DArriola@semprautilities.com>; Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@semprautilities.com>			1/13/2016 8:41
98	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023083	Lane, Bret <tpjbl@enova.com>	Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coots.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Don Shackelford (donshackelford@yahoo.com)			1/11/2016 13:54
99	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008796	Lane, Bret <tpjbl@enova.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coots.com>			1/11/2016 12:59

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
100		Unspecified Sender	Cho, Jimmie I (jcho@sempraulites.com)	Schwecke, Rodger (rschwecke@sempraulites.com)		2/4/2016 6:02
101	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008855	Wagner, Ed <EWagner@sempraulites.com>	lbay, Isaac <lbay@sempraulites.com>			12/29/2015 21:24
102	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008867	lbay, Isaac <lbay@enova.com>	Wagner, Ed <EWagner@sempraulites.com>			12/29/2015 20:16
103	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008897	Cho, Jimmie I <jcho@sempraulites.com>	Kerns, Barry <BKerns@sempraulites.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@sempraulites.com>		12/18/2015 13:40
104	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008903	Kerns, Barry <bkerns@enova.com>	Lane, Bret <BLane@enova.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@sempraulites.com>; lbay, Isaac <lbay@sempraulites.com>		12/19/2015 7:33
105	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0008909	Kerns, Barry <bkerns@enova.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@sempraulites.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <jcho@sempraulites.com>		12/18/2015 13:32
106	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0009323	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@sempraulites.com>			1/14/2016 11:36
107	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0009326	Rodriguez, Sonia <Srodri3@enova.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>			1/14/2016 11:27
108	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0009328	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>	Rodriguez, Sonia <SRodriguez3@sempraulites.com>			1/14/2016 11:15

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
109	AC GPUC SED DR 16 0010098	Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semprouillies.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>		6/10/2016 13:42
110	AC GPUC SED DR 16 0010101	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semprouillies.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprouillies.com>; Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>		6/10/2016 13:23
111	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>; Morzavil, Selarah <Smorzavil@semprouillies.com>		8/24/2016 12:08
112	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandeputte@semprouillies.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>; Morzavil, Selarah <Smorzavil@semprouillies.com>		8/18/2016 11:47
113						8/18/2016 11:47
114	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprouillies.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@semprouillies.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandeputte@semprouillies.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>; Phipps, Krista L <KPhpps@semprouillies.com>		4/11/2016 13:03
115	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprouillies.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@semprouillies.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>		4/11/2016 10:47
116	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprouillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprouillies.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@semprouillies.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>		4/11/2016 10:40
117	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@semprouillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprouillies.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@semprouillies.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprouillies.com>		4/11/2016 10:38

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
118		Hassan, Kim <Hassan@sempraulites.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulites.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@sempraulites.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>		4/5/2016 15:30
119		Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulites.com>	Hassan, Kim <Hassan@sempraulites.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@sempraulites.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>		4/5/2016 15:29
120		Hassan, Kim <Hassan@sempraulites.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulites.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@sempraulites.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>		4/5/2016 15:15
121		Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulites.com>	Hassan, Kim <Hassan@sempraulites.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@sempraulites.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>		4/5/2016 15:11
122		Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulites.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>			4/5/2016 15:05
123		Tracy, Jill <Tracy@sempraulites.com>	La Fave, Glenn <GLaFave@sempraulites.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <JimLaGrone@boots- cools.com>			9/6/2016 10:35
124						9/6/2016 10:35
125		La Fave, Glenn <GLaFave@sempraulites.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <JimLaGrone@boots-cools.com>			9/1/2016 15:39
126						9/1/2016 15:39

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
127						9/1/2016 15:39
128						9/1/2016 15:39
129						9/1/2016 15:39
130						9/1/2016 15:39
131	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>	Jim LaGrone <jim.Lagrone@boots-cools.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>; La FEVER, Glenn <GLaFEVER@sempraulites.com>			9/1/2016 13:54
132						9/1/2016 13:54
133	La FEVER, Glenn <glavef@eneva.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>			5/26/2016 16:23
134	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>; La FEVER, Glenn <GLaFEVER@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>			5/26/2016 13:45
135	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0010815	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>; La FEVER, Glenn <GLaFEVER@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>			5/26/2016 13:40

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Bag CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
136	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0010817	Schwacke, Rodger <RSchwacke@sempraulites.com>	La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Dena M <NNg@sempraulites.com>; Van de Pulte, Todd <TVandePulte@sempraulites.com>			5/26/2016 13:22
137	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0011443	Unspecified Sender	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Van de Pulte, Todd <TVandePulte@sempraulites.com>	Schwacke, Rodger <RSchwacke@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Dena M <NNg@sempraulites.com>		5/26/2016 16:23
138		La Favers, Glenn <glafaver@enova.com>	Van de Pulte, Todd <TVandePulte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:42
139						9/1/2016 15:42
140						9/1/2016 15:42
141		La Favers, Glenn <glafaver@enova.com>	Van de Pulte, Todd <TVandePulte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:41
142						9/1/2016 15:41
143						9/1/2016 15:41
144						9/1/2016 15:41

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg	CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
145			La Favers, Glenn <glafaver@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TvandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:41
146							9/1/2016 15:41
147							9/1/2016 15:41
148							9/1/2016 15:41
149			La Favers, Glenn <glafaver@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TvandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:40
150							9/1/2016 15:40
151							9/1/2016 15:40
152							9/1/2016 15:40
153			La Favers, Glenn <glafaver@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TvandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:38

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 14, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
154						9/1/2016 15:38
155						9/1/2016 15:38
156						9/1/2016 15:38
157						9/1/2016 15:38
158						9/1/2016 15:38
159	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025046	<Tomkins@semprautlites.com> Tomkins, Sharon	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>			6/29/2016 18:59
160	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0011948	<LaFavers@semprautlites.com> La Favers, Glenn	<TVandePutte@semprautlites.com> Tacy, Jill <JTacy@semprautlites.com>; Van de Putte, Todd	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@semprautlites.com>		5/26/2016 16:23
161	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025075	<Lane@semprautlites.com> Lane, Bret	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>			4/27/2016 6:55
162	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025076	<DNg@semprautlites.com> Ng, Deana M	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>			4/26/2016 16:33

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
163	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025242	<Schwecke@enovva.com>	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@sempraulites.com>; Dragna, James J. <jm.dragna@motganlwf.com>			7/1/2016 10:38
164	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012029	<Schwecke@enovva.com>	La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>; Van de Puite, Todd <TVandePuite@sempraulites.com>			5/26/2016 13:22
165	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023460	<Schwecke@enovva.com>	Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>			5/24/2016 16:25
167	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012085	<Schwecke@sempraulites.com>	La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>; Van de Puite, Todd <TVandePuite@sempraulites.com>			5/26/2016 13:22
168	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025263	<Schwecke@enovva.com>	Lane, Bret (Lane@sempraulites.com)	Tomkins, Sharon <STomkins@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		4/26/2016 13:48
172		Van de Puite, Todd <TVandePuite@sempraulites.com>	'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coats.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>		4/18/2016 7:13
173		Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Van de Puite, Todd <TVandePuite@sempraulites.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coats.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>		4/18/2016 7:09
174		Van de Puite, Todd <TVandePuite@sempraulites.com>	'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coats.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>		4/18/2016 6:47
175						4/18/2016 6:47

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
176						4/18/2016 6:47
177						4/18/2016 6:47
178						4/18/2016 6:47
179		Van de Putte, Todd <VandePutte@semprautlites.com>	Kitsun, Amy <akitsun@semprautlites.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>			4/5/2016 13:32
180		Van de Putte, Todd <VandePutte@semprautlites.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boos-roots.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>			4/4/2016 11:50
181		Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boos-roots.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautlites.com>			4/4/2016 11:40
183		Orliana, Jennifer <Orliana2@semprautlites.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautlites.com>			9/2/2016 10:50
184		Orliana, Jennifer <Orliana2@semprautlites.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautlites.com>			9/2/2016 10:41
185		Orliana, Jennifer <Orliana2@semprautlites.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautlites.com>			9/2/2016 10:09

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
186		LaFavers, Glenn <LaFavers@sempranillles.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempranillles.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempranillles.com>		9/1/2016 15:42
187						9/1/2016 15:42
188						9/1/2016 15:42
189		LaFavers, Glenn <LaFavers@sempranillles.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempranillles.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempranillles.com>		9/1/2016 15:41
190						9/1/2016 15:41
191						9/1/2016 15:41
192						9/1/2016 15:41
193		LaFavers, Glenn <LaFavers@sempranillles.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempranillles.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempranillles.com>		9/1/2016 15:41
194						9/1/2016 15:41

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
195						8/1/2016 15:41
196						9/1/2016 15:41
197		La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulites.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandeputte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:40
198						9/1/2016 15:40
199						9/1/2016 15:40
200						9/1/2016 15:40
201		La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulites.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandeputte@sempraulites.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>		9/1/2016 15:38
202						9/1/2016 15:38
203						9/1/2016 15:38

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
204						9/1/2016 15:38
205						9/1/2016 15:38
206						9/1/2016 15:38
207		Tracy, Jill <jtracy@nova.com>	La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:35
208						9/6/2016 10:35
210		Tracy, Jill <jtracy@nova.com>	Orellana, Jennifer <JOrellana2@semprautilities.com>			9/2/2016 10:49
211		Tracy, Jill <jtracy@nova.com>	Orellana, Jennifer <JOrellana2@semprautilities.com>			9/2/2016 10:40
212		Tracy, Jill <jtracy@nova.com>	'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Orellana, Jennifer <JOrellana2@semprautilities.com>		9/2/2016 9:43
213		Tracy, Jill <jtracy@nova.com>	'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>		9/1/2016 13:54

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
214						9/1/2016 13:54
217		Unspecified Sender	La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulibbes.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>			9/6/2016 10:35
218						9/6/2016 10:35
220		Tracy@sempraulibbes.com	Orellana, Jennifer <Jorellana2@sempraulibbes.com>			9/2/2016 10:49
221		Tracy@sempraulibbes.com	Orellana, Jennifer <Jorellana2@sempraulibbes.com>			9/2/2016 10:40
222		Unspecified Sender	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com> Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulibbes.com>; La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulibbes.com>; Orellana, Jennifer <Jorellana2@sempraulibbes.com>			9/2/2016 9:43
223		Unspecified Sender	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>			9/1/2016 13:54
224						9/1/2016 13:54
225	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012354	Tracy, Jill <jtracy@novva.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulibbes.com>; La Favers, Glenn <Glafavers@sempraulibbes.com>; Ng, Deana M <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>; Neil, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>		5/26/2016 13:45

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 24, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
226	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012356	JTracy@sempraulites.com	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Schwacke, Rodger <RSchwacke@sempraulites.com>; La Favers, Glenn <GLaFavers@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>		5/26/2016 13:44
227	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012523	<Vandeput@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>	Schwacke, Rodger <RSchwacke@sempraulites.com>; La Favers, Glenn <GLaFavers@sempraulites.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulites.com>		5/26/2016 13:40
222		<Vandeput@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>			4/18/2016 8:45
233						4/18/2016 8:45
234						4/18/2016 8:45
235						4/18/2016 8:45
236						4/18/2016 8:45
237		Unspecified Sender	hatterberg@boots-cools.com			4/18/2016 8:45
238						4/18/2016 8:45

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
239						4/18/2016 8:45
240						4/18/2016 8:45
241						4/18/2016 8:45
246	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprailites.com>	Richard Haeberig <rhaeberig@boots-cools.com>			4/18/2016 7:41
247	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@nova.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolanda.Gomez@boots-cools.com>	Schwecke, Roger <RSchwecke@semprailites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprailites.com>			4/18/2016 7:13
248	Unspecified Sender	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolanda.Gomez@boots-cools.com>	Schwecke, Roger <RSchwecke@semprailites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprailites.com>			4/18/2016 7:13
249	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@nova.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolanda.Gomez@boots-cools.com>	Schwecke, Roger <RSchwecke@semprailites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprailites.com>			4/18/2016 6:47
250						4/18/2016 6:47
251						4/18/2016 6:47

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Fred Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
252						4/18/2016 6:47
253						4/18/2016 6:47
254		Unspecified Sender	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coots.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>		4/18/2016 6:47
255						4/18/2016 6:47
256						4/18/2016 6:47
257						4/18/2016 6:47
258						4/18/2016 6:47
259		Van de Pulle, Todd <VandePulle@nova.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>			4/5/2016 13:32
260		Unspecified Sender	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>; Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulites.com>			4/5/2016 13:32

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
261		Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coats.com>	Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulibbes.com>; Hassan, Kim <K.Hassan@sempraulibbes.com>	Talley, Kendra <K.Talley@sempraulibbes.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>		4/5/2016 13:30
262		Rubin, Jonathan <Rubin@sempraulibbes.com>	Jim Lagrone@boots-coats.com; Hassan, Kim <K.Hassan@sempraulibbes.com>	Talley, Kendra <K.Talley@sempraulibbes.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>		4/5/2016 11:53
263	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012566	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 9:13
264	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012570	Unspecified Sender	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 9:13
265	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012575	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 8:17
266	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012579	Unspecified Sender	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 8:17
267	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012583	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 6:43
268	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012586	Unspecified Sender	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 6:43
269	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012590	Hesam, H Tony <Thesam@sempraulibbes.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@sempraulibbes.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@sempraulibbes.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@sempraulibbes.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders@sempraulibbes.com>; Lec, Karen I <KLeas@sempraulibbes.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>; Chechitel, Frank <FChechitel@sempraulibbes.com>		4/4/2016 16:08

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
270	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012593	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 15:31
271	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012596	Unspecified Sender	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 15:31
272		Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>			4/4/2016 11:50
273		Unspecified Sender	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>			4/4/2016 11:50
274		Rubin, Jonathan <JRubin@semprautilities.com>	'Jim.Lagrone@boots-coots.com'; Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprautilibes.com>	Talley, Kendra <KTalley@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 11:27
275	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012599	Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 9:46
276		Haley McIntosh <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>	mdozier@semprautilities.com	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@semprautilities.com>; Mortazavi, Setareh <SMortazavi@semprautilities.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprautilities.com>		8/18/2016 14:00
277						8/18/2016 14:00
278						8/18/2016 14:00

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
279		Halcy McIntosh <hmcintosh@tuesday.com>	Jim Lagrone <beets-cools.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>; Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibcs.com>; Morazavi, Setareh <SMorazavi@sempraulibcs.com>		8/19/2016 10:40
280						8/19/2016 10:40
281	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012764	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibcs.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>			4/5/2016 8:56
282	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0012758	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibcs.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>			4/5/2016 7:56
283	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025626	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibcs.com>	Morazavi, Setareh <SMorazavi@sempraulibcs.com>; Eliasian, Maghdal <maghdal.eliasian@morganlewis.com>			6/30/2017 15:36
288	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013403	Navin, Neil <nnavin@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>			4/5/2016 8:56
289	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013407	NNavin@sempraulibcs.com	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>			4/9/2016 8:56
300	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013411	Navin, Neil <nnavin@nova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>			4/5/2016 7:56
301	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013415	NNavin@sempraulibcs.com	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibcs.com>			4/5/2016 7:56

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
304	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013467	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 9:13
305	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013471	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 8:17
306	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013475	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 6:43
307	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013476	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com> <Hesam, H. Tony <Hesam@sempraulibbes.com> <Chechtelli, Frank <Chechtelli@sempraulibbes.com>	Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@sempraulibbes.com>; Hobbs, Rick <Rhobbs@sempraulibbes.com>; Langan, Ken <Klangan@sempraulibbes.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@sempraulibbes.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@sempraulibbes.com>		4/4/2016 15:31
308	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013500	<Schwacke, Rodger <RSchwacke@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			1/17/2016 16:24
309	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013535	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 9:13
310	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013539	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 8:17
311	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013543	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>			4/5/2016 6:43
312	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013546	<Hesam, H. Tony <Hesam@sempraulibbes.com>	<Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibbes.com>	Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@sempraulibbes.com>; Hobbs, Rick <Rhobbs@sempraulibbes.com>; Langan, Ken <Klangan@sempraulibbes.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@sempraulibbes.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@sempraulibbes.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibbes.com>; Chechtelli, Frank <Chechtelli@sempraulibbes.com>		4/4/2016 16:05

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
313	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013549	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Theham@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 15:31
314	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013552	Hesam, H. Tony <Theham@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 9:46
315	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013579	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>			3/18/2016 11:44
316	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013581	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>			3/18/2016 8:40
318	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013704	Petrizzo, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b6f65558f32762b9f- petrizzo.hilary.e@enova.com>	'Haley McIntosh' <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>			6/13/2016 7:44
319	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013707	Unspecified Sender	Haley McIntosh <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>			6/13/2016 7:44
320	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013710	Petrizzo, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b6f65558f32762b9f- petrizzo.hilary.e@enova.com>	'Haley McIntosh' <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@semprautilities.com>		6/10/2016 15:33
321	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013712	Unspecified Sender	Haley McIntosh <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@semprautilities.com>		6/10/2016 15:32
324	AC_CPUC_SED_DR_16_0013730	Petrizzo, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b6f65558f32762b9f- petrizzo.hilary.e@enova.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprautilities.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@semprautilities.com>; 'Haley McIntosh' <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>		6/10/2016 13:23

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
325	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013733	Unspecified Sender	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulites.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHassan@sempraulites.com>; Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>		6/10/2016 13:23
326	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023592	Petizzo, Hairy E <7957911c867c4a23b65558132762b9f@petizzo.hairy.e@nova.com>	Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>; Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>		6/10/2016 12:58
327	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023595	Unspecified Sender	Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>; Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>		6/10/2016 12:58
328	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023598	Petizzo, Hairy E <7957911c867c4a23b65558132762b9f@petizzo.hairy.e@nova.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>; Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>			6/10/2016 8:04
329	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023600	Unspecified Sender	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>; Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>			6/10/2016 8:04
330	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023602	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>; Petizzo, Hairy E <Hpetizzo@sempraulites.com>			6/9/2016 18:02
331	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013742	Petizzo, Hairy E <7957911c867c4a23b65558132762b9f@petizzo.hairy.e@nova.com>	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>; Hassan, Kim <KHassan@sempraulites.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>		6/9/2016 6:58
332	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013766	Unspecified Sender	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>; Hassan, Kim <KHassan@sempraulites.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>		6/9/2016 6:55
333	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023604	Petizzo, Hairy E <7957911c867c4a23b65558132762b9f@petizzo.hairy.e@nova.com>	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulites.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulites.com>		6/9/2016 6:50

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
334	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023608	Unspecified Sender	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <Scardiff@sempraulibres.com>		6/9/2016 6:50
335	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013809	Halley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>		6/13/2016 10:11
336	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0013812	Halley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>		6/10/2016 15:52
337	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023611	Halley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>; Cardiff, Steven G <Scardiff@sempraulibres.com>		6/10/2016 11:56
338	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023614	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>; Cardiff, Steven G <Scardiff@sempraulibres.com>	Halley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>		6/10/2016 10:50
339	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023616	Cardiff, Steven G <Scardiff@sempraulibres.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>; Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>			6/10/2016 9:13
340	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023618	Frescas, Arturo <Afrescas@sempraulibres.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <Scardiff@sempraulibres.com>; Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>			6/9/2016 17:11
342		Unspecified Sender	Hasaan, Kim <KHasaan@sempraulibres.com>			4/14/2016 13:59
343		Unspecified Sender	Hasaan, Kim <KHasaan@sempraulibres.com>			4/14/2016 13:57

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
344		Unspecified Sender	Hassan, Kim <K-Hassan@emptraillies.com>; Arash Haghenas <arash@boots-coats.com>			4/14/2016 13:31
345		Unspecified Sender	Arash Haghenas <arash@boots-coats.com>; Hassan, Kim <K-Hassan@emptraillies.com>			4/14/2016 12:54
346						4/14/2016 12:54
347						4/14/2016 12:54
348						4/14/2016 12:54
349						4/14/2016 12:54
350						4/14/2016 12:54
351						4/14/2016 12:54
352						4/14/2016 12:54

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
353						4/14/2016 12:54
354						4/14/2016 12:54
355						4/14/2016 12:54
356						4/14/2016 12:54
357						4/14/2016 12:54
358						4/14/2016 12:54
359						4/14/2016 12:54
360		Ash Haghshenas <ash@boot-cools.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semprailties.com>	Hassan, Kim <Khasan@semprailties.com>		4/19/2016 9:09
361		Hassan, Kim <Khasan@semprailties.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semprailties.com>			4/14/2016 14:05

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg C/PUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
362		<KHasan@semptraillies.com>	Hassan, Kim			4/14/2016 13:58
363		Arash Haghsheenas <arash@boots-cools.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semptraillies.com>; Hassan, Kim <KHasan@semptraillies.com>			4/14/2016 13:50
364		<KHasan@semptraillies.com>	Hassan, Kim	Arash Haghsheenas <arash@boots-cools.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semptraillies.com>	4/14/2016 13:25
365		Arash Haghsheenas <arash@boots-cools.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHasan@semptraillies.com>; Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semptraillies.com>			4/14/2016 13:14
366		Hassan, Kim <KHasan@semptraillies.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semptraillies.com>	Arash Haghsheenas <arash@boots-cools.com>		4/14/2016 12:57
367	JAC C/PUC SED DR 16 0015866	<MHowes@semptraillies.com>	Howes, Martin E.	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@semptraillies.com>		11/21/2015 10:02
368		Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c687c4a23b165558132762b9f@semptraillies.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c687c4a23b165558132762b9f@semptraillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHasan@semptraillies.com>		4/14/2016 13:59
369		Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c687c4a23b165558132762b9f@semptraillies.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c687c4a23b165558132762b9f@semptraillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHasan@semptraillies.com>		4/14/2016 13:57
370		Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c687c4a23b165558132762b9f@semptraillies.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c687c4a23b165558132762b9f@semptraillies.com>	Hassan, Kim <KHasan@semptraillies.com>; Arash Haghsheenas <arash@boots-cools.com>		4/14/2016 13:31

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
371		Petizzo, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b165558132762b9f@enova.com> petizzo, hilary e@enova.com	'Araah Haghshenas' <arah@boots-cools.com>, Hassan, Kim <K.Hassan@semproubillee.com>			4/14/2016 12:54
372						4/14/2016 12:54
373						4/14/2016 12:54
374						4/14/2016 12:54
375						4/14/2016 12:54
376						4/14/2016 12:54
377						4/14/2016 12:54
378						4/14/2016 12:54
379						4/14/2016 12:54

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
380						4/14/2016 12:54
381						4/14/2016 12:54
382						4/14/2016 12:54
383						4/14/2016 12:54
384						4/14/2016 12:54
385						4/14/2016 12:54
386	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0016231	Peritto, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b165558132762b9f@novva.com>	John Hatberg <hatberg@bois-coils.com>	Lane, Bret <lane@semprallites.com>		12/21/2015 9:57
387	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0016233	Peritto, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b165558132762b9f@novva.com>	John Hatberg <hatberg@bois-coils.com>	Lane, Bret <lane@semprallites.com>		12/21/2015 9:12
388	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0016551	Peritto, Hilary E <7957911c867c4a23b165558132762b9f@novva.com>	Howes, Martin E. <MHowes@semprallites.com>			11/21/2015 11:12

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
389	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0016556	Petizzo, Hilary E <757911c867c4a23b1655812762b91-petizzo.hilary.e@nova.com>	Howes, Malin E. <MHowes@sempraulibres.com>			11/21/2015 8:43
390	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0016733	Unspecified Sender	Howes, Malin E. <MHowes@sempraulibres.com>			11/21/2015 9:45
391	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025018	Kundly, Christine M <CKundly@sempraulibres.com>	Kison, Amy <AKison@sempraulibres.com>			12/15/2015 14:06
392	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0016751	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibres.com>	Kison, Amy <AKison@sempraulibres.com>; Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulibres.com>			12/10/2015 10:27
393		Snyder, Hal <hsnyder@nova.com>	Lane, Bret <Lane@sempraulibres.com>	Cho, Jimmie I <JCho@sempraulibres.com>; Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@sempraulibres.com>		1/3/2016 8:58
397		Doda, Nit Amoo <NDoda@sempraulibres.com>	Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-cools.com>; Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-cools.com>	Kison, Amy <AKison@sempraulibres.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulibres.com>; Rick Gentges <RGentges@cp.com>; Elaine Fronberger <EFronberger@cp.com>; McMahon, Thomas D <TMcMahon@sempraulibres.com>; Tran, Jehnny Q <JQTran@sempraulibres.com>		3/13/2017 9:08
398						3/13/2017 9:08
399	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025628	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibres.com>	Ehsanji, Maghdh <maghdh.ehsanji@morgantevs.com>; Morozavi, Sataeh <SMorozavi@sempraulibres.com>			8/17/2017 12:06
400	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0022914	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempraulibres.com>	Haley McIntosh <hmcintosh@jonesday.com>; Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibres.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulibres.com>		8/10/2016 12:58

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SocialGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
401	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023917	Cardiff, Steven G <sgcardiff@novva.com>	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulibcs.com>; Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>			6/10/2016 9:13
402	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023919	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulibcs.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulibcs.com>; Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>			6/10/2016 8:04
403	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023921	Cardiff, Steven G <sgcardiff@novva.com>	Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>; Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulibcs.com>			6/9/2016 16:02
404	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023923	Unspecified Sender	Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>; Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulibcs.com>			6/9/2016 18:00
405	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023925	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulibcs.com>	Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>; Hassan, Kim <KHassan@sempraulibcs.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulibcs.com>		6/9/2016 6:58
406						6/9/2016 6:58
407						6/9/2016 6:58
408	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0023947	Petrizzo, Hilary E <HPetrizzo@sempraulibcs.com>	Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>	Cardiff, Steven G <SCardiff@sempraulibcs.com>		6/9/2016 6:50
411		Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@sempraulibcs.com>	Hassan, Maghd' <maghd.hassan@mogantevts.com>; Haley Michmosh <hmmcmosh@jonesday.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@sempraulibcs.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@sempraulibcs.com>; Morzavi, Selarsh <SMorzavi@sempraulibcs.com>		6/18/2016 12:40

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 14, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
412		Eliasian, Maghdi <maghdi.eliasian@morganlewis.com>	Haley McIntosh <hmmcintosh@jonesday.com>; Frescas, Arturo <AFrescas@semprautilities.com>	Healy, Gregory <GHealy@semprautilities.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@semprautilities.com>; Mortazavi, Setareh <SMortazavi@semprautilities.com>		8/18/2016 12:28
413		La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots- coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:50
415	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0043333	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	'John Walters - B&C' <jwalters@boots-coots.com>	Leonardo Portillo <lportillo@boots-coots.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Brandy, Carla L. <CBrandy@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>		4/7/2016 9:45
420	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018120	Navin, Neil <nnavin@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>		4/6/2016 8:32
423	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018140	Schwecke, Rodger <rschwecke@enova.com>	Ng, Deana M <DNg@semprautilities.com>			4/18/2016 7:36
424	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018206	Navin, Neil <nnavin@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>		4/6/2016 8:32
425	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0043349	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Brandy, Carla L. <CBrandy@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>	twalters@boots-coots.com'		4/6/2016 14:20
426	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018215	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>		4/5/2016 21:49
427	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018217	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>	Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 14:38

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
428	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018220	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 8:33
429		Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:44
430		Unspecified Sender	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:44
431	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018259	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>			4/6/2016 6:30
432	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018272	Unspecified Sender	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>			4/6/2016 6:30
433	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018275	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>		4/5/2016 17:48
434	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018277	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>		4/4/2016 7:59
435		La Fevers, Glenn <glafever@enova.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:50
436		Unspecified Sender	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:50

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
437	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018287	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>	Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>		4/6/2016 8:32
438		Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:44
439		Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>	Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; 'Jim LaGrone' <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>			9/6/2016 10:42
440	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018311	Navin, Neil <nnavin@enova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Chechitelli, Frank <FChechitelli@semprautilities.com>; Hesam, H. Tony <Thesam@semprautilities.com>; La Fevers, Glenn <GLaFevers@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@semprautilities.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@semprautilities.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@semprautilities.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@semprautilities.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@semprautilities.com>		4/6/2016 8:32
445	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025514	Navin, Neil <nnavin@enova.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Ng, Deana M <DNg@semprautilities.com>; Neville, Dan <DNeville@semprautilities.com>; Tracy, Jill <JTracy@semprautilities.com>			7/1/2016 10:53
446	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025523	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@semprautilities.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>		12/10/2016 10:47
447	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025525	Neville, Dan <DNeville@semprautilities.com>	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>		12/10/2016 10:34
448	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025527	Schwecke, Rodger <RSchwecke@semprautilities.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@semprautilities.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>		12/10/2016 9:36
449	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025528	Schwecke, Rodger <rschwecke@enova.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@semprautilities.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@semprautilities.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautilities.com>		12/10/2016 9:35

Doc #	Frod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
450	AC CPUC SED DR 16 002530	Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulibcs.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulibcs.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibcs.com>		12/9/2016 20:36
451	AC CPUC SED DR 16 002531	Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulibcs.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulibcs.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibcs.com>; Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>		12/9/2016 19:30
452	AC CPUC SED DR 16 002532	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@sempraulibcs.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulibcs.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibcs.com>; Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>		12/9/2016 17:43
453	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0018361	Chechitelli, Frank <Fchechitelli@sempraulibcs.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulibcs.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVanderPutte@sempraulibcs.com>; Hiesem, H. Tony <Theesem@sempraulibcs.com>; Greenwade, Lynn N. <LNGreenwade@sempraulibcs.com>; Hobbs, Rick <RHobbs@sempraulibcs.com>; Langan, Ken <KLangan@sempraulibcs.com>; Sanders, Cole B <CSanders2@sempraulibcs.com>; Lee, Karen I <KLee5@sempraulibcs.com>		4/4/2016 7:59
454	Lotterman, Thomas R. <Thomas.lotterman@morganlewis.com>	Dodoo, Nii Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulibcs.com>; Tran, Johnny Q <JTTran@sempraulibcs.com>	Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>; Lotterman, Thomas R. <thomas.lotterman@morganlewis.com>			5/22/2017 6:58
455	Dodoo, Nii Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulibcs.com>	Lotterman, Thomas R. <thomas.lotterman@morganlewis.com>; Tran, Johnny Q <JTTran@sempraulibcs.com>	Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>			5/12/2017 13:58
456	Dodoo, Nii Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulibcs.com>	Lotterman, Thomas R. <thomas.lotterman@morganlewis.com>; Tran, Johnny Q <JTTran@sempraulibcs.com>	Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>			5/12/2017 13:29
457	Dodoo, Nii Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulibcs.com>	Lotterman, Thomas R. <thomas.lotterman@morganlewis.com>; Tran, Johnny Q <JTTran@sempraulibcs.com>	Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>			5/12/2017 12:39
458	Dodoo, Nii Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulibcs.com>	Lotterman, Thomas R. <thomas.lotterman@morganlewis.com>; Tran, Johnny Q <JTTran@sempraulibcs.com>	Klison, Amy <AKlison@sempraulibcs.com>			5/11/2017 14:36

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
459		Unspecified Sender	Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>			5/11/2017 13:50
460		Kison, Amy <awahl@nova.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>	5/11/2017 13:40
461		Kison, Amy <awahl@nova.com>	Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>			5/11/2017 13:38
462		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@sempraulites.com>			5/11/2017 7:40
463		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@sempraulites.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <VandePutte@sempraulites.com>			5/3/2017 8:36
464		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>	Loberman, Thomas R. <thomas.loberman@morganlewis.com>; Tan, Johnny Q <JTan@sempraulites.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Kison, Amy <Akison@sempraulites.com>		5/2/2017 11:49
465		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>	Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>; Kison, Amy <Akison@sempraulites.com>	Jim Lagrone <Jim.Lagrone@books-cooks.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@books-cooks.com>		3/6/2017 10:05
466	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025577	Neville, Dan <dpdgn@nova.com>	Egbert, Thomas <TEgbert@sempraulites.com>			1/5/2017 8:46
467	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025580	Unspecified Sender	Egbert, Thomas <TEgbert@sempraulites.com>			1/5/2017 8:46

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SocialGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC SED DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
468	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025583	Neville, Dan <dpdgn@enova.com> <NNavin@semprautlites.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>; Dentici, Roberto <RDentici@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>			12/21/2016 14:25
469	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025585	DNeville@semprautlites.com	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>; Dentici, Roberto <RDentici@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>			12/21/2016 14:24
470	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025594	Neville, Dan <dpdgn@enova.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>		12/10/2016 13:39
471	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025598	DNeville@semprautlites.com	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>		12/10/2016 13:39
472	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025602	Neville, Dan <dpdgn@enova.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>		12/10/2016 9:54
473	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025605	Unspecified Sender	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>		12/10/2016 9:53
474	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025608	Neville, Dan <dpdgn@enova.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>		12/10/2016 9:34
475	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025610	DNeville@semprautlites.com	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>; Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>		12/10/2016 9:34
476	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025612	Neville, Dan <dpdgn@enova.com>	Schwcke, Rodger <RSchwcke@semprautlites.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@semprautlites.com>; Kison, Amy <Akison@semprautlites.com>		12/9/2016 18:30

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
477	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025613	Dneville@sempraulites.com	Schwacke, Rodger <Rschwacke@sempraulites.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>		12/9/2016 18:30
476	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0025616	Egert, Thomas <TEgert@sempraulites.com>	Neville, Dan <DNeville@sempraulites.com>			1/5/2017 8:47
479	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>	boots-coots.com	ndodo@sempraulites.com	Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coots.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempraulites.com>; John Garner <jgarner@boots-coots.com>		3/15/2017 12:51
480						3/15/2017 12:51
481	Van de Putte, Todd <tvandepu@nova.com>	Dodoo, Neil Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulites.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coots.com>			3/6/2017 9:32
482	Unspecified Sender	Dodoo, Neil Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulites.com>; Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>	Jim LaGrone <Jim.LaGrone@boots-coots.com>; Rolando Gomez <Rolando.Gomez@boots-coots.com>			3/6/2017 9:26
484	Navin, Neil <nnavin@nova.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>	Dodoo, Neil Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulites.com>		5/11/2017 13:44
485	Kitson, Amy <AKitson@sempraulites.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>	Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempraulites.com>	Dodoo, Neil Amoo <NDodoo@sempraulites.com>		5/11/2017 13:40
486	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024108	Perizzo, Hilary E <7957911c667c4a23b165558132762b9f@petizzo.hilary.e@nova.com>	Logan, David M <DLogan@sempraulites.com>			11/30/2017 20:12

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
487	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024110	Petizzo, Hilary E <7957911c8674a23b165558132762b91 petizzo.hilary@enova.com>	Logan, David M <Dlogan@sempira.com>			11/30/2017 20:12
488	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024112	Petizzo, Hilary E <7957911c8674a23b165558132762b91 petizzo.hilary@enova.com>	Logan, David M <Dlogan@sempira.com>			11/30/2017 20:12
489	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024114	Petizzo, Hilary E <7957911c8674a23b165558132762b91 petizzo.hilary@enova.com>	Logan, David M <Dlogan@sempira.com>			11/30/2017 20:11
490	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024116	Logan, David M <Dlogan@sempira.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempiraillies.com>			12/1/2017 9:07
491	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0024117	Logan, David M <Dlogan@sempira.com>	Petizzo, Hilary E <HPetizzo@sempiraillies.com>			11/30/2017 21:37
492	AC CPUC SED DR 16 0019261	Razavi, Avideh <razavi1@enova.com>	Morazavi, Setareh <SMorazavi@sempiraillies.com>			12/21/2017 14:13
493		Navin, Neil <NNavin@sempiraillies.com>	Kison, Amy <AKison@sempiraillies.com>		Dodoo, Nili Amoo <NDodoo@sempiraillies.com>	5/11/2017 13:44
494		Dodoo, Nili Amoo <dnamoo@enova.com>	Kison, Amy <AKison@sempiraillies.com>; Van de Putte, Todd <TVandePutte@sempiraillies.com>			5/3/2017 8:36
495		Loferman, Thomas R. <thomas.loferman@morpartllies.com>	Jim LaGrone@boots-cools.com; Rolando.Gomez@boots-cools.com	Loferman, Thomas R. <thomas.loferman@morpartllies.com>; Tran, Johnny Q <JQTran@sempiraillies.com>; Dodoo, Nili Amoo <NDodoo@sempiraillies.com>		5/3/2017 8:24

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
496		Dodo, Nli Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>	Sharepoint <Sharepoint@sempraulites.com>; SemptraHelp <SemptraHelp@semptra.com>	Tran, Johnny Q <JQTran@sempraulites.com>; Cerillos, Jorge <Cerillos@sempraulites.com>; mmunoz@boots-cools.com		7/18/2017 8:36
497		Cerillos, Jorge <jpsc@enovva.com>	Miguel Munoz <mmunoz@boots-cools.com>	Dodo, Nli Amoo <Ndodo@sempraulites.com>		7/13/2017 14:56
498						7/13/2017 14:58
499		Miguel Munoz <mmunoz@boots-cools.com>	Cerillos, Jorge <Jcerillos@sempraulites.com>			7/12/2017 8:46
500						7/12/2017 8:46
501		Miguel Munoz <mmunoz@boots-cools.com>	Cerillos, Jorge <Jcerillos@sempraulites.com>			7/12/2017 8:39
502						7/12/2017 8:39
503		Miguel Munoz <mmunoz@boots-cools.com>	Cerillos, Jorge <Jcerillos@sempraulites.com>			7/11/2017 8:41
504						7/11/2017 8:41

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.

Doc #	Prod Beg CPUC DR 16	Email From	Email To	Email CC	Email BCC	Parent Date
505		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempralibres.com>	munoz@boots-cools.com	Cerrillos, Jorge <JCerrillos@sempralibres.com>		6/13/2017 8:13
506		Cerrillos, Jorge <jpsc@enova.com> - on behalf of - Egan, Jason W <jwegan@enova.com>	munoz@boots-cools.com			6/1/2017 18:57
507		JCerrillos@sempralibres.com	munoz@boots-cools.com			6/1/2017 16:57
508		Miguel Munoz <munoz@boots-cools.com>	Cerrillos, Jorge <JCerrillos@sempralibres.com>			6/1/2017 18:58
509		Cerrillos, Jorge <jpsc@enova.com>	Miguel Munoz <munoz@boots-cools.com>			6/1/2017 18:54
510		JCerrillos@sempralibres.com	Miguel Munoz <munoz@boots-cools.com>			6/1/2017 18:54
511		Cerrillos, Jorge <jpsc@enova.com>	Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempralibres.com>			5/26/2017 9:01
512		Cerrillos, Jorge <jpsc@enova.com>	Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempralibres.com>			5/26/2017 8:59
513		Dodo, Nii Amoo <Ndodo@sempralibres.com>	Cerrillos, Jorge <JCerrillos@sempralibres.com>			5/26/2017 8:58

For a detailed description of privilege for each document referenced below, please see SoCalGas's Response to SED Data Request 34, and the associated table submitted as an attachment to SoCalGas's Response.