

SoCalGas-55

**Email Chain between Margaret Felts and Darryl Gruen (March 27, 2020), re:
Sera (chapt V) on leaks, MAOP, etc**

I.19-06-016

ALJs: Hecht/Poirier

Date Served: March 15, 2021

Gallegos, Rachel

From: Gruen, Darryl <darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2020 7:37 PM
To: Margaret Felts
Subject: Re: Sera (chapt V) on leaks, MAOP, etc

If showed in opening testimony, that's enough. Perhaps just mention it again in reply, and reference back to opening. I'll think over whether it is really a good idea to repeat. It's a very gaslighting thing to do on their part: to say there are no leaks when the opening testimony shows there are.

Darryl

From: Margaret Felts [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2020 6:28 PM
To: Gruen, Darryl <darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: Sera (chapt V) on leaks, MAOP, etc

I showed it in our opening testimony and reply to the OSC. Could repeat, if you think necessary.
m

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 27, 2020, at 5:04 PM, Gruen, Darryl <darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Okay. Thanks. Almost done with the Carnahan questions for you to see. They flat out say there were no leaks on SS-25 before 10/23/2015. I'm not sure if it is even worth questioning that nonsense. Perhaps just provide the well file that shows otherwise in rebuttal. What do you think?

From: Margaret Felts [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2020 4:01 PM
To: Gruen, Darryl <darryl.gruen@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: Sera (chapt V) on leaks, MAOP, etc

Darryl,
Sera does a good job of presenting basics on pressure, MAOP, Leaks v ruptures and assessing risk. I guess his testimony is primarily refuting PAO.
He is an SCG metallurgist.
The division of subjects for testimony between him and Carnahan is really odd.
Margaret